

**Plots 1-5, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road,
Chilton, Suffolk**

**Planning applications: DC/19/03327, DC/19/03328,
DC/19/03329, DC/19/03330 & DC/19/19/03331**

HER Ref: CHT 031

Archaeological Evaluation Report

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(January 2020)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details for HER

Name: Plots 1-5, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton, Suffolk, CO10 0PR

Clients: Bryants Building Services

Planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application refs: DC/19/03327, DC/19/03328, DC/19/03329, DC/19/03330
& DC/19/03331

Development: Erection of 5 dwellings with outbuildings and access

Date of fieldwork: 10 & 11 December, 2019

HER ref: CHT 031

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-375876

Grid ref: TL 8903 3030

Site area: 7200m²

Recent land use: Grassed garden

Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

Summary: Chilton, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road (CHT 031, TL 8903 3030) evaluation trenching for a planned five dwelling residential development in the large garden of a late Victorian house dated to 1878 and north of Chilton Hall did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray find of any date was a trade token from Boxford of mid-17th century date. (John Newman Archaeological Services for Bryants Building Services).

1. Introduction & background

1.1 Bryants Building Services commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned 5 dwelling residential development on land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton (see Fig. 1) that has been given planning consent under applications DC/1903327 to DC/19/03331. The evaluation requirements were set by Mr M Baker of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the planned development area. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works are undertaken.

1.2 Chilton parish is located to the north-east of Sudbury in south-west Suffolk in an area historically characterised by dispersed settlement and a low population density with small clusters of farms and cottages near the various parish churches and scattered settlement along historic roads and lanes and greens. Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk while of a small scale gives a good depiction of the county in the pre-modern period and at Chilton it shows very little settlement apart from Chilton Hall nearer to the now isolated church and in more recent years the parish has been eroded from its historic boundaries as the town of Sudbury has grown. In addition much of the northern part of the parish was subsumed into RAF Sudbury in World War II. The planned development site at Chilton Grove is 800m north of the parish church, 250m north of Chilton Hall (a Grade II* listed building within a moat of medieval date) and on the southern edge of the WW II airfield. Chilton Grove is a large brick built building with a date stone indicating construction in 1878 and apparently was requisitioned in WW II by the air force for use by officers at the nearby heavy bomber airfield (pers.comm. Mark Bryant). The area of Chilton Grove is not shown as containing any buildings on Hodkinson's 1783 small scale map of Suffolk.

1.3 The British Geological Survey describes the drift deposits as being chalky till with outwash sands, gravels and silts of the Lowestoft Formation. Essentially mixed drift geology of post-glacial origins. The PDS is at 66m OD in an area of gentle topography and at the time of the evaluation land use was made up of an extended garden ground around Chilton Grove.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its proximity to an area where surface finds indicate the location of a potentially substantial Roman period settlement (HER CHT 019). In addition this development site is to the north and close to a large medieval moat (HER CHT 001) containing the Grade II* listed Chilton Hall (NHLE 1036689) with a grade II registered park and garden (NHLE 1000226) surrounding the moat and hall and which runs to within some 30m of this planned development site.

2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to a plan agreed with SCCAS (see Fig. 2). The trenching was carried out using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1500mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with the trenches being 1.80m wide.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed with the detector search extending to the areas between the trenches. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the table below (see also Fig. 2 and Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	North-south	20	200	200 mid brown silty sand	Orange very silty sand with small flints	No features, one Pmed tile frag
2	East-west	20	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, two slate frags
3	North-south	20	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, two small Pmed tile frags
4	East-west	20	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, two small Pmed brick frags
5	North-south	20	200	200as T1	As T1	One large 20C soakaway
6	East-west	20	200	300 as T1	As T1	Remnant of a 1800mm wide rammed chalk 19C driveway
7	North-south	20	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, one Pmed brick and two tile frags
8	East-west	20	200	300 as T1	As T1	No features, two Pmed tile frags
9	North-south	20	200	350 as T1	As T1	One Pmed tile frag
10	East-west	20	150	450 as T1	As T1	Remnant of 1800mm wide rammed chalk 19C driveway
		200m (360m ²)	150-200	200-450		

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches revealed a 150mm to 200mm depth of topsoil above 200mm to 450mm of mid brown silty sand subsoil giving a trench depth of 400mm to 600mm above glaciofluvial deposits which were a uniform very silty orange sand with small flints.

