

**Evergreen, Bury Road,  
Brandon, Suffolk**

**Planning application: DC/14/1453/FUL**

**HER Ref: BRD 249**

**Archaeological Evaluation Report**

(© John Newman BA MIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(August 2015)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: [johnnewman2@btinternet.com](mailto:johnnewman2@btinternet.com) )

**Site details for HER**

Name: Land at Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon, Suffolk, IP27 0BU

Clients: Mr B Beard & Mr R Curtis

Local planning authority: Forest Heath DC

Planning application ref: DC/14/1453/FUL

Development: Erection of eight dwellings

Date of fieldwork: 12 June, 2015

HER ref: BRD 249

Event ref: ESF 231100

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-214071

Grid ref: TL 7858 8642

Previous land use: garden for a large detached house of mid 20<sup>th</sup> century date which was demolished prior to evaluation works starting

Site area: c2500m<sup>2</sup>

## Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1 Site location

Fig. 2 Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Selected images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

*Summary: Brandon, Evergreen, Bury Road (BRD 249, TL 7858 8642) evaluation trenching for a residential development of eight new dwellings on a large former single residential plot for a now demolished house of mid 20<sup>th</sup> century date to the south of the historic core of Brandon did not reveal any archaeological features or finds of any date indicating that this site is outside the historic core of the town (John Newman Archaeological Services for Mr B Beard & Mr R Curtis).*

## 1. Introduction & background

1.1 Mr B Beard and Mr R Curtis commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a residential development comprising eight new dwellings on land formerly the site of Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon which was large house of mid 20<sup>th</sup> century date (see Fig. 1). The evaluation requirements were set out in a Brief, following the granting of planning application DC/14/1453/FUL, set by Mrs R Abraham of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the area for the new dwellings. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works were undertaken and following the demolition of Evergreen and the clearance of all the vegetation from the site.

1.2 Brandon is a small town, where a market was established by 1319, on the north western edge of Suffolk which historically grew on the southern side of a bridging point on the Little Ouse River which has seen extensive development in recent years. Hodskinson's map of Suffolk of 1783 depicts a relatively small cluster of buildings close to the bridging point in a part of Suffolk characterised by very dispersed and sparse settlement as the area to the south of the town, which is now dominated by extensive coniferous forestry plantations, comprises the very sandy and dry tracts of Breckland where pre-modern land use has largely been sheep grazing and rabbit warrens. In such an environment water sources are rare and past settlement in both the prehistoric and historic eras has concentrated near what sources are available. The proposed development site at Evergreen is located 500m south of the bridging point across the Little Ouse and 200m south-east of the junction of Bridge Street with the London and Thetford Roads which lies towards the southern edge of the historically mapped settlement. The parish church is located 950m to the west in the area of the middle and late Saxon settlement at Brandon. Historic maps show this site as being open field in the later 19<sup>th</sup> century with a Fur Manufactory to the north.

1.3 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its location on the southern edge of the area defined in the Historic Environment Record (HER) as being the historic core (BRD 209) of the medieval town of Brandon whose definite limits have not been defined.

## 2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The area of the proposed residential development was trenched to a previously agreed plan (see Fig. 2), using a large 360 machine equipped with a 1800mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times with any indistinct areas being hand cleaned for better clarity.

2.2 The sides and base of the 1.80m wide trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds and any indistinct areas or potential features were investigated by hand. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry sunny and conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches was plotted from nearby mapped features and as the evaluation

progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken of the trenching works.

## 3. Results

3.1 In this case the results are most easily summarised as in the table below as nothing of archaeological significance was revealed (see also Fig. 2 & Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northeast-southwest	10	300 (with rubble)	500 of a mid brown very sandy subsoil	Soft yellow sand with flints	Three 20 <sup>th</sup> century disturbances from Evergreen & stray debris of the same date
2	North-south	10	350	450 (as T1)	Pale brown sand with flints and areas of degraded chalk	No features or finds
3	Northeast-southwest	10	200	300 (as T1)	As T1	No features, some very angular flint knapping debris at western end close to part flint built Pmed wall
4	Northeast-southwest	10	200	300 (as T1)	As T1 plus areas of degraded chalk	No features and only finds
5	Northwest-southeast	10	300	300 (as T1)	As T2 plus areas of degraded chalk	No features or finds
6	Northwest-southeast	10	200	300 (as T1)	As T1	No features or finds
7	Northeast-southwest	10	200	300 (as T1)	As T1	Two small pits of 20 <sup>th</sup> century date
Total		70 (126m <sup>2</sup> )	300	300 to 500		Trench depth minimum at 500 & maximum at 800

