

**The Woodyard, Vyces Road,  
Framlingham, Suffolk**

**Planning application: DC/15/1090/FUL**

**HER Ref: FML 104**

**Archaeological Evaluation Report**

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(August 2018)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: [johnnewman2@btinternet.com](mailto:johnnewman2@btinternet.com) )

**Site details for HER**

Name: The Woodyard, Vyces Road, Framlingham, Suffolk, IP13 9RJ

Clients: Foundation Properties

Planning authority: Suffolk Coastal DC

Planning application ref: DC/15/1090/FUL

Development: Erection of 5 dwellings

Date of fieldwork: 24 July, 2018

HER ref: FML 104

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-323007

Grid ref: TM 2780 6342

Site area: 4000m<sup>2</sup>

Recent land use: Rough grassland

## Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

Fig. 3: Trench 8 plan and section (Sue Holden)

List of appendices

Appendix I- Selected images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

*Summary: Framlingham, The Woodyard, Vycles Road (FML 104, TM 2780 6342) evaluation trenching for a planned residential development to the west of the medieval town and close to a small stream revealed one ditch of Post medieval date with all of the stray finds in the upcast spoil being of a similar date (John Newman Archaeological Services for Foundation Properties).*

## 1. Introduction & background

1.1 Foundation Properties commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned residential development on land at The Woodyard, Vyces Road, Framlingham (see Fig. 1) that has been given planning consent under application DC/15/1090/FUL. The evaluation requirements were set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the 0.40 hectare site. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works are undertaken.

1.2 In East Anglia major medieval castles are not common but the 12<sup>th</sup> century Bigod stronghold at Framlingham survives as a significant indicator of the medieval era and its political turmoil between the crown and the major aristocratic families. In existence as a town by at least 1157, and clearly also a substantial settlement at the time of the Domesday Book in 1086, the town is dominated by the later medieval structure of the castle and the nearby urban townscape owes much to this major defensive structure. Below the castle the town developed gaining market status by at least 1270 and the street pattern close to the outer baileys and market place indicates the line of the outer castle and medieval town defences between Double Street and Fore Street. The planned development site is located c500m to the west of the historic town and 90m west of Vyces Road.

1.3 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its proximity to the area recorded in the County Historic Environment Record as the medieval town (HER FML 052). In addition the site is on the north side of a valley with a small stream, known as The Gull, being 150m to the south making this a potentially attractive topographic area that may have attracted past settlement related activity.

1.4 The British Geological Survey describes the drift deposits in this area as being chalky till of the Lowestoft Formation with outwash sands, gravels and silts. Essentially mixed drift geology of post-glacial origins. The site is at c40m OD and it slope down gently from north-west to south-east, at the time of the evaluation it was covered by a dense cover of grass and weeds having not been in any use for some time.

## 2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was largely trenched to an agreed plan (see Fig. 2) with a total sample length of 75m though trenches 9 and 10 at the eastern end of the site had to be moved a few metres to the west as their original planned location was covered by a variety of structures. The trenching was carried out using a medium sized 360 machine, which was equipped with both a 500mm toothed bucket for the upper deposits and a 1500mm flat bucket as ground conditions were very hard, that

was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with all 10 of the trenches being 1.80m wide. The single feature that was revealed in trench 8 was sectioned mechanically as its upper fill clearly contained Post medieval brick fragments.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually as the evaluation progressed and a metal detector search was carried out in and around the trenches and across the site as a whole. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry and sunny weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

### 3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the table below (see also Figs. 2 & 3 & Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	East-west	10	300	300 of mid brown clay subsoil	Very stiff pale brown chalky clay with flints	One ceramic field drain and a few small stray Pmed peg tile frags
2	North-south	5	300	200 as T1	As T1	No features and the only stray finds a short length of iron chain and an early/mid 20 <sup>th</sup> C sherd
3	North-south	10	300	300 as T1	As T1	No features, two frags of Pmed brick
4	East-west	5	300	300 as T1	As T1	No features and one 19 <sup>th</sup> sherd and a Pmed iron horseshoe
5	North-south	10	300	200 as T1	As T1	One ceramic field drain and a few brick/tile frags
6	East-west	5	300	300 as T1	As T1	No features, one clay pipe stem frag
7	East-west	10	300	300 as T1	As T1	No features or finds
8	North-south	5	300	300 as T1	As T1	One east-west ditch 0002, 1800mm wide x 500mm deep with a few Pmed brick frags in its fill 0003 (see Fig. 3)
9	North-south	10	300	300 as T1	As T1	No features, stray finds two 19 <sup>th</sup> C sherds and a silvered Pmed copper alloy spoon
10	East-west	5	300	400 as T1	As T1	Two ceramic field drains and a few stray iron bolts, nails and bar frags of later Pmed date
		75 (135m <sup>2</sup> )	300	200-400		The only features were a ditch (0002) of Pmed date and a few field drains with all of the stray finds also being of later Pmed date

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches were 400mm to 700mm deep with 300mm of topsoil above 200mm to 400mm of mid brown clay subsoil. The natural glaciofluvial deposit across the site was consistently very stiff light brown chalky clay with flints.

3.3 The only feature revealed was an 1800mm wide and 500mm deep east-west orientated ditch (0002) in trench 8 (see Fig. 3) whose mid to dark brown clay fill (0003) contained a few Post medieval brick fragments and ceramic field drains of later Post medieval date in trenches 1, 5 and 10.

3.4 The few stray finds in the upcast spoil were occasional pottery sherds of 19<sup>th</sup> to early 20<sup>th</sup> century date, a clay tobacco pipe stem fragment, small fragments of Post medieval brick and tile and a silvered copper alloy spoon of recent date. In addition a short length of iron chain and an iron horseshoe were seen in the spoil of trenches 2 and 4 respectively and a few iron bolts, nails and bar fragments were in the upcast spoil of trench 10, all of these items being of Post medieval date.

