ALSF: Where Rivers Meet Geophysical Survey at Catholme Phase II Investigations.

Submitted By: Meg Watters

University of Birmingham Institute of Archaeology and Antiquity

Table of Contents

Table of Cont	ents	1
Table of Figu	res	3
1.0 Repor	t Contents	8
2.0 Projec	t Summary	9
3.0 Review	w of Past Geophysical work at Catholme	13
	y Literature	
3.2 AL	SF WRM Phase I Investigations	14
3.2.1	Phase I Geophysical Survey Goals and Methodology	14
3.2.2	Comparison to Grey Literature	16
3.3.3	Phase I Conclusions	17
4.0 Phase	II Geophysical Survey at Catholme	21
4.1 Site	Selection and Justification	
4.1.1	Area A1	
4.1.2	Area A2	23
4.1.3	Area B1	25
4.1.4	Area B2	26
4.1.5	Area F1	26
4.1.6	Area F2	27
4.2 Geo	ophysical Survey Area Mapping and Data Collection	28
4.2.1	Magnetometry Data Collection	28
4.2.2	Magnetic Susceptibility	29
4.2.3	2m x 5m Sub-area Magnetic Susceptibility	29
4.2.4	Resistivity Data Collection	
4.2.5	2m x 5m Sub-area Resistivity Data Collection	30
4.2.6	GPR Data Collection	
4.2.7	2m x 5m Sub-area Dielectric Permittivity Data Collection	31
4.3 Geo	ophysical Techniques and Methodology	
4.3.1	Magnetometry	
4.3.2	Magnetic Susceptibility	
4.3.3	Resistivity	
4.3.4	GPR	35
4.4 Geo	ophysical Data Processing and GIS Integration	38
4.4.1	Magnetic Data Processing	38
4.4.2	Resistivity Data Processing	38
4.4.3	GPR Data Processing	38
4.4.4	GIS Data Integration	
4.5 Geo	ophysical Data Interpretation and Classification	40
4.5.1	General observations	
5.0 Geoph	nysical Survey Results	42
5.1 Are	a A1	43
5.1.1	Magnetometry Survey Results	43
5.1.2	Magnetic Susceptibility Results	
5.1.3	Resistivity Survey Results	
5.1.4	GPR Survey Results	
5.1.5	A1 2m x 5m Sub-area	
5.2 Are	a A2	
5.2.1	Magnetometry Survey Results	
5.2.2	Magnetic Susceptibility Results	

5.2	.3 Resistivity Survey Results	67
5.2	.4 GPR Survey Results	73
5.2	.5 A2 2m x 5m Sub-area	79
5.3	Area B2	82
5.3	.1 Magnetometry Survey Results	82
5.3	.2 Magnetic Susceptibility Results	86
5.3	.3 Resistivity Survey Results	87
5.3	.4 GPR Survey Results	90
5.3	.5 B2 2m x 5m Sub-area	97
5.4	Area F1	100
5.4	.1 Magnetometry Survey Results	100
5.4	.2 Magnetic susceptibility Results	102
5.4	.3 Resistivity Survey Results	103
5.4	.4 GPR Survey Results	107
5.4	.5 F1 2m x 5m Sub-area	111
6.0 (Conclusions and Recommendations	114
6.1	Comments	114
6.1	.1 Geophysical Survey	114
6.1	.2 Resistivity Profiling	115
6.2	Recommendations	115
6.2	.1 Continuation of the WRM geophysical survey project	115
6.2		
6.2	.3 Data Fusion, Modelling and Visualisation	117
7.0	Bibliography	122

