

**Land East of Wayside, Woodlands Road,  
Raydon, Suffolk**

Planning application: DC/19/04444

**HER Ref: RAY 035**

**Archaeological Evaluation Report**

(© John Newman BA MCIFA, 10 Fitzgerald Road, Bramford, Ipswich, IP8 4AA)

(May, 2021)

(Tel: 07754 501033 Email: [johnnewman2@btinternet.com](mailto:johnnewman2@btinternet.com) )

**Site details for HER**

Name: Land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon, Suffolk, IP7 5LL

Clients: Harvest Homes Ltd

Planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application refs: DC/19/04444 (formerly, to be re-submitted)

Development: Erection of four dwellings (re-submitted for five dwellings)

Date of fieldwork: 6 May, 2021

HER ref: RAY 035

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-419411

Grid ref: TM 1267 6706

Site area: 4400m<sup>2</sup>

Recent land use: Arable land

## Contents

Summary

1. Introduction & background
2. Evaluation methodology
3. Results

Table 1: Trench details

4. Conclusion

Fig. 1: Site location

Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches

## List of appendices

Appendix I- Images

Appendix II- Written scheme for evaluation

Appendix III- The Finds (JNAS)

Appendix IV- OASIS data collection form

*Summary: Raydon, land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road (RAY 035, TM 05172 38792) evaluation trenching for a planned residential development comprising five dwellings with cart lodges to the north-east of the village and south-west of Raydon Hall did not reveal any features except a water pipe in a ditch type feature and the few stray finds were mainly of later Post medieval or uncertain date in addition to three small and abraded pottery sherds of medieval date (John Newman Archaeological Services for Harvest Homes Ltd).*

## 1. Introduction & background

1.1 Dean Jay Pearce Architectural Design on behalf of their client Harvest Homes Ltd commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological evaluation works for a planned five dwelling development with (see Fig. 1) that had gained consent under planning application DC/19/04444 and which has now been re-submitted as a five dwelling development. The evaluation requirements were set by Dr H Cutler of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS) with the aim of gaining a representative sample by trial trenching of the planned development areas within the site. The Written Scheme of Investigation for the archaeological evaluation (see Appendix II) was subsequently prepared by JNAS in order to allow the trenching to go ahead and reported on before any other ground works are undertaken in relation to this development. This development concerns the erection of five dwellings with cart lodges on land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon.

1.2 Raydon parish is located to the south of Hadleigh in south-east Suffolk in an area characterised by dispersed settlement and a low population density with small clusters of farms and cottages near the various parish churches and scattered settlement along historic roads and lanes. Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk while of a small scale gives a good depiction of the county in the pre-modern period and at Raydon it shows a small cluster of houses near the parish church and The Parsonage to the south. This site is 250m north-east of the parish church and 250m south-west of Raydon Hall and is on the northern side of Woodlands Road which is a historic route-way. Most recently the site has been arable land.

1.3 The site is flat in an area of gentle topography and that to the north slopes gradually down towards a small stream and is at c50m OD with the British Geological Survey indicating that underlying superficial deposits are Lowestoft Diamiction comprising a mix of glaciofluvial sands, silts, gravels and clays.

1.4 Archaeological interest in this development was generated by its proximity to a possible croft (HER RAY 019) known from aerial photographs and to the site of Old Raydon Hall (HER RAY 034). In addition Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk though at a small scale appears to depict buildings on or close to this site.

## 2. Evaluation methodology

2.1 The development area was trenched to a plan agreed with SCCAS (see Fig. 2) using a medium sized 360 machine equipped with a 1500mm flat bucket which was under archaeological supervision at all times and any indistinct areas were hand cleaned as necessary to improve clarity with the trenches being 1.80m wide.

2.2 The sides and base of trenches and the upcast spoil were examined visually and scanned with a metal detector for any finds as the evaluation progressed as was the area between the trenches. Site visibility for features and finds is considered to have

been good throughout the evaluation which was undertaken under mainly dry weather conditions. At the end of the evaluation the location of the trenches were plotted from nearby mapped features and as the works progressed a full photographic record in digital format (see Appendix I) was taken.

