

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYS GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT

Upper Heyford Park, Oxfordshire

Magnetometer Survey

for

Oxford Archaeology

David Sabin and Kerry Donaldson December 2006 Ref no. 165 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Upper Heyford Park, Oxfordshire

Magnetometer Survey

for

Oxford Archaeology

Fieldwork by David Sabin and Francis Sabin Report by David Sabin and Kerry Donaldson

Survey date – 1st to 5th December 2006 Ordnance Survey Grid Reference – SP 515 266

Archaeological Surveys 2, Westway Close, Castle Combe, Wiltshire, SN14 7QZ Tel. 01249 782234 FAX 0871 661 8804 Email: <u>info@archaeological-surveys.co.uk</u> Web: <u>www.archaeological-surveys.co.uk</u>

CONTENTS

SI	JMN	IARY1
1		INTRODUCTION1
	1.1	Survey background1
	1.2	Survey objectives1
	1.3	Site location1
	1.4	Site description1
	1.5	Site history and archaeological potential3
	1.6	Geology and soils3
2		METHODOLOGY
	2.1	Technical synopsis3
	2.2	Equipment details and configuration3
	2.3	Data processing and presentation4
	2.4	Archive5
3		RESULTS6
	3.1	General overview6
	3.2	Area 17
	3.3	Area 27
	3.4	Area 38
	3.5	Area 48
	3.6	Area 59
	3.7	Area 69
	3.8	Area 79
	3.9	Area 810
	3.10	Area 910

	3.11	Area 10	.10
	3.12	Area 11	.10
	3.13	Area 12	.10
	3.14	Area 13	.11
	3.15	Area 14	.11
4	CC	DNCLUSION	.11
5	RE	FERENCES	.12
A	ppendix	A – basic principles of magnetic survey	.13
A	ppendix	B – survey and data information	.14

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1	Central western part of survey area looking N2	2
Plate 2	Western end of runway looking W2)
Plate 3	Eastern end of runway looking NW2	>

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	General location map (1:25 000)
Figure 2	Location of magnetometer survey grids (1:12 000)
Figure 3	Location and referencing for magnetometer survey grids – Areas 1 & 2 (1:2000)
Figure 4	Location and referencing for magnetometer survey grids – Areas 3, 4, 5 $$ & 10 (1:2000)
Figure 5	Location and referencing for magnetometer survey grids – Areas 6 to 9 & 11 to 14 (1:2000)
Figure 6	Raw magnetometer data – Areas 1 & 2 (1:1000)
Figure 7	Trace plot of raw magnetometer data – Areas 1 & 2 (1:1000)
Figure 8	Processed magnetometer data – Areas 1 & 2 (1:1000)
Figure 9	Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies – Areas 1 & 2 (1:1000)
Figure 10	Raw magnetometer data – Areas 3, 4 & 5 (1:1000)
Figure 11	Trace plot of raw magnetometer data – Areas 3, 4 & 5 (1:1000)
Figure 12	Processed magnetometer data – Areas 3, 4 & 5 (1:1000)
Figure 13	Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies – Areas 3, 4 & 5 $(1:1000)$
Figure 14	Raw magnetometer data – Areas 6 & 7 (1:1000)
Figure 15	Trace plot of raw magnetometer data – Areas 6 & 7 (1:1000)
Figure 16	Processed magnetometer data – Areas 6 & 7 (1:1000)
Figure 17	Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies – Areas 6 & 7 (1:1000)
Figure 18	Raw magnetometer data – Areas 8 & 9 (1:1000)
Figure 19	Trace plot of raw magnetometer data – Areas 8 & 9 (1:1000)
Figure 20	Processed magnetometer data – Areas 8 & 9 (1:1000)
Figure 21	Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies – Areas 8 & 9 (1:1000)
Figure 22	Raw magnetometer data – Areas 10 & 11 (1:1000)
Figure 23	Trace plot of raw magnetometer data – Areas 10 & 11 (1:1000)

- Figure 24 Processed magnetometer data Areas 10 & 11 (1:1000)
- Figure 25 Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies Areas 10 & 11 (1:1000)
- Figure 26 Raw magnetometer data Areas 12 & 13 (1:1000)
- Figure 27 Trace plot of raw magnetometer data Areas 12 & 13 (1:1000)
- Figure 28 Processed magnetometer data Areas 12 & 13 (1:1000)
- Figure 29 Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies Areas 12 & 13 (1:1000)
- Figure 30 Raw magnetometer data Area 14 (1:1000)
- Figure 31 Trace plot of raw magnetometer data Area 14 (1:1000)
- Figure 32 Processed magnetometer data Area 14 (1:1000)
- Figure 33 Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies Area 14 (1:1000)

SUMMARY

A geophysical survey was carried out over 7ha within the former Upper Heyford Airbase. Detailed magnetic survey revealed widespread magnetic debris and disturbance from modern material such as buried services which were located within the majority of the survey areas. Low magnitude positive linear and discrete anomalies were found within nine of the fourteen separate survey areas, however due to the proximity of modern structures and buried services their origin could not be confidently interpreted. It is possible that such anomalies may relate to cut features but it is possible that they have a modern origin.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Survey background

1.1.1 Archaeological Surveys was commissioned by Oxford Archaeology to undertake a geophysical survey of three sections of land within the former Upper Heyford Airbase, now known as Heyford Park, that have been outlined for landscaping. This survey formed part of an assessment of any potential archaeology that may be affected by the landscaping.

1.2 Survey objectives

- 1.2.1 The objective of the survey was to use magnetometry to locate geophysical anomalies that may be archaeological in origin so that they may be assessed prior to development of the site.
- 1.2.2 Initially a 50% sampling strategy using 20m alternate transects was devised to cover the 15ha area outlined by the client for survey. This strategy was modified due to the presence of former airfield structures and hard surfaces within the survey area. Survey grids were located within blocks of unobstructed grassland to cover a total area of approximately 7ha.

1.3 Site location

1.3.1 The site is located at the former Upper Heyford Airbase in Oxfordshire and centred on OS grid reference SP 515 266.