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Oblique aerial photo with the 'Sunburst' and 'Woodhenge' monuments and
pit-alignment cropmarks9
Figure 2. WRM Full Area10
Figure 3. Geophysical survey focus area of the WRM project11
Figure 4. WRM GIS: Magnetic survey results and interpretations are overlain on
rectified aerial photograph showing the 'Woodhenge' cropmark12
Figure 5. Previous geophysical survey (purple areas) and excavation (blue lines) in the Catholme Ceremonial Complex area
<u>.</u>
Figure 7. Po digitized groupsels features in blue
Figure 7. Re-digitised cropmark features in blue
(c. and d.) monuments
Figure 9. Comparison of magnetic survey results for the 'Sunburst' (a. and b.) and
large ring ditch monuments (c. and d.).
Figure 10. Phase I geophysical survey interpretations for the 'Woodhenge' monument
GPR (green), resistance (pink) and magnetic (blue)
Figure 11. Re-assessment of the correct positioning of the cropmark data positions
the 'Wood henge' feature over a probable geological resistance anomaly19
Figure 12. Phase II WRM geophysical survey areas as red blocks22
Figure 13. Phase II Field A area locations. A1 is in the north and A2 in the south23
Figure 14. Phase II survey area selected to investigate the 'Woodhenge' feature in
Field A24
Figure 15. Rectified oblique aerial photo with 'Woodhenge' cropmark, Phase I
geophysical interpretations and overlain operating cropmark GIS layer24
Figure 16. A2 positioned over the north-west section of the 'Woodhenge' cropmark.
Figure 17. Phase II survey areas in field B
Figure 18. Field F area positions focused on anomalies defined through Phase I
geophysical investigations
Figure 19. G858 Cesium Vapor magnetometer (right) in vertical gradient mode and
the FM256 Fluxgate Gradiometer (left)28
Figure 20. Magnetic Susceptibility data were collected with the Bartington MS2
susceptibility meter29
Figure 21. RM15 resistivity meter configured to collect readings at multiple depths
across the site. The second image illustrates the basic premise behind this multi-
plexing technique30
Figure 22. Data were collected using the RM15 resistivity meter on a custom-
designed square array. Three data samples were collected at each station: α , β
and γ .
Figure 23. The SIR3000 GPR unit was used with a shielded 400 MHz antenna 31
Figure 24. The Adek Pyrometer v.6 was used to collect dielectric permittivity data in
the 2m x 5m sub-areas.
Figure 25. Resistivity data samples a volume of earth dependent upon probe
separation distance.
Figure 26. Orthogonal grid display.
Figure 27. Vertical profiles can be stacked together to create a 3D cube of information
for use in data imaging and analysis
J J

Figure 28. GPR data can be displayed as 2D vertical profiles (greyscale at the bottom	
of the figure), or sliced along the x, y, and z axes	.37
Figure 29. Greyscale division for magnetic interpretation labeling.	.40
Figure 30. A1 magnetic surface survey data (top left) with interpretations (top right	t)
and overlain excavation plan.	.43
Figure 31. A1 magnetic gravel survey data (top left) with interpretations (top right))
and overlain excavation plan.	.44
Figure 32. A1 surface magnetic susceptibility results (left) with overlain excavation	
plan	
Figure 33. A1 gravel magnetic susceptibility results (left) with interpretations (righ	ıt)
and overlain excavation plan (bottom).	
Figure 34. Resistivity survey data from 0.25m depth (left) with overlain	
interpretations (right).	.47
Figure 35. Resistivity survey data from 0.50m depth (left) with overlain excavation	
plan (right).	
Figure 36. A1 gravel resistivity survey results at 0.25m (left) with overlain	,
excavation plan (right).	48
Figure 37. A1 gravel survey at 0.25m with data interpretations that map the same	. 10
details as the excavation plans.	49
Figure 38. A1 resistivity at 0.50m depth (left) with interpretations (right)	
Figure 39. A1 resistivity gravel survey at 0.5m (right) with overlain excavation plants.	
(left). The red arrows identify anomalies that do not correspond directly to the	
plan	
Figure 40. Two examples of pits from area A1's pit-alignment. The sketch defines	
F212, the pit one the eastern edge of the area	
Figure 41. A1 resistivity from the gravel survey at 0.75m depth (right) highlighting	
possible pit structural responses to resistivity survey (right)	
Figure 42. Image a. represents demonstrates the vertical component of the multi-	
plexed resistivity data. The three plots of measured resistivity in b. present a	
single pseudosection inversion. The data represented here are a profile across	
the pit-alignment (red line in a.).	52
Figure 43. Comparison of apparent resistivity plan to graph of raw resistivity	
	.53
Figure 44. Resistivity inversion graph after three iterations with apparent resistivity	
plan	
Figure 45. A1 GPR profile over the pit-alignment showing the changes in velocity	
the radar wave due to saturated pit fill	
Figure 46. GPR plan views and vertical profiles detailing the pits of the pit-alignment	
and potential interpretation pitfalls. A. are the pit anomalies in the GPR plan	
views and B. shows the depth of the plan view at the position of the yellow line	e.
Figure 47. The ground coupling zone at the top of the GPR record measures	
approximately one wavelet.	56
Figure 48. Time zero position for GPR trace	
Figure 49. Adjusted time 0 setting to include the direct wave amplitude	
Figure 50. A1 GPR slice at time zero. The red line in the top image designates the	
position of the vertical profile on the bottom	
Figure 51. GPR plan map showing pit-alignment anomalies (blue) in A1	
Figure 52. GPR profile across pit-alignment in A1. A is a processed profile and B	
the same profile with preliminary interpretations	
are same prome with premimary interpretations	

