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ABSTRACT

This report describes the results of an archaeological trial trench evaluation carried out by Pre-Construct Archaeology on land at Johnstons Press, Oundle Road, Peterborough (NGR TL 1802 9731) between the 28th April and the 1st May 2015. The archaeological work was commissioned by CgMs Consulting Ltd in response to a planning condition attached to residential development (14/02012/FUL). The aim of the work was to characterise the archaeological potential of the proposed development area.

The evaluation identified a concentration of archaeological features in the north-east of the site. This included five ditches, one of which produced Iron Age pottery, one pit (also dated to the Iron Age), one undated posthole and one grave cut containing human remains and likely to be Roman in date. The pit and posthole indicate the presence of settlement in the vicinity of the evaluation and the ditches are likely to represent phases of enclosure or field systems.
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 An archaeological trial trench evaluation was undertaken by Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd (PCA) on land at Johnstons Press, Oundle Road, Peterborough (centred on Ordnance Survey National Grid Reference (NGR) TL 1802 9731) from the 28th April to the 1st May 2015 (Figure 1).

1.2 The archaeological work was commissioned by CgMs Consulting Ltd in response to an archaeological planning condition attached to residential development (Planning Reference 14/02012/FUL).

1.3 The evaluation was carried out in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) prepared by CgMs in response to a Brief for archaeological evaluation issued by Rebecca Casa-Hatton of Peterborough City Council.

1.4 The aim of the evaluation was to determine the location, date, extent, character, condition and quality of any archaeological remains on the site, to assess the significance of any such remains in a local, regional, or national context, as appropriate, and to assess the potential impact of the development proposals on the site’s archaeology.

1.5 A total of six trial trenches totalling 190m were excavated and recorded.

1.6 This report describes the results of the evaluation and aims to inform the design of an appropriate archaeological mitigation strategy. The project archive will be deposited with Peterborough Museum and Art Gallery’s facilities.
2 GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY

2.1 Geology
2.1.1 The solid geology of the site is mapped by the British Geological Survey as Kellways Clay Member mudstone. Superficial deposits are recorded as River Terrace Deposits of sand and gravel.

2.2 Topography
2.2.1 The development site is situated to the south of Oundle Road, Woodston, approximately 1.75km to the south-west of Peterborough city centre and covers an area of approximately 2.3ha centred on NGR TL 1802 9731. The site is an irregular parcel of land accessed from Oundle Road to the north. The site is currently occupied by disused industrial buildings.

2.2.2 The site is situated at approximately 12m AOD dropping to c.10.5m AOD in the south-west.
3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

3.1 General
3.1.1 The archaeological background to the site is presented in the desk-based assessment (Garwood 2014) and will not be reproduced here. For the purposes of contextualising the results of the evaluation, a summary of this background is included below.

3.2 Prehistoric
3.2.1 The evidence for early prehistoric activity, (predominantly Palaeolithic and Mesolithic) comes from antiquarian finds and observations within sand and gravel extraction works, exploiting the river terrace gravels east of the site during the early 20th century.

3.2.2 The location and provenance of later Bronze Age evidence is more reliable, with Late Bronze Age ditches postholes, gullies and pits found during an archaeological evaluation at the former British Sugar Works site (c.150m north-east). Another archaeological evaluation at the Sugar Works revealed remains of two possible circular buildings, artefacts and a substantial ditch, similar to remains of Iron Age date found in the general area.

3.2.3 The location of the proposed development area on the gravel terrace of the Nene Valley which has yielded substantial evidence for prehistoric activity and significant assemblages of prehistoric flint, coupled with the evidence for Bronze and Iron Age activity found in nearby evaluations suggests that the site has a moderate to high potential for uncovering prehistoric archaeological remains or artefacts.

3.3 Roman
3.3.1 Evidence for Roman occupation and burial activity within the vicinity of the site is relatively abundant. Antiquarian references to fourteen inhumation burials found in a field to the north of the site and north-west of the Cross Keys Inn are compounded by the discovery of three further inhumation burials, probably of Roman date, and the skeletal remains of a severely disturbed late Roman (4th century) inhumation found within the boundary of the site. This evidence strongly suggests the presence of a larger burial
complex or cemetery within this area of the lower valley. The cemetery, located on the east side of the River Nene, may have served the settlements in Peterborough or Water Newton (Durobrivae). Based on the location and frequency of activity it is thought that the site has a moderate to high potential for encountering Roman burial and or settlement remains.

