

WJ


RHUM: MESOLITHIC AND LATER SITES AT KINLOCH

CR WICKHAM-JONES

To the people of Rhum,
past, present and future.



Frontispiece: A selection of lithic debris from the site at Kinloch illustrating the variety of raw material used.
(Photograph – I Larner)



RHUM

MESOLITHIC AND LATER SITES AT KINLOCH EXCAVATIONS 1984-86

CR WICKHAM-JONES

WITH

**A CLARKE B FINLAYSON K HIRONS D SUTHERLAND
AND P ZETTERLUND**

AND CONTRIBUTIONS BY

**S BUTLER G COOK D DAVIDSON A DUGMORE G DURANT
K EDWARDS D GRIFFITHS D JORDAN M KEMP S LEE
B MAHER S McCARTEN R McCULLAGH B MOFFAT
R PARISH AND OTHERS**


ILLUSTRATION

M O'NEIL AND J TERRY WITH A BRABY AND J HOLM

SOCIETY OF ANTIQUARIES OF SCOTLAND

EDINBURGH 1990

MONOGRAPH SERIES NUMBER 7



SOCIETY OF ANTIQUARIES
OF SCOTLAND

MONOGRAPH SERIES

EDITOR ALEXANDRA SHEPHERD

PREVIOUS VOLUMES

Number 1
CL CURLE
Pictish and Norse finds
from the Brough of Birsay
1934-74 (1982)
ISBN 0 903903 01 6

Number 2
JC MURRAY
Excavations in the Medieval
burgh of Aberdeen 1973-81
(1982)
ISBN 0 903903 02 4

Number 3
H FAIRHURST
Excavations at Crosskirk
Broch, Caithness (1984)
ISBN 0 903903 03 2

Number 4
JR HUNTER
Rescue excavations on the
Brough of Birsay 1974-82
(1986)
ISBN 0 903903 04 0

Number 5
P HOLDSWORTH
Excavations in the medieval
burgh of Perth 1979-1981
(1987)
ISBN 0 903903 05 9

Number 6
JA STONES (ED)
Three Scottish Carmelite
friaries: Excavations at
Aberdeen, Linlithgow and
Perth 1980-86
ISBN 0 903903 06 7

This volume is published with the aid of a generous grant from
the Historic Buildings and Monuments Directorate of the
Scottish Development Department.

The Society would also like to thank

The Mark Fitch Fund
The Nature Conservancy Council
and the Russell Trust

for grants towards publication

The frontispiece is published with the aid of a grant from
Highland Regional Council

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Wickham-Jones, C.R.

Rhum: mesolithic and later sites at Kinloch: excavations 1984-1986.

(Society of Antiquaries of Scotland monograph series.

ISSN 0263-3191; V.7).

1. Scotland. Highland Region. Rhum. Prehistoric antiquities.

Archaeological investigation

I. Title II. Series

936.1185

ISBN 0-903903-07-5

Produced by Alan Sutton Publishing Limited, Stroud, Glos.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	9
List of contributors to the volume	11
List of sponsors of the excavation project	13
List of illustrations	14
List of tables	17
Contents of the microfiche section	19
Introduction and notes to the volume	21
1 The island background and the discovery of the site	23
The physical and ecological background	23
The historical background	25
The discovery and potential of the site	26
2 The excavation: strategy and techniques	27
Introduction	27
The first season	28
The second season	29
The third season	31
The undersea survey S Butler	31
Artifact analysis: the on-site programme	33
Artifact analysis: the post-excavation programme	34
3 The excavation: results	36
The first season: testing the site	36
The mesolithic evidence	40
Later remains	42
Information from the ploughsoil	48
Preservation within the field	49
Excavation outside the field	50
4 The lithic assemblage: raw materials	51
The sources of raw materials	51
Raw material identification B Finlayson & G Durant	52
Discussion	56
5 The lithic assemblage: definitions and composition	57
Introduction	57
Definitions	57
Composition	60
Summary	63
6 The lithic assemblage: primary technology P Zetterlund	64
Introduction	64
Sampling the mesolithic material	64

