Contents

SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION	Page
	1
į	2
	2
Thenacological and Historical Dackground	2
ORIGINAL PRIORITIES, AIMS AND METHODOLOGY	
Landscape Zone Priorities	4
Fieldwork Event Aims	4
Fieldwork Methodology and Summary of Excavation Results	4
General Assessment Methodology	6
FACTUAL DATA AND OUANTIFICATION	
	7
	10
	13
	13
	14
The Documentary Record	14
STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL	
	16
	17
	21
	22
	22
<u> </u>	22
Overall Potential	23
Realisation of Priorities and Aims	25
Additional Research Potential	26
BIBLIOGRAPHY	27
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	28
	Landscape Zone Priorities Fieldwork Event Aims Fieldwork Methodology and Summary of Excavation Results General Assessment Methodology FACTUAL DATA AND QUANTIFICATION The Stratigraphic Record The Artefactual Record The Environmental Record Dating Archive Storage and Curation The Documentary Record STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL Stratigraphic Potential Artefactual Potential Environmental and Economic Potential Dating Potential Archive Storage and Curation Documentary Record Potential Overall Potential Realisation of Priorities and Aims Additional Research Potential BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

7.1	Assessment of Earlier Prehistoric Ceramics	29
7.2	Assessment of Late Iron Age and Roman Ceramics	31
7.3	Assessment of Post-Roman Ceramics	33
7.4	Assessment of Fired Clay	42
7.5	Assessment of Ceramic Objects	45
7.6	Assessment of Worked Flint	49
7.7	Assessment of Burnt Flint	53
7.8	Assessment of Ferrous Residues	55
7.9	Assessment of Coinage	63
7.10	Assessment of Copper Alloy Objects	65
7.11	Assessment of Iron Objects	67
7.12	Assessment of Lead Object	72
7.13		73
7.14		76
7.15	Assessment of Glass Objects	80
7.16	Assessment of Mammal Bone	82
7.17	Assessment of Bird and Fish Bone	87
7.18	Assessment of Plant Remains	89
7.19	Assessment of Marine Mollusca	94
7.20	Assessment of Land Snails	97
7.21	Assessment of Documentary Sources	100
FIGU	JRES	
1.	Location and Geology	108
2.	Site Location Plan	109
3.	Plan of Features in Phase 1	110
4.	Plan of Features in Phase 2	111
5.	Plan of Features in Phase 3	112
6.	Plan of Features in Phase 4	113
7.	Plan of Features in Phase 5	114

SUMMARY

During December 1998 and January 1999, Canterbury Archaeological Trust Ltd undertook detailed archaeological investigations on land situated to the south of the church of St John the Baptist, Mersham, Kent. This work was commissioned by Union Railways (South) Limited and formed part of an extensive programme of archaeological investigation carried out in advance of the construction of the Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL). The site's principal interest lies in the evidence it has provided for late Anglo-Saxon and early medieval ironworking.

This assessment report was commissioned by Union Railways (South) Limited to the specification for such documents produced by Rail Link Engineering, as discussed with English Heritage and Kent County Council. The stratigraphic, artefactual, and environmental records produced by the excavation, and associated documentary sources, were examined to assess their potential in regard to the Landscape Zone Priorities and Fieldwork Event Aims set out in the CTRL research strategy.

The excavation recovered small quantities of mid Anglo-Saxon and earlier material but these were thought to be entirely residual. A few features, one filled largely with slag from iron smelting, were attributed to the late Anglo-Saxon period (c. 850-1050) and similarly dated residual material was found in several later features. Pits backfilled with iron slag, a very large oval pit (possibly for water storage) and ditches cut to bring water to the site probably dated to c. 1050-1125, although activity may have continued on to c. 1200. Boundary ditches were identified on the eastern, western and southern sides of the site: the last of these was found to continue into the modern field immediately to the east of the excavation.

After to the site's general abandonment, the southern boundary ditch was retained while a smaller, parallel, ditch was added to the north. A low level of activity on the site appears to have begun around 1475-1500 but had ended by about 1775. Horticultural features excavated at the eastern end of the site were probably part of this later phase.

This assessment concludes that material relating to the late Anglo-Saxon (Phase 3.1) and early medieval (Phase 3.2) periods is considered worthy of further examination and that studies of the relevant stratigraphic evidence, ferrous residues, iron objects, Anglo-Saxon and early medieval ceramics, implements used in textile manufacture, animal bone, charred plant remains, marine mollusca and documentary records should be undertaken as, in combination, they have the potential to advance our understanding of both the site and the surrounding landscape.