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As indicated in the table above no archaeological features or finds of any significance were revealed during the evaluation with the 500mm to 800mm deep trenches revealing a deposit profile comprising a 200mm to 350 depth of topsoil over 300mm to 500mm of generally clean mid brown sandy subsoil with the latter increasing in depth towards the northern edge of the site.

3.3 The only features revealed in the seven trenches were of 20<sup>th</sup> century date and can be directly related to the demolished house Evergreen in trenches 1 and 7. Similarly the only stray finds at the site were either of 20<sup>th</sup> century date and generally lying on the ground surface or to be interpreted as flint knapping waste from the construction of a nearby later Post medieval wall close to the western end of trench 3.

## 4. Conclusion

4.1 With no significant archaeological features or finds revealed in the 70m of evaluation trenching it can only be concluded that the area for this planned

residential development was peripheral to past settlement and being within an area of very well drained sandy soils was in all likelihood only used for low intensity sheep grazing until the 20<sup>th</sup> century expansion of the town. While the evaluation results were negative this is a useful indicator that the southern limit to the historic town at Brandon is located to the north of this site and closer to the junction of London and Thetford Roads (see Fig. 1).

4.2 Based on the evaluation results it is recommended that no further archaeological investigations need to be carried out at this planned residential development site at Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon.

*Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref. BRD 249.*

*Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.*

*(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Barry Beard for his close cooperation and to Andy for his skilled machine operation)*




Fig. 1: Site location (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006  
All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches (light blue- planned new dwelling footprints)  
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2015 All rights reserved Licence N0 100049722)

## Appendix I- Images



General view from south



Trench 1 from east



Trench 2 from south





Trench 3 from east



Trench 4 from west



Trench 5 from south



Trench 6 from north



Trench 7 from west



Trench 1 deposit profile



Trench 3 deposit profile



Trench 6 deposit profile



Trench 7 deposit profile

**Evergreen, Bury Road,  
Brandon, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for  
Archaeological Evaluation**

## **Site details**

Name: Land at Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon, Suffolk, IP27 0BU

Client: Mr B Beard & Mr R Curtis

Local planning authority: Forest Heath DC

Planning application ref: DC/14/1453/FUL

Proposed development: Erection of eight dwellings

Proposed date for evaluation: wc 22 June, 2015

Brief ref: SCCAS\_RA\_Trenched Archaeological Evaluation Brief\_Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon\_1453

Grid ref: TL 7856 8644

Area: 2500m<sup>2</sup>

## **Contents**

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

## 1. Introduction

1.1 Mr B Beard and Mr R Curtiss have commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation for a proposed residential development that has recently received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/14/1453/FUL and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mrs R Abraham of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This proposed development concerns the construction of eight dwellings on land that formerly occupied by a large detached house called Evergreen off the Bury Road, Brandon.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2011 Ver. 1.1 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & re-issued 2014)*.

## 2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Brandon is a small town, where a market was established by 1319, on the north western edge of Suffolk which historically grew on the southern side of a bridging point on the Little Ouse River which has seen extensive development in recent years. Hodskinson's map of Suffolk of 1783 depicts a relatively small cluster of buildings close to the bridging point in a part of Suffolk characterised by very dispersed and sparse settlement as the area to the south of the town, which is now dominated by extensive coniferous forestry plantations, comprises the very sandy and dry tracts of Breckland where pre-modern land use has largely been sheep grazing and rabbit warrening. In such an environment water sources are rare and past settlement in both the prehistoric and historic eras has concentrated near what sources are available. The proposed development site (PDS) at Evergreen is located 500m south of the bridging point across the Little Ouse and 200m south-east of the junction of Bridge Street with the London and Thetford Roads which formed the southern edge of the historic settlement. The parish church is located 950m to the west in the area of the middle and late Saxon settlement at Brandon. Historic maps show the PDS as being open field in the later 19<sup>th</sup> century with a Fur Manufactory to the north.

