

**Scarrow Hill in the Seventeenth Century  
A Reconsideration of Vernacular Architecture c.1600  
near Naworth, Cumbria**

CATHERINE BANCROFT

This paper reconsiders vernacular architecture c.1600 near Naworth, Cumbria. Firstly the author's own property, Scarrow Hill is discussed. Dating evidence both dendrochronological and archival concludes that Scarrow Hill can be securely dated at 1601. Scarrow Hill is then compared with three nearby properties, all built of stone, all included in the 1603 Gilsland Survey and all still extant. Functions of the four buildings are considered with an emphasis on bastles (fortified farmhouses). Bastles are the established vernacular architecture for the area and period and were built as a result of the reiving and instability of the border area. The conclusion suggests that not all stone buildings were bastles as two extant buildings clearly existed in 1603 and show no evidence of ever having been fortified. The suggestion is therefore that bastles in the Naworth area are likely to be earlier in date than previously thought.

**S**CARROW Hill is currently a privately owned stonehouse in a field, surrounded by farmland owned by Naworth Castle Estates. The history and architecture of Scarrow Hill is unusual for two reasons. Firstly the building is fully extant, and therefore the historic walls and roof can be studied in detail. Secondly the present building shows no evidence of fortification although, as set out below, there is evidence of the timbers within it dating from 1601. This in turn may raise questions about the need for, purpose of and dating of bastles around this time in the Naworth area.

Today, Scarrow Hill has become a rather isolated victim of history. It lies at grid reference NY 5695 6185. This is on the very edge of the parish of Brampton in north east Cumbria, near the Northumberland border. Scarrow Hill is now separated from neighbours by the A69 trunk road and by the Newcastle to Carlisle railway, but it was not always so. The Military Road was built in 1758 and the railway in 1838. Both road and railway are at skew alignment to the building.

*The Place-Names of Cumberland, part one* gives various spellings for Scarrow Hill in Brampton.<sup>1</sup> These are Scareckhilles, 1603; Scarrowhill, 1626; Skarehill, 1675; Skarhill, 1699; Scarrow Hill, 1784. The meaning is likely to be 'Projection of land marked by a shieling'. A shieling was generally a place or simple hut where graziers would be temporarily housed when herding animals on high ground in the summer – part of the system of transhumance. Today, this projection of land is dissected by both road and railway embankments.

The original or phase 1 building of Scarrow Hill presents a relatively simple two-storey, four-bay structure, aligned roughly east-west. The bays are formed by three intermediate principal rafters with tie-beam and collar trusses. The collars of each truss are slightly cambered and the trusses carry double, backed purlins to each pitch. There are no trusses at the gable ends.



FIG. 1. Scarrow Hill, April 2006.

The phase 1 dwelling is built of neatly squared stone. This stone looks identical to that still extant on Hadrian's Wall at Banks less than two miles away. Indeed, Hadrian's Wall is visible from the upstairs windows at Scarrow Hill. It is therefore probable that the phase 1 building was built from stone robbed from Hadrian's Wall at a time when this practice was deemed acceptable.

Measured drawings showing the elevations, section and ground floor plan of today's building are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The original or phase 1 building is shown outlined in bold. The dimensions of the phase 1 building are 12.05 metres by 5.83 metres. The current doorways and window openings are not original.

Tree ring analysis of 14 samples obtained from the roof and one ground-floor ceiling timber of Scarrow Hill has produced a single site chronology BRMDSQ01. This comprised all 14 samples, having an overall length of 143 rings. These rings were dated as spanning the years 1459 to 1601. Interpretation of the sapwood on the samples indicates that all the dated timbers, those of the roof proper, the tie-beams, and a ground floor ceiling beam, were all cut as part of a single programme of felling in 1601.<sup>2</sup>

Research of the people who lived at Scarrow Hill further suggests a building erected in 1601. Part of the will of Thomas Hall<sup>3</sup> of Scarrow Hill in the parish of Brampton 1595 states:—





