Francis Webster and the Kirkland Tan-Yards at Kendal, with a contribution towards his ancestry

BLAKE TYSON, B.Sc., Ph.D.

ANGUS Taylor’s research published in The Websters of Kendal provides an excellent base from which to study other aspects of the work and lives of that branch of the family. This article is written in two parts. The first part will examine the background to an aspect of Kendal’s history when Francis Webster (1767-1827) was asked for advice about removing some old tan-yards near Nether Bridge, followed by landscaping work and the design and building of a small lodge. Taylor’s book notes the scheme briefly, but the way in which it was instigated and developed is worth much closer inspection, especially regarding Francis’s contribution, the personalities involved and their interactions. In the second part, his family will be traced back to before 1650 to complement recent research by Janet Martin.

From tan-yards to pleasure grounds

Changes made to the Kendal glebe after 1822 by the vicar, Revd John Hudson, have been mentioned briefly by earlier authors but Chester Diocese papers, now at the Cumbria Record Office in Kendal, contain details of what, why and how changes were made, and reveal the extent of Webster’s involvement. However, it is necessary first to examine parts of John Hudson’s career and family background to establish aspects of his social contacts and motivation for undertaking the project. Baptised at Beetham on 14 March 1773, he was the youngest son of a farmer, John Hudson of Haverbrack, and Isabella (Muckalt) who married at St. Mary’s, Lancaster, on 4 June 1750. After education at Heversham Grammar School, their son entered Trinity College, Cambridge on 20 March 1793, aged 20. In 1797 he was senior wrangler in mathematics and became a Fellow in 1798. As a tutor in his college, he earned respect from many students who later filled influential positions. Ordained priest at Ely in 1807, John had to resign from his tutorship in order to marry Frances, the daughter of Captain Culliford, at Beetham on 22 February 1816. He was appointed vicar of Kendal in 1815 and stayed until his death on 31 October 1843. He divided his time between his parish and his 28¼ acre estate at Haverbrack, where the 1851 census notes two servants living with his widow Frances, aged 70, and born at Preston. She was baptised at St. John’s Church Preston, on 30 July 1779, the daughter of William and Ann Culliford. Still of Haverbrack, she was buried at Beetham on 11 September 1856, aged 76. The Hudson’s children were Isabella, born (and baptised by her father) on 14 April 1819 and William Culliford Hudson baptised on 4 May 1823, who died on 16 January 1829 aged 5 years 8 months.

At Kendal on 18 May 1841, Isabella Hudson married John Yeats Thexton who was born (and baptised by his father, Joseph Thexton of Ashton House, vicar of Beetham) on 14 June 1819. The latter had married Jennet Yeats on 31 March 1818 with her
elder brother Revd Edward Yeats, Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, officiating. Joseph Thexton had a daughter Agnes born on 31 March 1822 and his wife was buried on 23 February 1823, aged 40, with John Hudson officiating. After 33 years as vicar of Beetham, Joseph Thexton died on 27 June 1844 aged 81. The 1851 census recorded John Yeats Thexton, as a landed proprietor and Isabella (both 31), with a son Edward aged 3, and five servants. After graduating at Trinity College, Edward was buried on 2 April 1874, aged 26. His younger sister Jennet Frances was baptised at Beetham on 9 September 1852. John Yeats Thexton was buried on 20 July 1859 aged 40. His widow Isabella lived on at Ashton House until at least 1881, but moved to Temple Bank, Beetham before she was buried on 8 November 1889 aged 70. The 1881 census notes that her daughter Frances (28) was married to Charles Frith Hudson (32), born at Marylebone, London. By 1891, that couple lived at Temple Bank with three servants, but had no children. The 1910 Inland Revenue Valuation Survey shows that her husband owned a few properties but ‘Mrs C[harles] Frith Hudson’, living at Ashton House, owned many including Temple Bank, the Wheatsheaf Inn, post office, reading room, 12 houses, 20 cottages, other buildings, woods, shooting and
Fig. 2. Extracts from plans of Kendal: (a) by John Todd (1787) and (b) by John Wood (1833). On (a) the tan-yard buildings show an arrangement compatible with the glebe plan but with some disagreement over the orientation of a building beside Kirkland. The improvement in environmental quality is clearly shown on (b) and compares well with details on the O.S. 1:500 plan surveyed before 1860.
about 425 acres of farm land, mostly tenanted.\textsuperscript{17} She was the last direct descendant of John Hudson. This summary shows some of John’s influential social contacts and the great influence exerted by Trinity College, which controlled the livings of 59 parishes including Kendal, Heversham, Sedbergh, Kirkby Lonsdale and Aysgarth.\textsuperscript{18}

