

**Land Adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road,
Honington, Suffolk**

Planning application: DC/20/0649/FUL

HER Ref: HNN 043

Archaeological Evaluation Report

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 2 Pearsons Place, Henley, Ipswich, IP6 0RA)

(March, 2021)

(Tel: 01473 832896 Email: johnnewman2@btinternet.com)

Site details for HER

Name: Land adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington, Suffolk, IP31 1SB

Clients: Mr T & Mrs S Jay

Planning authority: West Suffolk DC

Planning application refs: DC/20/0649/FUL

Development: Erection of up to one dwelling and detached cart lodge

Date of fieldwork: 18 February, 2021

HER ref: HNN 043

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-412035

Grid ref: TL 9143 7454

Site area: c900m²

Recent land use: Grassed paddock

Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background

2. Evaluation methodology

3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

List of appendices

Appendix I- Images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- OASIS data collection form

Summary: Honington, land adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington (HNN 043, TL 9143 7454) evaluation trenching for a single dwelling and detached cart lodge development did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray finds largely derived from 19th century stables that were demolished nearby some years ago (John Newman Archaeological Services for Mr T & Mrs S Jay).

1. Introduction & background

1.1 Mr T & Mrs S Jay commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned single dwelling and detached cart lodge development (see Fig. 1) that has gained consent under planning application DC/20/0649/FUL. The evaluation requirements were set by Ms G Stewart of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the planned development areas within the site. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to allow the trenching to go ahead and reported on before any other ground works are undertaken in relation to this development. This development concerns the erection of a single dwelling and detached cart lodge on land adjacent to Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington.

1.2 Honington is a relatively compact village in north central Suffolk centred on a crossroad 250m north-west of the planned development site which fronts onto a minor road on its southern side that runs to a now disused mill that is on the side of The Black Bourn river. This watercourse being 300m to the east of the site, the site is also c100m east of the parish church.

1.3 As the brief described the site is 'on Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Seaford Chalk Formation, Newhaven Chalk Formation and Culver Chalk Formation (undifferentiated) bedrock geology with River Terrace superficial deposits (essentially sand with flints) at roughly 24m AOD.' At the time of the evaluation the site was a grassed paddock area.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its location towards the edge of the area defined in the County Historic Environment Record (HER) as the historic core of the village (HER HNN 018) and close to the parish church (HER HNN 005) which is to the west. In addition an artefact scatter (HER HNN 008) of Anglo-Saxon and medieval date has been recorded some 100m to the east, an area closer to the flood plain of the Black Bourn.

2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to a plan agreed with SCCAS (see Fig. 2) using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1500mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with the trenches being 1.80m wide.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features

and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the tables below (see also Fig. 2 and Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northeast-southwest	7.50	600	500 mid brown sandy subsoil	Orangish brown sand with small flints	No features, a few small late Post medieval brick and tile fragments in upcast spoil
2	Northwest-southeast	10	500	400 as T1	Orange to pale brown sand with small flints	No features, at NW end later Post medieval brick debris in top and subsoil
3	Northeast-southwest	7.50	350	450 as T1	Orange sand with flints	No features, few later Post medieval brick fragments and a few stray iron nails and sheet fragments
		25m (45m ²)	350-600	400-500		No features and only later Post medieval stray finds

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches revealed a 350mm to 600mm depth of topsoil above 400mm to 500mm of mid brown sandy subsoil giving a trench depths between 800mm to 1100mm above orange to pale brown sand with small flints with.

3.3 The three trenches did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray finds were brick and tile fragments of later Post medieval date, with a particular concentration at the north-western end of trench 2, and a few iron nails and sheet fragments of indeterminate date.

4. Conclusion

4.1 With negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits and to be consistent with similar small evaluations a search from the County Historic Environment Record was not carried out in this case. However the Suffolk Heritage Explorer was examined for the location of the Anglo-Saxon and medieval artefact scatter (HER HNN 008) to the east.

4.2 While this site is on the eastern edge of the area defined in the HER as the historic core of the village (HER HNN 018) it is some 25 east of the nearest listed building. In addition while the site is c100m west of a recorded Anglo-Saxon and medieval artefact scatter (HER HNN 008) no archaeological features were revealed in the three evaluation trenches though the combined top and subsoil depth was substantial at 800mm to 1100mm. In addition the only stray finds in the upcast spoil were of later Post medieval date and were largely restricted to brick debris at the

north-western end of trench which are derived from a former nearby stables block that was demolished some years ago. Therefore it can be concluded that this site has only been used for marginal agricultural use in the past, perhaps more often as pasture.

4.3 From the results of this largely negative evaluation it is recommended that no further archaeological works should be required at this planned development on land adjacent to Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington.

Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: HNN 043

Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.