#### 4. Conclusion

4.1 With largely negative results for archaeological deposits of any age from the evaluation trenching a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites and finds was not commissioned.

4.2 With the only feature revealed of any note being a ditch (0002) that can be interpreted as a field boundary of Post medieval date and all of the stray finds being of a similar age it is recommended that no further archaeological works need to be carried out for this planned residential development on land at The Woodyard, Vycles Road, Framlingham.

*Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: FML 104.*


*Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.*

*(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Phil Camps for his skilled machine operation and to Sue Holden for her specialist illustration work)*



Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)



**Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches**  
 (Light blue- planned footprint areas, red arrow- ditch 0002)  
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)






Fig. 3: Trench 8 plan and section.

## Appendix I- Images

(with selected deposit profiles)



General view from west



Trench 1 from west



Trench 1 deposit profile



Trench 2 from south



Trench 3 from south



Trench 4 from west



Trench 5 from south



Trench 5 deposit profile



Trench 6 from west



Trench 7 from west



Trench 8 from south



Trench 8 deposit profile with ditch 002



Trench 9 from south



Trench 10 from west



**The Woodyard, Vycles Road,  
Framlingham, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for  
Archaeological Evaluation**

## **Site details**

Name: The Woodyard, Vycles Road, Framlingham, Suffolk, IP13 9RJ

Client: Foundation Properties

Local planning authority: Suffolk Coastal DC

Planning application ref: DC/15/1090/FUL

Proposed development: Erection of 5 dwellings

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS Brief for a Trenched Archaeological Evaluation The Woodyard, Vycles Road, Framlingham (2)

Grid ref: TM 2780 6342

Area: c4000m<sup>2</sup>

Current site use: garden/paddock

## **Contents**

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

# John Newman Archaeological Services

---

## 1. Introduction

1.1 Foundation Properties have commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation on a residential development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/15/1090/FUL and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This overall proposed development concerns the construction of 5 dwellings at The Woodyard, Vyces Road, Framlingham.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2012 Ver. 1.3 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & re-issued 2014)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent B/16/00202. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

## 2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 In East Anglia major medieval castles are not common but the Bigod stronghold at Framlingham survives as a significant indicator of the medieval era and its political turmoil between the crown and the major aristocratic families. In existence by at least 1157, the town is dominated by the later medieval structure of the castle and the nearby urban townscape owes much to this major defensive structure. Below the castle, the town developed gaining market status by at least 1270 and the street pattern close to the outer baileys and market place may well indicate the line of the outer castle and medieval town defences. The proposed development site (PDS) is located c500m to the west of the historic town and 90m west of Vyces Road.

2.2 The PDS lies in an area of generally heavy soils derived from the Till deposits of east central Suffolk with areas of lighter sands and gravels close to water courses and is just below the 40m OD contour in an area of gentle topography with a south-westerly aspect as the ground drops down to a small stream known as The Gull that

# John Newman Archaeological Services

---

runs on the southern side of Brook Lane 150m to the south. A recent evaluation 140m to the south-east revealed natural glaciofluvial deposits comprising heavy clay with flints. At present the PDS is soft under a grass cover.

## 3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded in the County Historic Environment Record, immediately west of the medieval town (FML 052) and on the north side of a valley. As such, there is high potential for encountering archaeological deposits at this location. The proposed works would cause significant ground disturbance with the potential to damage any archaeological deposits that exist.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

## 4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of the PDS relates to the site's location close to the medieval core of the town as well having a topographic setting often favoured in the past for settlement and related activities. The aim of the evaluation is therefore to examine the specified sample of the PDS with evaluation trenching under controlled conditions so, if archaeological deposits are revealed they can be sampled and characterised. With this information a strategy can then be formulated for their possible preservation in situ or, failing that, the systematic recording of these deposits and the associated working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

## 5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of 5 dwellings. To inform the results of the if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County HER for the area within 500m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report.

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

5.2 The Brief requires 75m of 1.8m wide trenching across the area of the overall development with an additional 10m of contingency trenching should any area require additional investigation. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand in combination with an event number. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).

- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

guidelines outlined in 'Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2015). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

### 6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.



## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

### 7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	R Macphail (UCL)
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	S Benfield (CAT)
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (5 x 5m and 5 x 10m)

## OASIS ID: johnnewm1-323007

### Project details

Project name	The Woodyard, Vyces Road, Framlingham, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Framlingham, The Woodyard, Vyces Road (FML 104, TM 2780 6342) evaluation trenching for a planned residential development to the west of the medieval town and close to a small stream revealed one ditch of Post medieval date with all of the stray finds in the upcast spoil being of a similar date.
Project dates	Start: 24-07-2018 End: 24-07-2018
Previous/future work	Yes / No
Any associated project reference codes	FML 104 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/15/1090/FUL - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Grassland Heathland 3 - Disturbed
Monument type	DITCH Post Medieval
Significant Finds	BRICK Post Medieval
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK SUFFOLK COASTAL FRAMLINGHAM THE WOODYARD, VYCES ROAD
Postcode	IP13 9RJ
Study area	4000 Square metres
Site coordinates	TM 2780 6342 52.221247635306 1.335523661042 52 13 16 N 001 20 07 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 41m Max: 42m
Project creators	
Name of	John Newman Archaeological Services

Organisation	
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Project archives	
Physical Archive recipient	Discarded
Physical Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Paper Media available	"Plan","Report","Section"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	The Woodyard, Vycles Road, Framlingham, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2018
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	1 August 2018