Regarding Hudson’s alterations to his vicarage glebe, the earliest surviving document is an advertisement announcing that two tan-yards, both on the east side of Kirkland, tenanted by George Yeates and Thomas Birkett respectively, were to be let at the Commercial Inn, on Friday 28 June 1822 at 7pm. They were to be entered at Martinmas 1822 when the old leases expired. Particulars were available at the vicarage and the office of Christopher Wilson, solicitor.\textsuperscript{19} Nobody attended the auction. Hudson probably realised, and perhaps was pleased, that the lack of interest gave him a good opportunity to improve his grounds more in keeping with his social connections and attractive vicarage.\textsuperscript{20} Thus, he had the tan-yard buildings and site surveyed and a report prepared before applying to the patrons, the Master, Fellows and Scholars of Trinity College, Cambridge, to obtain permission for the changes. They replied that, although the tan-yards could not at that time be let, they might be in the future, and wished this method to be tried. Only a draft site plan (Figure 1; undated, probably 1823) and the advertisement have survived from this episode, but detail of what happened was presented in Hudson’s next attempt to be rid of the stench and ugly buildings of the tan-yards, which J. F. Curwen stated were introduced by vicar Crosby in 1700 to increase glebe revenue.\textsuperscript{21}

Obeying the patrons’ decision, Hudson again advertised in Kendal newspapers and issued handbills with a similar typeface and identical details, except for date (Friday 27 August 1824) and printer (John Briggs, Gazette Office, Market Place). No interest was shown, so in 1825 Hudson again sought permission, and included an account of what had already happened. In January 1823 John Hudson had had the site:

surveyed by Mr Webster (Architect) and Mr William Fisher (Joiner) who made a report\textsuperscript{22} that the Tanning Trade in Kendal was upon the Decline (several Tan-yards being either done away with, or unoccupied); and as the Tan-yards on the Vicarage Premises were so near the River as to be liable to great Damage in High-floods which are very frequent; and lastly as the Buildings upon them (which had been very imperfectly made from the first) were now from length of time in a state of indifferent Repair, they recommend ‘That the Buildings upon the said Tan-yards should be entirely removed, and the ground on which they stand be annexed to the Vicarage Premises.’

Hudson probably gave similar persuasive information previously but now added the patrons’ decision and the failure again to find tan-yard tenants. If the latter and the report’s pessimism over a decline in tanning were not merely Hudson’s devices to be rid of nuisance neighbours, even though that would reduce glebe income, they clash with a statement that, in 1850, there were 23 tanneries active ‘within the township of Kendal’.\textsuperscript{23} The letter was signed by the 11 churchwardens representing Kendal, plus Francis Webster and William Coward as ‘successor to Wm Fisher’.\textsuperscript{24} Hudson had no doubt picked two trusted churchmen to make the site report to suit his purposes, but neither would have signed the letter unless they made the recommendations and believed the stated situation to be true. Such reports for site and structural surveys were as important in an architect’s work as making plans and overseeing building work. Wisely, Hudson, with a view to obtaining a faculty for the work, also enrolled the
support of one of his former Cambridge students, namely the new Bishop of Chester, appointed in 1824. The vicar’s report, dated 30 August 1825, has the bishop’s response on its third side, dated at Kendal next day. It was probably the bishop’s first chance to view the project, to renew social contact and perhaps attend to other church affairs. He concluded:

Having considered the foregoing statement and surveyed the Premises in question, I am decidedly of opinion that the removal of the ruinous Buildings now standing therein, will be of benefit to the Living, provided that the site and yard adjoining be converted into a garden, to be added to the Vicarage garden and an approach made through the same to the Vicarage House: and I therefore recommend the vicar to apply to my Court at Richmond for a Faculty to empower him to carry such improvements into effect. [signed] C. J. Chester

As the existing plan is partly a draft, tidy copies of it and all documents were sent to Trinity College on 16 September 1825 and a reply, dated 4 October, was written by William Wordsworth’s brother, Christopher (1774-1846), who had been educated at Hawkshead before entering Trinity in 1792. He was Master of the College from 1820-1841. He apologised for a delay caused by too few Seniors returning to College until Fellowship examinations began. That morning their meeting had agreed to allow the vicar to apply for a faculty:

to pull down certain decayed Buildings heretofore used for . . . a Tan-yard; and to apply the site . . . to improve the approach to the Vicarage House and . . . enlargement of the Vicarage garden . . . [adding that] proceeds arising from disposing of the old materials would be laid out in some permanent improvement to the vicarage. I congratulate you cordially on the prospect of your effecting so material an improvement to your Premises and am

my dear Sir / With great regard / Very truly yours / Chr. Wordsworth

After the formality of business, his closing words suggest both close acquaintance and distinct friendship. Later documents include a proxy, dated 19 January 1826, in the Consistory Court of Richmond, by which Hudson retained William Pybus (proctor) to seek a faculty for the work. Apart from referring to Francis Webster as ‘an able and experienced architect’, his transcript for the court’s consideration added nothing to Hudson’s evidence, but turned it into more than three large pages without a single full stop.