3.3 The only features revealed in the trenches were a soakaway of recent date in trench 5 and remnants of an 1800mm wide rammed chalk base for a driveway of probable later 19th century date that approached Chilton Grove from the south. This chalk base was seen in trenches 6 and 10 in the top of the subsoil with a depth of 50-70mm and is shown on earlier OS maps of the area (pers. comm. Mark Bryant). The only stray ceramic finds in the upcast spoil were a few small brick and tile fragments of later Post medieval date plus two small slate fragments.

3.4 The metal detector search produced a few non-ferrous stray finds of recent date with a silver plated knife handle, a 'Thunderer' whistle and a toy gun frame being the most notable and probably to be associated with Chilton Grove as a late Post medieval house. The only earlier finds were a worn copper alloy trade token dated 1662 and of 'IR in (B)oxford' (obverse: The Sun in Rays, reverse: IOHN RIDDLESWORTH IN BOXFORD, detail from www.britishfarthings.com/Token/17th-century/Suffolk/Boxford accessed 20th January, 2020) and a copper alloy livery button of 19th century date bearing the royal coat of arms of a lion and unicorn aside a shield of arms.

4. Conclusion

4.1 With negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits of any significance it was agreed with Mr M Baker of SCCAS that a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites and finds would not be required in this case.

4.2 While this site is located close to recorded finds of Roman date indicative of settlement activity and to a medieval moated site this evaluation did not reveal any features of archaeological interest. Therefore it is suggested that no further archaeological work should be required under these planning applications for the area around Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton.

Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: CHT 031.

Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.

(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to everyone on site for their close cooperation)



Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)



Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches
 (Light blue- planned footprints areas, red arrows- chalk base of later 19th C drive)
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2020 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)

Appendix I- Images



General view from south



Trench 1 from north



Trench 2 from east



Trench 3 from south



Trench 4 from west



Trench 5 from south



Trench 6 from north



Trench 6 deposit profile with chalk base of 19C driveway



Trench 7 from south



Trench 8 from east



Trench 9 from north



Trench 10 from east



Trench 10 deposit profile with chalk 19C driveway base

**Plots 1-5, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road,
Chilton, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for
Archaeological Evaluation**

Site details

Name: Plots 1-5, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton, Suffolk, CO10 0PR

Client: Bryants Building Services

Local planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application refs: DC/19/03327, DC/19/03328, DC/19/03329, DC/19/03330 & DC/19/03331

Proposed development: Five residential dwellings with outbuildings and access

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS DC_19_03327-03331_Brief for a Trenched Archaeological Evaluation_Plots 1-5 Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Sudbury, Suffolk

Grid ref: TL 8903 3030

Area: Less than 0.72 ha

OASIS ref:

Current site use: Grassed area with existing buildings

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

John Newman Archaeological Services

1. Introduction

1.1 Bryants Building Services has commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation at a residential development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning applications DC/19/03327 to DC/19/03331 set within the same overall current land plot and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mr M Baker of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This proposed development concerns the construction of five dwellings with outbuildings and access at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2018 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Institute for Archaeologists 1994, revised 2001 & re-issued 2014)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consents DC/19/03327-DC/19/03331. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Chilton parish is located to the north-east of Sudbury in south-west Suffolk in an area historically characterised by dispersed settlement and a low population density with small clusters of farms and cottages near the various parish churches and scattered settlement along historic roads and lanes and greens. Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk while of a small scale gives a good depiction of the county in the pre-modern period and at Chilton it shows very little settlement apart from Chilton Hall nearer to the now isolated church and in more recent years the parish has been eroded from its historic boundaries as the town of Sudbury has grown. In addition much of the northern part of the parish was subsumed into RAF Sudbury in World War II. The proposed development site (PDS) at Chilton Grove is 800m north of the parish church, 250m north of Chilton Hall and on the southern edge of the WW II airfield.

John Newman Archaeological Services

2.2 The British Geological Survey describes the drift deposits as being chalky till with outwash sands, gravels and silts of the Lowestoft Formation. Essentially a mixed drift geology of post-glacial origins. The PDS is at 66m OD in an area of gentle topography and at present land use is made up of extended garden ground around Chilton Grove.