3.4 Saxon
3.4.1 The archaeological evidence for Saxon settlement and burial activity in the general area of the site is considerable. A possible inhumation cemetery is recorded at a distance to the west of the site and the site of a 'Saxon village' comprising 'ancient huts', artefacts and human remains lies to the south-east of the Cross Keys Inn (east of the site). An Anglo-Saxon barrow, where reportedly 'excellent urns, pottery and ashes' were discovered to the rear of the 'Cross Keys' on 'Woodstone Hill'. Whilst this activity is mainly located to the north and east of the site, it is not unreasonable to suggest that archaeological activity of Saxon date may extend into the development area. Therefore the site presents a moderate potential for Saxon remains.

3.5 Medieval
3.5.1 The potential for medieval archaeological activity within the study area is thought to be low to moderate. Very little physical evidence of medieval activity has been unearthed during the various evaluation works in the area. Remains of medieval sate that have been uncovered in the vicinity relate to agricultural activity (ridge and furrow and field boundaries). These agricultural remains are highlighted by aerial photography of ridge and furrow seen to the south and west of the site and confirms that this area was primarily farmland, with the nearest focal point for medieval settlement located around the parish church of St Augustine.

3.6 Post-medieval
3.6.1 The documentary and cartographic evidence shows that the Woodston area has remained as open farm land and water meadows since the early 19th century. The cartographic evidence charts the gradual encroachment of housing to the south of Peterborough, and the extensive industrialisation of the area, encouraged by the arrival of the railway lines from the mid 19th
century onwards, established to exploit the abundant deposits of aggregate and Oxford clays for brick works. The mapping confirmed that the site was not subjected to extraction and was devoid of structural activity until the construction of the print works in the later 1960s.
4 METHODOLOGY

4.1 Excavation and Sampling

4.1.1 The Written Scheme of Investigation for the evaluation proposed the excavation of six trial trenches, distributed across the site but avoiding standing buildings (Figure 2). Due to constraints including live services and presence of thick, reinforced concrete on site, Trench 3 could not be excavated.

4.1.2 Ground reduction was carried out under archaeological supervision using an 8-ton wheeled mechanical excavator fitted with a 1.8m-wide toothless ditching bucket. Topsoil and subsoil deposits were removed in spits down to the level of the undisturbed natural geological deposits where potential archaeological features could be observed and recorded. Exposed surfaces were cleaned by trowel and hoe as appropriate and all further excavation was undertaken manually using hand tools. Overburden deposits were set aside beside each trench and examined visually and with a metal-detector for finds retrieval.

4.1.3 Metal-detecting was carried out during the topsoil and subsoil stripping and throughout the excavation process. Archaeological features and spoilheaps were scanned by metal-detector as they were encountered/created.


4.1.5 All features were investigated and recorded in order to properly understand the date and nature of the archaeological remains on the site and to recover sufficient finds assemblages to assess the chronological development and socio-economic character of the site over time.

4.1.6 Discrete features such as pits and postholes were at least 50% excavated and, where considered appropriate, 100% excavated.

4.1.7 Significant features such as burials, were recorded in plan but left in-situ
pending any future open area excavation.

4.2 Recording Methodology

4.2.1 The limits of excavations, heights above Ordnance Datum (m OD) and the locations of archaeological features and interventions were recorded using a Leica 1200 GPS rover unit with RTK differential correction, giving three-dimensional accuracy of 20mm or better.

4.2.2 Manual plans and section drawings of archaeological features and deposits were drawn at an appropriate scale (1:10, 1:20 or 1:50).

4.2.3 Deposits or the removal of deposits judged by the excavating archaeologist to constitute individual events were each assigned a unique record number (often referred to within British archaeology as ‘context numbers’) and recorded on individual pre-printed forms (Taylor and Brown 2009). Archaeological processes recognised by the deposition of material are signified in this report by round brackets (thus), while events constituting the removal of deposits are referred to here as ‘cuts’ and signified by square brackets [thus]. The record numbers assigned to cuts and deposits are entirely arbitrary and in no way reflect the chronological order in which events took place. All features and deposits recorded during the evaluation are listed in Appendix 2. Artefacts recovered during excavation were assigned to the record number of the deposit from which they were retrieved.

4.2.4 High-resolution digital photographs were taken at all stages of the evaluation process. Digital Photographs were taken of all archaeological features and deposits and black and white film photographs were taken when considered appropriate by the excavator and supervisor.

4.2.5 Artefacts and ecofacts were collected by hand and assigned to the record number of the deposit from which they were retrieved, receiving appropriate care prior to removal from the site (IfA 2001; Walker 1990; Watkinson 1981).
5 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SEQUENCE

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 The trenches are described below in numerical order, with technical data tabulated. Archaeological features and deposits were sealed by the subsoil, unless otherwise stated. The evaluation identified eight archaeological features, all located in Trench 2.

