

**Synthetic Survey of the Environmental  
Archaeological and Hydrological record  
for the River Nene from its source to  
Peterborough**

**Part 2:  
The Environmental Record**

**AG Brown and P Allen**

Prepared for  
English Heritage

# Contents

## Part 2: The Environmental record

**Preface: The River Nene survey project** by I Meadows  
**Acknowledgements**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....1
	Introduction
	The Nene Valley project area
	Aims
	Objectives
	Geological setting
<b>2</b>	<b>Previous work</b> .....9
	Previous Holocene palaeoenvironmental work
	The Earls Barton-Grendon-Wollaston-Irchester Reach
	The Higham Ferrers-Irthlingborough-Stanwick Reach
	A summary of current knowledge
<b>3</b>	<b>Methods</b> .....15
	Information search
	Database design and development
	Radiocarbon dates
	GIS design and development
	Linking ACCESS and ArcView databases
	Physical material preparation
	Photography
	Pollen preparation laboratory procedure
	Pollen count and identification
	Presentation of results and zonation criteria
	Wood identification
<b>4</b>	<b>Results: major sites</b> .....27
	Little Houghton
	Grendon
	Wollaston
	Wellingborough, Turnell's Mill Lane
	Ditchford
	Raunds Area Project sites

<b>5</b>	<b>Results: minor sites</b> .....	87
	Earls Barton	
	Irchester	
	Higham Ferrers	
	Ringstead	
	Denford	
	Thrapston-Titchmarsh-Aldwinckle	
	Tansor Crossroads	
	Warmington	
	Orton Longueville	
	Botolph Bridge	
	Bridge Street	
<b>6</b>	<b>Comparative analyses and implications of the new dates</b> ...	95
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	97
	Dating sites	
	The palaeoenvironmental potential of the archived samples	
	Integrating the environmental data	
	Future look and the environmental potential of palaeochannels	
<b>8</b>	<b>The Nene Valley: an environmental synthesis</b> .....	99
	The Palaeolithic	
	The Mesolithic	
	The Neolithic to the Bronze Age	
	The Iron Age	
	The Romano-British period	
	The Saxon period	
	The medieval period	
	<b>Bibliography</b> .....	103

## Tables

- 1.1 The objectives as defined in Meadows and Brown (2004)
- 3.1 Radiocarbon results from the Nene Valley Project
  - 4.1 The sedimentary units of Little Houghton South section
  - 4.2 The sedimentary units of Little Houghton North section
  - 4.3 Microscopic wood identification of twigs and small timbers revealed the presence of a number of species
  - 4.4 Status of tree types present in Gr 4 (Pinus may be extra-local but was probably growing in the region as late as zone Gr 3)
  - 4.5 Pollen diagram interpretation of the high resolution Wollaston section S1013 diagram
  - 4.6 Non-pollen microfossils from S1013 (Identifications and interpretation from van Hove and Hendrikse 1998)
  - 4.7 Original dating of monoliths S1013 and S1014
  - 4.8 Sampled stratigraphy from trench 1, May 1995
  - 4.9 High-sum pollen levels from Wollaston Field 3 samples (analyst P Allen)
  - 4.10 Pollen assessment of levels at Wollaston 93/94 Iron Age ditch (analyst P Allen)
  - 4.11 Pollen analysis of content 1064, the Roman well (analysts A G Brown and J Hatton). Both Prunus and Corylus have been included in the tree pollen taxa category due to their likely occurrence as components of the woodland and hedges in the area
  - 4.12 The pollen data from the ditch (3/8532) close to the bedding trenches, the bedding trench (3/8530) and the upper two levels of a palaeochannel fill (HWR) 500m from the vineyard (analysts A G Brown and J Hatton). The values are % of the Total Land Pollen Sum (1000+) and values under 0.4% (4 grains) are entered as +. Herbs in bold are considered to be arable, disturbed or bare ground indicators
  - 4.13 The radiocarbon dates for the organic rich silt/clay at Turnell's Mill Lane
  - 4.14 Ditchford, radiocarbon dates from the section illustrated in Figure 4.15
  - 4.15 Mollusca and Coleoptera remains from the Roman road excavation at Ditchford Pit, (from Robinson, in Keevil and Williams 1995)
  - 4.16 Waterlogged seed and fruit remains from the Roman road excavation at Ditchford Pit (from Robinson, in Keevil and Williams 1995)
- 5.1 Wood macrofossils at Higham Ferrers
- 5.2 Environmental observations at Orton Longueville (adapted from Holyoak and Seddon 1984)
- 5.3 Pollen analyses and plant macrofossils of sedimentary units from Orton Longueville (from Holyoak and Seddon 1984)
- 5.4 Summary of cultivars from Botolph Bridge (from A J Clapham forthcoming)

## Figures

- 1.1 Location of the Nene Valley and major sites mentioned in this report. Minor sites are omitted for clarity
- 1.2 The Nene Valley floodplain and terrace complexes
  - 3.1 Fields in the Location Table
  - 3.2 Nene Valley Site Locations Form
  - 3.3 Nene Valley Environmental Form
  - 3.4 Nene Valley Radiocarbon Dates Form
  - 3.5 Radiocarbon dates from monoliths RAP C, D, E and Wollaston S1013
  - 3.6 A schematic diagram of the combining steps for the ACCESS database