No faculties survive in the church archive, but a comparison of town plans by John Todd (1787) and John Wood (1833) (Fig. 2) indicates that one was granted and the scheme completed with a lodge beside the entrance to the vicar’s new carriageway, but in a different place from the sketched idea. The 1:500 O.S. plan, published in 1861, shows a summer house near the lodge, and a circular one in the north-east corner of the vicarage grounds, adding to the pleasant setting created by Hudson’s landscaping, despite a malt kiln and the rear of many terraced properties in Kirkland standing along the western boundary. Demolition of these buildings in 1903 allowed road widening as recorded in three old photographs. That work stopped at the vicarage’s old entrance that had been hemmed in between the terrace’s northern end and the Ring o’ Bells Inn. Hudson’s improvements still benefit the appearance of Kendal’s southern approach but, 16 years after his death, the old vicarage was demolished and replaced, in 1859-60, to designs by Bowman and Crowther of Manchester, for Revd John Cooper (vicar 1858-1896). In turn, this vicarage was swept away and replaced
Francis Webster, as ‘an able and experienced architect’, made a structural survey of the tan-yard buildings and wrote a report with major recommendations. His landscape contribution was more as a facilitator than as a visionary. His careful pencil-drawn layout of the old buildings suggests that he actually measured the site and drew it, probably on drafting paper, to a scale of 1 inch = 40 feet, to match an earlier ink plan of the vicarage grounds. Handwriting showing the ‘Present Entrance . . .' by the Ring o’ Bells, the ‘Intended Entrance’ and the ‘Barn & Stable’ is Hudson’s. Pin-pricks in the paper, seen with a magnifying glass, are enhanced for clarity in Figure 1 and indicate that Webster pricked salient points through to this plan from his draft, before making final copies for Cambridge and the Consistory Court. A crude plan in pencil for a lodge and entrance, sketched on the draft, is probably Hudson’s work. It shows doors and windows for two rooms aligned so that the street frontage made a side of a triangular entrance, with a wall north of the gateway to balance the composition. It was not built like that but at the southern limit of the site, with a different plan and orientation. The O.S. 1:500 plan of Kendal (surveyed no later than 1859, as it includes the old vicarage) shows the lodge measuring about 26 by 15 feet, with a south wing of about 13 by 8 feet. Its rear wall was built on top of an older thick wall, forming the northern side of the approach to the ford across the river Kent. Its rooms would include a living room with a box-bay window in its west gable wall for seeing visitors as they approached the ornamental iron gates (Fig. 3). A bedroom would be at the east end, while the kitchen in the rear wing had a door for easy access to the gate. A coalhouse and privy were in the back yard. The lodge is seen in two old photographs. A rear view shows the roof arrangement; the other, the gates and railings to defend the vicar’s privacy. Pierced bargeboards on the west gable are of the same design as those at Francis Webster’s own house at Eller How in Lindale-in-Cartmel, where building started about 1818 and continued until after Francis died there on 10 October 1827, aged 60. The bargeboard pattern was not uncommon, but no other buildings illustrated in *The Websters of Kendal* have that same detail and they might offer a clue as to whether the lodge was completed before or after Francis’s death, perhaps using bargeboards left over from work at Eller How.
Fig. 3. (a) Plan of the Lodge (later known as Glebe Cottage) drawn to a scale of 1:250 to show the final form and position (Source: Ordnance Survey 1:500 plan). (b) A west elevation of the Lodge, created from measurements scaled from the O.S. 1:500 plan and details sketched, to approximate scale from a photograph, taken before the old Malt kiln Cottages were demolished in 1906 (Source: Margaret and Percy Duff, Kendal in Old Photographs (1992), 136).
The ancestry of Francis Webster

Francis was born on 1 May 1767, the youngest son of Robert Webster, stonemason of Quarry Flatt, near Cark (SD 347 767) and his wife Ann (née Crosfield) of Cartmel, who married on 7 July 1754. As family details of their seven children have been studied by Janet Martin and his mother’s family has been traced, the main contribution now needed for the Webster genealogy is to deduce the ancestors of Francis’s father, whose origins have eluded other searchers. Robert’s memorial at Cartmel records that he died on 10 April 1799 aged 72, so was born before April 1727. A search of the Mormon microfiches for all the neighbouring counties found only one feasible baptism. It was for Robert, the son of Thomas and Mary Webster of Kendal, on 1 June 1726, when the Holy Trinity registers noted that they lived in Stricklandgate. Robert was already of Cartmel by 1754, and Francis Webster may have served a stonemason’s apprenticeship there with him, until the age of 21, so that he completed it in 1788, just in time to design the obelisk on Kendal’s Castle Howe, generally regarded as his first work. It was built by William Holme who became his building partner for several years. Francis probably felt that, in such a commanding position, it would be a fine advertisement for his range of skills and would attract interest from prospective clients. As early as 1790, he replaced John Fisher as a mason who regularly undertook sundry building work at Kendal church, and this continued until 1821. The apparent ease with which Francis was accepted in Kendal gives significant support to the conclusion that his father was born there.