2.2 The proposed development site (PDS) lies on flat ground just below the 25m OD contour and was until recently occupied by Evergreen a house of mid 20<sup>th</sup> century date and its garden. Soils in the area are likely to be light as they are derived from the locally occurring glaciofluvial sands with outcrops of chalk.

### 3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'The proposed development lies in an area of archaeological interest as defined by information held by the County Historic Environment Record (HER). The site is located on the edge of the medieval settlement core (HER no. BAR 209). As a result there is high potential for important heritage assets of archaeological interest to be defined at this location. Any ground works associated with the proposed development has the potential to cause significant damage or destruction to any underlying heritage assets of archaeological interest.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

### 4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the main archaeological potential relates to the site's location on the southern edge of a historic settlement where evidence for medieval and earlier Post medieval period settlement and related activities in particular may exist. The aim of the evaluation is therefore to examine the specified sample of the proposed development areas with an evaluation trench across each planned dwelling footprint under controlled conditions so, if archaeological deposits are revealed they can be sampled and characterised. With this

information a strategy can then be formulated for their possible preservation in situ or, failing that, the systematic recording of these deposits and the associated working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

## 5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for eight dwellings on what is largely soft ground at Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon though the northern quarter has been disturbed in the construction of this previous house.

5.2 The Brief requires 70m of 1.80m wide trenches. The trenching will be undertaken using a 1.2/1.5m wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under overall site event and HER numbers obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to

the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%-possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis* (Murphy P L & Wiltshire P E J, 1994). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and RSA if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be



systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required on features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost though this is a rare occurrence on small scale evaluations).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen,

insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will be covered within the resources agreed for the first date but will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless particularly deep features are present).

- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles in *Management of Archaeological projects* (MAP2, and particularly Appendix 3). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Deposition of Archaeological Archives in Suffolk*' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2008). As necessary the site digital archive will deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of MAP2 (particularly Appendix 3.1 & Appendix 4.1) and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the

reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up. As appropriate a vector plan of the trench location will be provided in .dxf format for inclusion in the County HER.

## 6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Discussion with the client has already confirmed that there is no known, or likely, ground contamination and the discovery of underground services is unlikely. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

### 7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	R Macphail (UCL)
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	S Benfield (CAT)
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



0m | \_\_\_\_\_ | 20m

Proposed location of trial trenches (7 x 10m each)

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

## Printable version

**OASIS ID: johnnewm1-214071**

### Project details

Project name	Evergreen, Bury Road, Brandon, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Brandon, Evergreen, Bury Road (BRD 249, TL 7858 8642) evaluation trenching for a residential development of eight new dwellings on a large former single residential plot for a now demolished house of mid 20th century date to the south of the historic core of Brandon did not reveal any archaeological features or finds of any date indicating that this site is outside the historic core of the town.
Project dates	Start: 12-06-2015 End: 12-06-2015
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	ESF 231100 - HER event no.
Any associated project reference codes	BRD 249 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/14/1453/FUL - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Other 5 - Garden
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	NONE None
Methods & techniques	""Sample Trenches""
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	SMR enhancement
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)

### Project location

Country	England
---------	---------

Site location	SUFFOLK FOREST HEATH BRANDON EVERGREEN, BURY ROAD
Postcode	IP27 0BU
Study area	2500 Square metres
Site coordinates	TL 7858 8642 52.446081583198 0.627980877592 52 26 45 N 000 37 40 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 5m Max: 6m

### Project creators

Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer

### Project archives

Physical Archive Exists?	No
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"none"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"none"
Paper Media available	"Report"

### Project bibliography 1

Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Evergree, Bury Road, Brandon, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2015
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Entered on 18 August 2015

## OASIS:

Please e-mail [Historic England](#) for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by [Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email](#) Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page