Sampling the mixed mesolithic/neolithic material	78
Discussion	85
7 The lithic assemblage: secondary technology with S McCartan	87
Introduction	87
The modified tool types	87
Conclusions: secondary technology and the modification of artifacts	102
8 The lithic assemblage: use and deposition	103
Introduction	103
The formative process	104
Conclusions	116
9 Other small finds	117
9.1 Coarse stone tools A Clarke	117
9.2 Pottery M Kemp	126
9.3 Pumice A Clarke & A Dugmore	130
9.4 Bone A Clarke	131
10 The radiocarbon determinations G Cook & E Scott	132
Introduction	132
Discussion	132
11 The postglacial environment K Hiron	137
Introduction	137
Background	137
The vegetational and related environmental history of Rhum	139
The changing resource base of Rhum	142
12 Site formation processes D Sutherland	144
Introduction	144
The deposition of sediments underlying the site	144
Site modifications during the Flandrian	146
The differentiation of the features from the natural sediment	147
Conclusions	147
13 The use of bloodstone as a raw material for flaked stone tools in the west of Scotland A Clarke & D Griffiths	149
Other lithic scatters on Rhum	149
Sites off Rhum	152
Discussion	156
14 Kinloch: interpretation and context	157
Introduction	157
Kinloch in the mesolithic	157
Neolithic and later activity	164
Kinloch in the wider context	166
Reference list	172
Index	181

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As in any archaeological project of this type, this work is the result of the co-operation of many people and acknowledgement must be paid to all.

Those who volunteered to provide labour and expertise on site are too numerous to mention individually, but they worked long and hard, often under adverse weather conditions. All played an important role including the site assistants: A Barlow, P Bellamy, K Callander, M Kemp, VJ McLellan, and the assistant supervisors: N Sharples and D Pollock, as well as the cooks: M Braithwaite, B Ogilvy Wedderburn and L Sinclair. In addition, N Cartwright worked tirelessly to provide technical support. Together they provided the data for this volume.

Attention was drawn to the site by R McIvor of Rhum. He notified the field surveyors of the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historic Monuments of Scotland who contacted the author. The Nature Conservancy Council readily gave permission for the excavations, and NCC staff have provided much advice and expertise throughout the excavations. B Watt and M Grant provided transport to and from the island for an odd assortment of people and equipment. The inhabitants of Rhum put up with the archaeological intrusion with great patience and provided both encouragement and much help throughout all the seasons of fieldwork. In particular, thanks are due to L Johnston and C Eatough, the NCC wardens, and to R Jewell who put up with much disturbance to keep the equipment running and who spent many hours off-loading supplies, even on occasion ferrying an injured volunteer to the mainland. In addition, the Hon F Guinness and Dr T Clutton-Brock allowed access to sites within their research area, and J Love has readily shared his wide knowledge of the history of Rhum.

The work of post excavation analysis and writing up has been made much easier by the facilities of the Artifact Research Unit, provided by the Royal Museum of Scotland in Edinburgh. Especial acknowledgment must be paid to Dr DV Clarke who has overall responsibility for the Unit, and who has always been a stimulating critic of the project. The Unit housed many members of the project whilst they were engaged in the routine processing work, in particular R Blakemore, N Cameron, B Evans-Hughes, S McCartan, C McCartney, N Pawson and D Reed. Other specialists are all listed at the front of the volume and all are owed great thanks for their expertise. Thanks are also owed to I Larner and to D Moyes of the RMS who provided much photographic assistance. Facilities for the photocopying of material throughout the preparation of the report were kindly arranged with RCAHMS, through the offices of Mr J Dunbar and Miss K Cruft. B Finlayson and A MacSween helped with the final preparation of text. The work of editing a text such as this is not to be lightly undertaken and Mrs A Shepherd must be thanked for her help and encouragement to the author.