On 11 November 1725 Thomas Webster, saddler, obtained a licence to marry Mary Nicholson of Kendal at the chapel in Garsdale and married her there that same day. Both were stated to be ‘above 21 years’ of age, while their son’s baptism date indicates that she was about three months pregnant at the time. The location and the fact that the bondsman was an Anthony Nelson of Sedbergh, may suggest that Mary was from that area and could have moved to Kendal to find work, perhaps in service. However, her surname was rare in Garsdale and Mary Nicholson’s baptism has not been found there or in Kendal between 1693 and 1710. The Holy Trinity registers note that Thomas was baptised on 18 July 1707, the son of Robert Webster of Kendal. If, as recorded, Thomas was over 21 at his marriage, he would have been born before 11 November 1704. If he was thereby a twin to his sister Frances (see below) who was baptised 22 May 1704, his baptism would need to have been delayed for over three years, for unknown reasons, and the register does not give an age that might be provided in such a case. A different explanation is more likely. He could have given false details of both his age and trade status to obtain a licence to marry in a remote place where the couple were strangers, not because Mary was pregnant, but because many Kendalians would know his real age and the vicar could easily confirm deception by checking the parish register.

The Kendal borough apprenticeship records note that Thomas Webster was the son of Robert Webster of Kendal, shearman dyer, deceased, and was indentured to a Thomas Baldwin, saddler of Kendal, for seven years from 12 June 1721. Thus he would have been less than four and a half years into his term when he married. He would have broken two of the strict conditions of apprenticeship that banned fornication and marriage.
The normal penalty was to be rejected by the trade Company, which would have prevented him from becoming a journeyman, master and freeman. Perhaps Thomas was frustrated by confines imposed by apprenticeship or he might have become disenchanted with the prospect of being a saddler, and he certainly sampled the delights of natural life. There is no sign of disagreement with his master and Baldwin had not died, since he took on John Wilson, son of Robert Wilson, a Kendal innkeeper, as an apprentice in 1727. If Thomas was of full age in 1725, it is possible that his indiscretion could have been condoned if his master and the Company believed he was a good worker worthy of such leniency. There is evidence for a precedent in Kendal early in the seventeenth century. It concerns a Robert Blisse, apparently aged about 18½ when he was indentured as a shearman in January 1603-04 for seven years. In April 1608, when his apprenticeship still had two and a half years to run, he married Agnes Sudgner of Highgate, aged 30 and pregnant. The child was baptised in September 1608, but despite his transgression, Blisse was able to take on Henry Wales as his first shearman apprentice on 24 June 1610, and in December he became a freeman. By comparison, if as seems most likely, Thomas Webster was indeed born in 1707 and was no more than a lusty 18-year-old in 1725, it is unlikely that his indiscretion would be condoned. As there is no sign of Thomas taking apprentices before the surviving borough records end in 1736, he probably failed to complete his training and had to earn a living as best he could. However, his problem does not affect proof of his forebears’ identity, but makes details of his later life difficult to trace.

Thomas and Mary Webster had five children baptised: Robert (above); Mark (27 April 1729); Agnes (2 July 1732); Lidia (18 August 1735) and Mary (26 November 1738). Mary, wife of Thomas Webster ‘of Market Place’ was buried on 31 December 1745. Then, on 12 January 1756, Lidia, daughter of Thomas Webster ‘of Kendal workhouse’ was buried when she would be 20 years of age. Lidia was old enough to be an inmate as an individual but the quotation is ambiguous, since it is not made clear whether she, he or both were in the workhouse, or whether perhaps he was employed there in some capacity, and no records have been found to clarify it. If the family was suffering hard times after Mary’s death this might explain why Robert Webster moved away, but it is not known when or where he was apprenticed. As Kendal borough apprentice records contain few wallers and no masons, the latter probably found the hard, local limestone difficult to work, so that the easily worked Triassic sandstone near Cark would be an attraction to Robert. If he was apprenticed when he was 15, he would finish by 1748, and have six years to gather experience and business contacts before he married Ann Crosfield, the orphaned daughter of Robert Crossfield, a wig maker who was buried on 28 June 1748 after his wife Ann had died giving birth to Ann in 1732.

