

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

STRATASCAN™



Project name:
Liskeard, Cornwall

Client:
Wainhomes Holdings Ltd

July 2015

Job ref:
J8593

Report author:
Thomas Richardson MSc ACIfA

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Project name:

Liskeard, Cornwall

Client:

Wainhomes Holdings Ltd



Job ref:

J8593

Techniques:

**Detailed magnetic survey –
Gradiometry**

Survey date:

22nd-25th June 2015

Site centred at:

SX 263 653

Post code:

PL14 3LH

Field team:

Steven Chetwynd BA (Hons), **Jack Lerner**,
Christian Adams BA (Hons)

Project manager:

Simon Haddrell BEng(Hons) AMBCS PCIfA

Report written By:

Thomas Richardson MSc ACIfA

CAD illustrations by:

Thomas Richardson MSc ACIfA

Checked by:

David Elks MSc ACIfA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	2
1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	3
2 INTRODUCTION	3
2.1 Background synopsis.....	3
2.2 Site location	3
2.3 Description of site	3
2.4 Geology and soils	3
2.5 Site history and archaeological potential	4
2.6 Survey objectives	4
2.7 Survey methods	4
2.8 Processing, presentation and interpretation of results.....	5
2.8.1 Processing	5
2.8.2 Presentation of results and interpretation.....	5
3 RESULTS.....	5
3.1 Probable Archaeology.....	6
3.2 Possible Archaeology	6
3.3 Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture.....	6
3.4 Other Anomalies	6
4 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT	7
5 CONCLUSION	7
6 REFERENCES	8
APPENDIX A – METHODOLOGY & SURVEY EQUIPMENT	9
APPENDIX B – BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC SURVEY	10
APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES.....	11

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 01	1:1250	Site location, survey area & referencing
Figure 02	1:1250	Colour plot of gradiometer data showing extreme values
Figure 03	1:1250	Plot of minimally processed gradiometer data
Figure 04	1:1250	Abstraction and interpretation of gradiometer anomalies

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A detailed gradiometry survey was conducted over approximately 14.5 hectares of grassland. The survey at Liskeard has identified a post-medieval quarry (HER number: MCO37107) as the only probable archaeology on the site. A number of possible archaeological anomalies have been detected, however the majority of these could equally be of modern agricultural origin or relate to former field boundaries. A number of field boundaries, areas of ridge and furrow cultivation, and modern agricultural activity suggest that the site has been used for agricultural purposes since the early medieval period. The remaining anomalies are modern in origin relating to underground services, ferrous objects and fencing.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 *Background synopsis*

Stratascan were commissioned to undertake a geophysical survey of an area outlined for a residential development. This survey forms part of an archaeological investigation being undertaken by Wainhomes Holdings Ltd.

2.2 *Site location*

The site is located to the north of Pengover Road, Liskeard, Cornwall at OS ref. SX 263 653.

2.3 *Description of site*

The survey area is 16.3 hectares, however steep slopes and areas of overgrown vegetation reduced the surveyable area to approximately 14.5 hectares of grassland. The site lies on a north-east facing slope, with no further obstructions.

2.4 *Geology and soils*

The underlying geology is Saltash Formation – Slate and Siltstone across the majority of the site, with a small unnamed igneous intrusion, Devonian to Carboniferous – Microgabbro in the south of the area (British Geological Survey website). There is no recorded drift geology across the majority of the site, with a small area of Head – Clay, Silt and Gravel present in the south-east (British Geological Survey website).

The overlying soils are known as Denbigh 1, which are typical brown earths. These consist of fine loamy and fine silty soils over rock, bare rock locally (Soil Survey of England and Wales, Sheet 5 South West England).

2.5 **Site history and archaeological potential**

Extract from 'Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment Land at Liskeard, Cornwall' (CgMs 2015):

No designated archaeological assets of national importance are located within the study site itself.

The HER recorded two features within the study site. These consist of an Early Medieval field boundary (HER MCO37112) and a Post-Medieval quarry (HER MCO37107). The field boundary is visible on historic mapping which suggests that it could be of Medieval or Post-Medieval date rather than Early Medieval (Fig. 3 and 4).