1.4 Site description

1.4.1 The geophysical survey covers an area of approximately 7ha of grassland within the former airbase. The majority of the site is flat although it slopes away westwards at the extreme western end of the site. The survey was conducted over three parts of the site, to the extreme eastern and western ends of the former runway and a strip along the western edge. Within the survey area close to the western edge are reinforced concrete hangers and other disused airfield structures and all three areas contain service roads (see Plates 1 - 3).



Plate 1 Central western part of survey area looking N



Plate 2 Western end of runway looking W



Plate 3 Eastern end of runway looking NW

1.5 Site history and archaeological potential

- 1.5.1 Upper Heyford was set up as an airfield in 1918 by the Royal Flying Corps and was used by the RAF in World War II. During the Cold War the site was used by the United States Air Force until its role as an airbase finished in 1994. No further information on the archaeological potential of the site was made available to Archaeological Surveys.
- 1.6 Geology and soils
- 1.6.1 The underlying geology is Great Oolite (BGS 2001). Upper Heyford lies between the Cotswolds to the west and clay vales to the east although the character of the landscape is most similar to the former.
- 1.6.2 The overlying soils are from the Aberford association which are typical brown calcareous earths. These consist of shallow, locally brashy, well drained calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone. (Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983).
- 1.6.3 Magnetic survey on this geology and soil type is known to be effective (English Heritage 1995). Previous magnetic surveys carried out on similar geology and soils by Archaeological Surveys has produced very good results.

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Technical synopsis

- 2.1.1 Detailed magnetometry records localised magnetic fields that can relate to former human activity. Alteration of iron minerals present within topsoil is related to activities such as burning and the break down of biological material. These minerals become weakly magnetic within the Earth's magnetic field and can accumulate in features such as ditches and pits that are cut into the underlying subsoil. Mapping this magnetic variation can provide evidence of former settlement and land use. Additional technical details can be found in Appendix A.
- 2.1.2 The localised variations in magnetism are measured as sub-units of the tesla which is a SI unit of magnetic flux density. These sub-units are nanoteslas (nT) which are equivalent to 10-9 tesla (T).
- 2.2 Equipment details and configuration
- 2.2.1 The detailed magnetic survey was carried out using a Bartington Grad601-2 gradiometer. This instrument effectively measures a magnetic gradient between two fluxgate sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. Two sets of sensors are mounted on a single frame 1m apart horizontally. The instrument is extremely sensitive and is able to measure magnetic variation to 0.1 nanoTesla (nT). All readings are saved to an integral data logger for analysis and presentation.

- 2.2.2 Data was collected at 0.25m centres along traverses 1m apart. The survey area was separated into 20m by 20m grids giving 1600 recorded measurements per grid. This sampling interval is very effective at locating archaeological features and is the recommended methodology for archaeological prospection (English Heritage, 1995).
- 2.2.3 The survey grids were set out using a Topcon GTS212 total station and CSI Wireless dGPS (differential Global Positioning System). The dGPS was used to establish and reference a baseline orthogonal to the Ordnance Survey National Grid using the OSGB36 datum. Positional accuracy achievable using dGPS is considered as sub-metre as correction signals are received either from ground-based beacons or a geostationary satellite. A number of parameters are constantly monitored by the system in order to achieve best accuracy.
- 2.3 Data processing and presentation
- 2.3.1 Magnetometry data downloaded from the Grad 601-2 data logger is analysed and processed in specialist software known as ArcheoSurveyor. The software allows greyscale and trace plots to be produced for presentation and display. Survey grids are assembled to form an overall composite of data (composite file) creating a dataset of the complete survey area. Appendix B contains specific information concerning the survey and data attributes and is derived directly from ArcheoSurveyor.
- 2.3.2 Only minimal processing is carried out in order to enhance the results of the survey for display. Raw data is always analysed and displayed in the report as processing can modify anomalies. The following schedule sets out the data and image processing used in this survey:

Processing schedule

- Clipping of the raw data at ±10nT to improve greyscale resolution
- Clipping of processed data at ±3nT to enhance low magnitude anomalies
- Clipping of trace plots at ±100nT in order to minimise strong readings obscuring low magnitude responses
- Destagger may also be used to enhance linear anomalies
- Zero mean/median traverse is applied in order to balance readings along each traverse

Clipping

Clipping replaces the values outside the specified minimum and maximum with those values. The process is useful for displaying detail as extreme values are removed allowing greyscale shades to be allocated to a narrower range of values which improves the definition of anomalies.

Zero mean/median traverse

The mean/median of each traverse is calculated ignoring data outside a threshold value, the mean/median is then subtracted from the traverse. The process is used to equalise slight differences between the set-up and stability of gradiometer sensors and is used to remove striping.

- 2.3.3 An abstraction and interpretation is offered for all geophysical anomalies located by the survey. A brief summary of each anomaly with an appropriate reference number is set out in list form within the results (Section 3), to allow a rapid assessment of features within each survey area.
- 2.3.4 The main form of data display used in this report is the greyscale plot. Magnetic data is also displayed as a traceplot. Both 'raw' and 'processed' data have been shown followed by an abstraction and interpretation plot. Graphic raster images in windows bitmap format are initially prepared in ArcheoSurveyor. These images are combined with base mapping using AutoCAD LT 2007 creating DWG file formats. All images are fully embedded within the file and not externally referenced. Although AutoCAD DWG files are a universally excepted format, the programme does not handle fully embedded graphics well and there is inevitable compromise of quality. Quality is also compromised by rotation of graphics in order to allow the data to be orientated with respect to grid north; this is considered acceptable as the survey results are effectively georeferenced allowing relocation of features using GPS, resection method etc.. A digital archive including raster images is produced with this report allowing separate analysis if necessary, see 2.4 below.