5.2 Trench 1

5.2.1 Trench 1 contained no archaeological features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRENCH 1</th>
<th>Figure 2</th>
<th>Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench Alignment: NE-SW</td>
<td>Length: 18m</td>
<td>Level of Natural (m OD):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td>Context No.</td>
<td>Average Depth (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete and made ground</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.65m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0.4m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>1.05m+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

Trench 1 was located close to the north-western boundary of the site. The trench contained no archaeological features.

5.3 Trench 2

5.3.1 Trench 2 contained eight archaeological features: five ditches (one of which produced Iron Age pottery), one pit (also dating to the Iron Age), one undated posthole and one undated grave cut containing human remains.

5.3.2 Ditch [104] (Plate, Figure 3, Section 1) measured 0.48m wide and was 0.16m in depth. It was aligned north-east to south-west, with sides sloping steeply to a concave base, and extended beyond the limit of excavation in both directions. It contained a fill (103) of mid greyish brown sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

5.3.3 Ditch [108] (Plate, Figure 3, Section 2) measured 2m wide and was 0.2m in depth. It was aligned east to west, with sides sloping gradually to a flat base, and extended beyond the limit of excavation in both directions. It contained a
fill (107) of mid greyish brown sandy silt. A single fragment of animal bone was recovered. [108] was heavily truncated through the middle by a modern brick-filled drain.

5.3.4 Posthole [106] (Plate, Figure 3, Section 3) measured 0.34m in diameter and was 0.14m in depth. It had moderately sloping sides and a concave base. It contained a fill (105) of mid brownish grey sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

5.3.5 Ditch [110] (Plate, Figure 3, Section 4) measured 0.72m wide and was 0.06m in depth. It was aligned east to west, with sides sloping gradually to a flat base, and extended beyond the limit of excavation in both directions. It contained a fill (109) of mid greyish brown sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

5.3.6 Pit [112] (Plate, Figure 3, Section 5) measured at least 1.6m long, 0.9m wide and was 0.08m in depth. It had sides sloping very gradually to a flat base, and was cut by Ditches [110] and [114]. It contained a fill (111) of mid greyish brown sandy silt from which Iron Age pottery was recovered.

5.3.7 Ditch [114] (Plate 5, Figure 3, Section 6) measured 2.36m wide and was 0.5m in depth. It was aligned northwest-southeast, with sides sloping steeply to a flat base, and extended beyond the limit of excavation in both directions. It contained a fill (113) of mid brownish grey sandy silt. No finds were recovered.

5.3.8 Grave cut [116] (Plate 6, Figure 3) measured 0.4m wide and was 1m+ in length. It was aligned north to south and extended beyond the limit of excavation to the south. It contained a fill (115) of mid brownish grey sandy silt, in which an extended human burial was visible. These remains were left in situ and covered, and no finds were retrieved from (115).

5.3.9 Ditch [118] (Plate, Figure) measured 1.2m wide and at least 4m long. It was aligned east to west and extended beyond the north-west limit of excavation and appeared to have been cut by [114] to the east. It contained a fill (117) of mid greyish brown sandy silt. It was not excavated but Iron Age pottery
was recovered from it. It was also cut by Grave [116].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRENCH 2</th>
<th>Figures 2 &amp; 3</th>
<th>Plate 3 &amp; 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench Alignment: NE-SW</td>
<td>Length: 50m</td>
<td>Level of Natural (m OD): 11.1m-11.42m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td>Context No.</td>
<td>Average Depth (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NW End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarmac and made ground</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.45m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0.25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>0.7m+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

Trench 2 was located in the north-east of the site. There were eight archaeological features in the trench: five ditches (one of which produced Iron Age pottery), one pit (also dating to the Iron Age), one undated posthole and one undated grave cut containing human remains.

5.4 **Trench 3**

5.4.1 This trench was not excavated due to site-specific considerations (see above).

5.5 **Trench 4**

5.5.1 The trench contained no archaeological features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRENCH 4</th>
<th>Figure 2</th>
<th>Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench Alignment: E-W</td>
<td>Length: 30m</td>
<td>Level of Natural (m OD):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td>Context No.</td>
<td>Average Depth (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete and made-ground</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>0.1.2m+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

Trench 4 was located in the south-west corner of the site. There were no archaeological features in the trench.

5.6 **Trench 5**

5.6.1 Trench 5 contained no archaeological features.
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Context No.</th>
<th>NW End</th>
<th>SE End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete and made ground</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>1.4m+</td>
<td>1.3m+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

Trench 5 was located in the south edge of the site.
There were no archaeological features in the trench.