FIG. 2. Measured drawings of Scarrow Hill showing the principal rafter and tie-beam truss roof.  
(N. Bancroft)

## SCARROW HILL

NY569619




FIG. 3. Measured drawings of Scarrow Hill showing the general layout and detail of chimneys.  
(N. Bancroft)

I give and bequeathe: Unto Janet my daugh  
 ter the wyfe of John Bell two oxen that one in his owne hande  
 the other one blacke he had in hylter & two kyme also halted  
 one kalfe, three ewes & two lames, these goodes I give her if  
 her husbände John Bell doo not shame the byldinge of one  
**hope** of expectations but if he do shame the **byldinge of one**  
**house of my expectations** then I will & commande that he  
 have none of these goodes but have husbände John Bell to take  
 that he maie get by law of my expectations, for I do saide  
 repay any thinge before god. I did promyse him such  
 thinges but repay good will to helpe him to that **by byldinge**  
**of one house of two paire a seales** and soo upon good will I maid  
 him **the greate timber of two paire of seales readie** and he so  
 woulde not fetch it, and soo I sould it to one Robart Pair  
 man for xxiiij s

(Thomas Hall's 1595 will, transcribed and annotated by Catherine Bancroft)

Clearly the building of a house is important to Thomas Hall and he is trying to set out his plans in his will. For emphasis, the parts of the will that refer to his hopes of building a house are here highlighted in bold. 'Seale' is an old Cumbrian word referring to willow,<sup>4</sup> or in this case oak. The pairs of oak were therefore probably the principal rafters for the roof of the house.

A house at Scarrow Hill is mentioned in the 1603 Gilsland Survey.<sup>5</sup> The Survey states that Gregory Hall has a 'tenement and close called Skarechilles: more toward the southeaste: by Denton becke in parte on the east and the com pasture on all other partes'. The holding comprised 16 acres, one rood and zero perches, with a value of 2s. 6d. Furthermore John Robinson's copy of the 1603 Gilsland Survey map<sup>6</sup> shows a building on the site of the current building at Scarrow Hill with the land more toward the south-east. The original map was severely damaged by salt water in the Humber Estuary.

The building at Scarrow Hill shows no evidence of any fortification and therefore seems to have been erected as a two-storey building with walls averaging 0.62m at the ground floor. The roof on stone walls (of a similar thickness to those at Scarrow Hill), is very much in keeping with buildings c.1600 in the Skelsmergh area near Kendal, Cumbria.<sup>7</sup>

The tie-beam-and-full-principals truss braced with a collar, and usually supporting two pairs of side purlins, is the most common regional roof type in north-east England of the later sixteenth century and the seventeenth century.<sup>8</sup>

The crucial issue about Scarrow Hill has to be the survival of the complete roof and its origin. This is particularly so considering its dendrochronology date in conjunction with relatively thin walls for 1601, which is considered to be in the middle of the 'bastle building period'. If the roof came from elsewhere and was put on to an eighteenth century building there would be some evidence or sign of dismantling, replacement of some timbers, realignment of purlins, new peg holes, enlarged mortices or new trenches. There is no such evidence at Scarrow Hill. Everything in the roof appears untouched and whole and is in remarkably good condition. The only exception is the

tiebeams which have been hacked about. The tie-beams have been incorporated within internal walls, probably from the Victorian era when the house was divided into two cottages, through to 1981 when these internal walls were removed. As a result of these changes the tie-beams are not in the same excellent condition as the remainder of the roof. Most of their bark has been removed, but enough remains to date them to 1601. The dendro, extant and archive evidence therefore is overwhelming in suggesting that the phase 1 building at Scarrow Hill was erected in 1601.

In the immediate vicinity of Scarrow Hill are three other stone buildings also mentioned in the Gilsland Survey of 1603 and all three are also still extant. The survival of four stone buildings from this period, in close proximity, is unusual. Their similarities and differences, together with their possible uses, is of fundamental importance to the study of vernacular architecture locally. In 1601 Gregory Hall of Scarrow Hill would have known the nearby buildings well and this is likely to have influenced his decisions regarding the size, shape, construction and use of the building he was to erect.

