

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Showing the main taphonomic processes explored in this project and the categories into which they might be placed.....	26
Table 6.1	Summary of the correlation between directly measured density and non- invasive techniques	117
Table 7.1	Showing the number of animals in the experimental material from each breed	129
Table 7.2	Showing the number of animals in the experimental material that were defleshed by each of the preparation methods....	130
Table 7.3	Showing the number of animals in the experimental material that died in each month of the year	131
Table 7.4	Showing the attributes for the Shetland sheep from within the experimental material	132
Table 7.5	Showing the attributes for the Soay sheep from within the experimental material	133
Table 7.6	Showing the attributes of the sheep of “other” breeds from within the experimental material	133
Table 7.7	Showing the radiographically derived density values and the base line density values for a set of elements	144
Table 7.8	Showing the day and time each measurement set used in the intra-observer error test was taken.....	146
Table 7.9	Showing the density values produced from the same skeleton on four different occasions.....	147
Table 7.10	Showing the bone density of three scan-sites of the same pig femur containing various amounts of organic material.....	154
Table 7.11	Showing how the bone density measurements produced by this project will alter according to the location of the object on the radiographic film.....	160
Table 8.1	Showing the differences between bone densities of two hypothetical animals	174

Table 8.2	Showing all of the main attributes and background information for the 21 animals that were compared in order to establish the background variation.....	176
Table 8.3	Showing the differences in density for each of the matched pairs (where all of the main attributes for each pair are matched) ...	179
Table 8.4	Showing all of the main attributes and the background information of the 9 animals that were compared in order to establish the density variation attributable to preparation method...	183
Table 8.5	Showing the differences in density for each of the matched pairs (where all of the main attributes for each pair were matched, except preparation method)	185
Table 8.6	Showing all of the main attributes and the background information of the 16 animals that were compared in order to establish the density variation attributable to breed	191
Table 8.7	Showing the differences in density for each of the matched pairs (where all of the main attributes for each pair were matched, except breed).....	194
Table 8.8	Showing all of the main attributes and the background information of the 19 animals that were compared in order to establish the density variation attributable to sex.....	198
Table 8.9	Showing the differences in density for each of the matched pairs (where all of the main attributes for each pair were matched, except sex)	201
Table 8.10	Showing all of the main attributes and the background information of the 21 animals that were compared in order to establish the density variation attributable to age.....	205
Table 9.1	Showing the average ages of the fused and unfused examples of the scan-sites described in figure 9.7	229
Table 9.2	Showing the hypothetical density data from which figure 9.9 was produced	232
Table 9.3	Showing the four fusion groups used in this project	234
Table 9.4	Showing the number of bone fragments identified to various levels for both the whole site and the priority contexts only.....	244
Table 9.5	Showing the number of sheep, goat and roe deer DZs recovered from Çatalhöyük	246
Table 9.6	Summarising the proposed archaeological model.....	249
Table 9.7	Describing the internal and external contexts in terms of their groupings for analysis.....	250
Table 9.8	Showing the percentage of bone fragments that showed signs of digestion for both the internal and external assemblages.....	261

Table 9.9	Showing the percentage of bone fragments that showed signs of butchery for both the internal and external assemblages	262
Table 9.10	Showing the numbers of carpals and tarsals in each of the five categories of completeness, for both the internal and external assemblages	266
Table 9.11	Showing the total numbers of bone fragments recovered from Çatalhöyük and how only a small proportion of these were suitable for fusion-based age analysis	274