

**Land South of Pest House Lane,
Barham, Suffolk**

Planning application: DC/19/05314

HER Ref: BRH 092

Archaeological Evaluation Report

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(November 2020)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details for HER

Name: Land south of Pest House Lane, Barham, Suffolk, IP6 0PB

Clients: Mr E Hawkins & Ms D Huxtable

Planning authority: Mid Suffolk DC

Planning application ref: DC/19/05314

Development: Erection of one dwelling with garage/cart lodge and stabling

Date of fieldwork: 12 October, 2020

HER ref: BRH 092

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-405063

Grid ref: TM 12585 51101

Site area: c1400m² (plot)

Recent land use: Pasture

Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

Fig. 3: Trench 1 plan and section (Sue Holden)

List of appendices

Appendix I- Images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

Summary: Barham, land south of Pest House Lane (BRH 092, TM 12585 51101) evaluation trenching for a single dwelling development on the western of two plots revealed one very shallow ditch with a very leached fill that suggests that this feature was of some age but it did not contain any finds or other evidence of past nearby activity. In addition no pre 19th-20th century finds were recovered from the upcast spoil or around the trenches (John Newman Archaeological Services for Mr E Hawkins & Ms D Huxtable).

1. Introduction & background

1.1 Mr J Hayward on behalf of his clients Me E Hawkins and Ms D Huxtable commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned single dwelling development on land to the south of Pest House Lane, Barham (see Fig. 1) that has been given planning consent under application DC/19/05314. The evaluation requirements were set by Mr M Baker of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the planned development area. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to gain a conditional discharge and allow the trenching to go ahead before any other ground works are undertaken.

1.2 Barham parish lies on the eastern side of the Gipping valley to the north west of Ipswich with lighter soils on the sand and gravel terraces close to the river and heavier boulder clay deposits on the higher ground to the east where the land rises towards the Till dominated plateau of central Suffolk. The main settlement at Barham is located close to the valley bottom around the former main road that runs to the east of the River Gipping with the planned development site on land south of Pest House Lane (western plot) that runs to the west of the Norwich Road with the A14 trunk road running to the west of the site.

1.3 The site is in an area of gentle topography at c15m OD, just above the flood plain with the ground dropping gradually to the south and being close to River Gipping which runs to the west of the A14 road and being on the river terrace well drained sands with flints were anticipated.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its location close to the recorded site of earthworks (HER BRH 037) on the rough pasture to the south though whether these features are of medieval origin has been cast into doubt following evaluations by the author adjacent to the western side of the Norwich Road which produced very little evidence for activity of this date (HER BRH 081 & 083- see Fig. 1). From these results it appears more plausible that these earthworks are drainage features of uncertain date running westwards into the flood plain of the nearby River Gipping. However this site on the southern side of Pest House Lane is also close to the find spot of a tranchet axe of Mesolithic date (HER BRH 012- found in the former quarry pit to the west) and a scatter of finds dating to the Anglo-Saxon period (HER BRH 026). Both periods often favouring the lighter well drained close to rivers with the Gipping valley being a major route way from the coast to the east into central Suffolk.

2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to a plan agreed with SCCAS (see Fig. 2) with two 1.80m wide trenches through the main axis of the planned dwelling and the area of the garage/cart lodge and stables. The trenching was carried out using a

medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1800mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity and the single identified feature was sectioned by hand.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches, the upcast spoil and the areas in between were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the table below (see also Figs. 2 & 3 and Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northeast-southwest	15	400	500 mid brown sandy subsoil	Orange sand with flints	The only feature was a shallow ditch(0002) that was 600mm wide but only 140mm deep with a gently rounded base and a very clean pale grey sandy fill (0003), no finds were recovered from this feature
2	Northwest-southeast	15	400	600 as T1	As T1	No features and only few small peg tile fragments in the upcast
		30 (54m ²)	250	150		Only one shallow ditch with no finds and the only stray finds in the upcast spoil and between the trenches were of later Post medieval date

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the evaluation trenches exposed natural glaciofluvial orange sand with small flints at a depth of 900mm to 1000mm.

3.3 The only archaeological feature that was revealed was a very shallow ditch (0002) on a northwest to southeast orientation which was 600mm wide and 140mm deep with a very clean pale grey sandy fill (0003). No archaeological finds were recovered from this feature and the few stray finds in the upcast spoil were small fragments of Post medieval peg tile while the metal detector search only recovered a few low denomination decimal coins, a silver plated copper alloy small desert spoon of late 19th or earlier 20th century date, a child's small toy car and a low number of lead fragments of indeterminate age and original function.

4. Conclusion

4.1 With largely negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits of any great significance it was agreed with Mr M Baker of SCCAS that a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites and finds would not be required in this case.

4.2 While this site is located close to recorded finds of pre-historic, Anglo-Saxon and possible medieval date the two trenches, which covered a substantial area of the planned new build areas, did not reveal any evidence of past activity of any significance.