### **Naworth Stonehouse**

This building stands on the eastern edge of Naworth East Park in an elevated position (NY 571 626). It has walls of 1.2m in thickness and was established as a bastle by Ramm *et al.*<sup>9</sup> A bastle is a two-storey, fortified farmhouse with living accommodation on the first floor and an area where animals could be kept downstairs at times of raids or inclement weather. They came into being as a result of the lawlessness and instability in the border area prior to the Union of the Crowns in 1603. The building known as Naworth Stonehouse is of a higher quality than an ordinary bastle and has some windows to the ground floor. The main living accommodation was clearly on the first floor as evidenced by two first-floor fireplaces and first-floor windows.

The dimensions measure 16.4 metres by 7.1 metres.<sup>10</sup> The survival of part of the original roof shows that the building never comprised more than two storeys. The roof, now completely fallen, is according to Ramm *et al.*, 'quite different from the remains of bastle roofs described and has king-post trusses, with the principal rafters rising from the tie-beams'. The 1603 Gilsland Survey records this property as:

The Rowe Denton Mill Anthonie Robinson a tenemt voc Calgarthhill and ground inclosed adjoyninge more easte at the southeaste corner of the Parke: by the demans of the maner in part easte: buttinge south upon the common.

It is of note that this property is described as a tenement and no mention is made of it being a stonehouse. Nevertheless this building has been established as a bastle as mentioned previously. The walling is good squared and coursed rubble and looks identical to the masonry at Scarrow Hill.

### **Denton Foot (NY 572 624)**

Denton Foot stands in a hollow near the Castle Beck on the eastern edge of Naworth Park. Now modernised and used as a dwelling, it is built of rough random rubble

with ashlar dressings.<sup>7</sup> The main body of the farmhouse is formed by a rectangular structure 12.8 metres by 7.3 metres externally over walls 1.2 metres thick.<sup>11</sup>

The Gilsland Survey records that Christopher Bell had a tenement with 26 acres of enclosed ground here, but does not say that the tenement was a stonehouse. The datestone (CB 1594) has been used as dating evidence for the building of bastles by Peter Ryder.<sup>12</sup> However, Curwen regarded the dated lintel of 1594 as the rebuilding by Christopher Bell.<sup>13</sup>

### **Denton Mill (NY 572 623)**

Denton Mill has thinner walls than the previous two buildings. As its name suggests this building was built as a mill, but again as a two-storey building. Of the existing range of buildings, the earliest element was a simple rectangular two-storey building measuring *c.* 11.5 metres by 5.80 metres.<sup>14</sup> These dimensions are very similar to those of Scarrow Hill which measures *c.* 12.0 metres by 5.80 metres. The earliest reference to a mill on this site appears in Robinson and Perriam which states that in 1598 one 'Lancelot Carleton has Denton Hall and Mill'.<sup>15</sup> Denton Mill is again mentioned in the 1603 Gilsland Survey immediately following Christopher Bell (Denton Foot). 'the lord hath a watermill more south at the beekside called Denton Mill'. Further mentions of Denton Mill can be found in the Naworth Household Accounts in 1611–1612, 1621<sup>16</sup> and 1648.<sup>17</sup> According to the building survey there is no evidence for the former existence of any demolished structures within the vicinity of the present mill buildings. Indeed, the mill occupies the best natural site at the base of the steep hillside before the slope levels out.

A copy of the 1603 Gilsland Survey Map<sup>18</sup> for the area under discussion, is shown in Fig. 4. Anthonie Robinson's tenement called Calgarthhill (now ruined and known as Naworth Stonehouse), Christopher Bell's tenement (now known as Denton Foot) and Denton Mill are clearly shown within Nether Denton Parish. Gregory Hall's tenement is shown at Scarrow Hill and is marked as in Brampton. The four buildings shown on the 1603 map all correlate with the buildings marked at the same locations on modern-day Ordnance Survey maps.