There was no evidence of either of these features observed during the site visit. Any below-ground remains relating to these features would be of no more than local significance because they are common features within the landscape and have limited archaeological interest.

There is limited known evidence for Prehistoric and Roman activity within the search area and there is considered to be a low potential for such remains to be present within the study site based on current evidence. Should any be present, they are unlikely to be of such significance to preclude development. This is because of the absence of significant Prehistoric remains/finds from within the search area and the absence of markers of remains of high significance within the study site, such as earthworks, cropmarks or artefact scatters.

The study site is likely to have formed part of the general hinterland surrounding the settlements of Liskeard, Trembraze, Hendra, Tregartha and Bodgara Mill from at least the Early-Medieval period onwards. Any archaeological remains dating to these periods are likely to derive from agricultural practices, such as the field boundary recorded by the NMP within the southern part of the study site (Field G) (HER MCO37112). Any below-ground remains relating to former agricultural activity would be of no more than local significance because they are common features within the landscape and have limited archaeological interest.

2.6 **Survey objectives**

The objective of the survey was to locate any features of possible archaeological origin in order that they may be assessed prior to development.

2.7 **Survey methods**

This report and all fieldwork have been conducted in accordance with both the English Heritage guidelines outlined in the document: *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation, 2008* and with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists document *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*.

Given the potential for medieval activity, detailed magnetic survey (gradiometry) was used as an efficient and effective method of locating archaeological anomalies. More information regarding this technique is included in Appendix A.

2.8 **Processing, presentation and interpretation of results**

2.8.1 **Processing**

Processing is performed using specialist software. This can emphasise various aspects contained within the data but which are often not easily seen in the raw data. Basic processing of the magnetic data involves 'flattening' the background levels with respect to adjacent traverses and adjacent grids. Once the basic processing has flattened the background it is then possible to carry out further processing which may include low pass filtering to reduce 'noise' in the data and hence emphasise the archaeological or man-made anomalies.

The following schedule shows the basic processing carried out on all minimally processed gradiometer data used in this report:

1. *Destripe* (Removes striping effects caused by zero-point discrepancies between different sensors and walking directions)
2. *Destagger* (Removes zigzag effects caused by inconsistent walking speeds on sloping, uneven or overgrown terrain)

2.8.2 **Presentation of results and interpretation**

The presentation of the data for each site involves a print-out of the minimally processed data both as a greyscale plot and a colour plot showing extreme magnetic values. Magnetic anomalies have been identified and plotted onto the 'Abstraction and Interpretation of Anomalies' drawing for the site.

3 **RESULTS**

The detailed magnetic gradiometer survey conducted at Liskeard has identified a number of anomalies that have been characterised as being either of a *probable* or *possible* archaeological origin.

The difference between *probable* and *possible* archaeological origin is a confidence rating. Features identified within the dataset that form recognisable archaeological patterns or seem to be related to a deliberate historical act have been interpreted as being of a probable archaeological origin.

Features of possible archaeological origin tend to be more amorphous anomalies which may have similar magnetic attributes in terms of strength or polarity but are difficult to classify as being archaeological or natural.

The following list of numbered anomalies refers to numerical labels on the interpretation plots.

3.1 *Probable Archaeology*

- 1 An area of high amplitude dipolar responses in the centre of the site. This is related to a post-medieval quarry seen as cropmarks (HER number: MCO37107).

3.2 *Possible Archaeology*

- 2 A positive curvilinear anomaly in the south of the site. This is indicative of a former cut feature, and may be of archaeological origin. A more confident interpretation of the anomaly is limited by the extents of the survey area.
- 3 Positive linear anomalies across the site. These are indicative of former cut features, and may be of archaeological origin; however they could equally relate to more modern agricultural activity or field boundaries.

3.3 *Medieval/Post-Medieval Agriculture*

- 4 Areas of widely spaced, curving, parallel linear anomalies in the north of the site. These are indicative of ridge and furrow cultivation.
- 5-7 Positive linear anomalies and negative linear anomalies with associated positive responses. These are likely to relate to medieval or post-medieval field boundaries not present on available mapping.
- 8-15 Negative linear anomalies with associated positive responses. These are related to former field boundaries present on available mapping. Anomalies 8 and 9 are present 1840-1845, Anomalies 10-13 are present 1840-1962, whilst Anomalies 14 and 15 are present 1840-1993.
- 16 Areas of closely spaced, parallel linear anomalies. These are indicative of modern agricultural activity, such as ploughing.