(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Sue, Trevor and John the digger driver for their close cooperation)




Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)




Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches (light blue- planned footprint areas)
(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2021 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)

Appendix I- Images



General view from northwest



Trench 1 from south



Trench 1 deposit profile



Trench 2 from southeast



Trench 2 deposit profile



Trench 3 from south



Trench 3 deposit profile

**Land Adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road,
Honington, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for
Archaeological Evaluation**

Site details

Name: Land adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington, Suffolk, IP31 1SB

Clients: Mrs S K Jay

Local planning authority: West Suffolk DC

Planning application ref: DC/20/0649/FUL

Proposed development: Erection of one dwelling and detached cart lodge

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS_ (GS)_20_0649-Site adjoining Blueberry Cottage Mill Road
Honington_Archaeology

Grid ref: TL 9143 7454

HER ref: tbc

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-412035

Area: 900m²

Current site use: Grassed paddock

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

John Newman Archaeological Services

1. Introduction

1.1 Mrs S K Jay has commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation for a single dwelling with detached cart lodge development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/20/0649/FUL and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Ms G Stewart of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This overall proposed development site (PDS) concerns the erection of a single dwelling with detached cart lodge on land adjacent to Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation 2020 (Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 2020)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent DC/20/0649/FUL. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Honington is a relatively compact village in north central Suffolk centred on a crossroad 250m north-west of the planned development site (PDS) which fronts onto a minor road on its southern side that runs to a now disused mill that is on the side of The Black Bourn river with this watercourse being 300m to the east of the PDS. The PDS is also c100m east of the parish church.

2.2 As the brief describes 'on Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Seaford Chalk Formation, Newhaven Chalk Formation and Culver Chalk Formation (undifferentiated) bedrock geology with River Terrace superficial deposits (BGS accessed January 2021) at roughly 24m AOD.'

3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant SCCAS archaeological brief 'The application area is situated in an area of high archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record (HER) in the historic settlement core (HER reference HNN 018), in close proximity to the medieval All Saint parish church (HNN 005) located to the west. While to the east is Anglo-Saxon artefact scatter (HNN 008) and medieval artefact scatter (HNN 008). As a result, there is high potential for the discovery of below-ground heritage assets of archaeological importance within this area. '

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of this PDS relates to its location close to the parish in the historic core of the village and the parish church. In addition the PDS is close to artefact scatters indicative of activity of Anglo-Saxon and medieval date. Therefore the PDS has the potential to contain evidence of Anglo-Saxon and medieval period activity.

5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the erection of a dwelling and detached cart lodge on a plot that is undisturbed pasture. To inform the results of the evaluation if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County HER for the area within 500m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report. Ten days notice of the evaluation starting will be given to SCCAS so a monitoring visit can be agreed and the WSI covers an additional 5m of contingency trenching if required. Contact will also be maintained with SCCAS as the evaluation progresses and through the post-excavation study and work with regard to the results from the site, the finds and any samples and the main report preparation.

John Newman Archaeological Services

5.2 The Brief requires 25m of sample trenching, plus provision for an additional 5m, which will be 1.8m wide across the area of the overall development. This will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver with a trench plan as set out below. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened (see specialists section below). The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in past rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits. Allowance has been made for one person on site for a day plus half a day for the metal detector user and a machine and operator for 1-2 days to cover the opening of the trenches plus back-filling once full approval for the latter has been gained from SCCAS following a site monitoring visit. If required further investigation of the trenches will be carried out in particular following a SCCAS monitoring visit and examination of the exposed deposits. Any requirement to vary the related brief requirements and this WSI will only be carried out following communication with SCCAS.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features (using a Lumix DMC-FZ5 camera with allowance for .jpeg and higher definition .tif images depending on what is revealed).

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested,

John Newman Archaeological Services

and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. These features will be hand investigated unless agreed with SCCAS that larger/more recent features can be partially machine/hand investigated. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and the clear presumption must be to preserve such remains in situ with minimum disturbance during this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as

John Newman Archaeological Services

ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if

John Newman Archaeological Services

located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team revised version 2019). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site. Any developments during the site and reporting works will be communicated to SCCAS.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

John Newman Archaeological Services

6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather. COVID guideline requirements will be adhered to with social distancing, no sharing of equipment, face masks and separate rest areas.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.

6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	tbc
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	Colchester Archaeological Trust


John Newman Archaeological Services

Medieval coins:

M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)

Post Roman small finds:

JNAS



Proposed location of trial trenches (x 7.5m & 1 x 10m plus 5m contingency))

OASIS ID: johnnewm1-412035

Project details

Project name	Land Adjacent to Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Honington, land adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington (HNN 043, TL 9143 7454) evaluation trenching for a single dwelling and detached cart lodge development did not reveal any archaeological features and the only stray finds largely derived from 19th century stables that were demolished nearby some years ago.
Project dates	Start: 18-02-2021 End: 18-02-2021
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	HNN 043 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/20/0649/FUL - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	Conservation Area
Current Land use	Grassland Heathland 2 - Undisturbed Grassland
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	NONE None
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Small-scale (e.g. single house, etc.)
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK ST EDMUNDSBURY HONINGTON LAND ADJACENT TO BLUEBERRY COTTAGE MILL ROAD
Postcode	IP31 1SB
Study area	900 Square metres
Site coordinates	TL 9143 7454 52.335027596294 0.810053385115 52 20 06 N 000 48 36 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 23m Max: 24m
Project creators	

Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Landowner
Project archives	
Physical Archive Exists?	No
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"none"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"none"
Paper Media available	"Report"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Land Adjacent Blueberry Cottage, Mill Road, Honington, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2021
Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Henley, Suffolk
Description	Loose bound client report and pdf
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	4 March 2021