Finances and equipment were predominantly supplied by the Historic Buildings and Monuments (Scottish Development Department). From their staff, Dr N Fojut and Mr P Ashmore must be singled out for thanks as they both coordinated practical help and provided guidance throughout the project. Throughout the seasons of excavation, M Donaldson worked hard to ensure that all of the necessary equipment reached Rhum, even when last minute requests were forwarded. Further funding was provided by several sponsors, listed below. They must all be given thanks, for their help allowed the work of the project to be flexible. In this respect Mr G Wilkins, Ms A MacMillan, and the staff of both Glenfiddich and Tait & McLays must be especially thanked for advice and encouragement over the re-creation of the Rhum Brew.

Further afield, the project has benefited from the encouragement of the Highland Regional Archaeologist, R Gourlay. Computer facilities were procured with considerable help from

D Powlesland who adapted his own software and wrote further programs for the specific needs of the project. M Armour-Chelu examined the bone fragments. A Gibbard provided both encouragement and typing skills for the environmental analysis. Mr PR Ritchie kindly shared his knowledge of the use of bloodstone in prehistory. JR Kirby, C McLean, R Miket, P Musgrove, and S Watson all helped with the search for sites with bloodstone artifacts as did the staff of the Hunterian and Kelvingrove museums as well as those of the RMS. Dr A Livingstone identified the materials of the coarse stone tools. G Barclay, DV Clarke, A Foxon, AS Henshall and VJ McLellan commented on the pottery. C Page and N Jones commented upon the 'brew' interpretations. S Oxe, E Lunn, P Immirzi and R Beaver all helped with the underwater survey which was designed under the guidance of Dr NC Flemming. J Taffinder translated the lithic technological analysis from Swedish into English. Professor P Woodman has always provided great inspiration, and shared his interest in this little known period of Scottish prehistory.

Finally, the hard core who kept the author on the right track: Ann Clarke, John Terry and Jack Stevenson. Without their hard work and patient encouragement this volume would not exist.

CR Wickham-Jones
Edinburgh
June 1989

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS TO THE VOLUME

Sue Bellamy	Department of Geography, University of Hull – Palaeobotany.
Alan Braby	21 Woolmet Cresc, Edinburgh – Artist's impressions of the site.
Simon Butler	Department of Archaeology, University of Sheffield – Palaeobotany: undersea survey.
Ann Clarke	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Lithic analysis. – Coarse stone tool analysis. – The use of bloodstone on the west coast of Scotland.
Dr Gordon Cook	Scottish Universities Research and Reactor Centre, East Kilbride – Radiocarbon determinations.
Dr Donald Davidson	Department of Geography, University of Strathclyde – Soil sciences.
Dr Andrew Dugmore	Department of Geography, University of Edinburgh – Geochemical analysis of the pumice.
Dr Graham Durant	Hunterian Museum, Glasgow – Geology.
Dr Kevin Edwards	Department of Geography, University of Birmingham – Palaeobotany.
Bill Finlayson	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Lithic materials analysis.
Dr Daffydd Griffiths	Institute of Archaeology, London – Geology.
Dr Ken Hirons	Department of Geography, University of Birmingham – Palaeobotany and soil chemistry.
Jenny Holm	Department of Archaeology, University of Uppsala – Illustrations: lithic technology.
David Jordan	English Heritage, Savile Row, London – Soil Sciences.
Mary Kemp	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Pottery analysis.
Simon Lee	Whithorn Trust, Whithorn, Dumfries and Galloway – Soil phosphate survey. – Geophysical survey.
Dr Barbara Maher Sinéad McCartan	Department of Archaeology, University College, Cork – Lithic analysis.
Rod McCullagh	Archaeological Operations and Conservation, Historic Buildings and Monuments Directorate, Edinburgh – Macrobotanical analysis.
Dr Brian Moffat	SHARP, 36 Hawthornvale, Edinburgh – Palaeobotany. – Residue analysis.