Thomas Webster’s father Robert married Agnes Ellwood of Kendal Market Place on 29 July 1703 and had two daughters baptised: Frances (22 May 1704) and Agnes (5 June 1709). Thus it would be possible for Thomas to have been a twin of Frances, but it seems very unlikely. On balance the available evidence points to his birth in 1707. Their father was buried at Kendal on 11 April 1712, after making a will on 4 April, which described him as an inn holder, sick and infirm. He left his burgage house in the Market Place to his widow Agnes until Thomas came of age, but she was to pay John Atkinson, bailiff, £20 plus interest to redeem a mortgage on the house.
When Robert's widow died, Thomas was to pay £10 each to his sisters, and also £10 to 'Margaret Ellwood my daughter-in-law', as each became 21 or sooner if they married. She was actually his step-daughter, baptised on 29 June 1702, the daughter of a Robert Ellwood who married Agnes Atkinson on 9 December 1701 with some urgency, when both were of Market Place. Robert Ellwood was buried on 30 April 1703 and three months later she married Robert Webster. Agnes was baptised on 24 August 1679, daughter of John Atkinson of Stricklandgate, perhaps the mortgagee of Webster's burgage house. Treating his step-daughter as his own daughter says a lot for Robert Webster's personality. His inventory, dated 29 May 1712, forms Appendix 1. It records what might be expected for an innkeeper but while it lists well-furnished rooms, it does not distinguish private from public. Sundry contents of the brew house and cellar plus his malt at two mills, made up about 50% of his assets of £65 13s. 8d., but this was reduced by debts of £25 6s. 0d.

The Kendal apprentice records show that Robert was apprenticed for seven years from 26 March 1696, to Jonathan Dodgson, a shearman dyer, and was a son of Robert Webster of Cockermouth, deceased. He had already been sworn as a free man dyer on 10 June 1703 when, only four months out of his apprenticeship, he married Agnes Ellwood on 29 July. Only nine years later, his will described him as an inn keeper, but he was still referred to as a 'shearman' at Thomas's indenture in 1721. Perhaps it sounded better. The 1712 inventory suggests that Robert may have done some dyeing as bi-employment, hence the '10 hanks of yarn', but that his main work was keeping an inn in the Market Place. As that was both his bride's and her first husband's address, it seems that Robert had married into inn keeping and adapted his other trade. It raises the question of whether Thomas, having surely forfeited his trade in 1725 by sowing seeds for a hard life including contact with the workhouse, might have followed his father in inn keeping. He would not be able to do so until he was aged at least 21. Thus for 16-years after his father's death, and perhaps for some time longer, his mother Agnes could have kept the inn to sustain her family and it is significant that, when Thomas's wife Mary died in 1745, he was still of Market Place. Suitable alehouse records do not exist to explore this likely occupation.

The Cockermouth ancestors

At Cockermouth, Robert's father was buried at All Saints Church on 16 March 1692/3, and was noted as Mr Robert Webster, with no detail to clarify the reason for the status. It was not a common surname in the area, but 14 earlier register entries also refer to Mr Robert Webster including the baptism, on 18 May 1680, of his son Robert who was almost 16 when apprenticed in Kendal and 23 at his marriage. Robert Webster 'Gent' made a will on 25 February 1692-93 when he was 'weak in body'. To his wife Frances, he left his 'Free house wherein I now dwell,' stables, hay lofts, garths and back rooms and also a 'barn called Porter Barn with the Stables and Garths . . . for the residue of a lease for three lives'. A son Thomas had already promised to free 'my executrix from all debt', so the inventory has none. Thomas was to pay £20 each to 'my five children' Isabel, Joyce, Robert, Edward and Frances as each reached 20 years old, which probably helped Robert pay his freeman's fees at Kendal and get married soon after. For his troubles Thomas was to have the house, Porter Barn, and
Fig. 4. Outline family tree for ancestors of Francis Webster (1767-1827).

Note: Cockermouth registers begin 1632. *In parish register gap 1659-54 inclusive. ? nothing found.
everything else after his mother died. Robert left to another adult son Richard £1 to buy a ring, as if he had already had part of his father’s estate or was out of favour for some reason. If Thomas defaulted, Frances as the executrix was to sell the properties except, significantly, for ‘one cupboard to be continued successively in my house with TW I upon it which was my father’s’. If the pattern of family forenames is any guide, Robert’s father was no doubt named Thomas with a wife whose initial was either I or J.