2.4 Archive

- 2.4.1 Survey results are produced in hardcopy using A4 for text and A3 for plots (all plots are scaled for A3). In addition digital data created during the survey is supplied on CD. Further information on the production of the report and the digital formats involved in its creation are set out below.
- 2.4.2 This report has been prepared using the following software on a Windows XP platform:
 - ArcheoSurveyor version 2.1.2.2 (geophysical data analysis)
 - AutoCAD LT 2007 (report figures)
 - JASC Paint Shop Pro 8 (image rotation)
 - Microsoft Word 2000 (document text)
 - PDF Creator version 0.9 (PDF archive).
- 2.4.3 Digital data is supplied on CD ROM and includes the following files:
 - ArcheoSurveyor grid and composite files for all geophysical data
 - CSV files for raw and processed composites
 - Composite graphics as windows bitmaps
 - AutoCAD DWG file in 2000 version

- Microsoft Word 2000 doc file
- PDFs of all figures
- Photographic record in JPEG format
- 2.4.4 The CD ROM structure is formed from a tree of directories under the title J165 Heyford – CD. Directory titles include Data, Documentation, CAD, PDFs and Photos. Multiple directories exist under Data separating resistivity from magnetometry – each data directory holds grid, composite and graphic files with CSV composite data held in export.
- 2.4.5 The CAD file contains embedded graphics as bitmaps, see 2.3.4, with separate A3 size layouts for each figure. Layouts are fixed using frozen layers and named views allowing straightforward plotting or analysis on screen.

3 RESULTS

3.1 General overview

- 3.1.1 The detailed magnetic survey was carried out over a total of 14 survey areas covering an area of 7ha. Geophysical anomalies located can be generally classified as positive linear and discrete anomalies of an uncertain origin, negative linear anomalies of an uncertain origin, areas of magnetic debris and disturbance, strong multiple dipolar linear anomalies relating to buried pipelines and services and strong dipolar anomalies relating to ferrous objects and material in the topsoil. All anomalies have been abstracted, however anomalies relating to modern ferrous material, magnetic disturbance and debris have not been listed within the results below.
- 3.1.2 The brief listing of anomalies below attempts to set out a number of separate categories that reflect the range and type of likely causative features:

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(Positive anomalies abstracted are plotted in orange)

The category applies to a range of anomalies where there is not enough evidence to confidently suggest an origin. Within small area surveys often the full extent and shape of an anomaly remains unknown due to the constraints of the survey area. Anomalies in this category may well be related to archaeologically significant features but equally relatively modern features, geological/ pedological anomalies and agricultural features should be considered.

Anomalies with a modern origin

The magnetic response is often strong and dipolar indicative of ferrous material and may be associated with extant above surface features such as wire fencing, cables etc. Often a significant area around such features has a strong magnetic flux which may create magnetic disturbance – such disturbance can effectively obscure low magnitude anomalies if they are

present. Strong multiple dipolar linear anomalies are caused by the presence of buried services or pipelines. These anomalies are seen within all of the survey areas and although they have been abstracted, they have not been listed within the results.

Anomalies associated with magnetic debris

The response often appears as areas containing many small dipolar anomalies that may range from weak to strong in magnitude. Magnetic debris often occurs where there has been dumping or ground make-up and is related to magnetically thermoremnant materials such as brick or tile or other small fragments of ferrous material. These anomalies have been abstracted but are not listed within the results.

3.2 Area 1

Area centred on OS grid reference 453217, 227053, see Figures 6-9.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

- (1) Three parallel positive linear anomalies oriented approximately north to south.
- (2) A series of positive and negative linear anomalies extend across the long axis of the survey area. They are parallel with the runway and boundary fencing and may relate to modern activity.
- (3) Two positive linear anomalies extend along the survey area and are situated close to the edges. The southern anomaly is parallel to a service and it is possible that both these anomalies relate to cut trenches associated with the runway or related services.

3.3 Area 2

Area centred on OS grid reference 453187, 227154, see Figures 6-9.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

- (4) Two positive linear anomalies extend from the north to the southern part of the survey area.
- (5) Two positive curvilinear anomalies are located within the eastern part of the survey area.
- (6) Two positive anomalies are located within the eastern part of the survey area.
- (7) Two low magnitude discrete positive anomalies are located within the northern half of the survey area. This type of response could indicate cut

features such as pits, however whether these are of archaeological origin cannot be determined.

- (8) Extending across the length of the survey area are a series of parallel fragmented linear anomalies, they are similar in appearance to anomalies
 (2) seen in Area 1 to the south.
- 3.4 Area 3

Area centred on OS grid reference 450413, 227059, see Figures 10-13.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

- (9) A positive curvilinear anomaly and a short positive linear anomaly are located close to the north-eastern corner of the survey area. The curvilinear anomaly appears penannular in form and has a magnitude of between 2 and 6nT. It is possible that it relates to an archaeological cut feature however due to its proximity to magnetic disturbance and modern structures it is not possible to confidently interpret the origin of this anomaly.
- (10) A low magnitude positive response is uncertain in origin, however it is possible that it relates to an in-filled depression or cut feature.
- (11) A discrete positive anomaly can be seen in the centre of the survey area, and may also be a response to an in-filled depression or cut feature.
- (12) Two negative linear anomalies cross the survey area. Although uncertain in origin it is possible that they relate to modern services. Within the survey area to the south of anomaly (12) is an aluminium outlet for an underground storage tank, therefore it is possible that some anomalies with Area 3 are modern in origin.

3.5 Area 4

Area centred on OS grid reference 450461, 227175, see Figures 10-13.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(13) – A low magnitude positive linear anomaly extends through the survey area from the south-western corner to the north-eastern edge. Area 4 contains a large amount of magnetic disturbance and debris from modern pipelines and material and it is not possible to ascertain if anomaly (13) relates to a cut feature with archaeological significance or if it is also a modern feature.

3.6 Area 5

Area centred on OS grid reference 450519, 227255, see Figures 10-13.

Area 5 contains widespread magnetic disturbance and magnetic debris with a modern origin.

3.7 Area 6

Area centred on OS grid reference 450120, 226437, see Figures 14-17.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(14) – Area 6 contains three positive linear anomalies with an uncertain origin.
 Two of them appear to join close to the north-western corner of the area.

3.8 Area 7

Area centred on OS grid reference 450116, 226567, see Figures 14-17.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

- (15) Two positive linear anomalies have a moderate magnitude of up to 13nT and some associated negative response. The magnitude of the anomalies suggests that they may relate to cut features with an enhanced fill, however they could be modern in origin.
- (16) To the east of anomalies (15) are a pair of low magnitude positive linear anomalies but their origin is difficult to determine.
- (17) Two negative linear anomalies are located to the west of the centre of the area and are oriented approximately northeast to southwest. They are parallel to a modern service seen approximately 20m to the east and may also have a modern origin although this is not certain.
- (18 & 19) Within the eastern part of the survey area, two positive linear anomalies cross. Although this type of anomaly may be a response to the magnetically enhanced fill of cut features such as ditches, the presence of nearby modern disturbance has made it difficult to be confident in the interpretation.
- (20) A positive linear anomaly is located approximately 10m to the south of (19) and is parallel with it.
- (21) In the north of the survey area is an amorphous positive area anomaly.

