

## APPENDIX 7: ASSESSMENT OF METALWORK

Jackie Keily

Conservation by Liz Barham

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Only one metal accession was recovered from ARC 330 98 in Zone 5, part of a handle for an iron tool or fitting, now in two fragments.
- 1.2 The artefact was recovered by means of metal detection.
- 1.3 It is not thought that the metal accession can assist any of the fieldwork event aims.

### Methodology

- 1.4 The metal find has been accessioned in accordance with the Museum of London system.
- 1.5 The records have been entered onto the Oracle relational database.
- 1.6 The metal work from this phase of work was not sampled.

### Quantifications

*Table 16: Assessment of Metalwork from ARC 330 98*

Context	Special Number	Material	Count	Period	Comments (Description)
CH 44+560	84	Iron	2	Post-medieval	Two fragments of a tool or fitting, with a loop handle

## **Provenance**

- 1.7 The iron was recovered from CH 44+560 and is therefore unstratified.

## **Conservation**

- 1.8 The metal artefact is stable and packed appropriately for long term archive storage. However, consideration should be given to discard for such an object.

## **Comparative material**

- 1.9 There is no scope for comparative work.

## **Potential for further work**

- 1.10 It is not thought that the metal find can assist with any of the Landscape Zone aims or the Fieldwork Event aims.
- 1.11 No further work is required on the metal find.

## **2. Bibliography**

None