3.4 *Other Anomalies*

- 17 High amplitude, bipolar linear anomalies across the site. These are indicative of underground services.
- 18 Areas of magnetic disturbance are the result of substantial nearby ferrous metal objects such as fences and underground services. These effects can mask weaker archaeological anomalies, but on this site have not affected a significant proportion of the area.

- 19 A number of magnetic 'spikes' (strong focussed values with associated antipolar response) indicate ferrous metal objects. These are likely to be modern rubbish.

4 DATA APPRAISAL & CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT

Magnetic survey can generally be recommended over slate and siltstone geologies, such as those at Liskeard. The data has a high contrast, as is normal with surveys in Cornwall, but this does not limit the effectiveness of the survey or mask archaeological features. The majority of the features detected relate to medieval and post-medieval agricultural activity, as expected from the site history in the DBA. Therefore, it can be assumed that the survey has been effective in identifying archaeological features. The microgabbro dyke, which are usually strongly magnetic, is not apparent in the data and has not had a detrimental effect. The data in the south east corner has a smoother appearance which corresponds in location to the superficial head deposits. While it is possible this reduced contrast may be hiding features it seems unlikely as there is no indication of archaeology adjacently.

5 CONCLUSION

The survey at Liskeard has identified a post-medieval quarry (HER number: MCO37107) as the only probable archaeology on the site. A number of possible archaeological anomalies have been detected, however the majority of these could equally be of modern agricultural origin or relate to former field boundaries. The number of field boundaries and areas of ridge and furrow cultivation, as well as more modern agricultural activity, corroborates the view of the DBA that the area is likely to have been used for agricultural purposes since the early medieval period. The remaining anomalies are modern in origin. These relate to underground services, ferrous objects and fencing.

6 REFERENCES

British Geological Survey South Sheet, 1977. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet First Edition (Quaternary)*. Institute of Geological Sciences.

British Geological Survey, 2001. *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet, Fourth Edition (Solid)*. British Geological Society.

British Geological Survey, n.d., *website*:
(<http://www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion1=1#maps>) Geology of Britain viewer.

Chartered Institute For Archaeologists. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*.
<http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/nodefiles/Geophysics2010.pdf>

CgMs, 2015. *Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment Land at Liskeard, Cornwall*

English Heritage, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*.

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983. *Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 5 South West England*.

APPENDIX A – METHODOLOGY & SURVEY EQUIPMENT

Grid locations

The location of the survey grids has been plotted together with the referencing information. Grids were set out using a Leica 705auto Total Station and referenced to suitable topographic features around the perimeter of the site or a Leica Smart Rover RTK GPS.

An RTK GPS (Real-time Kinematic Global Positioning System) can locate a point on the ground to a far greater accuracy than a standard GPS unit. A standard GPS suffers from errors created by satellite orbit errors, clock errors and atmospheric interference, resulting in an accuracy of 5m-10m. An RTK system uses a single base station receiver and a number of mobile units. The base station re-broadcasts the phase of the carrier it measured, and the mobile units compare their own phase measurements with those they received from the base station. A SmartNet RTK GPS uses Ordnance Survey's network of over 100 fixed base stations to give an accuracy of around 0.01m.

Survey equipment and gradiometer configuration

Although the changes in the magnetic field resulting from differing features in the soil are usually weak, changes as small as 0.2 nanoTeslas (nT) in an overall field strength of 48,000nT, can be accurately detected using an appropriate instrument.

The mapping of the anomaly in a systematic manner will allow an estimate of the type of material present beneath the surface. Strong magnetic anomalies will be generated by buried iron-based objects or by kilns or hearths. More subtle anomalies such as pits and ditches can be seen if they contain more humic material which is normally rich in magnetic iron oxides when compared with the subsoil.

To illustrate this point, the cutting and subsequent silting or backfilling of a ditch may result in a larger volume of weakly magnetic material being accumulated in the trench compared to the undisturbed subsoil. A weak magnetic anomaly should therefore appear in plan along the line of the ditch.