### 3. Results

3.1 The relevant details for the evaluation trenches are summarised in the tables below (see also Fig. 2 and Appendix I):

Trench	Orientation	Length (m)	Topsoil depth (mm)	Subsoil depth (mm)	Drift geology	Archaeological/natural features & finds
1	Northwest-southeast	30	200	300 mid brown clay subsoil	Orange slightly sandy clay with flints	One ditch type feature which contained a mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century date, also one stray Pmed horseshoe
2	Northeast-southwest	30	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, a few stray Pmed brick and tile frags
3	Northwest-southeast	30	200	240 as T1	As T1	No features, few Pmed brick and tile frags
4	Northeast-southwest	30	200	200 as T1	As T1	No features, a few Pmed brick and tile frags
		120m (216m <sup>2</sup> )	200	200-300		Only one 20 <sup>th</sup> century water pipe and a thin scatter of Pmed stray finds

Table 1: Trench details

3.2 As outlined in table 1 above the trenches revealed a 200mm depth of topsoil above 200mm to 300mm of mid brown clay subsoil giving a trench depths between 400mm to 500mm above orange slightly sandy clay with flints.

3.3 The only features revealed was in trench 1 and appeared to be a ditch parallel to the nearby road but proved to contain a water pipe of mid-20<sup>th</sup> century date. This linear feature was also seen in trench 3 but was not investigated given its recent date.

3.4 With no features of any age revealed the few stray ceramic finds were small fragments of brick and tile of Post medieval date and three small coarse ware pottery sherds (wt. 8g) of medieval date. The stray metal finds included a worn 18<sup>th</sup> century halfpenny, a copper alloy crotal/rumbler bell of 18<sup>th</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup> century date, four copper alloy buttons and two small studs of later Post medieval date, two lead musket balls and a variety of small mainly scrap items of Post medieval date (see Appendix III).

### 4. Conclusion

4.1 With largely negative results from the evaluation trenching with regard to archaeological deposits of any significance and to be consistent with similar projects in the county a search from the County Historic Environment Record for local sites

and finds was not requested. However the Suffolk Heritage Explorer was examined for the location of the sites noted above in section 1.4 above.

4.2 While this site is close to Old Raydon Hall (HER RAY 034), a structure of early Post medieval date with a probable medieval origin, and a possible croft (HER RAY 019) that may also be of medieval date no evidence was revealed for activity of any intensity of medieval or early Post medieval date. The number of ceramic and metal stray finds was low and all the metal finds were of later Post medieval date with the only feature revealed being of mid-20<sup>th</sup> century date. In addition no evidence in the form of building debris at any concentration was found for the buildings apparently depicted on Hokinson's late 18<sup>th</sup> century map.

4.3 From the results of this evaluation which did not reveal any significant archaeological deposits it is recommended that no further archaeological works should be required at this planned development on land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon.

*Archive- to be deposited with the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service under the HER ref: RAY 035*

*Disclaimer- any opinions regarding the need for further archaeological work in relation to this proposed development are those of the author's alone. Formal comment regarding the need for further work must be sought from the official Archaeological Advisors to the relevant Planning Authority.*

*(Acknowledgements: JNAS is grateful to Dave the digger driver for his close co-operation and to James Armes and Keith Lewis for carrying out the metal detector survey)*





Fig. 1: Site location

(Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2006 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)



**Fig. 2: Location of evaluation trenches**  
 (Light blue- planned footprint areas, red arrow- water pipe)  
 (Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright 2021 All rights reserved Licence No 100049722)



## Appendix I- Images



General view from west



Trench 1 from south (with water pipe ditch/trench in foreground)



Trench 1 deposit profile



Trench 2 from east



Trench 2 deposit profile



Trench 3 from north



Trench 3 deposit profile



Trench 4 from east



Trench 4 deposit profile

**Land East of Wayside, Woodlands Road,  
Raydon, Suffolk**

**Written Scheme of Investigation for  
Archaeological Evaluation**

## **Site details**

Name: Land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon, Suffolk, IP7 5LL

Client: Harvest Homes Ltd

Local planning authority: Babergh DC

Planning application ref: Formerly DC/19/04444, to be re-submitted for 5 dwellings

Proposed development: Erection of five dwellings

Proposed date for evaluation: tbc

Brief ref: SCCAS Brief for trenched archaeological evaluation\_Land east of Wayside Woodlands\_2019\_04444

Grid ref: TM 05172 38792

HER ref: tbc

OASIS ref: johnnewm1-419411

Area: 4400m<sup>2</sup>

Current site use: Former arable

## **Contents**

1. Introduction
2. Location, Topography & Geology
3. Archaeological & Historical Background
4. Aims of the Site Evaluation
5. Methodology
6. Risk Assessment
7. Specialists

Proposed location of trial trenches

# John Newman Archaeological Services

---

## 1. Introduction

1.1 Dean Jay Pearce Architectural Design on behalf of their client Harvest Homes Ltd have commissioned John Newman Archaeological Services (JNAS) to undertake the archaeological site evaluation for a residential development that has received consent to go ahead. This written scheme of investigation (WSI) details the background to the archaeological requirements for planning application DC/19/04444 and how JNAS will implement the requirements of the Brief for Archaeological Evaluation set by Dr H Cutler of the Suffolk CC Archaeological Service (SCCAS). The WSI will also set out how potential risks will be mitigated. This overall proposed development site (PDS) concerns the erection of five dwellings at land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon.