Evidence suggests that the most likely purpose for Scarrow Hill was as a blacksmith's forge and house. A blacksmith's hearth on the ground floor at the west end would be the most likely plan, with living accommodation above. The flue is a four-foot wide recessed slot, 14 inches deep (Fig. 3). The recessed slot runs straight from ground floor to roof height. This slot is integral to the original four walls, although the smokehood has long since been removed. The concept of Scarrow Hill as a multipurpose building would be in keeping with the local tradition. The earliest reference to a blacksmiths at Scarrow Hill is in the will and inventory of Gregory Hall in 1607.<sup>19</sup> This inventory lists 'tools of smith craft' and there is evidence of trade with Hexham and Newcastle in that debts are owed to Thomas Clowsson of Newcastle and Anthonie Parker of Hexham. The Naworth Household Accounts are again useful in that in 1650 Thoms Hall, Brampton is recorded as paying £1 10s. in part of his fines for Scarrhill.<sup>20</sup> Tom Hall is also paid in the same year 10s. for 'mending the Boerers and wimbles',





4s. 2d. for 'steeleinge the Boerors' tooles', 6s. 10d. for 'steeleinge the Chisells', 3s. for 'mendinge hacks, picks',<sup>21</sup> 1s. 10d. for 'shooeinge horses',<sup>22</sup> and 8s. 6d. 'for fire Iron and other work'.<sup>23</sup>

Again in 1658 Tom Hall is paid 8s. 4d. for 'worke to the carts' and 4s. 8d. for 'shooeing of carthorses'.<sup>24</sup> Interestingly, in 1648 Tom Hall's wife is paid 9s. 8d. 'for a Rundlett of Ale I had wch my master desired to paye for, and for other Ale sent my master and Lady'.<sup>25</sup> In the eighteenth century Scarrow Hill is named as an Inn or Alehouse on several Alehouse Recognizance Registers.<sup>26</sup>

In the will and inventory of Thomas Hall 1664 everything is left to Thomas' brother William Hall.<sup>27</sup> In 1675 there is a Grant of Messuage of Scarrow Hill to Henry Farlam, in which William Hall is described as a blacksmith of Scarrow Hill.<sup>28</sup>

Only one year of Hearth Tax records have survived for Brampton Parish. The year that survives is 1664 and Thomas Hall is listed as having only one hearth<sup>29</sup>. An external forge in a separate building would have been required to pay Hearth Tax for a second hearth and clearly only one Hearth Tax was paid at Scarrow Hill. Indeed, only furnaces were exempt Hearth Tax, but not forges.<sup>30</sup> Smiths' forges and bakers' ovens were liable.<sup>31</sup> Therefore as Scarrow Hill only had one hearth this is likely to be within the building and not a separate building as with a more modern forge. The recess for the chimney would have accommodated a forge at ground-floor level. There would have been a smokehood and living accommodation on the upper-floor. The one hearth on the ground floor acting as a forge would have kept the whole house warm and the hearth could also be used for cooking and brewing of ale if necessary.

In conclusion, the evidence suggests that Scarrow Hill was built in 1601 as a multi-purpose building with a forge on the ground floor and living accommodation above. The building would have been within the local vernacular architecture tradition of multipurpose buildings such as bastle houses and watermills. The lack of any evidence of fortification at both Scarrow Hill and Denton Mill is unusual given their antiquity and locality. This would suggest that the bastle houses in the Naworth area date from a period earlier than c.1600. New buildings c.1600 were clearly not bastles and therefore suggest a level of stability and peace without any great risk of border reiving. By 1600 the reiver's world was fading. Elizabeth I was well into her 60s and it had been understood for some time that James of Scotland would succeed as king of a united Britain. According to Moffat, this meant no border between separate jurisdictions, no ability to play off one sovereign and their representatives against another, and the more astute of the heidesmen began to turn their minds to the future.<sup>32</sup> Perhaps Gregory Hall was looking to the future when he built Scarrow Hill in 1601.