Marion O'Neil	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Illustrations: artifacts.
Neil Oliver	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Lithic analysis.
Romola Parish	Department of Archaeology, University of Durham – Palaeobotany.
Anthony Pollard	Department of Archaeology, University of Glasgow – Documentary search.
Dave Pollock	Whithorn Trust, Whithorn, Dumfries and Galloway – Stratigraphical analysis.
Alan Radley	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – The results of wet sieving.
Dr Elaine Scott	Scottish Universities Research and Reactor Centre, East Kilbride – Radiocarbon determinations.
Dr Donald Sutherland	Placer Analysis, 2 London Street, Edinburgh – Geomorphology.
John Terry	Artifact Research Unit, Royal Museum of Scotland, Edinburgh – Stratigraphical analysis. – Illustrations: site plans, figures and tables.
Dr Dave Watson	British Geological Survey, Edinburgh – Geophysical survey.
Peter Zetterlund	Department of Archaeology, University of Uppsala – Lithic technological analysis.

NB: With the exception of the main author, the work of individual contributors is denoted at the head of the relevant piece of text.

SPONSORS OF THE EXCAVATION PROJECT

Historic Buildings and Monuments (Scottish Development Department)
Royal Museum of Scotland
Nature Conservancy Council
Russell Trust
Robert Kiln Charitable Trust
Society of Antiquaries of Scotland
William Grant & Sons Ltd
Lloyds Bank Fund for Independent Archaeologists
The Jubilee Trust
Highland Regional Council
Holman, Foxcroft & Jackson
Lochaber Local History Society
Fearann Eilean Iarmain
George Morton Ltd
Savacentre (Edinburgh)

ILLUSTRATIONS

Frontispiece: A selection of the debris from the site at Kinloch illustrating the variety of raw material used.

1	Location maps	22
2	Rhum: a Geology b Soils c Vegetation	24
3	Kinloch from the N: the excavation site lies in the foreground	28
4	Location of the sample quadrats and of the excavation trenches	29
5	The sampling of the ploughsoil: location of the sample quadrats and lithic density	30
6	Loch Scresort: the morphology of the sea bed	32
7	Trench AC: excavated features	37
8	Trench AE: excavated features	37
9	Trench AJ: excavated features	38
10	Trench AD: excavated features	39
11	Trench AD: the phasing of pits AD 1 – AD 6	40
12	Trenches AG, BA, BB and BC: excavated features; key to plans and sections	40
13	Slot 1 from the E	41
14	The characteristic profile of a stakehole	42
15	The watercourse: section X-Y	42
16	The watercourse: excavated features	43
17	Brushwood deposits in the watercourse from the N	44
18	Trench AD: features AD 6 – AD 7	44
19	Trench AH: the density of lithic material in the ploughsoil	45
20	Trench AJ: the density of lithic material in the ploughsoil	45
21	Trench BA: the density of lithic material in the ploughsoil	46
22	Trench BA: the density of lithic material in the cleaning layer	47
23	The conditions of preservation across the site	48
24	The relationship between the profile of a pit and the degree of truncation	49
25	The lithic assemblage from the ploughsoil sample: wet-sieved quadrats by type and material	55
26	The lithic assemblage: cores: platform cores	59
27	Platform core of agate; scale 2:1	60
28	The lithic assemblage: cores: bipolar and disc cores	61
29	The lithic assemblage: blades	62
30	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: bulb types	65
31	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: flakes	66
32	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: platform cores	67
33	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: flakes and blades	68
34	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: flakes and blades	69
35	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: cores and flakes	70
36	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: artifact types by material	71
37	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: blade types	72

