

**St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street,
Sudbury, Suffolk**

Planning application: B/16/01647/OUT/JD

HER Ref: SUY 207

Archaeological Evaluation Report

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(July 2019)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details for HER

Name: St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury, Suffolk, CO10 1JA

Clients: Foxearth Developments Ltd

Planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application ref: B/16/16/01647/OUT/JD

Development: Erection of 4 dwellings

Date of fieldwork: 18 June, 2019

HER ref: SUY 207

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-355485

Grid ref: TL 8720 4164

Site area: c500m²

Recent land use: Mid 20th C church hall and car parking area

Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background

2. Evaluation methodology

3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

Summary: Sudbury, St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street (SUY 207, TL 8720 4164) evaluation trenching for a residential development on the northern side of the medieval town of Sudbury, outside the defensive line as indicated by the street layout but close to the recorded find spot of a small number of 3rd/4th century Roman period coins, did not reveal any archaeological features or finds (John Newman Archaeological Services for Foxearth Developments Ltd).

1. Introduction & background

1.1 Foxearth Developments Ltd commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned four dwelling residential development on land at what was the St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury (see Fig. 1) that has been given planning consent under application B/16/01647/OUT/JD. The evaluation requirements were set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the planned development area. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works are undertaken.

1.2 Sudbury is a small town located on the River Stour and close to the county boundary with Essex in south Suffolk. The town has seen considerable expansion in the last 150 years with its historic core lying in a loop on the northern side of the River Stour and as a settlement it has historic characteristics that indicate an urban status from the Late Saxon period which built on a Middle Saxon site of potentially high status. These urban characteristics including, a market status, are recorded in the 11th century and the existence of a mint in the later Saxon and post-Conquest periods with evidence for an earlier important Iron Age site lying below the Saxon and medieval core in the loop of the River Stour. The historic street pattern also outlines the defended area with the curve of Friars Street/Christopher Lane and Weavers Lane/Burkitts Lane. The planned development site at St Gregory's Church Hall on Princes Street being outside this likely defended area and is c200m north-east of St Gregory's Church in an area shown on Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk as being on the edge of the Post medieval area of the town.

1.3 The planned development site is some 250m east of the River Stour at c35m OD with the British Geological Survey describing the local drift deposits as being a mix of clay, silt, sand and gravel.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this planned development was due to its proximity to the medieval town and more particularly its location close to the recorded find spot of a group of later Roman period coins (HER SUY 049) found in a nearby back garden.

2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to a plan agreed with SCCAS (see Fig. 2). The trenching was carried out using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1500mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with the trenches being 1.80m wide.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed. Site

visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the table below (see also Fig. 2 and Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Upper deposit (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northwest-southeast	7.50	200 tarmac and sub-base	8300 mid brown sandy subsoil	Slightly silty orange sand with flints	No features, few stray small Pmed brick and tile fragments
2	Northeast-southwest	7.50	As T1	800 as T1	As T1	As T1
		15m (27m ²)	200	300		No features and only a few stray finds of late Pmed date

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches revealed a 800mm depth of mid brown sandy subsoil below 200mm of tarmac and its sub-base.

3.3 No features of any date were revealed in either trench and the sub-soil was generally clean with just a few small fragments of later Post medieval brick and tile as the only stray finds that were noted.

3.4 The metal detector search produced one small copper alloy button of recent date and few scraps of sheet iron and two iron nails were also noted.

4. Conclusion

4.1 With negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits of any significance a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites and finds was not commissioned.

4.2 While this site is located close to the recorded find spot of 7 Roman period coins (HER SUY 049) no archaeological features were revealed in the two trenches which were both at a uniform depth of 1000mm. In addition the only stray finds in the subsoil were of recent date. Therefore three scenarios are suggested for these Roman coins and how this finds relates to the local area including the site that is the subject of this report:

- An area of Roman period activity is located close to the St Gregory's Church Hall site but does not extend as far as Princes Street

John Newman Archaeological Services

- The Roman period coins represented by this recorded find (HER SUY 049) represent part of a small hoard/purse loss deposited/lost away from any nearby area of contemporary settlement type activity, one might certainly expect hoards to be hidden away in a remoter location
- Perhaps the most prosaic interpretation of this recorded coin find is that they represent a collection put together more recently from other sources and then lost in a back garden

From these negative evaluation results with regard to features of any significance it is therefore recommended that no further archaeological works need to be carried out for this residential development on land at St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury.

Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: SUY 207.

Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.