*catherine.bancroft@scarrowhill.co.uk*

### **Acknowledgements**

Many thanks to all at Cumbria Record Office in Carlisle, especially Tom, David and Barbara for their endless help and patience. Thanks also to Andy Lowe, Robert

Howard, Peter Ryder, Peter Messenger, Blake Tyson and Nina Jennings for help with vernacular architecture. Finally thanks to my husband Neil who says he suffered patiently as he helped me write this article.

## Notes and References

1. A. M. Armstrong, A. Mawer, F. M. Stenton, and Bruce Dickens, *The Place-Names of Cumberland, part one* (Place-Name Society, 1950).
2. A. J. Arnold, R. E. Howard and M. Hurford, *Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from Scarrow Hill, Brampton, Cumbria* (Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, 2009).
3. CRO (C). Will and Inventory of Thomas Hall 1595/6.
4. Joseph Wright (ed.), *English Dialect Dictionary*, Vol. V (Oxford University Press, 1961), 307.
5. T. H. B. Graham (ed.), *The Barony of Gilsland. Lord William Howards Survey taken in 1603* (Titus Wilson & Son, 1934).
6. CRO (C). Copy map of the 1603 Gilsland Survey by John Robinson.
7. Blake Tyson, 'Twenty Cruck Buildings at Skelsmergh, Kendal c.1600', *CW2*, c, 181-206.
8. Martin Roberts, 'A Preliminary Roof Typology for the North East of England c.1200-1700', *Vernacular Architecture*, Vol. 39, (2008), 27-49.
9. H. G. Ramm, R. W. McDowell and E. Mercer, *Shielings and Bastles* (HMSO, 1970).
10. Mike Salter, *The Castles and Tower Houses of Cumbria* (Folly, 1998).
11. P. F. Ryder, 'Notes on Denton Foot and Naworth Park Stonehouse' (unpublished, English Heritage), 2002.
12. Peter Ryder, *Bastle Houses in the Northern Pennines* (North Pennines Heritage Trust, 1996).
13. J. F. Curwen, *Castles and Fortified Towers of Cumberland, Westmorland and Lancashire North of the Sands* (Kendal, 1913).
14. P. M. Cracknell, F. Giecco, F. Wooler, *Report on a Level 3 Building Survey at Denton Mill, Naworth, Cumbria* (North Pennines Heritage Trust, 2003).
15. Denis R. Perriam and John Robinson, *The Medieval Fortified Buildings of Cumbria* (CWAAS, 1998).
16. Rev. George Ormsby (ed.), *The Household Books of Lord William Howard of Naworth Castle* (The Surtees Society, 1878) 58, 160.
17. C. Roy Huddleston, *The Naworth Estate and Household Accounts 1648 to 1660* (The Surtees Society, 1958) 14.
18. Howard Family Papers: Lord William Howard's Maps C713-005 (Howard of Naworth Collection, University of Durham).
19. CRO (C). Will and Inventory of Gregory Hall 1607.
20. C. Roy Huddleston, *The Naworth Estate and Household Accounts 1648 to 1660* (The Surtees Society, 1958) 68.
21. *Ibid.*, *The Naworth Estate*, 87.
22. *Ibid.*, *The Naworth Estate*, 107.
23. *Ibid.*, *The Naworth Estate*, 109.
24. *Ibid.*, *The Naworth Estate*, 200.
25. *Ibid.*, *The Naworth Estate* (The Surtees Society 1958) 52.
26. CRO (C). Alehouse Recognizance Register 1753-1772 Eskdale Ward.
27. CRO (C). Will and Inventory of Thomas Hall 1664/5.
28. Howard Family Papers: Cumberland Deeds and Manorial Documents, Part 1 1970 C13/1 (Howard of Naworth Collection, University of Durham).
29. CRO (C). Hearth Tax Returns 1664 Brampton.
30. Adolph Anthony *Tracing your Home's History* (Collins, 2006).
31. Colin Phillips, Catherine Ferguson and Andrew Wareham, *Westmorland Hearth Tax* (The British Record Society and CWAAS, 2008).
32. Alistair Moffat, *The Reivers* (Birlinn, 2007).