1.2 The evaluation will be carried out to the standards set regionally in the *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England (EAA Occ. Papers 14, 2003)*, locally in *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation (updated 2021 Suffolk CC)* and nationally in *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014, updated 2020)*.

1.3 The evaluation as detailed in this document is the first phase of a programme of archaeological investigation secured by negative condition on planning consent DC/219/04444. Where the results of the evaluation indicate the presence of heritage assets further archaeological works will be required to mitigate the impact of the development on the historic environment. The SCCAS officer will identify the type and extent of works in a new brief necessary to adequately mitigate the impact of the proposed development. All further archaeological works, as recommended by SCCAS, must be undertaken in accordance with an additional WSI, submitted and approved by SCCAS and the LPA. All further archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to commencement of development, unless specifically referenced as monitoring of groundworks in the approved WSI.

## 2. Location, Topography & Geology

2.1 Raydon parish is located to the south of Hadleigh in south-east Suffolk in an area characterised by dispersed settlement and a low population density with small clusters of farms and cottages near the various parish churches and scattered settlement along historic roads and lanes. Hodkinson's 1783 map of Suffolk while of a small scale gives a good depiction of the county in the pre-modern period and at Raydon it shows a small cluster of houses near the parish church and The Parsonage to the south. The PDS is 250m north-east of the parish church on the eastern side Woodlands Road which is a historic route-way. Most recently the PDS has been arable land.

2.2 The PDS is located in an area described by the British Geological Survey as being Lowestoft Formation diamicton, therefore a mixed superficial deposit area of



# John Newman Archaeological Services

---

clay, sand and silts at c50m OD in an area of very gentle topography that gradually drops away to a small stream which is 450m to the north-west.

## 3. Archaeological & Historical Background

3.1 To quote from the relevant SCCAS archaeological brief 'This site lies in an area of archaeological potential recorded on the County Historic Environment Record. It is between the edge of the modern village and a possible croft (RAY 019) and Old Raydon Hall (RAY 034). There appears to be a building or buildings near to or at this location visible on Hodkinson's Map of 1783. Thus, there is high potential for the discovery of below-ground heritage assets of archaeological importance within this area, and groundworks associated with the development have the potential to damage or destroy any archaeological remains which exist.'

A site evaluation by trial trenching is therefore required to:

- Identify the date, approximate form and purpose of any archaeological deposit, together with its likely extent, localised depth and quality of preservation.
- Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses, and the possible presence of masking colluvial/alluvial deposits.
- Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
- Provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

## 4. Aims of the Site Evaluation

4.1 As outlined in section 3 above the archaeological potential of this PDS relates to its location close to recorded sites of medieval to early Post medieval date as indicated by the nearby site of a possible croft and Old Raydon Hall plus indicators from a historic cartographic source. Therefore there is the potential for evidence of past activity through the medieval to early Post medieval periods.

## 5. Methodology

5.1 The proposed development is for the construction of five dwellings. To inform the results of the evaluation if archaeological deposits are revealed a search will be commissioned from the County HER for the area within 500m of the PDS and the relevant invoice number will be included in the report. Ten days notice of the evaluation starting will be given to SCCAS so a monitoring visit can be agreed. Contact will also be maintained with SCCAS as the evaluation progresses and through the post-excavation study and work with regard to the results from the site, the finds and any samples and the main report preparation.

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

5.2 Given an overall size of 0.44ha a standard 5% sample by area is required so SCCAS have specified 120m of 1.80m wide evaluation trenching. A proposed trenching plan is outlined below; this will be undertaken using a wide toothless ditching bucket on a suitably sized machine operated by an experienced driver. The machine will be closely supervised by an experienced archaeologist as the overburden is removed in shallow spits to the top of any archaeological deposits that are present, where hand investigation will start, or to expose the underlying drift geology which will be further hand cleaned and examined as required. The spoil will be stored adjacent to the excavated trench with top and sub soil kept separate to allow for subsequent sequential backfilling. No trenches will be backfilled until the relevant officer at SCCAS has been consulted and should any modification to the trench layout be required due to any unforeseen circumstances, such as local services, then SCCAS will be contacted immediately. A metal detector search in all metal mode (therefore including ferrous items) will be carried out by an experienced operator at all stages of the evaluation including before the trenches are opened (see specialists section below). The up cast spoil will also be closely examined for unstratified artefacts as evidence for past activity in past rural areas in particular is often as evident via artefact scatters as by undisturbed archaeological deposits. Allowance has been made for two days on site plus metal detector cover plus a machine and operator for 2-3 days to cover the opening of the trenches plus backfilling once full approval for the latter has been gained from SCCAS following a site monitoring visit. If required further investigation of the trenches will be carried out in particular following a SCCAS monitoring visit and examination of the exposed deposits. Any requirement to vary the related brief requirements and this WSI will only be carried out following communication with SCCAS.