3.9 Area 8

Area centred on OS grid reference 449900, 226527, see Figures 18-21.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(22 & 23) – A positive linear anomaly (22) and a positive area anomaly (23) are located within the survey area.

3.10 Area 9

Area centred on OS grid reference 459921, 226409, see Figures 18-21.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(24) – A positive linear anomaly extends from the centre of Area 9 to the eastern edge.

- (25) A negative linear anomaly is located close to (24) but appears to extend towards an area of magnetic disturbance.
- (26) Positive responses in the north of the survey area are low in magnitude and of a diffuse nature.
- 3.11 Area 10

Area centred on OS grid reference 450323, 226840, see Figures 22-25.

Area 10 is widely affected by magnetic disturbance and no significant anomalies were detected.

3.12 Area 11

Area centred on OS grid reference 450294, 226724, see Figures 22-25.

Area 11 contains widespread magnetic disturbance.

3.13 Area 12

Area centred on OS grid reference 450281, 226621, see Figures 26-29.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(27 & 28) – Positive linear anomalies have been located within Area 12 but their origin cannot be determined.

3.14 Area 13

Area centred on OS grid reference 450289, 226449, see Figures 26-29.

Area 13 contains a modern pipeline/service with magnetic disturbance and magnetic debris. No significant anomalies were located.

3.15 Area 14

Area centred on OS grid reference 450280, 226342, see Figures 30-33.

Anomalies with an uncertain origin

(29) – A negative linear anomaly extends across the majority of the survey area, however it is not possible to determine its origin.

4 CONCLUSION

- 4.1.1 The detailed magnetic survey revealed that the former airbase at Upper Heyford has been extensively modified both above and below the land surface. Buried pipelines, services and tanks as well as structures situated around the site have caused expansive areas of strong magnetic disturbance. The effect of the widespread disturbance is that the origin of other low magnitude anomalies cannot be confidently interpreted.
- 4.1.2 Survey Areas 1 and 2 at the eastern end of the site contain many positive and negative linear anomalies that are parallel with the runway. It is possible that they are associated with the airfield or relate to former agricultural activity.
- 4.1.3 In the western extremity of the site, Areas 6 to 10 and 12 to 14, all contain positive linear and discrete anomalies with a low magnitude. Although this type of anomaly may be a response to the magnetically enhanced fill of cut features such as ditches and pits, due to their proximity to modern features and ground disturbance their origin cannot be determined.
- 4.1.4 Survey Area 3 contains a low magnitude positive curvilinear anomaly that appears to form a pennanular cut feature. A cautious approach has been taken with the interpretation of this anomaly as the survey area is close to and contains a number of modern features that have resulted in magnetic disturbance and debris. Underground pipelines and other buried services indicate that the area has been greatly modified during the use of the airbase.

5 REFERENCES

British Geological Survey, 1977, *Geological Survey Ten Mile Map, South Sheet, First Edition (Quaternary),* Scale 1:625 000.

British Geological Survey, 2001, Solid Geology Map, UK South Sheet, 1:625 000 scale, 4th edition.

English Heritage, 1995, *Geophysical survey in archaeological field evaluation*. *Research and Professional Service Guideline No 1*.

Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983, *Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 4 Eastern England.*

Appendix A – basic principles of magnetic survey

Iron minerals are always present to some degree within the topsoil and enhancement associated with human activity is related to increases in the level of magnetic susceptibility and thermoremnant material.

Magnetic susceptibility is an induced magnetism within a material when it is in the presence of a magnetic field. This can be thought of as effectively permanent due to the presence of the Earth's magnetic field.

Thermoremnant magnetism occurs when ferrous material is heated beyond a specific temperature known as the Curie Point. Demagnetisation occurs at this temperature with re-magnetisation by the Earth's magnetic field on cooling.

Enhancement of magnetic susceptibility can occur in areas subject to burning and complex fermentation processes on biological material; these are frequently associated with human settlement. Thermoremnant features include ovens, hearths and kilns. In addition thermoremnant material such as tile and brick may also be associated with human activity and settlement.

Silting and deliberate infilling of ditches and pits with magnetically enhanced soil can create an area of enhancement compared with the surrounding soils and subsoils into which the feature is cut. Mapping enhanced areas will produce linear and discrete anomalies allowing an assessment and characterisation of hidden subsurface features.

It should be noted that areas of negative enhancement can be produced from material having lower magnetic properties compared to topsoil. This is common for many sedimentary bedrocks and subsoils which were often used in the construction of banks and walls etc. Mapping these 'negative' anomalies may also reveal archaeological features.

Magnetic survey or magnetometry can be carried out using a fluxgate gradiometer and may be referred to as gradiometry. The gradiometer is a passive instrument consisting of two fluxgate sensors mounted vertically 1m apart. The instrument is carried about 30cm above the ground surface and the upper sensor measures the Earth's magnetic field as does the lower sensor but this is influenced to a greater degree by any localised buried field. The difference between the two sensors will relate to the strength of magnetic field created by the buried feature. If no enhanced feature is present the field measured by both sensors will be similar and the difference close to zero.

There are a number of factors that may affect the magnetic survey and these include soil type, local geology and previous human activity. Situations arise where magnetic disturbance associated with modern services, metal fencing, dumped waste material etc., obscures low magnitude fields associated with archaeological features.