38	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: blades	73
39	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: narrow blades and chips	74
40	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: flakes	75
41	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic sample: complete inner flakes, length/width ratios	76
42	Comparative lithic reduction strategies	77
43	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: bulb types	78
44	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: cores	79
45	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: artifact types by material	80
46	The lithic assemblage: samples used for technological analysis by material	81
47	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: cores by material	81
48	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: blade types	82
49	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: blade types by area	82
50	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: flakes	83
51	The lithic assemblage: mesolithic/neolithic sample: complete flakes; length/width ratios	84
52	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: by type, material and dimensions	88
53	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: by type, material and dimensions	89
54	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: simple scrapers	90
55	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: simple (flake) scrapers	91
56	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: angled and concave scrapers	92
57	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: simple and complex edge-retouched artifacts	94
58	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: borers and burins	95
59	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: invasive flaked points and gunflint	96
60	The lithic assemblage: assorted microliths; scale 2:1	97
61	The lithic assemblage: microlith types	98
62	The lithic assemblage: microlith types	99
63	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: microliths	100
64	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts: microliths	101
65	The distribution of lithic artifacts across the site	106
66	The distribution of modified artifacts across the site	107
67	Artifact distribution across the site: dominant microliths	109
68	Trench BA: features	110
69	Trench BA: distribution of the total lithic assemblage	111
70	Trench BA: the distribution of knapping debris	111
71	Trench BA: the distribution of regular flakes	112
72	Trench BA: the distribution of cores	112
73	Trench BA: the distribution of blades	113
74	Trench BA: the distribution of modified artifacts	113
75	Trench BA: the distribution of debris/cores	114
76	Trench BA: the distribution of blades/cores	114
77	Coarse stone tools: terminology	117
78	Coarse stone tools: modified artifacts	119
79	Hammerstone: close up of use wear; scale 1:1	120
80	Coarse stone tools: hammerstones	121
81	Coarse stone tools: bevelled pebbles	122
82	Coarse stone tools: dimensions	123
83	Pit AD 5: cache of coarse stone tools and unused cobbles from the W	124

84	Coarse stone tools from pit including flat sided cobble, rounded hammerstone, ground edge flake and bevelled pebble; scale 1:2	125
85	Trench BA: the distribution of coarse stone tools in the ploughsoil	125
86	Pottery	127
87	Pottery	128
88	Grooved pumice showing refit	130
89	Location map of environmental sampling sites	138
90	RH 1: peat growth in relation to dated deposits on site	139
91	RH 1: pollen count [percentage diagram] <i>facing</i>	140
92	RH 1: loss on ignition; microscopic charcoal concentration, percentage data, pollen concentration and accumulation data <i>facing</i>	141
93	Rhum: location of Bloodstone Hill and other lithic scatters	149
94	The location of the areas of geological investigation and of archaeological sites with bloodstone artifacts	151
95	Bloodstone Hill from the N	156
96	Trench BA: interpreted locations of arcs of stakeholes	158
97	The stake-hole evidence: one possible reconstruction of a structure drawn from Inuit variations	159
98	Artist's impressions of the site during occupation with two possible reconstructions (a: tent b: windbreak/shelter) of the structures in use.	160
99	Fragments of hazelnut shell; scale 2:1	162
100	Kinloch: work in progress on site	170
101 mf	Rhum: location of Bloodstone Hill and lithic scatters	1: E7
102 mf	The use of selected elements to distinguish between flint and chalcedony	1: F6
103 mf	Kinloch Glen core (K): pollen percentage diagram	2: A5-A6
104 mf	Location of environmental sampling sites	2: B14
105 mf	a. Plan showing transect lines at the sites of cores RH 1 and RH 2 b. Section through the transect lines showing peat depths	2: C5
106 mf	RH 1: environmental data	2: C9-C10
107 mf	RH 1: time depth curve for the growth of peat	2: C14
108 mf	RH 1: percentage pollen diagram	2: D7-D10
109 mf	The location of sampling points for chemical and phosphate analysis across the site	2: E2
110 mf	Chemical analysis across the site: frequency distributions for phosphate determinations	2: E12
111 mf	The watercourse monolith: pollen count [percentage diagram]	2: G3-G4
112 mf	The watercourse monolith: stratigraphic column and sediment characterisation data	2: G6
113 mf	Trench BA: surface magnetic susceptibility survey	3: A14
114 mf	Orientation of stones	3: B11
115 mf	The excavation site: schematic section of underlying soils	3: C3
116 mf	Trench BA: location of sampling sites for total phosphate analysis	3: E2
117 mf	Rhum: location of geomorphological work carried out in 1985	3: E12
118 mf	Kinloch Glen: geomorphological map	3: F4
119 mf	Harris Bay: geomorphological map	3: F7
120 mf	Trench AJ: clast roundness	3: F10
121 mf	Trench AJ: matrix particle size distribution	3: F13
122 mf	Guirdil Bay and Bloodstone Hill: geomorphological map	3: F13