Besides the ‘body of the house’ Robert’s inventory (Appendix 2) names a little parlour, the great parlour, a back room, two little rooms over the house and parlour, and a room over the great parlour, but contents were not detailed. The fact that the only furnishings noted were beds and bedding which accounted for almost a quarter of his assets, and that bed and table linen took up a further 8 per cent, makes it clear that they were important possessions, suggesting perhaps that he kept an inn for overnight visitors and to serve meals. If so, he supported it with some farming as evidenced by his horses, cow, pigs and 34 sheep and the details of the land and buildings noted in his will. Of Robert’s adult sons Thomas was probably the elder as he was responsible for settling debts and paying bequests to the younger children of whom Robert, later of Kendal, was the oldest boy. They were baptised: Isabel (20 September 1676); Elizabethe (18 November 1677, bur. 28 April 1681); Robert (18 May 1680-April 1712); John (24 May 1682, buried next day); Edward (23 May 1683) and Frances (14 May 1685). Joyce’s baptism, probably between Elizabeth and Robert, has not been found but will help to show that her father married twice, though neither event has been found in Cockermouth or nearby parishes. On 23 February 1680/1, Robert Webster nephew of Mr Robert Webster was buried. If he lived with his uncle, the reason was not stated and his father has not been identified. Other sources reveal more details of Robert’s life.

To find why Robert Webster was accorded the title ‘Mr’ a search was made in catalogues of records still kept at Cockermouth Castle. Volume 45 lists names of important estate employees, and among them Robert Webster is noted as Bailiff of the Five Towns from 1664-1692. Appointed under Algernon, 10th Earl of Northumberland, who died in 1668, his employment was renewed by the 11th Earl, Jocelyn (died 1670), who expressed ‘the special trust and confidence I have in him . . . to be my bailiff and collector of my rents and revenues whatsoever in the manor of Quinque Vill’. He was to exercise the office ‘during my will and pleasure’ being paid ‘such fees & allowances as have bee formerly paid’ to others. A key role was to attend the Five Towns court leet, normally held at Easter. His predecessor (1651-1663) was John Fletcher, while his successor William Ewart (1692-1708) witnessed Robert’s will. The three chief manors of the Honour of Cockermouth were Cockermouth, Derwent Fells, and the Five Towns, each with a head jury and bailiff. Greaves managed most of about 20 smaller units. The manorial accounts are tediously repetitive from 1654 till the late eighteenth century. For the Five Towns, Robert Webster’s first annual account is typical of the rest and is quoted in Table 1. In addition, a single voucher has been found to illustrate part of his duties in the estate hierarchy. Headed quinque vill, it is dated 19 October 1691, and acknowledges £7 17s. 4d. ‘Rec[eee]d then of Mr Robert Webster Baylliffe there in p[ar]te of the Rent & arrears’, signed by Thomas Beach, the estate steward.
Before his 1664 appointment as bailiff of the Five Towns, the burgesses had elected Robert Webster to be Bailiff of Cockermouth for 1657.61 Thus, he was a burgess with a freehold burgage and was responsible for summoning other burgesses to that manor court, and then swearing a 12-man jury to oversee town affairs. The two appointments allow other parish register entries referring to Mr Webster to be interpreted. For example, Mrs Jane Webster, wife of Mr Robert Webster, was buried at All Saints, Cockermouth on 19 May 1673, and he had daughters baptised there: Jane (26 May 1657) and Joyce (29 October 1659, buried 6 October 1661). This confirms that he married twice, but his marriage to Jane and baptisms of his sons Thomas and Richard, mentioned in his will, are probably lost in a gap in the Cockermouth registers from 1650 to 1654 inclusive. Joyce, noted in Robert’s will, was clearly by his second wife Frances and, as the other children of that union were listed in order of age, not boys then girls, Joyce was probably born about 1678-9, but her baptism has not been found after 1661 when her namesake was buried.

Robert Webster contributed to the cost of building the Town Hall in 1690.62 As one of 34 townsmen who led (or paid for leading) 41 horse-loads of slate brought to the site, he was credited with one load.63 Also he gave 6d. towards £3 4s. 8d. ‘given to . . . workmen when the[y] Built the Towne Halle’,64 but he took no part in the work. Both gifts were in the lowest category, as were most other donations, suggesting that he had only modest means. His bailiff’s annual fee of 25s., and limited farming activity mainly at Porter Barn, where he probably grew crops and kept 34 sheep; and some land near his house, where he had hay-lofts, stables and no doubt pig sties, would probably be insufficient for his needs. Income from a possible inn and extra perquisites from his manorial activities would have been very welcome. His horses could be for farm work and to carry him about on business and to scattered properties in his rural manor. While his inventory is rather disappointing, it would indeed be exciting if the initialled cupboard still exists and could be found. A search of Cockermouth deeds failed to prove the exact location of Webster’s burgage, but it may have been in the Main Street ‘at the Town End’ on the east side of a quarter-burgage sold on 4 October 1682 by a Henry Jackson to George Palmer of Dovenby, whose descendants held it until 1738.65
The bundle is listed as ‘Burgage near Grecian Villa, 1682-1780’, so was probably on the south side of the street about 300 yards east of Derwent Bridge.