The magnetic survey was carried out using a dual sensor Grad601-2 Magnetic Gradiometer manufactured by Bartington Instruments Ltd. The instrument consists of two fluxgates very accurately aligned to nullify the effects of the Earth's magnetic field. Readings relate to the difference in localised magnetic anomalies compared with the general magnetic background. The Grad601-2 consists of two high stability fluxgate gradiometers suspended on a single frame. Each gradiometer has a 1m separation between the sensing elements so enhancing the response to weak anomalies.

Sampling interval

Readings were taken at 0.25m centres along traverses 1m apart. This equates to 3600 sampling points in a full 30m x 30m grid.

Depth of scan and resolution

The Grad 601-2 has a typical depth of penetration of 0.5m to 1.0m, though strongly magnetic objects may be visible at greater depths. The collection of data at 0.25m centres provides an optimum methodology for the task balancing cost and time with resolution.

Data capture

The readings are logged consecutively into the data logger which in turn is daily down-loaded into a portable computer whilst on site. At the end of each site survey, data is transferred to the office for processing and presentation.

APPENDIX B – BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC SURVEY

Detailed magnetic survey can be used to effectively define areas of past human activity by mapping spatial variation and contrast in the magnetic properties of soil, subsoil and bedrock.

Weakly magnetic iron minerals are always present within the soil and areas of enhancement relate to increases in *magnetic susceptibility* and permanently magnetised *thermoremanent* material.

Magnetic susceptibility relates to the induced magnetism of a material when in the presence of a magnetic field. This magnetism can be considered as effectively permanent as it exists within the Earth's magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can become enhanced due to burning and complex biological or fermentation processes.

Thermoremanence is a permanent magnetism acquired by iron minerals that, after heating to a specific temperature known as the Curie Point, are effectively demagnetised followed by re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling. Thermoremanent archaeological features can include hearths and kilns and material such as brick and tile may be magnetised through the same process.


Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil creates a relative contrast against the much lower levels of magnetism within the subsoil into which the feature is cut. Systematic mapping of magnetic anomalies will produce linear and discrete areas of enhancement allowing assessment and characterisation of subsurface features. Material such as subsoil and non-magnetic bedrock used to create former earthworks and walls may be mapped as areas of lower enhancement compared to surrounding soils.

Magnetic survey is carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer which is a passive instrument consisting of two sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the top sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field whilst the lower sensor measures the same field but is also more affected by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of a magnetic field created by a buried feature, if no field is present the difference will be close to zero as the magnetic field measured by both sensors will be the same.

Factors affecting the magnetic survey may include soil type, local geology, previous human activity, disturbance from modern services etc.


APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

Bipolar



A bipolar anomaly is one that is composed of both a positive response and a negative response. It can be made up of any number of positive responses and negative responses. For example a pipeline consisting of alternating positive and negative anomalies is said to be bipolar. See also dipolar which has only one area of each polarity. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic field strength. A weak response may be caused by a clay field drain while a strong response will probably be caused by a metallic service.

Dipolar




This consists of a single positive anomaly with an associated negative response. There should be no separation between the two polarities of response. These responses will be created by a single feature. The interpretation of the anomaly will depend on the magnitude of the magnetic measurements. A very strong anomaly is likely to be caused by a ferrous object.

Positive anomaly with associated negative response


See bipolar and dipolar.

Positive linear




A linear response which is entirely positive in polarity. These are usually related to in-filled cut features where the fill material is magnetically enhanced compared to the surrounding matrix. They can be caused by ditches of an archaeological origin, but also former field boundaries, ploughing activity and some may even have a natural origin.

Positive linear anomaly with associated negative response




A positive linear anomaly which has a negative anomaly located adjacently. This will be caused by a single feature. In the example shown this is likely to be a single length of wire/cable probably relating to a modern service. Magnetically weaker responses may relate to earthwork style features and field boundaries.

Positive point/area




These are generally spatially small responses, perhaps covering just 3 or 4 reading nodes. They are entirely positive in polarity. Similar to positive linear anomalies they are generally caused by in-filled cut features. These include pits of an archaeological origin, possible tree bowls or other naturally occurring depressions in the ground.