TABLES

1	Ploughsoil sample: the lithic assemblage.	37
2	The total lithic assemblage: composition by type and material.	60
3	The lithic assemblage: core lengths at discard.	60
4	The lithic assemblage: modified artifacts, non-microlithic types.	63
5	The lithic assemblage: microlithic artifact types.	63
6	Trench AD, mesolithic sample: lithic artifacts used for technological analysis.	65
7	Trench AD, mesolithic/neolithic sample: lithic artifacts used for technological analysis.	78
8	Trench AD, mesolithic/neolithic sample: lithic artifact types by area.	85
9	Recovery techniques: a comparison of the different recovery rates by lithic artifact type.	103
10	The bias factors for hand collection at Kinloch.	104
11	The distribution of lithic artifacts across the site.	105
12	The modified lithic assemblage: composition of non-microlithic artifact types by area.	108
13	The microlithic assemblage: composition of the assemblage by area.	115
14	Pits AD 1 – 6 & BA 4 – 9: lithic contents.	115
15	Coarse stone tools: the definition of types.	118
16	Coarse stone tool types: materials.	118
17	The location of the pottery by fabric type.	126
18	The pottery: sherd form by fabric type.	129
19	The pollen count from pot residues.	129
20	The location of the pieces of pumice.	131
21	The location of preserved bone.	131
22	The radiocarbon determinations: Kinloch. Rhum series in chronological order.	133
23	The radiocarbon determinations: samples of mesolithic origin.	135
24	The radiocarbon determinations: calibration of ages using the 20 year atmospheric record from the University of Washington.	135
25	A typical soil profile.	146
26	Rhum, lithic scatters: material composition of the lithic assemblages across the island.	150
27	Trench AN: composition of the lithic assemblage.	150
28	The use of bloodstone in prehistory, sites off the island of Rhum: site type and period.	153
29	The use of bloodstone in prehistory, sites off the island of Rhum: raw material types.	155
30 mf	Kinloch: context and finds concordance.	1: A4–B10
31 mf	The illustration of the artifact assemblage: context and finds record numbers of the artifacts illustrated.	1: C1–C5
32 mf	The interpreted context numbers: concordance of excavated layers.	1: C6–C7
33 mf	The on-site artifact catalogue: fields, attributes, and code numbers.	1: C8–C9
34 mf	The on-site artifact catalogue: relationship of type, sub-type and classification.	1: C10

35 mf	Detailed lithic analysis, the extract catalogue: fields, attributes, and code numbers.	1: D1–D7
36 mf	The pottery catalogue.	1: D8–D13
37 mf	The coarse stone tool catalogue	1: E1–E5
38 mf	Samples used for the analysis of the differentiation between flint and chalcedony.	1: E13
39 mf	Chemical analysis of silica minerals from Rhum and of flint from Antrim.	1: F7
40 mf	The lithic raw materials: list of experiments used for identification and surface alteration analysis.	1: G1–G9
41 mf	Lithic raw materials: attributes used to differentiate between materials.	1: G10
42 mf	Lithic raw materials: classification.	1: G11
43 mf	Trench AM: stratigraphy at the sampling point.	2: B12
44 mf	Trench AM: pollen and charcoal counts.	2: B13
45 mf	RH 3: pollen counts.	2: C3
46 mf	RH 1: core stratigraphy.	2: C7
47 mf	RH 1: radiocarbon determinations.	2: D1
48 mf	Description of the sampling points used for chemical analysis across the excavation site.	2: E5
49 mf	Chemical analysis across the excavation site: total phosphate and organic matter determinations.	2: E7
50 mf	Chemical analysis across the excavation site: total phosphate determinations, estimate of variability (ppm P).	2: E9
51 mf	Chemical analysis across the excavation site: total organic matter determinations, estimate of variability (%om).	2: E9
52 mf	Chemical analysis across the excavation site: results of trace element analysis (ppm).	2: E14
53 mf	Environmental samples from the excavation site: pollen counts.	2: F11–F14
54 mf	Soil profile in soil pit 1.	3: B5
55 mf	Soil profile in soil pit 2.	3: B5
56 mf	Soil profile in soil pit 3.	3: B7
57 mf	Soil profile from sample quadrat 080/856.	3: B7
58 mf	Roundness and flatness characteristics of stones in natural matrix of Trench AC, and from the present day beach at the head of Loch Scresort.	3: B9
59 mf	Characteristic soil profiles: a. lower gravels; b. till.	3: C7
60 mf	Kinloch Glen: soil analysis.	3: C13
61 mf	Guirdil raised beach: soil analysis.	3: C14
62 mf	Kilmory raised beach: soil analysis.	3: D1
63 mf	Excavation site: soil analysis.	3: D2
64 mf	Comparison of stones from the archaeological features and the natural site matrix: sphericity and maximum length.	3: D11
65 mf	Total phosphate analysis: replication of the 1985 samples.	3: E6
66 mf	Total phosphate analysis: 1986 features, results.	3: E7
67 mf	Total phosphate analysis: grid samples from Trench BA. 1986; results.	3: E8
68 mf	Trench AJ: stratigraphy.	3: F10
69 mf	Pumice samples: major element abundances, determined by XRF.	3: G9
70 mf	Pumice samples: trace element abundances, determined by XRF (ppm)	3: G10
71 mf	Pumice samples: composition of samples B and C.	3: G10