5.7 Trench 6

5.7.1 Trench 6 contained no archaeological features.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Context No.</th>
<th>NW End</th>
<th>SE End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete and made ground</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.56m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0.2m</td>
<td>0.32m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>0.9m+</td>
<td>0.88m+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

Trench 6 was located in the south-east corner of the site.
There were no archaeological features in the trench.
6 THE FINDS

6.1 Pottery by Berni Seddon
6.1.1 A total of sixteen sherds of pottery were recovered from the evaluation. This included 6 sherds (87g) of grog-tempered ware and 1 sherd (26g) of shell-tempered pottery from the fill of a pit (111). 9 sherds of a coarse, shell-tempered pottery was found in context (117), the fill of ditch [118]. This material is consistent with local Iron Age pottery fabrics.

6.2 Animal Bone by Kevin Rielly
6.2.1 Methodology

The bone was recorded to species/taxonomic category where possible and to size class in the case of unidentifiable bones such as ribs, fragments of longbone shaft and the majority of vertebra fragments. Recording follows the established techniques whereby details of the element, species, bone portion, state of fusion, wear of the dentition, anatomical measurements and taphonomic including natural and anthropogenic modifications to the bone were registered.

6.2.2 Description of faunal assemblage

There were just two bones hand collected from this site, namely part of a cattle mandible (adjacent to the toothrow) from the fill (117) of cut [118] (in 3 pieces, fresh breaks); and part of a cattle-size rib from the fill [107] of ditch [108]. The latter piece had been butchered with chop marks on the medial (interior) surface (most probably made by a metal instrument) either end of a 4cm section of rib shaft. Both of these bones were well preserved although the mandible had undergone a minor level of root etching.

6.2.3 Conclusion and recommendations for further work

The good condition of these few fragments suggests that further excavation will undoubtedly provide more faunal material. However the quantity so far recovered is rather small and a rather major excavation may be required to provide enough bones to facilitate any discussion of faunal usage at this
occupation site. It follows from the location of these finds that further work should be concentrated in the north-eastern part of the site. In addition, the good condition of the bones also suggests the likely survival of smaller bones and thus it is recommended that a sieving programme be incorporated in any later work at this site.
7 DISCUSSION

7.1 The evaluation consisted of a total of 6 trenches placed to test the archaeological potential of the development area.

7.2 Although the site had been heavily developed there was subsoil present in most of the trial trenches and any archaeology that may have been present in them would have suffered little from modern intrusion. The lack of archaeological features identified in Trenches 1, 4, 5 and 6 is therefore likely to be due to an absence of activity and not modern destruction. The features found in Trench 2 were mostly sealed by the subsoil deposit.

7.3 The evaluation identified a concentration of archaeological remains in the north eastern corner of the development area, contained entirely within Trench 2. These remains consisted of five ditches, one pit, one posthole and one grave.

7.4 Ditches [108], [110] and [118] are aligned east to west and their close proximity to each other suggests that they represent separate phases of the same boundary.

7.5 Evidence of Late Bronze Age ditches along with postholes, gullies, and pits were found during an archaeological evaluation at the former British Sugar Works site (c.150m north-east of the development area). Another archaeological evaluation on the same site revealed evidence of Iron Age activity (Garwood 2014). The Iron Age enclosures and field system ditches found at the nearby Sugar Works together with the features identified in this evaluation suggest the presence of settlement in the immediate area, the core of which is perhaps located beyond the limit of the development area.

7.6 Although no datable finds were recovered from the unexcavated Grave [116] it is likely to be of Roman date. Three inhumation burials (HER 1405), probably Roman in date, were found in 1931 close to the location of Grave [116]. In addition the skeletal remains of a severely disturbed 4th century inhumation (HER 10086) were found within the southern boundary of the site in the early 1920s (Garwood 2014), suggesting the PDA may at least
partially cover an area of Roman burials or a small cemetery site.
8 CONCLUSIONS

8.1 Archaeological activity was concentrated in the north-east corner of the site. No archaeological remains were identified in the remainder of the site.

8.2 The trial trench evaluation has identified evidence for Iron Age activity, possibly relating to nearby settlement, as suggested by the presence of contemporary remains revealed in adjacent evaluations. The grave may relate to a larger complex of Roman burials.
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APPENDIX 1: PLATES

Plate 1: Trench 5, view south-east.

Plate 2: Trench 6, view north-east.
Plate 3: Trench 2, view north-east.

Plate 4: Trench 2, view south-west.
Plate 5: Ditch [114], view southeast.

Plate 6: Unexcavated Grave [116], view east.
11 APPENDIX 2: CONTEXT INDEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Trench Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tarmac and concrete made ground</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Fill of [104]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Boundary Ditch</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Posthole</td>
<td>Fill of [106]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Posthole</td>
<td>Posthole</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Fill of [108]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Boundary Ditch</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Fill of [110]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Boundary Ditch</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>Fill of [112]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Fill of [114]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Boundary Ditch</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>Fill of [116]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>Grave</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Fill of [118]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>Boundary Ditch</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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