- 4.1 Location of sites providing environmental data
- 4.2 The Little Houghton site in plan
- 4.3 Little Houghton: South Section (top) and North Section (bottom)
- 4.4 Grendon north in plan
- 4.5 High resolution pollen diagram from Grendon (analyst J Hatton)
- 4.6 The Wollaston site
- 4.7 The location of S1013. This map also shows subsite 6, which is Wollaston 94/95 Iron Age ditch
- 4.8 Wollaston, section S1013
- 4.9 Pollen diagram for S1013. Five local assemblage zones were identified (Z1-Z5) (analyst P Allen)
- 4.10 Plan of Wollaston Field 3 excavations (Locations sampled in May 1995)
- 4.11 Hardwater Road Roman well
- 4.12 Chironomid diagram from Hardwater Road Roman well (analyst Z Ruis)
- 4.13 Bayesian modelling of the radiocarbon dates at Turnell's Mill Lane (English Heritage Dating Team)
- 4.14 Ditchford site location
- 4.15 Ditchford, section diagram with brief sediment description
- 4.16 Ditchford, counted pollen from DD1 and DD4 (analyst A G Brown)
- 4.17 The Raunds Area floodplain palaeochannels and sites
- 4.18 Photolog and description of the Raunds Area Project C monolith
- 4.19 The cross-sectional stratigraphy of palaeochannel C
- 4.20 The low resolution pollen analyses of palaeochannels undertaken in the late 1980s, selected types only (analyst A G Brown)
- 4.21 The cross-sectional stratigraphy of palaeochannel E
- 4.22 Location of sampling (a) and (b) stratigraphy of the West Cotton channel
- 4.23 West Cotton palaeochannel chironomid diagram (analyst Z Ruiz)
- 4.24 Scalley Farm, slope transect, core lithology and magnetic enhancement profiles from zone B
- 4.25 Aerial photograph of the deserted medieval village of Mallows Cotton with the transect location superimposed (Copyright Northamptonshire County Council)
- 4.26 Stratigraphic cross-section of the palaeochannel at Mallows Cotton
  
- 5.1 The Irchester site for extraction
- 5.2 The South Channel of Irchester
- 5.3 Higham Ferrers site
- 5.4 Higham Ferrers section
  
- 6.1 Palaeochannel maximum depth against basal age using the calibrated intercept date
- 6.2 Wood identifications for all Nene Valley sites grouped by time periods (a) and classification/origin of the wood-bearing strata (b). Data was grouped using uncalibrated radiocarbon ages BP (Data from Brown *et al* 1994)
- 6.3 Selected sample fine sediment cumulative grain size distributions
  
- 8.1 A valley terrace for the Middle Nene (Data from Bowen *et al* 1999 and Brown in press)

## **Plates**

- 3.1 Digital photographic set-up
  
- 4.1 Grendon, monoliths 1 and 2
- 4.2 Wollaston, section S1013

## **Preface: The River Nene survey project**

by I Meadows

This project was designed by Northamptonshire Archaeology and Exeter University to present the evidence of the character of the relationship between the environmental and archaeological record and variations in the watertable for the Nene Valley from its source in Northamptonshire to Peterborough. It was felt that such a synthesising project was overdue because, despite the amount of archaeological excavation in the valley, little synthetic work has been carried out, the exceptions being two very general pieces by Robinson (Robinson 1992). Both of these articles were written over a decade ago and have a narrow point of origin as most of the information they present is derived from the Raunds Area Project.

It was because of this gap in knowledge of the valley as a whole that the grant was initially sought from English Heritage. The successful bid (PNUM 3453) was designed to correct the balance and identify valley-wide trends and also gaps that might be filled by whatever future fieldwork may take place either as a result of developer lead excavations or even perhaps as part of research projects. It is envisaged that the formal reports will form a resource both to researchers and planners as well as being informative to the mineral industry as to appropriate management of the remaining resource and suitable restoration schemes.

### **Bibliography**

Needham, S, and Macklin, M G, (eds) 1992 *Alluvial Archaeology in Britain*, Oxbow Monograph, 27

Robinson, M, 1992 Environment, archaeology and alluvium on the river gravels of the South Midlands, in S Needham and M G Macklin (eds), 197-208

### **Acknowledgements**

The project was funded by English Heritage from the Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund (ALSF). The project funding allowed for work to be carried out in Northamptonshire Archaeology and Exeter University both collecting and collating data. Work was carried out by Dr Phil Allen and Professor A G Brown at Exeter and Ian Meadows and Karen Deighton at Northampton. Overall project monitoring was provided by Dr Helen Keeley whose calmness and understanding has been an enormous help in getting the project completed. Other acknowledgements need to be made to the staff of the Northamptonshire HER and Ben Robinson of the Peterborough HER for enabling access to the record and helpful discussions. At Northampton further acknowledgement is due to the many colleagues who had to assist with particular problems to ensure the completion of the project, in particular Bill Boismier and Andy Chapman for their chapters; Jacqueline Harding with Adrian Butler and Richard Watts for the illustrations, Tora Hylton for general assistance in locating material, proofreading and copy-editing by Pat Chapman and compilation of digital archive by Andy Chapman.

AG Brown and P Allen would like to thank J Grapes, H Jones and S Rouillard for assistance with laboratory analyses and report production. Assistance has also been gratefully received from F Healy, S Parry, R Atkins, M Robinson, G Campbell and P Clay.