MICROFICHE SECTION: CONTENTS

1: A4-B10	The context and finds concordance		Table 30
1: C1-C5	The illustration of the artifact assemblage: context and finds record numbers of the artifacts illustrated		Table 31
1: C6-C7	The interpreted context numbers: record concordance		Table 32
1: C8-C9	The on-site artifact catalogue: fields, attributes and code numbers		Table 33
1: C10	The on-site artifact catalogue: relationship of type, sub-type and classification		Table 34
1: D1-D7	The detailed lithic analysis: the extract catalogue – fields, attributes and codes		Table 35
1: D8-D13	The pottery catalogue	Kemp	Table 36
1: E1-E5	The coarse stone tool catalogue	Clarke a	Table 37
1: E6-E9	Other lithic scatters on Rhum: catalogue	Clarke b	
1: E10-F7	The availability of Chalcedonic Silica on Rhum	Durant	
1: F8-F13	Raw material provenance survey	Griffiths	
1: G1-G9	Raw materials: identification and surface alteration experiments		
1: G10	Raw materials: attributes for differentiation	Finlayson a	Table 40
1: G11	Raw materials: classification system	Finlayson b	Table 41
2: A3-B8	A palynological analysis of a peat core from the Kinloch Glen (site K)	Finlayson c	Table 42
2: B9-C3	Environmental analyses of samples from Trench AM and a blanket peat remnant (RH 3)	Parish	
2: C4-D13	Pollen and charcoal analyses from the Farm Fields Site (RH 1)	Edwards & Hiron	
2: E1-E14	Chemical analysis of soil samples from the Farm Fields excavation	Hiron & Edwards a	
2: F1-G12	Report on pollen and ancillary analyses in support of the excavations at Kinloch	Hiron & Edwards b	
3: A3-A11	The analysis of wood samples from the excavation site	Moffat	
3: A12-B2	Kinloch, Rhum: geomagnetic surveys	McCullagh	
3: B3-C1	Soils and geomorphology	Maher & Watson	
3: C2-D2	Kinloch, Rhum: soils and sediments encountered during excavation	Davidson	
3: D3-D7	Kinloch, Rhum: a report on the thin sections of soils sampled during excavation	Jordan a	
3: D8-D14	Kinloch, Rhum: a report on the statistics of stones from archaeological contexts	Jordan b	
3: E1-E10	Kinloch, Rhum: total phosphate analysis of soils	Jordan c	
3: E11-G6	Report on geomorphological investigations carried out in support of the excavations	Lee	
		Sutherland	

- | | | |
|------------|---|--------------|
| 3: G7–G10 | Pumice on Rhum: geochemical analyses and interpretation | Dugmore |
| 3: G11–G14 | The radiocarbon determinations: procedural resume | Cook & Scott |
-

The site archive is held at the National Monuments Record of Scotland, 54 Melville Street, Edinburgh, where it may be consulted on application.