Oxford	P17594		
Radiocarbon			
Accelerator Unit Research Laboratory for Archaeology	OxA-X-2158-18		
6 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3QJ, England Tel: ++44-(0)1865-273939	31510 ± 200		
QAP 01/03 Issue 2 13/12/1999	δ^{13} C= -25.5 per mil		
	Acknowledged		
SAMPLE SUBMISSION FORM			

Please provide as much information as possible for each sample submitted. It will greatly help us in publishing dates rapidly if we have the full information required for publication.

If you are submitting a series of samples, there is no need to write in repeat information for each one, but please do not overlook specific stratigraphic details (pages 2 & 3).

Suggested name for sample series: EFCHED North East Black Sea Project

Your reference no: EFD5C519

Name and location of site: Malaya Vorontsovskaya, Sochi region, Krasnodar district

Country: Russia

Latitude: 43° 37.765' N Longitude: 39° 54.738'E (Greenwich meridian)

Sender's signature:

Grid reference (specify grid):

Type of material: charcoal (and possibly some burnt bone)

Any specific identification (please indicate as precisely as possible): too fragmentary to tell

Family: Genus: Species:

For bone, type (e.g. femur):

Collector's name: V. P. Liubin Date of excavation: 1965

Sender's name: Dr R A Housley Address: Department of Archaeology, University of Glasgow, Gregory Building,

Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8QQ

Tel: 0141 330 6873

email: r.housley@archaeology.gla.ac.uk Submission date: October 2005

Is the sample primarily:								
archaeological		geological			other			
Was the sample (a)	sealec	l in a recognisable h	norizon					
	(b) sealed in a localised feature, e.g. grave or pit							
	(c)	other						
Is this information known (a)		beyond reasonabl	le doubt					
	(b)	with some possible	e doubt				Ħ	
	(c)	with major doubt						
Certainty of Association		(please tick one be	ox)					
Full certainty: the sample can	ne from th	e artefact itself, e.g.	wagon whe	eel, bone	pommel of	dagger		
High probability: there is a d coffin dates finds in gra								
Probability: the functional rel fragments argue in fav								
Reasonable possibility: as occupation layer, charc			ire small a	nd scatt	ered, e.g.	'dark earth' in an		
Sample age in relation to be	urial / dis	card (please tick or	ne box)					
Samples are generally older	than their	contexts:						
The difference in date is so outermost tree rings.	small as t	to be negligible (les	s than 20 y	/ears); e.	g. twigs, gr	ain, leather, bone,		
The time difference can amount to several decades (over 20, less than 100 years), e.g. charcoal from short-lived wood species, outermost rings from long-lived wood species, objects which might have a long period of use.								
The time difference may amore-use.	ount to cer	nturies, e.g. charcoa	al from long-	-lived wo	od species	possibly subject to		
The nature of the dated organic material is not precisely known, e.g. samples consisting of 'dark earth', 'ash', 'soil'.								

Note: the sections above drawn from: Waterbolk, H.T. (1971) Proc. Prehist. Soc. 37(2), 15-33

Named stages

Local archaeological name, e.g. Maglemosian: none

General archaeological name, e.g. Mesolithic: Either 'Denticulate Mousterian' or 'Typical Mousterian' with many denticulates / Middle Palaeolithic

Local geological unit, e.g. Larmudiac Beds: NA

General geological name, e.g. Late Glacial: Late Pleistocene – mostly likely OIS 3

Stratigraphic and environmental details: (if none, write 'none')

Please give details of sample locations (including detailed site drawings on a separate sheet), describing horizons and other features relevant to sample position and condition.

Please mention possible contamination, rootlets, intrusions, disturbances, humic acids, carbonates, calcareous or volcanic environment, nearness to water table, nearness to surface, etc.

Sample comes from layer 3 (a yellowish-brown loam) and is associated with a Middle Palaeolithic tool assemblage that has been described as either 'Denticulate Mousterian' or 'Typical Mousterian' with many denticulates. Cave bear dominates the faunal assemblage - the only other relatively frequent species is the Caucasian Tur (*Capra caucasica*). Based on cave pollen it is believed that layer 3 corresponds to a cool period associated with an environment characterised by coniferous woodland (*Abies-Picea* and *Pinus*) and sub-alpine meadows.

The area is limestone and so the deposits are highly calcareous. The condition of the bone from this site is very poor. The charcoal is very fine and was scattered in the deposit.

Optional checklist:

Sector: the square number is not known

layer, sub-layer: 3

feature

phase of site: Mousterian

Sender's comment on submission:

(i.e. comment on what date is intended to demonstrate, designed to hold good regardless of specific results)

An existing low collagen bone sample from layer 3 at Malaya Vorontsovskaya has given an AMS date of $43\,400\pm1500$ uncal BP (OxA-14725). The sample listed here comes from the same layer although it was excavated in 1965 as opposed to 2004. This sample is being dated in order to provide confirmation of the aforementioned result and to cross-validate OSL samples EFD4L073 (from layer 2) and EFD4L074 (from the upper part of layer 3). Poverty of occupation evidence suggests that the cave saw only brief visits by cave bear hunters in the Middle Palaeolithic. An age in the 35-50 ka BP range is a possibility. The sample consists of fine charcoal from a layer and so the association between the cultural event and the age of the material is not very secure.

Sample collection and treatment

How was the sample collected? During the excavation process in 1965 (surface, trench, section, etc.)

How has it been stored? Originally in a glass bottle, transferred to a polythene bag in 2005 (nature of container, etc.)

Have preservatives, fungicides, etc., been used? Unknown, but extremely unlikely

If so, please give details of any chemical treatments, identifying chemicals used.

Was sample wet or dry when collected? Presumably slightly damp

If wet, how was it dried? Probably air-dried

Can the entire sample be used for dating? Yes

Has this or a related sample also been sent to another laboratory? OSL samples are with SUERC

If so, please give Laboratory and date numbers

SUERC samples EFD4L073 – EFD4L076, no date numbers as the samples are currently undergoing OSL analysis

There are three existing 14C dates:

- (1) LE-700: 14 100 \pm 100 BP, on charcoal from a hearth in layer 1 in section K-L-M
- (2) GR-6031: 35 680 ± 480 BP, on burnt bone from a hearth in layer 3 in section F-R-Z
- (3) OxA-14725: 43 400 \pm 1500 BP, on low collagen bone in layer 3

Relevant publications

(In format: Author, initials, year, title, Journal (Publisher), volume, pages)

Liubin, V.P., 1989, The Palaeolithic of the Caucasus (in Russian), in *Paleolit Kavkaza I Severnoi Azii* (ed. P.I. Boriskovskii), 7-142, Leningrad: Nauka.

Tchistiakov, D.A., 1996, *Mousterian sites of the North East part of the Black Sea Region* (in Russian), St. Petersburg: Evropeiskiy Dom.