3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record, in close proximity to evidence for Roman occupation, including potential for a tiled roof building, identified during fieldwalking (CHT019). The remains of a large and complex Medieval moat (CHT 001) and Grade II* Listed Chilton Hall (NHLE 1036689) lies 250m south. A grade II Registered Park & Gardens (NHLE 1000226), surrounds the Hall and Moat, and runs north-west to within 30m of the development boundary.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

3.2 The archaeological potential of the this site therefore relates to its location close to recorded evidence for settlement type of Roman date in addition to being close to a medieval moat where a Grade II* building of late medieval date still stands within a Grade II registered park and garden.

4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 The main aims of the evaluation are therefore to define and characterise the archaeological potential of the site so, if heritage deposits are revealed, an appropriate mitigation strategy can be formulated in consultation with SCCAS.

5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of five dwelling with outbuildings under five planning applications. However it would be more efficient to evaluate all of the plots as a single exercise. To inform the results of the evaluation if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County

John Newman Archaeological Services

HER for the area within 500m of the site and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report.

5.2 Prior to the evaluation starting the relevant SCCAS officer will be given 10 day's notice of the start date so a monitoring visit can be arranged with close contact being kept by mobile phone once works are underway. If any change to the relevant specifications are deemed necessary this will only be undertaken in consultation with SCCAS and any developments relating to the site and reporting works will be also be communicated to SCCAS. The Brief requires sample trenching which is to be 40m long and 1.8m wide across each of the five planned development plots plus allowance for an additional 40m of contingent trenching dependant on the initial results. Use of this contingent sample will be discussed with SCCAS in relation to the need to employ the additional 40m of trenching and where this trenching should be located. In this case an allowance has been made for 2 person days on site for the evaluation, half a day for the possible contingent trenching followed by 1 day for reporting plus an estimate of 1-2 days for specialist finds and environmental sample assessment though the latter two components may be revised in light of the site results). This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below. Previous footings will not be grubbed out until the results of the evaluation are known. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened with allowance for an all metal search if this appears to be appropriate. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand in combination with an event number. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the evaluation. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD and full trench sections will be recorded if complex stratigraphy is revealed at 1:20 or 1:50. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features (using a

John Newman Archaeological Services

Lumix DMC-FZ5 to give 2-3mb jpeg images and 8-9mb tif images if the exposed deposits merit greater detail).

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording, machine investigation of large or recent features will only be carried out following consultation with SCCAS. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%-possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. Should complex or unexpected deposits be revealed the strategy for their examination will be discussed and agreed with SCCAS. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in

John Newman Archaeological Services

consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal

to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).

- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team revised version 2019). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with SCCAS and the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the evaluation, reporting and any further archaeological works. The advisory and planning role of SCCAS with regard to this project will be fully acknowledged as will any specific advice and help with regard to what is revealed in any report of publication.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site. If unexpected results are revealed during the reporting stage these will be discussed with SCCAS before a final draft report is submitted.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER

John Newman Archaeological Services

records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.


6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)

John Newman Archaeological Services

Soils specialist	tbc
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	Colchester Archaeological Trust
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (10 x 20m)

OASIS ID: johnnewm1-375876

Project details

Project name	Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Chilton, Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road (CHT 031, TL 8903 3030) evaluation trenching for a planned five dwelling residential development in the large garden of a late Victorian house dated to 1878 and north of Chilton Hall did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray find of any date was a trade token of mid-17th century date.
Project dates	Start: 10-12-2019 End: 11-12-2019
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	CHT 031 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/19/03327 - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Other 5 - Garden
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	TOKEN Post Medieval
Methods & techniques	""Sample Trenches""
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK BABERGH CHILTON CHILTON GROVE, WALDINGFIELD ROAD
Postcode	CO10 0PR
Study area	7200 Square metres
Site coordinates	TL 8903 3030 51.938543941653 0.750269475625 51 56 18 N 000 45 00 E Point
Project creators	
Name of	John Newman Archaeological Services

Organisation	
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Project archives	
Physical Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Physical Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Paper Media available	"Report"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Road, Chilton, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2020
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	15 January 2020