A document, dated 1 June 1667, uncovered another aspect of Webster's life when William Hind, yeoman, mortgaged his quarter of a Cockermouth burgage called Cassbay to Anthony Plaskett, with a proviso for redemption on payment of £10. Hind signed a ‘Letter of Attorney for Robt. Webster & Edw. Cowpland to Deliver possession & seizin’ to Plasket perhaps, as in many other cases, because he did not want to attend a court leet to transfer ownership publicly. On 28 May 1668 Hind released his rights to Plasket. By another letter of attorney, dated 26 October 1711, a Richard Webster and his wife Agnes of Penrith appointed John Langton, a Cockermouth merchant, to surrender two acres of customary land in Brigham to Thomas France the elder, a Cockermouth yeoman. This would avoid a long journey to the Court.

So far no other information about Robert Webster’s life has been found, but to be undertaking the sort of work already identified, it is likely that as the Bailiff of Cockermouth in 1657 he would be aged about 30, indicating birth in the late 1620s. The earliest All Saints register dates from 1632. Robert would be about 65 when he died, and his parents’ initials are known from the cupboard. As the surname was not common in the area, the burial of Thomas Webster on 26 June 1646 at Cockermouth is important. No inventory or will exists, but administration was granted on 12 October 1647, to Peter Murthwaite of Cockermouth and Henry Rowling (signed Ralling) of Innerdale (Ennerdale), gentleman, with a £300 bond to administer the estate for ‘the only use and benefit of Joyce Murthwaite’, Peter’s wife ‘late wife of . . . Thomas Webster, deceased’. If Henry Rowling was Murthwaite’s guarantor, the large penalty is a sign that Rowling was relatively wealthy, that Joyce’s estate was considerable, that there was an absolute determination that her new husband should not take financial advantage of her or her children, and that Rowling had complete faith that this would be so. Mr Peter Murthwaite’s family was baptised: Richard (in 1634); Henry (27 August 1635, buried 17 July 1640); Peter (29 January 1636/7 when the father was the ‘Schoolem[aste]r of Cockermouth’. Baby Peter was buried 9 July 1637. More baptisms followed: Mabel (22 April 1638); William (9 July 1639); Mary (2 October 1641); Elizabeth (26 October 1642) and Joseph (2 September 1644). Mary, wife of Mr Peter Murthwaite, was buried on 14 October 1645, so he needed another wife quickly. He appears to have had no more children. Joyce’s burial has not been found, but it could have been in the 1650-54 gap, in which Peter seems to have married again since, on 10 December 1665, Ellen wife of Mr Peter Murthwaite was buried. On 16 November 1675, Mr Peter Murthwaite was buried and was recorded as the vicar of Gilcrux and schoolmaster of Cockermouth.

As Thomas Webster was intestate, he probably died unexpectedly and relatively young, so would have been born about 1600. His widow’s quick remarriage suggests that she had children to support, no doubt including Robert and his unidentified brother, whose son Robert died in February 1680-81. As Robert Webster had a daughter Joyce who died in 1661 by Jane his first wife and another Joyce by his second wife Frances, his mother must surely have been Joyce, wife of Thomas, linked by the TWI cupboard inscription. As Robert and his brother were not named in the 1647 probate
administration they were probably aged under 21, and would have been born after
1626 which fits with the probable date of Robert’s birth, noted above. The lack of
church registers makes it difficult to be more certain. Since Robert’s will in 1692-3
recorded that the Porter Barn property was still on an unexpired lease for three lives,
it seems that the lease was entered into by Thomas before 1646, but the date, location
and other details have not been found.

Conclusions
While conclusions have been made in the body of this article in response to specific
points of detail, it is clear that the discussion raises many queries, some of which may
be solved eventually. Family members named Thomas provide major challenges for
future research. For Thomas, baptised in 1707, his career and burial details must be
the minimum targets. Thomas, who died in 1646, will be a similarly difficult challenge,
but his birth about 1600, marriage about 1625, children (including Robert’s unknown
brother), occupation, home and cupboard would be of primary importance. Thomas
the son of Robert (died 1692/3) is another target for research, as is his brother Richard
and the five younger children of Robert’s second marriage.