Appendix B – survey and data information

Area 1 raw
COMPOSITE Filename: Area1-raw.xcp Instrument Type: Grad 601 (Magnetometer) Units: nT Surveyed by: on 03/12/2006 Assembled by: on 03/12/2006
Direction of 1st Iraverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag Sensors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. Dummy Value: 32702 Origin: One
Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 160 x 240 Survey Size (meters): 40 m x 240 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: 0.25m Y Interval: 1m
Stats Max: 10.00 Min: -10.00 Std Dev: 2.64 Mean: -0.17
Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10
Source Grids: 24 1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg 2 Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg 3 Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg 4 Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg 5 Col:0 Row:5 grids\06.asg 7 Col:0 Row:6 grids\13.asg 8 Col:0 Row:7 grids\14.asg 9 Col:0 Row:8 grids\15.asg 10 Col:0 Row:10 grids\17.asg 11 Col:0 Row:10 grids\17.asg 12 Col:0 Row:11 grids\07.asg 13 Col:1 Row:2 grids\08.asg 15 Col:1 Row:2 grids\09.asg 16 Col:1 Row:3 grids\10.asg 17 Col:1 Row:5 grids\10.asg 17 Col:1 Row:4 grids\11.asg 18 Col:1 Row:5 grids\10.asg 19 Col:1 Row:5 grids\10.asg 19 Col:1 Row:6 grids\11.asg 10 Col:1 Row:8 grids\12.asg 10 Col:1 Row:8 grids\12.asg 20 Col:1 Row:9 grids\22.asg 23 Col:1 Row:9 grids\22.asg 24 Col:1 Row:11 grids\24.asg Area 1 processed
Filename: Area1-proc.xcp
Stats Max: 3.00 Min: -3.00 Std Dev: 1.36 Mean: -0.07
Processes: 5 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All Threshold: 1 SDs 5 Clip from -3 to 3
Area 2 raw
COMPOSITE Filename: Area2-raw.xcp Instrument Type: Grad 601 (Magnetometer) Units: nT Surveyed by: on 03/12/2006 Assembled by: on 03/12/2006 Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag Sensors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. Dummy Value: 32702 Origin: One
Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 160 x 260 Survey Size (meters): 40 m x 260 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: 0.25m

Y Interval:	1m
Stats Max: Min: Std Dev: Mean:	10.00 -10.00 2.76 -0.77
Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10) to 10
Source Grids: 24 1 Col:0 Row:: 2 Col:0 Row:: 3 Col:0 Row:: 5 Col:0 Row:: 5 Col:0 Row:: 6 Col:0 Row:: 7 Col:0 Row:: 8 Col:0 Row: 10 Col:0 Row: 10 Col:0 Row: 10 Col:0 Row: 11 Col:0 Row: 12 Col:0 Row: 13 Col:0 Row: 14 Col:1 Row: 15 Col:1 Row: 16 Col:1 Row: 18 Col:1 Row: 20 Col:1 Row: 21 Col:1 Row: 22 Col:1 Row: 23 Col:1 Row: 24 Col:1 Row: 25 Col:1 Row: 24 Col:1 Row: 24 Col:1 Row: 24 Col:1 Row: 25 Col:1 Row: 25 Col:1 Row: 26 Col:1 Row: 27 C	30 grids\07.asg 9 grids\08.asg 2 grids\09.asg 3 grids\10.asg 4 grids\12.asg 5 grids\12.asg 6 grids\14.asg 8 grids\15.asg 9 grids\16.asg 10 grids\18.asg 11 grids\18.asg 12 grids\12.asg 13 grids\12.asg 14 grids\12.asg 11 grids\12.asg 12 grids\12.asg 13 grids\13.asg 14 grids\22.asg 2 grids\03.asg 3 grids\02.asg 4 grids\02.asg 5 grids\02.asg 6 grids\22.asg 7 grids\22.asg 10 grids\22.asg 10 grids\22.asg 11 grids\22.asg 12 grids\22.asg 13 grids\22.asg 14 grids\22.asg
Area 2 processe	ed
COMPOSITE Filename: Stats Max: Min: Std Dev: Mean:	Area2-proc.xcp 3.00 -3.00 1.36 -0.01
Processes: 5 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 3 DeStripe Me 4 De Stagger: intervals 5 Clip from -3) to 10 dian Traverse: Grids: All Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 to 3
Area 3 raw	
COMPOSITE Filename: Instrument Type: (Magnetometer) Units: Surveyed by: Direction of 1st T Collection Metho Sensors: Dummy Value: Origin:	Area3-raw.xcp Grad 601 nT on 03/12/2006 on 03/12/2006 raverse: 180 deg d: ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702 One
Dimensions Composite Size (Survey Size (met Grid Size: X Interval: Y Interval:	(readings): 240 x 80 (ters): 60 m x 80 m 20 m x 20 m 0.25m 1m
Stats Max: Min: Std Dev: Mean:	10.00 -10.00 5.05 0.59
Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10) to 10
Source Grids: 9 1 Col:0 Row: 2 Col:0 Row: 3 Col:1 Row: 4 Col:1 Row: 5 Col:1 Row: 6 Col:1 Row: 7 Col:2 Row:) grids\05.asg grids\06.asg grids\02.asg grids\02.asg 2 grids\03.asg 3 grids\04.asg) grids\07.asg

8	Col:2	Row	r:1 grid	s\08.asg
9	C	ol:2	Row:2	grids\09.asg

Area 3 processed

COMPOSITE Filename:	Area3-proc.xcp		
Stats Max: Min: Std Dev: Mean:	3.00 -3.00 2.05 0.03		
Processes: 5 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10 3 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All Threshold: 1 SDs 4 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 5 Clip from -3 to 3			

Area 4 raw

COMPOSITE	
Filename:	Area4-raw.xcp
Instrument Type:	Grad 601
(Magnetometer)	
Units:	nT
Surveyed by:	on 03/12/2006
Assembled by:	on 03/12/2006
Direction of 1st Tra	averse: 180 deg
Collection Method:	ZigZag
Sensors:	2 @ 1.00 m spacing.
Dummy Value:	32702
Origin:	One

