

APPENDIX 6: ASSESSMENT OF GLASS

Jackie Keily

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Only two glass accessions came from archaeological work in Zone 2. A very small fragment was recovered from an environmental sample from ARC STP 99 and a modern fragment was recovered from ARC SSR 99.
- 1.2 The glass fragment from ARC STP 99 was recovered from a sample which had been taken for environmental purposes and had been wet sieved. The glass from ARC SSR 99 was recovered by hand excavation.
- 1.3 The glass has little to contribute to the fieldwork event aims.

Methodology

- 1.4 The glass was accessioned in accordance with the Museum of London system.
- 1.5 The records were entered onto the Oracle relational database.
- 1.6 The glass itself has not been sampled.

Quantifications

Table 21: Assessment of Glass from ARC STP 99

Context	Count	Type	Period	Comments (Description)
6	1	Vessel?	UN	<1> Small fragment recovered from an environmental sample

Table 22: Assessment of Glass from ARC SSR 99

Context	Count	Type	Period	Comments (Description)
35	1	Vessel	MO	<12> Small fragment of an opaque white glass vessel with moulded ridges. Modern (identification by John Shepherd).

Provenance

- 1.7 The glass from ARC STP 99 came from an environmental sample taken from a possible pit [6]. No other dating evidence is available for the context. The glass fragment from ARC SSR 99 came from [35], Group 18,114, the north half of an oven. This context also produced pottery dating to AD70-100 and the glass is, therefore, intrusive.

Conservation

- 1.8 The glass appears to be in a stable condition and no conservation treatment is required.
- 1.9 The glass is to be retained.

Comparative material

- 1.10 There is no requirement for comparative work.

Potential for further work

- 1.11 There is no potential for further work.

2. Bibliography

None