(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Richard Brand and everyone concerned for their close cooperation)




Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches (light blue- planned footprint area)
(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2019 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)

Appendix I- Images



General view from southwest



Trench 1 from south



Trench 1 deposit profile



Trench 2 from west



Trench 2 deposit profile

**St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street,
Sudbury, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for
Archaeological Evaluation**

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details

Name: St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury, Suffolk, CO10 1JA

Client: Foxearth Developments Ltd

Local planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application ref: B/16/01647/OUT/JD

Proposed development: Erection of up to four new dwellings following demolition of the existing building

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS Brief for a Trench Archaeological Evaluation_2016_01647_St Gregory's Church Hall Sudbury

Grid ref: TL 8724 4166

Area: 470m²

Current site use: St Gregory's Church Hall building and associated area

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

John Newman Archaeological Services

1. Introduction

1.1 Foxearth Developments have commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation on a residential development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application B/16/01647/OUT/JD and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mr J Rolfe of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This development concerns the construction of up to four dwellings on land at St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury following the demolition of the existing building.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2012 Ver. 1.3 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & re-issued 2014)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent B/16/01647/OUT/JD. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Sudbury is a small town located on the River Stour and close to the county boundary with Essex in south Suffolk. The town has seen considerable expansion in the last 150 years with its historic core lying in a loop on the northern side of the River Stour and as a settlement it has historic characteristics that indicate an urban status from the Late Saxon period which built on a Middle Saxon site of potentially high status. These urban characteristics including a market status recorded in the 11th century and the existence of a mint in the later Saxon and post-Conquest periods with evidence for an important Iron Age site lying below the Saxon and medieval core in the loop of the River Stour. The historic street pattern also outlines the defended area with the curve of Friars Street/Christopher Lane and Weavers Lane/Burkitts Lane. The planned development site at St Gregory's Church Hall on Princes Street being outside this likely defended area and it is c200m north-east of

John Newman Archaeological Services

St Gregory's Church in an area shown on Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk as being on the edge of the Post medieval area of the town.

2.2 The planned development site is some 250m east of the River Stour at c35m OD with the British Geological Survey describing the local drift deposits as being a mix of clay, silt, sand and gravel.

3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record, in close proximity and to the north of the medieval urban core, close to the find spot of a group of later Roman coins (SUY 049) strongly suggesting settlement in this vicinity. The proposed works would cause significant ground disturbance with the potential to damage any archaeological deposit that exists.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with possible preservation in situ or the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of this site is related to its location close to recorded evidence for activity of later Roman date and being close to the medieval core of the town. The aim of the evaluation is therefore to examine the specified sample of the site with evaluation trenching under controlled conditions so, if archaeological deposits are revealed, they can be sampled and characterised. With this information a strategy can then be formulated for their possible preservation in situ or, failing that, the systematic recording of these deposits and the associated working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of up to four dwellings at the St Gregory's Church Hall site, Princes Street, Sudbury. To inform the results if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County

John Newman Archaeological Services

HER for the area within 250m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report. Demolition of the existing buildings will take place before the evaluation but this will only be to ground level with the breaking up of floor slabs where trenches will be located and grubbing out of foundations will not take place until after the evaluation.

5.2 The Brief requires 15m of 1.8m wide trench across the area of the overall development. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below though some variation may be required once below ground foundations can be assessed. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand in combination with an event number. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage.

John Newman Archaeological Services

If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

John Newman Archaeological Services

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

John Newman Archaeological Services

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2015). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

John Newman Archaeological Services

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site and any known services. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	tbc
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	Colchester Archaeological Trust
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS

John Newman Archaeological Services



Proposed location of trial trenches (2 x 7.50m)

OASIS ID: johnnewm1-355485

Project details

Project name	St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Sudbury, St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street (SUY 207, TL 8720 4164) evaluation trenching for a residential development on the northern side of the medieval town of Sudbury, outside the defensive line as indicated by the street layout but close to the recorded find spot of a small number of 3rd/4th century Roman period coins, did not reveal any archaeological features or finds.
Project dates	Start: 18-06-2019 End: 18-06-2019
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	SUY 207 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	B/16/01647/OUT/JD - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Other 3 - Built over
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	NONE None
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Urban residential (e.g. flats, houses, etc.)
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK BABERGH SUDBURY St GREGORY'S CHURCH HALL, PRINCES STREET
Postcode	CO10 1JA
Study area	500 Square metres
Site coordinates	TL 8720 4164 52.041015446499 0.729867621759 52 02 27 N 000 43 47 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 33m Max: 34m

Project creators	
Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Project archives	
Physical Archive Exists?	No
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"none"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"none"
Paper Media available	"Report"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	St Gregory's Church Hall, Princes Street, Sudbury, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2019
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	8 July 2019