4.3 From these evaluation results with regard to features of any significance it is therefore recommended that no further archaeological works need to be carried out for this planned new dwelling, garage/cart lodge and stabling on land south of Pest House Lane, Barham.

Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: BRH 092.

Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.

(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Jack and Carl for their close cooperation, to James Armes and Keith Lewis for the metal detector survey and to Sue Holden for her specialist illustration work)




Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches
 (Light blue- planned footprint areas, red arrow- ditch 0002)
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2020 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 3: Trench plan and section.

Appendix I- Images



General view from northeast



Trench 1 from east



Trench 1 deposit profile with shallow ditch 0002 by scale pole



Trench 2 from north



Trench 2 deposit profile

**Land South of Pest House Lane,
Barham, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for
Archaeological Evaluation**

Site details

Name: Land south of Pest House Lane, Barham, Suffolk, IP6 0PB (western plot)

Client: Mr J Hayward

Local planning authority: Mid Suffolk DC

Planning application ref: DC/19/05314

Proposed development: Erection of a dwelling

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS Brief for a Trenched Archaeological Evaluation_tbc

Grid ref: TM 12585 51101

Area: c1400m² (plot)

Current site use: paddock

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

John Newman Archaeological Services

1. Introduction

1.1 Mr J Hayward has commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation on a single dwelling development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/19/05314 and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Mr M Baker of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This overall proposed development concerns the construction of a single dwelling with a detached garage and stable on land to the south of Pest House Lane, Barham, Suffolk.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2012 Ver. 1.3 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 2020)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent DC/19/05314. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Barham parish lies on the eastern side of the Gipping valley to the north west of Ipswich with lighter soils on the sand and gravel terraces close to the river and heavier boulder clay deposits on the higher ground to the east where the land rises towards the Till dominated plateau of central Suffolk. The main settlement at Barham is located close to the valley bottom around the former main road that runs to the east of the River Gipping with the proposed development site (PDS) on land north of west of Pest House Lane.

2.2 The PDS is just above and to the east of the floodplain of the River Gipping close to the c15m OD contour so well drained soils above glaciofluvial sands and gravels can be anticipated.

3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant Brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record, in close proximity to a group of earthworks and cropmarks at right angles to Norwich Road representing medieval tofts (BRH 037), a scatter of Anglo-Saxon metalwork (BRH 026) and a Mesolithic tranchet axe (BRH 012). As a result, there is high potential for the discovery of below-ground heritage assets of archaeological importance within this area.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of the PDS relates to the site's location close to recorded evidence for multi-period activity in a topographic setting close to a major river that would have been attractive for settlement related activities from the earliest prehistoric period to the present. Therefore evidence for activity of Neolithic/Bronze Age date to the earlier Post medieval period can be anticipated. In addition some evidence for the date of the earthworks noted above might be revealed which presumably relate to water control and land management into the adjacent floodplain.

5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of a single dwelling and detached garage. To inform the results of the evaluation if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County HER for the area within 250m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report.

5.2 The Brief requires 30m of sample trenching which are to be 1.8m wide across the area of the overall development; with allowance for an extra 10m should the initial site results merit this addition. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a

John Newman Archaeological Services

trench plan as set out below. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened. The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand in combination with an event number. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features.

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested, and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and

John Newman Archaeological Services

their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.

John Newman Archaeological Services

- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team 2019). As necessary the site digital archive will deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological

John Newman Archaeological Services

record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.


6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

John Newman Archaeological Services

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Tovergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	tbc
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	Colchester Archaeological Trust
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (2 x 15m plus possible 10m contingency)

OASIS ID: johnnewm1-405063

Project details

Project name	Land South of Pesthouse Lane, Barham, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Barham, land south of Pest House Lane (BRH 092, TM 12585 51101) evaluation trenching for a single dwelling development on the western of two plots revealed one very shallow ditch with a very leached fill that suggests that this feature was of some age but it did not contain any finds or other evidence of past nearby activity. In addition no pre 19th-20th century finds were recovered from the upcast spoil or around the trenches.
Project dates	Start: 12-10-2020 End: 12-10-2020
Previous/future work	Yes / No
Any associated project reference codes	BRH 092 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/19/05314 - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Grassland Heathland 3 - Disturbed
Monument type	DITCH Uncertain
Significant Finds	NONE None
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Small-scale (e.g. single house, etc.)
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK MID SUFFOLK BARHAM LAND SOUTH OF PESTHOUSE LANE (WEST)
Postcode	IP6 0PB
Study area	1400 Square metres
Site coordinates	TM 12585 51101 52.116764371151 1.105391086296 52 07 00 N 001 06 19 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 11m Max: 12m

Project creators	
Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Landowner
Project archives	
Physical Archive recipient	Discarded
Physical Contents	"Metal","Ceramics"
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Paper Media available	"Plan","Report","Section"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Land South of Pest House Lane, Barham, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2020
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf

Entered by
Entered on

John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
3 November 2020