

**Land to the South-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun
Hill, Rattlesden, Suffolk**

Planning application: 4335/16

HER Ref: RAT 060

Archaeological Evaluation Report

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(April 2017)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details for HER

Name: Land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden, Suffolk, IP30 0RL

Clients: Hartog Hutton

Planning authority: Mid Suffolk DC

Planning application ref: 4335/16

Development: Erection of 5 dwellings with garages

Date of fieldwork: 24 April, 2017

Event ref: ESF 25522

HER ref: RAT 060

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-283341

Grid ref: TL9780 5840

Site area: 2500m²

Recent land use: Part of an arable field

Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Selected images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix IV- OASIS data collection form

Summary: Rattlesden, land south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill (RAT 060, TL 9780 5840) evaluation trenching for a small residential development did not locate any features except a large pit of recent date and the filled-in part of the existing large road side ditch, the only stray find of any age was a small sherd of medieval pottery (John Newman Archaeological Services for Hartog Hutton).

1. Introduction & background

1.1 Hartog Hutton commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a small scale residential development comprising 5 dwellings with garages on land south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden (see Fig. 1) that has been given planning consent under application 4335/16. The evaluation requirements were set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the development area concerned. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works are undertaken.

1.2 Rattlesden parish lies to the south west of Stowmarket in an area where the local soils are dominated by the heavier boulder clay or till deposits of central Suffolk. The proposed development site at land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden is located some 600m south of the parish church in a landscape characterised by dispersed settlement along the roads and lanes and around the greens and tyes (formerly areas of common grazing). The site is in an area which Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk depicts as being to the west of Poystreet Green with few buildings nearby though the road along its eastern is a historic, if minor, route way

1.3 The site lies in an area of generally heavy soils derived from the Till deposits of central Suffolk with areas of lighter sands and gravels close to water courses and is just above the 70m OD contour in an area of gentle topography with a southerly aspect as the ground drops down to a small stream which is 250m away. To date the site has been under arable cultivation with a 2.80m wide ditch running along its eastern side adjacent to the nearby road.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its proximity to the line of a Roman road (HER RAT 012) which the Ordnance Survey indicates as being 40m to the west (see Fig. 2), a moated site (HER RAT 004) of medieval date some 140m to the west and a nearby scatter of Roman pottery (HER RAT 048) 130m to the south (see Fig. 1).

2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to an agreed plan (see Fig. 2). The trenching was carried out using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1500mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with all 5 of the trenches being 1.80m wide.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed. Site

visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches was plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the table below (see also Figs. 2 & Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northeast-southwest	10	280	120 of mid brown clay subsoil	Stiff pale brown chalky clay with flints	No features, 1 small stray medieval sherd (wt. 4g)
2	Northwest-southeast	10	280	120 as T1	As T1	No features, 1 stray oyster shell
3	Northeast-southwest	10	260	140 as T1	As T1	No features or stray finds
4	Northeast-southwest	5	250	150 as T1	As T1	No features or stray finds
5	Northwest-southeast	10	250	150 as T1	As T1	No features or finds
6	Northwest-southeast	5	250	150 as T1	As T1	No features or finds
7	Northwest-southeast	10	250	50as T1	As T1	Large mid-20 th C pit over southern 4m of trench, no stray finds
8	Northwest-southeast	5	350	250 as T1	As T1	Along eastern edge filled-in 700mm wide part of roadside ditch
9	Northwest-southeast	5	350	250 as T1	As T1	Along eastern edge filled-in 900mm wide part of roadside ditch
		70 (126m ²)	250-350	50-250		Overall trench depth was 400mm to 600mm, only feature a 20 th C pit

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches varied between a depth 300mm and 600mm. The natural glaciofluvial deposit at the site was stiff chalky clay with flints.

3.3 The 70m of evaluation trenching revealed a large, 4m+ wide, pit of recent date in the southern half of trench 7 and the filled-in western part of the existing large, 2.80m wide, road side ditch in the eastern part of trenches 8 and 9. With regard to the latter feature in trench 8 the filled-in part of the ditch was 700mm wide while in trench 9 it was 900mm wide. A mechanically excavated test pit was opened into the filled-in part of this road side ditch in trench 9 and this revealed a depth of 800mm (1400mm

from the ground level) with a fragment of later Post medieval peg tile close to the base. The only stray find of any date was a small sandy medieval coarseware sherd (wt. 4g) from the spoil of trench 1.

3.4 The metal detector search did recover any significant finds with only modern debris being identified.

4. Conclusion

4.1 With negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits of any significance a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites and finds was not commissioned. The lack of features and finds of any age at the site indicates that it has only been in general agricultural use in the past with the large road side ditch along the eastern edge formerly having been nearly a metre wider with evidence of relatively recent filling-in on its western side.

4.3 From these evaluation results it is recommended that no further archaeological works need to be carried out for this development for 5 new dwellings on land south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden.

Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: RAT 060.

Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.