 Dimensions
 320 x 100

 Composite Size (meters):
 80 m x 100 m

 Grid Size:
 20 m x 20 m

 X Interval:
 0.25m

 Y Interval:
 1m

Stats Max:	100.00
Min: Std Dev:	-100.00
Mean:	2.00

Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -100 to 100

Source Grid	c: 10		
	5. 15		
1 COLU F	kow:u gri	ds\01.asg	
2 Col:0 F	Row:1 gri	ds\02.asg	
3 Col:0 F	Row:2 gri	ds\03.asg	
4 Col:0 F	Row:3 gri	ds\04.asg	
5 Col:1 F	Row:0 gri	ds\05.asg	
6 Col:1 F	Row:1 gri	ds\06.asg	
7 Col:1 F	Row:2 gri	ds\07.asg	
8 Col:1 F	Row:3 gri	ds\08.asg	
9 Col:1 F	Row:4 gri	ds\09.asg	
10 Col:2 I	Row:0 gr	ids\10.asg	
11 Col:2 I	Row:1 gr	ids\11.asg	
12 Col:2 I	Row:2 gr	ids\12.asg	
13 Col:2 I	Row:3 gr	ids\13.asg	
14 Col:2 I	Row:4 gr	ids\14.asq	
15 Col:3 F	Row:0 ar	ids\15.asq	
16 Col:3 I	Row 1 ar	ids\16 asg	
17 Col:3 I	Row:2 gr	ide\17 aeg	
10 Col:2 I	Row:2 gr	ido\19.000	
10 001.3 1	NOW.5 YI	us i o.asy	
19 Co	DI:3 ROW	4 grids\19	.asg

Area 4 processed

COMPOSITE Filename:	Area4-proc.xcp	
Stats		
Max:	3.00	
Min:	-3.00	
Std Dev:	2.48	
Mean:	0.09	
Processes: 6 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -1 3 DeStripe M Threshold: 1 SE 4 Clip from -3 5 De Stagger intervals	o to 10 ean Traverse: Grids: All ∋e ₅ to 3 : Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1	

6 Clip from -3 to 3

Area 5 raw

```
COMPOSITE
Filename:
                                 Area5-raw.xcp
Instrument Type:
(Magnetometer)
                                    Grad 601
Units:
                             nT
Surveyed by:
Assembled by:
                                   on 03/12/2006
on 03/12/2006
Assembled by: on 0312/2006
Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg
Collection Method: ZigZag
Sensors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing.
Dummy Value: 32702
                             One
Origin:
Dimensions
Composite Size (readings): 160 x 40
Survey Size (meters): 40 m x 40 m
Survey Size (meters):
                               20 m x 20 m
0.25m
Grid Size:
X Interval:
Y Interval:
                               1m
Stats
Max:
                              100.00
Min
                             -100.00
Std Dev:
                               57.03
Mean:
                               4.59
Processes: 2
 1 Base Layer
2 Clip from -100 to 100
Source Grids: 4
     Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg
Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg
Col:1 Row:0 grids\03.asg
Col:1 Row:1 grids\04.asg
  3
Area 5 processed
COMPOSITE
                                 Area5-proc.xcp
Filename:
Stats
Max:
                             3 00
Min:
                             -3.00
Std Dev:
                               2.85
                               -0.11
Mean:
Processes: 3
  1 Base Layer
 2 Clip from -10 to 10
3 Clip from -3 to 3
Area 6 raw
COMPOSITE
                                 Area6-raw.xcp
Filename:
Instrument Type:
                                    Grad 601
(Magnetometer)
Units:
                             nΤ
Surveyed by:
                                   on 04/12/2006
Assembled by:
                                    on 04/12/2006
Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg
                               ZigZag
2 @ 1.00 m spacing.
32702
Collection Method:
Sensors:
Dummy Value:
Origin:
                             One
Dimensions
Composite Size (readings): 240 x 280
Survey Size (meters): 60 m x 280 m
Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m
X Interval:
Y Interval:
                               0.25m
                               1m
Stats
Max:
                              10.00
Min:
                             -10.00
Std Dev:
                               6.15
Mean:
                               -1.47
Processes:
                   2
 1 Base Layer
2 Clip from -10 to 10
Source Grids: 30
      Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg
Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg
Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg
  2
3
      Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg
Col:0 Row:4 grids\05.asg
  4
5
     Col:0 Row:5 grids\06.asg
Col:0 Row:6 grids\23.asg
Col:0 Row:7 grids\24.asg
Col:0 Row:8 grids\25.asg
  6
7
  8
9
  10 Col:0 Row:9 grids\26.asg
11 Col:0 Row:10 grids\27.asg
12 Col:0 Row:11 grids\28.asg
13 Col:0 Row:12 grids\29.asg
```

14 Col:0 Row:13 grids\30.asg

Upper Heyford Park, Oxfordshire

15 Col:1 Row:0 grids\07.asg 16 Col:1 Row:1 grids\08.asg

17 Col:1 Row:2 grids\09.asg 18 Col:1 Row:3 grids\10.asg

19 Col:1 Row:4 grids\11.asg 20 Col:1 Row:5 grids\12.asg 21 Col:1 Row:6 grids\12.asg 22 Col:1 Row:7 grids\20.asg 23 Col:1 Row:8 grids\21.asg

Col:1 Row:9 grids\22.asg Col:2 Row:0 grids\13.asg

26 Col:2 Row:1 grids114.asg 27 Col:2 Row:2 grids114.asg 28 Col:2 Row:3 grids16.asg 29 Col:2 Row:3 grids117.asg 30 Col:2 Row:5 grids118.asg

Area6-proc.xcp

3.00

-3.00

2.24

-0.55

De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1

Area7-raw.xcp

Grad 601

on 04/12/2006

on 04/12/2006

4 Clip from -10 to 10 5 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All Threshold: 0.5 SDs

24 25

Area 6 processed

COMPOSITE

Filename

Stats

Max:

Std Dev:

Processes:

7

Base Layer Clip from -10 to 10

Clip from -10 to 10 Clip from -3 to 3

Mean:

1 2

3

6 7

Area 7 raw

COMPOSITE

Instrument Type:

(Magnetometer) Units:

Surveyed by:

Sensors:

Origin:

Assembled by:

Filename

intervals

Min

Area7-proc.xcp

Area 7 processed

COMPOSITE Filename

```
Stats
Max:
Min
```

Std Dev: Mean:

Processes: 6 Base Layer Clip from -10 to 10 2 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 Clip from -10 to 10
 5 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All
Threshold: 1 SDs
 6 Clip from -3 to 3