Magnetic debris




Magnetic debris consists of numerous dipolar responses spread over an area. If the amplitude of response is low ($\pm 3nT$) then the origin is likely to represent general ground disturbance with no clear cause, it may be related to something as simple as an area of dug or mixed earth. A stronger anomaly ($\pm 250nT$) is more indicative of a spread of ferrous debris. Moderately strong anomalies may be the result of a spread of thermoremanent material such as bricks or ash.

Magnetic disturbance



Magnetic disturbance is high amplitude and can be composed of either a bipolar anomaly, or a single polarity response. It is essentially associated with magnetic interference from modern ferrous structures such as fencing, vehicles or buildings, and as a result is commonly found around the perimeter of a site near to boundary fences.

Negative linear




A linear response which is entirely negative in polarity. These are generally caused by earthen banks where material with a lower magnetic magnitude relative to the background top soil is built up. See also ploughing activity.

Negative point/area

Opposite to positive point anomalies these responses may be caused by raised areas or earthen banks. These could be of an archaeological origin or may have a natural origin.

Ploughing activity



Ploughing activity can often be visualised by a series of parallel linear anomalies. These can be of either positive polarity or negative polarity depending on site specifics. It can be difficult to distinguish between ancient ploughing and more modern ploughing. Clues such as the separation of each linear, straightness, strength of response and cross cutting relationships can be used to aid this, although none of these can be guaranteed to differentiate between different phases of activity.

Polarity

Term used to describe the measurement of the magnetic response. An anomaly can have a positive polarity (values above 0nT) and/or a negative polarity (values below 0nT).


Strength of response

The amplitude of a magnetic response is an important factor in assigning an interpretation to a particular anomaly. For example a positive anomaly covering a 10m² area may have values up to around 3000nT, in which case it is likely to be caused by modern magnetic interference. However, the same size and shaped anomaly but with values up to only 4nT may have a natural origin. Colour plots are used to show the amplitude of response.


Thermoremanent response

A feature which has been subject to heat may result in it acquiring a magnetic field. This can be anything up to approximately +/-100 nT in value. These features include clay fired drains, brick, bonfires, kilns, hearths and even pottery. If the heat application has occurred in situ (e.g. a kiln) then the response is likely to be bipolar compared to if the heated objects have been disturbed and moved relative to each other, in which case they are more likely to take an irregular form and may display a debris style response (e.g. ash).

Weak background variations




Weakly magnetic wide scale variations within the data can sometimes be seen within sites. These usually have no specific structure but can often appear curvy and sinuous in form. They are likely to be the result of natural features, such as soil creep, dried up (or seasonal) streams. They can also be caused by changes in the underlying geology or soil type which may contain unpredictable distributions of magnetic minerals, and are usually apparent in several locations across a site.



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey's 1:25 000 map of 1998 with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. Crown Copyright reserved. Licence No: AL 50125A
 Licencees:
 Stratascan Ltd
 Vineyard House
 Upper Hook Road
 Upton Upon Severn
 WR8 0SA
 OS 100km square = SX

Survey area



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

© Stratascan Ltd - 2015



Site centred on NGR SX 263 653

KEY

Area unsurveyable - steep slope

OS REFERENCING INFORMATION

A	226353.38, 065487.34
B	226353.38, 065307.34
C	226233.38, 065307.34
D	226233.38, 065187.34
E	226143.38, 065097.34
F	226143.38, 065067.34
G	226203.38, 065127.34
H	226203.38, 065097.34
I	226323.38, 065217.34
J	226323.38, 065097.34
K	226473.38, 065217.34
L	226473.38, 065127.34
M	226353.38, 065037.34
N	226593.38, 065037.34


Job No. J8593 Survey Date JUN 15

Client
WAINHOMES HOLDINGS LTD

Project Title
LISKEARD, CORNWALL

Subject
SITE LOCATION, SURVEY AREA & REFERENCING

STRATASCAN™
 GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
 VINEYARD HOUSE T: 01684 592266
 UPTON UPON SEVERN E: info@stratascan.co.uk
 WR8 0SA www.stratascan.co.uk