Searches for this article found a mistake in the Beetham parish registers about William
Yeats’s marriage in 1719. Similarly, it is clear that the Ordnance Survey labelling
of the former Kirkland infants’ school is at variance with Thompson’s architect’s
drawing, perhaps caused by an unknown change of mind. In addition, John Hudson’s
glege report suggests that much more research is needed regarding the decline of
tanning in Kendal. While this article should act as a guide for a limited amount of
future research, the detail found in developing just one small element of The Websters
of Kendal suggests that there must be a vast amount of material to be explored and
published if the significance of Angus Taylor’s life’s research is to be fully realised. His
excellent book is a valuable guide to an immense field of further study.
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APPENDIX 1

Inventory of Robert Webster, buried at Holy Trinity, Kendal, 11 April 1712.

A true and perfect Inventory of all the Goods & chattles which was Robert Webster's late of Kendal, innholder, deceased taken and apprized by Thomas Ellwood, Robert Nicholson and Robert Wilson . . . May the 29th 1712.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>£</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imprimis purse &amp; apparrell</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>paved Chamber</strong> a pair of Bedstocks, Fether Bedd, Bolster, 2 pillows,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a pair of Blanketts, a Rugg, a Quilt, 10 hanks of yarn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Table, 4 Chaires &amp; 2 Chists</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 pair of Sheets &amp;c.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Back Loft</strong> one Cubbord, one Chist.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Barrells with Meal in</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthen ware, one Chair &amp; a Rope</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Long Loft</strong> a pair of Bedstocks, a Fether Bedd, Bedding &amp; hangings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Long Table, a Form, a Chist &amp; a chair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>house</strong> three Tables, a Screen, a Chist &amp; 8 chairs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pewther, wood ware, Earthen ware &amp; Brasse ware</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron ware &amp;c on the Sconce</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>parlour</strong> a pair of Bedstocks, hangings and Bedding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Trickle Bedd &amp; Bedding</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Sadle, Boots, two little Truncks, 2 chaires &amp;c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>two Offices</strong> 2 Tables, 14 chaires</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Chamber</strong> a Table &amp; chair, a pair of Bedstocks &amp; Bedding and hangings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Kitchen</strong> 3 Kettle &amp; 2 panns, a Gridle &amp; Brand reth, a Chafeing Dish,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racks &amp; Speet [spit] Tongis and Racken crook, 3 Chaires &amp;c.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Brewhouse</strong> a Lead, a Cooler, 2 Mash Fatts [vats], a Chist,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two hopp Seives a pair of Tongis &amp;c</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 piggs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire wood</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Hay Loft</strong> Hay, Turf, a cart &amp; wheeles, wood vessell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the <strong>Seller</strong> 9 Barrells, one Hogshead &amp; other Runletts and wood vessell,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glassie Bottles &amp; ale &amp;c.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyeing att Natland Miln 34 Bushell Bigg</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malt Lyeing att Castle Miln</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Cow att</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Gallaway att</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oweing by Debts to the deceased</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pd Funerall Expences                                   | 0 | 10| 0 |

Oweing by the deceased to Geo Gruby                    | 10| 0 | 0 |

  to Tho. Chippindale                                  | 3 | 6 | 0 |
  to Mr Jos. Dawson                                    | 3 | 0 | 0 |
  to Chr. [illegible]                                  | 1 | 16| 0 |
  to Tho. [illegible] . . . ken ?                       | 1 | 0 | 0 |
  to [illegible] . . . kefeild ?                       | 0 | 14| 0 |

  25 | 6 | 0
APPENDIX 2

The Inventory of Mr Robert Webster, of Cockermouth, buried 16 March 1692-93.

A true & p’fect Inventory of all the goods & chattels of Mr Robert Webster deceased late of Cockerm’th apprized by James Todd, John Peile, Thomas Benn & Richard Uriel the 29th day of March 1693. *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>£</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imp’mis  The deceased’s apparel</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itm  Horses &amp; [horse] furniture</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beds, bedding &amp; Bedsteads</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The goods in the <strong>little parlor</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods in the body of the <strong>house</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods in the <strong>great parlor</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods in the <strong>back-room</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods in <strong>two little rooms over the house &amp; parlor</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber &amp; husbandry gear</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Cow</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewing vessels of wood</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Cauldron</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pewter of several sorts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed linnen &amp; Table linnen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron pots grates &amp; other iron gear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A musket &amp; Carabine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 sheep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 bushels of big[g]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 pieces of plate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods in the <strong>room over the great parlor</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Total</strong></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apprized the day & year above written by us [no signatures]

[Notes: ‘itm’ before each entry has been omitted, as have leading zeros in the values.

* Three of these men had been Bailiffs of Cockermouth: James Todd in 1681-82 & 1692-93 (thus, on that day the current Bailiff); John Peile in 1655-56 and 1663-34; and Richard Uriel in 1664-65; as was one of the three witnesses to his will, namely Thomas France, younger, in 1690 and 1699 (Bradbury, 252-253)]