

**Turks Head Inn, Low Road,  
Hasketon, Suffolk**

**Planning application: DC/14/1982/FUL**

**HER Ref: HSK 037**

**Archaeological Evaluation Report**

(© John Newman BA MIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(March 2015)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: [johnnewman2@btinternet.com](mailto:johnnewman2@btinternet.com) )

**Site details for HER**

Name: Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon, Suffolk, IP13 6JG

Clients: Woodbridge International Developments Ltd

Local planning authority: Suffolk Coastal DC

Planning application ref: DC/14/1982/FUL

Development: Erection of four detached dwellings and construction of new access and extension to public house

Date of fieldwork: 12 March, 2015

HER ref: HSK 037

Event ref: ESF 22980

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-205725

Grid ref: TM 2480 5064

## Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1 Site location

Fig. 2 Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Selected images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

*Summary: Hasketon, Turks Head Inn, Low Road (HSK 037, TM 2480 5064) evaluation trenching for a residential development of four new dwellings on land adjacent and to the east of the Turks Head Inn did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray finds of any antiquity comprised two sherds of Roman period pottery and a small number of medieval coarseware sherds with all of these sherds being very abraded (John Newman Archaeological Services for Woodbridge International Developments Ltd).*

## 1. Introduction & background

1.1 Woodbridge International Developments Ltd commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a residential development comprising four new dwellings on land adjacent and to the east of the Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon (see Fig. 1). The evaluation requirements were set out in a Brief, following the granting of planning application DC/14/1982/FUL, set by Mrs R Abraham of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the area for the new dwellings. While this application also allowed the construction of a new extension to the Turks Head Inn this part of the overall development did not require any archaeological input and is already well underway. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works were undertaken.

1.2 Hasketon parish is located 3 miles to the north-west of Woodbridge and Hodkinson's map of 1783 of Suffolk depicts a historically dispersed settlement pattern with an isolated church and a number of farms and cottages scattered along various lanes and roads. The proposed development site for the four new dwellings is located 60m east of the Turks Head Inn, which is a grade II listed building described as being 'originally a farmhouse of 17<sup>th</sup> century date with a 19<sup>th</sup> century brick skin,' and c300m north-west of the parish church. The site to the east of the Turks Head Inn falls within the heavier soils of the boulder clay Till glaciofluvial deposits that dominate central Suffolk and at the time of the evaluation was soft ground having been used most recently as caravan site. Topographically the site is at 30m OD with a gentle south-westerly aspect and is 250m north of a small stream.

1.3 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its topographically favourable location relatively close to a stream and fronting onto a historic route way that links the dispersed farms and cottages to the west with the parish church c300m to the south-east. In addition a Roman period coin find (HER HSK 003) is recorded 250m to the north-west close to Gull Farm (see Fig. 1) and later field survey also recovered a number of Roman period pottery sherds from the area around this farm making the presence of an area of past settlement activity likely.

## 2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The area of the proposed residential development was trenched to a previously agreed plan (see Fig. 2), using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1000mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times with any indistinct areas being hand cleaned for better clarity.

2.2 The sides and base of the 1.80m wide trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds and any indistinct areas or potential features were investigated by hand. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry sunny and conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches was plotted from nearby mapped features and as the evaluation progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken of the trenching works.

### 3. Results

3.1 In this case the results are most easily summarised as in the table below as nothing of archaeological interest was revealed (see also Fig. 2 & Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/ natural features & finds
1	North-south	15m	300	100 to 200 of a mid brown clay subsoil	Stiff pale brown chalky clay with flints	No features and only finds a few Pmed tile fragments
2	East-west	15	200	100 (as T1)	As T1	No features or finds
3	East-west	15	300	100 (as T1)	As T1	No features and only find a Pmed clay tobacco pipe stem fragment
4	East-west	15	300	100 (as T1)	As T1	No features and only finds one small (5g) rim sherd of abraded Roman greyware and a few Pmed tile fragments
5	North-south	15	300	100 (as T1)	As T1	No features except a modern electric cable and the only find was a sherd of 19 <sup>th</sup> /20 <sup>th</sup> C Willow pattern pottery and a Pmed clay tobacco pipe stem fragment
Total		75 (54135m <sup>2</sup> )	300	100 to 200		Trench depth minimum at 300 & maximum at 500

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As indicated in the table above no archaeological features were revealed during the evaluation with the 300mm to 500mm deep trenches revealing a deposit profile comprising a 300mm depth of topsoil over 100mm to 200mm of clean mid brown sandy subsoil with only the southern part of trench 1 reaching the maximum overall depth.

3.3 The only finds seen in the upcast spoil from the evaluation proved to be of recent date with the exception of a small and abraded rim sherd (5g) of Roman greyware pottery from trench 4. Prior to the evaluation a number of test pits were excavated and back-filled at the site leaving a well weathered ground surface at each pit location. These areas were therefore examined for archaeological finds and one abraded Roman greyware sherd (7g) and four abraded medieval coarseware sherds (15g) were recovered.

### 4. Conclusion

4.1 With no archaeological features revealed in the 75m of evaluation trenching it can only be concluded that the area for this planned residential development was peripheral to past settlement areas and therefore in all probability historically in general agricultural use. The few abraded Roman and medieval period pottery sherds that were collected as stray finds are probably indicative of the spreading of

domestic waste as manure during phases of arable use of the area though the lack of any field drains on what is heavy land also suggests longer periods for use as grazing pasture for this site in the past.

4.2 Based on the evaluation results it is recommended that no further archaeological investigations need to be carried out at this planned residential development site adjacent and to the east of the Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon.

*Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref. HSK 037.*

*Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.*

*(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to everyone on Dominic Withey for his close cooperation and to Lewis for his skilled machine operation)*




Fig. 1: Site location (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006  
All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches  
 (light blue- new dwelling & garage footprints, red- electric cable)  
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2015 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)

## Appendix I- Images



General view from east



Trench 1 from north



Trench 1 deposit profile





Trench 2 from east



Trench 2 deposit profile



Trench 3 from west



Trench 3 deposit profile



Trench 4 from west



Trench 4 deposit profile



Trench 5 from north



Trench 5 deposit profile

**Turks Head Inn, Low Road,  
Hasketon, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for  
Archaeological Evaluation**

## **Site details**

Name: Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon, Suffolk, IP13 6JG

Client: Woodbridge International Developments Ltd

Local planning authority: Suffolk Coastal DC

Planning application ref: DC/14/1982/FUL

Proposed development: Erection of four detached dwellings and construction of new access and extension to public house

Proposed date for evaluation: 12 & 13 March, 2015

Brief ref: SCCAS(RA)\_Trenched Archaeological Evaluation Brief\_Turks Head, Low Road, Hasketon\_1982

Grid ref: TM 2480 5064

## **Contents**

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

## 1. Introduction

1.1 Woodbridge International Developments Ltd has commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation for a residential development that has recently received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/14/1982/FUL, and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mrs R Abraham of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This proposed development concerns the erection of four detached dwellings with a new access to the east of and the construction of an extension to the Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2011 Ver. 1.1 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & 2014)*.

## 2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Hasketon parish is located 3 miles to the north-west of Woodbridge and Hodkinson's map of 1783 of Suffolk depicts a historically dispersed settlement pattern with an isolated church and a number of farms and cottages scattered along various lanes and roads. The proposed development site for the four new dwellings is located 60m east of the Turks Head Inn, which is a grade II listed building described as being 'originally a farmhouse of 17<sup>th</sup> century date with a 19<sup>th</sup> century brick skin,' and c300m north-west of the parish church.

1.3 The PDS to the east of the Turks Head Inn falls within the heavier soils of the boulder clay Till glaciofluvial deposits that dominate central Suffolk and at present is soft ground. Topographically the PDS is at 30m OD with a gentle south-westerly aspect and is 250m north of a small stream.

## 3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This application lies in an area of archaeological interest recorded in the County Historic Environment Record, being situated in an area which is topographically favourable for

early settlement, overlooking a tributary of the River Lark. The development site is located north-west of the medieval church (HSK 017) and also close to the findspot of a Roman coin. As a result there is high potential for encountering early occupation deposits at this location.' The Roman coin find (HER HSK 003) was found from an area 250m to the north-west of the PDS and later field survey in this area also recovered a number of pottery sherds of the same date and indicative of settlement activity. In addition a number of Roman pottery sherds were recovered from an area 250m north of the PDS. A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

#### 4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the main archaeological potential of the PDS relates to its location close to recorded evidence for Roman period activity. Additionally the PDS has a topographic setting often favoured in the past for settlement. The aim of the evaluation is therefore to examine the specified sample of the proposed development area with evaluation trenching under controlled conditions so, if archaeological deposits are revealed they can be sampled and characterised. With this information a strategy can then be formulated for their possible preservation in situ or, failing that, the systematic recording of these deposits and the associated working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

## 5. Methodology

5.1 The part of the proposed development that requires evaluation trenching is the area for four new dwellings to the east of the Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon.

5.2 The Brief requires 75m of 1.8m wide trench and a trenching plan is included below. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall site HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in monochrome film and high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%-

possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis* (Murphy P L & Wiltshire P E J, 1994). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and RSA if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of



hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur

an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely).

- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles in *Management of Archaeological projects* (MAP2, and particularly Appendix 3). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Deposition of Archaeological Archives in Suffolk*' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2008). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of MAP2 (particularly Appendix 3.1 & Appendix 4.1) and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has

considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up. As appropriate a vector plan of the trench locations will be provided in .dxf format for inclusion in the County HER.

### 6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

### 7. Specialists

Conservation:

Conservation Services

# John Newman Archaeological Services

Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (CFA Archaeology)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	R Macphail (UCL)
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (CFA Archaeology)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	S Benfield (CAT)
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (5 x 15m each)

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

## Printable version

**OASIS ID: johnnewm1-205725**

### Project details

Project name	Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Hasketon, Turks Head Inn, Low Road (HSK 037, TM 2480 5064) evaluation trenching for a residential development of four new dwellings on land adjacent and to the east of the Turks Head Inn did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray finds of any antiquity comprised two sherds of Roman period pottery and a small number of medieval coarseware sherds with all of these sherds being very abraded.
Project dates	Start: 12-03-2015 End: 12-03-2015
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	ESF 22980 - HER event no.
Any associated project reference codes	HSK 037 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/14/1982/FUL - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Grassland Heathland 2 - Undisturbed Grassland
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	POTTERY Roman
Significant Finds	POTTERY Medieval
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)

**Project location**

Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK SUFFOLK COASTAL HASKETON TURKS HEAD INN, LOW ROAD
Postcode	IP13 6JG
Study area	1000.00 Square metres
Site coordinates	TM 2480 5064 52.1077675247 1.28321236035 52 06 27 N 001 16 59 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 29.00m Max: 30.00m

**Project creators**

Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer

**Project archives**

Physical Archive recipient	Discarded
Physical Contents	"Ceramics"
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"Ceramics"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"Ceramics"
Paper Media available	"Report"

**Project bibliography 1**

Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Turks Head Inn, Low Road, Hasketon, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2015
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk

Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	19 March 2015

## OASIS:

Please e-mail [English Heritage](#) for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by [Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email](#) Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page