3.00

-3.00 2.06

-0.31

Area 8 raw COMPOSITE Area8-raw.xcp Filename Instrument Type: Grad 601 (Magnetometer) Units: on 04/12/2006 Surveyed by: Assembled by: on 04/12/2006 Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702 Sensors: Dummy Value: Origin: One Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 160 x 80 Survey Size (meters): 40 m x 80 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m 1m Stats Max: Min: 10 00 -10.00 Std Dev: 3.69 -0.76 Mean: Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10 Source Grids: 7 1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg 2 Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg 3 Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg Col:1 Row:1 grids\05.asg Col:1 Row:2 grids\06.asg 4 5 5 Col:1 Row:3 grids\07.asg 6 Area 8 processed COMPOSITE Filename Area8-proc.xcp Stats Max: 3.00 Min -3.00 Std Dev: 1.62 Mean: -0.09 Processes: 6 Base Layer Clip from -10 to 10 1 2 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 DeStripe Median Traverse: Grids: 05.asg 6 asg 07.asg 5 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: 01.asg 02.asg 03.asg 04.asg Threshold: 1 SDs 6 Clip from -3 to 3 Area 9 raw COMPOSITE A === 0 ==== = ===

Fliename.	Aleas-law.xcp
Instrument Typ	e: Grad 601
(Magnetometer	r)
Units:	́ nT
Surveyed by:	on 04/12/2006
Assembled by:	on 04/12/2006
Direction of 1st	Traverse: 180 deg
Collection Meth	nod: ZigZag
Sensors:	2 @ 1.00 m spacing.
Dummy Value:	32702
Origin:	One
-	
Dimensions	

Composite Size (readings): 160 x 80 40 m x 80 m Survey Size (meters):

Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702 Dummy Value: One

Dimensions

Composite Size (readings): 160 x 280 Survey Size (meters): 40 m x 280 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m 1m

nT

Stats Max: 10.00 Min: -10.00 Std Dev: 5.17 Mean: -1.19 Processes: 4 Base Layer Clip from -10 to 10 1 2 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 Clip from -10 to 10 Source Grids: 23 urce Grids: 23 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg Col:0 Row:5 grids\06.asg Col:0 Row:5 grids\13.asg Col:0 Row:6 grids\14.asg Col:0 Row:9 grids\14.asg 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 Col:0 Row:8 grids\15.asg Col:1 Row:0 grids\07.asg 10 Col:1 Row:1 grids\08.asg Col:1 Row:2 grids\09.asg 11 12 12 Col:1 Row:2 grids/U0.asg 13 Col:1 Row:3 grids/10.asg 14 Col:1 Row:4 grids/11.asg 15 Col:1 Row:5 grids/11.asg 16 Col:1 Row:6 grids/11.asg 17 Col:1 Row:7 grids/117.asg 18 Col:1 Row:8 grids/18.asg 19 Col:1 Row:9 grids/19.asg 20 Col:1 Row:10 grids/20 asr 20 Col:1 Row:10 grids\20.asg 21 Col:1 Row:11 grids\21.asg 22 Col:1 Row:12 grids\22.asg 23 Col:1 Row:13 grids\23.asg

15

Grid Size:

Stats

Max:

20 m x 20 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m 1m 10.00

-10.00 Min: Std Dev 3.41 -0.89 Mean: Processes: 4 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 4 Clip from -10 to 10 Source Grids: 7 Col:0 Row:1 grids\01.asg Col:0 Row:2 grids\02.asg 1 2 Col:0 Row:3 grids\03.asg Col:1 Row:0 grids\04.asg 3 4 5 Col:1 Row:1 grids\05.asg Col:1 Row:2 grids\06.asg 6 Col:1 Row:3 grids\07.asg Area 9 processed COMPOSITE Filename: Stats Area9-proc.xcp Max 3.00 -3.00 Min: Std Dev: 1 58 -0.22 Mean: Processes: 5 Base Laver 1 2 Clip from -10 to 10 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All Threshold: 1 SDs 5 Clip from -3 to 3 Area 10 raw COMPOSITE Area10-raw.xcp Filename: Instrument Type: Grad 601 (Magnetometer) Units: nT Surveyed by: on 05/12/2006 Assembled by: on 05/12/2006 Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702 Collection Method: Sensors: Dummy Value: One Origin: Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 80 x 20 Survey Size (meters): 20 m x 20 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m 1m Stats Max: 10.00 Min: -10.00 Std Dev: 8.66 2.99 Mean: Processes: 2 Base Laver 2 Clip from -10 to 10 Source Grids: 1 1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg

Area 10 processed

COMPOSITE Filename:	Area10-proc.xcp
Stats	
Max:	10.00
Min:	-10.00
Std Dev:	8.66
Mean:	2.99
Processes: 2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to	10

Area 11 raw COMPOSITE Filen

Desc

FUSHE	
ame:	Area11-raw.xcp
ription:	

Upper Heyford Park, Oxfordshire

nT

One

0.25m 1m

10.00

-10.00

8.98

-2.21

Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg

10.00

-10.00 8.98

-2.21

nT

One

Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 80 x 100 Survey Size (meters): 20 m x 100 m

1m

10.00 -10.00 7.18 -1.58

1 Base Layer 2 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2

Survey Size (meters): 20 m x 7 Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: 0.25m

3

1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg 2 Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg Col:0 Row:4 grids\05.asg

3 Clip from -10 to 10

Source Grids: 5

Area 12 processed

COMPOSITE

Processes: 4

Filename

Stats Max:

Min: Std Dev:

Mean:

Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg

Area11-proc.xcp

Area12-raw.xcp

Grad 601

on 05/12/2006

on 05/12/2006

ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702

Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 80 x 40 Composite Size (meters): 20 m x 40 m

Composite Gize (neters): 20 m x 2 Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m

2 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10

Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg

Grad 601

on 05/12/2006

on 05/12/2006

ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702

Instrument Type: (Magnetometer)

Surveyed by

Assembled by

Collection Method: Sensors: Dummy Value:

Units:

Origin:

X Interval: Y Interval:

Stats

Max:

Std Dev:

Processes:

Source Grids: 2

Area 11 processed

COMPOSITE

Filename[.]