Figure 53. A1 2m x 5m magnetic susceptibility survey results
Figure 54. A1 2m x 5m sub-area resistivity survey results
Figure 55. A1 2m x 5m sub-area dielectric permittivity survey results
Figure 56. A2 surface magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right)
and overlain excavation plan (bottom)63
Figure 57. A2 gravel magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right) and
overlain excavation plan (bottom)
Figure 58. A2 gravel FM256 magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top
right) and overlain excavation plan (bottom)
Figure 59. A2 surface magnetic susceptibility results (left) with interpretations (right)
and overlain excavation plan (bottom)
Figure 60. Area A2 resistivity survey map at 0.25m depth (left) with overlain
highlighted anomalies (right) and excavation plan (bottom)67
Figure 61. Area A2 resistivity (left) with identifications (right) and overlain
excavation plan (bottom). Notice the high percentage of post-pits that have been
mapped (circled in red).
Figure 62. A2 resistivity gravel survey data at 0.50m (left) with interpretations
(right). Note the lack of distinct post-pit anomalies70
Figure 63. A2 resistivity gravel survey at 0.75m (left) with interpretations (right)70
Figure 64. A2 resistivity gravel survey at 0.75m with interpretations and excavation
plan
Figure 65. A2 resistivity gravel survey at 1m depth (left) with interpreted anomalies
(right) and overlain excavation plan (bottom)72
Figure 66. A2 GPR plan map at 0.75m (left) with interpretations (right)73
Figure 67. A2 GPR anomaly interpretation with overlain blue arcs at possible post-pit
alignment (left). The image on the left shows the excavation plan mapping the position of post-pits
Figure 68. A2 GPR natural subsoil plan map at the ground coupling position75
Figure 69 A2 GPR natural subsoil at 0.55m depth (left) with interpretations (right)
overlain excavation plan (bottom).
Figure 70. A2 GPR profile across post-pit features
Figure 71. A2 GPR profile across post-pit features with yellow crosshair identifying
position of post-pit in the plan map
Figure 72. A2 GPR plan map at approximately 0.65m depth
Figure 73. A2 2m x 5m sub-area magnetic susceptibility survey results
Figure 74. A2 2m x 5m sub-area resistivity survey results
Figure 75. A2 2m x 5m sub-area dielectric permittivity survey results
Figure 76. B2 surface magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right)
and overlain excavation plan (bottom left)
Figure 77. B2 gravel magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right) and
overlain excavation plan (bottom)
Figure 78. B2 gravel FM256 magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top
right) and overlain excavation plan (bottom)85
Figure 79. B2 surface magnetic susceptibility results (left) with overlain excavation
plan (right)86
Figure 80. B2 gravel magnetic susceptibility results (left) with interpretations (right)
and overlain excavation plan (bottom)
Figure 81. B2 resistivity surface survey (left) with interpretations (right) and overlain
excavation plan (bottom)88
Figure 82. B2 resistivity gravel survey at 0.25m (left) with interpretations (right)89