(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Grace Campbell of Suffolk CC for supplying HER information and to everyone on site for their close cooperation and hospitality)




Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches
 (Numbers 8 & 9 at planned entrance points to development, light blue- planned footprint areas)
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2017 All rights reserved Licence N0 100049722)

Appendix I- Images



General view from north



Trench 1 from northeast



Trench 1 deposit profile (similar to trenches 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6)



Trench 2 from northwest



Trench 3 from southwest



Trench 4 from southwest



Trench 5 from northwest



Trench 6 from northwest



Trench 7 from southeast (with modern pit in foreground)



Trench 7 deposit profile



Trench 8 from southeast



Trench 9 from southeast



Trench 9 test pit into filled-in part (arrowed) of road side ditch

**Land to the south-east of Buff Rise,
Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for
Archaeological Evaluation**

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details

Name: Land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Suffolk, IP30 0RL

Client: Hartog Hutton

Local planning authority: Mid Suffolk DC

Planning application ref: 4335/16

Proposed development: Erection of 5 dwellings with garages

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS Brief for a Trenched Archaeological Evaluation Land to the south-east of Buff Rise Rising Sun Hill Rattlesden

Grid ref: TL 97808 58418

Area: 2500m²

Current site use: Arable field

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

John Newman Archaeological Services

1. Introduction

1.1 Hartog Hutton have commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation on a residential development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application 4335/16 and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This overall proposed development concerns the construction of 5 dwellings with garages at land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2012 Ver. 1.3 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & re-issued 2014)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent 4335/16. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Rattlesden parish lies to the south west of Stowmarket in an area where the local soils are dominated by the heavier boulder clay or till deposits of central Suffolk. The proposed development site (PDS) at land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden is located some 600m south of the parish church in a landscape characterised by dispersed settlement along the roads and lanes and around the greens and tyes (formerly areas of common grazing). The PDS is in an area which Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk depicts as being to the west of Poystreet Green with few buildings nearby though the road along its eastern is a historic, if minor, route way.

2.2 The PDS lies in an area of generally heavy soils derived from the Till deposits of central Suffolk with areas of lighter sands and gravels close to water courses and is just above the 70m OD contour in an area of gentle topography with a southerly

John Newman Archaeological Services

aspect as the ground drops down to a small stream which is 250m away. To date the PDS has been under arable cultivation.

3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record, in close proximity to a medieval moat (RAT 004), a Roman road (RAT 012) and a Roman pottery scatter (RAT 048). As a result, there is high potential for the discovery of below-ground heritage assets of archaeological importance within this area, and groundworks associated with the development have the potential to damage or destroy any archaeological remains which exist.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of the PDS relates to the site's location close to the line of a Roman road and a pottery scatter indicative of settlement activity during this period in addition to being close to a moat of medieval date. The aim of the evaluation is therefore to examine the specified sample of the PDS with evaluation trenching under controlled conditions so, if archaeological deposits are revealed they can be sampled and characterised. With this information a strategy can then be formulated for their possible preservation in situ or, failing that, the systematic recording of these deposits and the associated working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of 5 dwellings with garages at land to the south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden. To inform the results of the evaluation if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County HER for the area within 500m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report.

John Newman Archaeological Services

5.2 The Brief requires 70m of 1.8m wide trenching across the area of the overall development. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand in combination with an event number. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may

John Newman Archaeological Services

involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further

John Newman Archaeological Services

field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).

- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the

John Newman Archaeological Services

guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2015). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

John Newman Archaeological Services


6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	R Macphail (UCL)
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	S Benfield (CAT)
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (4 x 5m and 5 x 10m)

OASIS ID: johnnewm1-283341

Project details

Project name	Land To The South-east Of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Rattlesden, land south-east of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill (RAT 060, TL 9780 5840) evaluation trenching for a small residential development did not locate any features except a large pit of recent date and the filled-in part of the existing large road side ditch, the only stray find of any age was a small sherd of medieval pottery.
Project dates	Start: 24-04-2017 End: 24-04-2017
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	ESF 25522 - HER event no.
Any associated project reference codes	RAT 060 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	4335/16 - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Cultivated Land 3 - Operations to a depth more than 0.25m
Monument type	PIT Modern
Significant Finds	SCRAP METAL Modern
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK MID SUFFOLK RATTLESDEN LAND TO THE SOUTHEAST OF BUFF RISE, RISING SUN HILL
Postcode	IP30 0RL
Study area	2500 Square metres
Site coordinates	TL 9780 5840 52.187824370238 0.893974388328 52 11 16 N 000 53 38 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 71m Max: 72m
Project creators	
Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design	John Newman

originator

Project director/manager John Newman

Project supervisor John Newman

Type of sponsor/funding body Developer

Project archives

Physical Archive recipient Discarded

Physical Contents "Ceramics","Metal"

Digital Archive recipient Suffolk CC Archaeological Service

Digital Contents "Ceramics","Metal"

Digital Media available "Images raster / digital photography","Text"

Paper Archive recipient Suffolk CC Archaeological Service

Paper Contents "Ceramics","Metal"

Paper Media available "Report"

Project bibliography

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Title Land Southeast of Buff Rise, Rising Sun Hill, Rattlesden, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report

Author(s)/Editor(s) Newman, J

Date 2017

Issuer or publisher John Newman Archaeological Services

Place of issue or publication Henley, Suffolk

Description Loose bound client report and pdf

Entered by John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Entered on 2 May 2017