# Oxford P16820 Radiocarbon OxA-X-2134-45 (NRC) Research Laboratory for Archaeology 6 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3QJ, England Tel: ++44-(0) 1865-273939 % mod 2.1 ± 0.1 (= 30 980 ± 220) QAP 01/03 Issue 2 13/12/1999 δ¹³C=-24.4 per mil (humic acid) Acknowledged

# SAMPLE SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide as much information as possible for each sample submitted. It will greatly help us in publishing dates rapidly if we have the full information required for publication.

If you are submitting a series of samples, there is no need to write in repeat information for each one, but please do not overlook specific stratigraphic details (pages 2 & 3).

Suggested name for sample series: EFCHED North East Black Sea Project

Your reference no: EFD4C420

Name and location of site: Kabazi V, western Crimea

Country: Ukraine

Latitute: 44° 50.228' N Longitude: 34° 01.979'E (Greenwich meridian)

Grid reference (specify grid):

Type of material: burnt bone

Any specific identification (please indicate as precisely as possible): Indeterminate

Family: Genus: Species:

For bone, type (e.g. femur): too fragmentary to determine

Collector's name: R. A. Housley Date of excavation: 17 August 2004

Sender's name: Dr R A Housley Sender's signature:

Address:

Department of Archaeology, University of Glasgow, Gregory Building, Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8QQ

Tel: 0141 330 6873

email: Submission date: April 2005

r.housley@archaeology.gla.ac.uk

s the sample primarily:						
archaeological		geological		other		
Was the sample (a)	sealed	in a recognisable he	orizon			
	(b)	sealed in a localised feature, e.g. grave or pit				
	(c)	other				
Is this information known	(a)	beyond reasonable	e doubt			
	(b)	with some possible	doubt			П
	(c)	with major doubt				
Certainty of Association		(please tick one bo	ox)			
Full certainty: the sample can	ne from th	e artefact itself, e.g.	wagon wheel, b	one pommel of o	dagger	
High probability: there is a d coffin dates finds in gra						
Probability: the functional rel fragments argue in favo						
Reasonable possibility: as a occupation layer, charc			e small and s	cattered, e.g. 'c	dark earth' in an	
Sample age in relation to bu	urial / dis	card (please tick on	e box)			
Samples are generally older	than their	contexts:				
The difference in date is so outermost tree rings.	small as t	to be negligible (less	s than 20 years	e); e.g. twigs, gra	ain, leather, bone,	
The time difference can amount to several decades (over 20, less than 100 years), e.g. charcoal from short lived wood species, outermost rings from long-lived wood species, objects, which might have a long period of use.						
The time difference may amore-use.	ount to cer	nturies, e.g. charcoa	from long-lived	l wood species p	oossibly subject to	
The nature of the dated organ 'soil'.	nic materi	al is not precisely kn	own, e.g. samp	les consisting of	'dark earth', 'ash',	

Note: the sections above drawn from: Waterbolk, H.T. (1971) Proc. Prehist. Soc. 37(2), 15-33

# Named stages

Local archaeological name, e.g. Maglemosian: Staroselian

General archaeological name, e.g. Mesolithic: Mousterian / Middle Palaeolithic

Local geological unit, e.g. Larmudiac Beds: NA

General geological name, e.g. Late Glacial: Late Pleistocene – mostly likely OIS 3

# Stratigraphic and environmental details: (if none, write 'none')

Please give details of sample locations (including detailed site drawings on a separate sheet), describing horizons and other features relevant to sample position and condition.

Please mention possible contamination, rootlets, intrusions, disturbances, humic acids, carbonates, calcareous or volcanic environment, nearness to water table, nearness to surface, etc.

The burnt bone sample comes from a depth of between 520-525 cm in a cleaned section in square 65, archaeological horizon III/1A, which is situated in lithological (geological) layer 12 on the site of Kabazi V. It corresponds with one OSL sediment sample (EFD4L260) in square 6B and two TL burnt flint samples (EFD4L264 = SUTL 1664 and EFD4L265 = SUTL 1665) from the same horizon in square 65. The sample is associated with a Mousterian stone tool industry that has been described as Staroselian (i.e. non-Levallois, with 5-10% bifacial tools).

The area is limestone and so the deposits are highly calcareous. Bone preservation at the site is reasonably good. The fauna from cultural horizon III/1A shows signs of weathering and root damage suggesting the bones lay on the surface for some time.

Optional checklist: Sector: square 65

layer, sub-layer: sample is from archaeological horizon III/1A, and is situated at a depth of 520-525 cm in lithological (geological) layer 12

feature: none

phase of site: Middle Palaeolithic layer III/1A

### Sender's comment on submission:

(i.e. comment on what date is intended to demonstrate, designed to hold good regardless of specific results)

The purpose of this burnt bone sample is to cross-validate OSL sample EFD4L260 (geological layer 12, depth 530 cm in square  $6\,B$ ) and two burnt flint TL samples EFD4L264 (geological layer 12, depth 522 cm in square  $6\,B$ ) and EFD4L265 (geological layer 12, depth 519 cm in square  $6\,B$ ). All are associated with cultural horizon III/1A, one of a number of Middle Palaeolithic stone tool horizons on the site that represent living floors. There are a number of U-series and ESR measurements for cultural horizon III/1A (Rink  $et\,al.$  1998; McKinney 1998) – the best estimate is <41-43 ka BP based on ESR EU model, although the results are far from being unproblematic.

# Sample collection and treatment

How was the sample collected? Found during cleaning of a vertical section (surface, trench, section, etc.)

How has it been stored? Polythene bag (nature of container, etc.)

Have preservatives, fungicides, etc., been used? No

If so, please give details of any chemical treatments, identifying chemicals used. Not applicable

Was sample wet or dry when collected? Damp

If wet, how was it dried? Air dried

Can the entire sample be used for dating? Yes

Has this or a related sample also been sent to another laboratory? OSL samples are with SUERC and the TL samples are with Daniel Richter in Leipzig

If so, please give Laboratory and date numbers

SUERC sample EFD4L260: no lab or date numbers as the sample is currently undergoing OSL analysis. TL samples have the following SUTL numbers: SUTL-1664 and –1665 but are still undergoing analysis

For other dating evidence see rest of form and cited publications

# Relevant publications

(In format: Author, initials, year, title, Journal (Publisher), volume, pages)

McKinney, C.R., 1998, Uranium series dating of enamel, dentine, and bone from Kabazi II, Starosele, Kabazi V, and Gabo, in *The Middle Palaeolithic of the Western Crimea*, Volume 1 (Eds. Marks, A.E., and Chabai, V.P.), 341-353, Liège: ERAUL 84.

Rink, W.J., Lee, H-K., Rees-Jones, J., and Goodger, K.A., 1998, Electron spin resonance (ESR) and mass spectrometric U-series (MSUS) dating of teeth in Crimean Palaeolithic sites: Starosele, Kabazi II and Kabazi V, in *The Middle Palaeolithic of the Western Crimea*, Volume 1 (Eds. Marks, A.E., and Chabai, V.P.), 323-340, Liège: ERAUL 84.

Yevtushenko, A.I., 1998, Kabazi V: Introduction and excavations, in *The Middle Palaeolithic of the Western Crimea*, Volume 1 (Eds. Marks, A.E., and Chabai, V.P.), 273-285, Liège: ERAUL 84.