	B2 resistivity gravel survey at 0.25m with interpretations and overlain	
	ation plan	
Figure 84.	B2 surface GPR plan views showing the appearance of the ring ditch9	0
Figure 85.	GPR surface survey plan map at 0.57m (left) with interpretations (right)	
and ex	cavation plan (bottom)9	1
Figure 86.	B2 'Sunburst' Monument. GPR record from the ground coupling signal	
-	with interpreted archaeological and geological features (right)99	2
	B2 'Sunburst' Monument ring ditch in GPR data99	
-	B2 'Sunburst' monument GPR data at 0.4m depth showing distinct ring	
_	property contrasts with background material (red arrows) and the lack of	
-	st and ditch definition (yellow arrows)9	3
	B2 GPR image of profile through ring ditch. A and C are the ring ditch	
	and B is one of the plough furrows (green) passing through the ring ditch.	
(818.6)	9	
Figure 90	B2 GPR profile through archaeological features: A - pit, B- plough	•
_	7, C- burial, D- pit with <i>in situ</i> burning, E- ring ditch9	5
	B2 2m x 5m magnetic susceptibility survey results	
_	A1 2m x 5m resistivity survey results	
-	B2 2m x 5m dielectric permittivity survey results.	
_	• • •	フ
-	F1 surface magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right)	Λ
	verlain excavation plan (bottom)	
-	F1 gravel magnetometry map (top left) with interpretations (top right) and	
	in excavation plan (bottom)	1
_	F1 surface magnetic susceptibility results (left) with overlain excavation right)	2
Figure 97.	F1 gravel magnetic susceptibility results (left) with overlain interpretation	ıs
and ex	cavation plan (right)10	2
Figure 98.	F1 resistivity map at 0.25m depth (left) with interpretations (right)10	3
_	F1 resistivity map at 0.50m depth (left) with interpretations (right)10	
_	F1 resistivity survey at 0.50m depth with overlain interpretations and	
_	ation plan	4
	F1 resistivity gravel survey at 0.50m (left) with interpretations (right).	
118010 101		5
Figure 102.	F1 resistivity gravel survey at 0.75m depth (left) with interpretations	_
-		6
	F1 resistivity gravel survey at 0.75m depth with interpretations and	·
-	ation plan	6
	Ground coupling GPR map of gravel survey surface F1 over the Large	O
	Ditch with extensions. The map on the right has the excavation features	
	ed that correspond with the GPR information	Q
	GPR interpretations from the surface of the GPR gravel survey area F1.	O
_	•	O
	E1 around CDD date at 0.6m depth (left) with available interpretations	ð
	F1 gravel GPR data at 0.6m depth (left) with overlain interpretations	^
` U /		9
	F1 ring ditch feature defined by high amplitude reflection at	
	ximately 0.90m depth (left). The image to the right highlights in yellow	
	sponse of the GPR to archaeological features at 0.90m depth, this anomaly	
	rlain on previous GPR interpretations	
	F1 2m x 5m magnetic susceptibility survey results	
Figure 109.	F1 2m x 5m resistivity survey results	2

Figure 110. F1 2m x 5m dielectric permittivity survey results	113
Figure 111. Traditional GPR profiles (a.), fence diagram (b.) and 3D cube w	ith time
slices (c.)	118
Figure 112. Resistivity plan maps (a.) and inverted pseudosections (b.)	119
Figure 113. 3D visualised GPR and resistivity data from A1	120

1.0 Report Contents

This report presents the results of Phase II of geophysical survey undertaken by Birmingham Archeology at Catholme in Staffordshire. The survey was conducted as part of the Where Rivers Meet: Landscape, Ritual, Settlement and the Archaeology of River Gravels project (hereafter WRM) and was funded through the Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund by English Heritage.