Stats

Max:

Std Dev:

Processes:

Area 12 raw

COMPOSITE

Filename:

Units:

Description

Surveyed by:

Sensors:

Origin:

Assembled by:

Dummy Value:

Dimensions

Grid Size: X Interval: Y Interval:

Stats

Max:

Std Dev:

Processes:

intervals

3 5

Mean:

Min

Collection Method:

Instrument Type:

(Magnetometer)

2 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10

Mean:

Min:

Mean:

1 2

Min

Magnetometer Survey

Base Layer Clip from -10 to 10 1 2 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 Clip from -10 to 10 Area 13 raw COMPOSITE Filename Area13-raw.xcp escription: Instrument Type: (Magnetometer) Grad 601 Units: nT Surveyed by. Assembled by: Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag Consors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702 Surveyed by: Assembled by: on 05/12/2006 Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 160 x 100 Survey Size (meters): 40 m x Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m 40 m x 100 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m Stats 10.00 Max: Min: -10.00 5.60 Std Dev: Mean: -0.35 Processes: 3 1 Base Layer 2 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 3 Clip from -10 to 10 Source Grids: 10 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg Col:0 Row:3 grids\04.asg 1 2 3 Col:0 Row:4 grids\05.asg Col:1 Row:0 grids\06.asg 5 6 Col:1 Row:1 grids\07.asg Col:1 Row:2 grids\08.asg 8 9 Col:1 Row:3 grids\09.asg 10 Col:1 Row:4 grids\10.asg Area 13 processed COMPOSITE Area13-proc.xcp Filename: Stats 10 00 Max: Min: -10.00 Std Dev: 5.25 -0.37 Mean: Processes: 6 Base Laver 1 Clip from -10 to 10 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 2 3 intervals 4 Clip from -10 to 10 5 DeStripe Mean Traverse: Grids: All Threshold: 1 SDs 6 Clip from -10 to 10 Area 14 raw COMPOSITE Filename: Area14-raw.xcp Instrument Type: (Magnetometer) Grad 601 Units nT Surveyed by: on 05/12/2006 Assembled by: on 05/12/2006 Direction of 1st Traverse: 180 deg Collection Method: ZigZag Sensors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. Dummy Value: 32702 Dummy Value: Origin: One Dimensions Composite Size (readings): 80 x 60 Survey Size (meters): 20 m x 60 m Grid Size: 20 m x 20 m X Interval: Y Interval: 0.25m 1m Stats 10.00 Max: Min -10.00 Std Dev:

3.16

10.00

-10.00 7.17 -1.62

Area12-proc.xcp

1.01 Mean:

- Processes: 3 1 Base Layer 2 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 3 Clip from -10 to 10

- Source Grids: 3 1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg 2 Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg 3 Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg

Area 14 processed

COMPOSITE

Stats	
Max:	3.00
Min:	-3.00
Std Dev:	1.68
Mean:	-0.10

- Processes: 6 1 Base Layer 2 Clip from -10 to 10 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 4 DeStripe Median Traverse: Grids: All 5 Clip from -10 to 10 6 Clip from -3 to 3

- Source Grids: 3 1 Col:0 Row:0 grids\01.asg 2 Col:0 Row:1 grids\02.asg 3 Col:0 Row:2 grids\03.asg











Archaeological Surveys
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park
Referencing information - Areas 1 & 2
Based on OS coordinates (OS GB 36)
Survey start and traverse direction
SCALE 1:2000
0m 20 40 60 80 100m
FIG 03







Archaeological Surveys	
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park	
Referencing information - Areas 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 & 14 Based on OS coordinates (OSGB36)	
SCALE 1:2000	
FIG 05	













Arcl	haeological Surveys
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park	
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Areas 1 and 2	
	Positive linear anomaly - of uncertain origin
_	Perimeter road edge
_	Negative linear anomaly - of uncertain origin
•	Discrete positive response - uncertain origin
***	Positive anomaly - uncertain origin
***	Magnetic debris - spread of magnetically thermoremnant/ ferrous material
-1//,	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material
_	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service
•	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object
Om	SCALE 1:1000
	FIG 09















Archaeological Surveys		
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park		
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Areas 3, 4 and 5		
	Positive linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
_	Negative linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
٠	Discrete positive response - uncertain origin	
***	Positive response - of uncertain origin	
***	Magnetic debris - spread of magnetically thermoremnant/ ferrous material	
"///,	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material	
—	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service	
٠	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object	
0m ₩₩	SCALE 1:1000	
	FIG 13	















Archaeological Surveys			
	Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park		
Abstra ma	action and interpretation of ignetometer anomalies - Areas 6 and 7		
_	Positive linear anomaly - of uncertain origin		
_	Negative linear anomaly - of uncertain origin		
***	Positive area - uncertain origin		
***	Magnetic debris - spread of magnetically thermoremnant/ ferrous material		
111.	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material		
_	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service		
•	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object		
	SCALE 1:1000		
0m	10 20 30 40 50m		
_			
	FIG 17		















Archaeological Surveys		
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park		
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Areas 8 and 9		
	Positive linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
	Linear anomaly - drain	
_	Negative linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
***	Positive area - uncertain origin	
***	Magnetic debris - spread of magnetically thermoremnant/ ferrous material	
111,	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material	
—	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service	
•	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object	
	SCALE 1:1000	
0m	10 20 30 40 50m	
	FIG 21	















Archaeological Surveys	
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park	
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Areas 10 and 11	
Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material	
SCALE 1:1000	
0m 10 20 30 40 50m	
FIG 25	





Ν



Archaeolo	ogical Surveys
Geoph <u></u> Upper	ysical Survey Heyford Park
Traceplot of data - A	raw magnetometer reas 12 and 13
+70nT	Approximately 35nT/ cm vertical
– +35nT	displacement. Data has been clipped
— 0nT	at ± 100n1.
– -35nT	
70nT	
SCAL	.E 1:1000
0m 10	20 30 40 50m
	FIG 27







Ν



Archaeological Surveys		
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park		
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Areas 12 and 13		
	Positive linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
***	Magnetic debris - spread ofmagnetically thermoremnant/ferrous material	
111,	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material	
_	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service	
•	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object in topsoil	
Om	SCALE 1:1000	
	FIG 29	

Ν

Area 6



Area 14





N A

Area 6

Are

Area 14



Ν

Area 6



Area 14





Archaeological Surveys		
Geophysical Survey Upper Heyford Park		
Abstraction and interpretation of magnetometer anomalies - Area 14		
	Negative linear anomaly - of uncertain origin	
***	Magnetic debris - spread of magnetically thermoremnant/ ferrous material	
111.	Magnetic disturbance from ferrous material	
	Strong dipolar linear anomaly - pipeline / cable / service	
•	Strong dipolar anomaly - ferrous object	
Om	SCALE 1:1000	
	FIG 33	