Scale 0m 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80m
1:1250


Plot A1	Checked by DGE	Issue No. 01
Date JUL 15	Drawn by TR	Figure No. 01



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

© Stratascan Ltd - 2015



Job No. J8593 Survey Date JUN 15

Client
WAINHOMES HOLDINGS LTD

Project Title
LISKEARD, CORNWALL

Subject
COLOUR PLOT OF
GRADIOMETER DATA
SHOWING EXTREME VALUES

STRATASCANTM
GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY
AND ENGINEERING
VINEYARD HOUSE T: 01684 592266
UPTON UPON SEVERN E: info@stratascan.co.uk
WR8 0SA www.stratascan.co.uk



Scale 0m 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80m
1:1250

Plot A1	Checked by DGE	Issue No. 01
Date JUL 15	Drawn by TR	Figure No. 02



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

© Stratascan Ltd - 2015

Plotting parameters

Maximum +10nT (black)
Minimum -10nT (white)



Job No.	J8593	Survey Date	JUN 15
---------	-------	-------------	--------

Client
WAINHOMES HOLDINGS LTD

Project Title
LISKEARD, CORNWALL

Subject
PLOT OF MINIMALLY PROCESSED GRADIOMETER DATA

STRATASCAN™
 GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
 VINEYARD HOUSE T: 01684 592266
 UPTON UPON SEVERN E: info@stratascan.co.uk
 WR8 0SA www.stratascan.co.uk



Plot	A1	Checked by	DGE	Issue No.	01
Date	JUL 15	Drawn by	TR	Figure No.	03



Amendments

Issue No.	Date	Description
-	-	-
-	-	-

© Stratascan Ltd - 2015

PROBABLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - probable cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - probable bank or earthwork of archaeological origin
- Strong magnetic debris - post-medieval quarry visible as cropmark

POSSIBLE ARCHAEOLOGY

- Positive anomaly / weak positive anomaly - possible cut feature of archaeological origin
- Negative anomaly / weak negative anomaly - possible bank or earthwork of archaeological origin

MEDIEVAL/POST-MEDIEVAL AGRICULTURE

- Widely spaced curving parallel linear anomalies - probably related to ridge-and-furrow
- Closely spaced parallel linear anomalies - probably related to agricultural activity such as ploughing
- Linear anomaly - probably related to a former field boundary not present on available mapping
- Linear anomaly - related to a former field boundary present on available mapping

OTHER ANOMALIES

- Linear anomaly - probably related to pipe, cable or other modern service
- Linear anomaly - possibly related to land drain
- Magnetic disturbance associated with nearby metal object such as service or field boundary
- Strong magnetic debris - possible disturbed or made ground
- Scattered magnetic debris
- Area of amorphous magnetic variation - probable natural (e.g. geological or pedological) origin
- Magnetic spike - probable ferrous object

Job No.	Survey Date
J8593	JUN 15

Client

WAINHOMES HOLDINGS LTD

Project Title

LISKEARD, CORNWALL

Subject

ABSTRACTION AND INTERPRETATION OF GRADIOMETER ANOMALIES

STRATASCAN™
 GEOPHYSICS FOR ARCHAEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING
 VINEYARD HOUSE T: 01684 592266
 UPTON UPON SEVERN E: info@stratascan.co.uk
 WR8 0SA www.stratascan.co.uk

GPR ASSOCIATION

 SUMO GROUP MEMBER

Scale	0m 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80m
1:1250	

Plot	Checked by	Issue No.
A1	DGE	01
Date	Drawn by	Figure No.
JUL 15	TR	04

Your Survey Partner

For a complete and complementary
range of survey services

*Survey services
you can rely on*

Archaeological
As Built Records
BIM Ready 3D Models
Boundary Disputes
CCTV
Geophysical
Laser Scanning
Measured Building
Pipeline Routes
Railway
Retrofit
Setting Out
Statutory Plan Collation
Topographic
Utility Mapping
UXO Detection
Void Detection

STRATASCAN LTD

Vineyard House Upper Hook Road Upton upon Severn
Worcestershire WR8 0SA United Kingdom

T:01684 592266 F: 01684 594142

info@stratascan.co.uk www.stratascan.co.uk

STRATASCAN™



SUMO
Group
Member