5.3 Site records will be made under a continuous and unique numbering system of contexts under an overall HER number obtained from the Suffolk CC HER beforehand. All contexts will be numbered and finds recorded by context. Conventions compatible with the county HER will be used throughout the monitoring. Site plans will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate and sections at 1:10 or 1:20 (all on plastic drawing film) and related to OS map cover. Sections will be levelled to a datum OD. A photographic record in high resolution digital images will be made of the site and exposed features (using a Lumix DMC-FZ5 camera with allowance for .jpeg and higher definition .tif images depending on what is revealed).

5.4 As necessary and to define archaeological deposits exposed surfaces will be trowelled clean before appropriate hand investigation and recording. Exposed archaeological features will be sampled at standard levels with care being taken to cause minimum disturbance to the site consistent with evaluation to a level adequate to properly form a subsequent mitigation strategy. Significant features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or post holes (where fills are sampled) will have their integrity maintained (and during backfilling). Otherwise for discrete, contained, features, sampling will be at 50%- possibly rising to 100% if requested,

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

and 1m wide sampling slots across linear features. These features will be hand investigated unless agreed with SCCAS that larger/more recent features can be partially machine/hand investigated. If human burial evidence is revealed the SCCAS Officer will be informed and consulted with whether any such remains should be excavated and lifted or left in situ at this evaluation stage. If this is not possible then a Ministry of Justice licence will be obtained prior to full on site recording (total 100% sampling if a cremation deposit) and removal of the remains followed by examination by the relevant specialist and possibly scientific dating. If human remains do have to be recorded, removed from site and reported on then these works will add an additional cost to the evaluation works which may involve radiocarbon dating (in this case the likelihood of revealing human burial evidence is assessed as being low).

5.5 All finds will be collected and processed unless any variation is agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Finds will be assessed by recognised period specialists and their interpretation will form an integral part of the overall report. Finds will be stored according to ICON guidelines with specialist advice/treatment sought for fragile ones. Every effort will be made to gain the deposit of the site finds to the SCCAS Store under their relevant HER code and site numbering for future reference. If this is not possible then the SCCAS Officer will be consulted over any requirements for additional recording (which may have an additional cost implication). Any discard policy will be discussed and agreed with the relevant SCCAS Officer and any finds that qualify under the Treasure Act will be reported to the local Finds Liaison Officer within 14 days.

5.6 Where appropriate palaeoenvironmental samples will be taken for processing and assessment by a specialist conversant with regional archaeological standards and research agendas. The sampling, processing and assessment will follow the guidelines as detailed in *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation* (English Heritage, 2011). In accordance with standard practice bulk samples of 40 litres (or 100% of the deposit where less) will be taken from a representative cross section of archaeological deposits of all periods (respecting defined fills within features), in consultation with the relevant SCCAS Officer (and the Historic England Regional Scientific Advisor (RSA) if the deposits merit more targeted advice) including deposits that cannot be immediately dated by their artefact content, so the state of preservation and full archaeological and palaeoenvironmental potential of the deposits can be assessed and any further sampling, should further field work take place, be systematically planned and fully costed. Archaeological deposits of all types may reveal valuable data through the processing and assessment of samples with high priority features including the primary fills of pits, wells and cesspits, layers of middens, occupation surfaces and structural features as well as other discrete activity areas, contents of hearths, ovens, and other craft related or industrial structures. In addition more generalised settlement and land use features such as ditches may also yield valuable and informative data when sampling is undertaken

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

systematically as the sum of all the assessment results can add considerably to the interpretation of a site and its landscape. Through an integrated study of all the data recovered from the evaluation the results from the assessment of the samples will be reviewed in terms of:

- What is the quality and state of preservation of charred plant remains, mineralised plant and animal related remains, small vertebrates and industrial residues such as evidence for iron working (contributing to the fullest interpretation of the evaluation results and to aid the planning of any further field work- if any RC dates are required for features containing suitable material but no easily dateable finds then this will incur an additional cost).
- What is the concentration of macro-remains (to inform sampling strategy in any further field work), in particular how might bulk sampling inform the interpretation of burial deposits.
- Can any patterning or similarities/differences be ascertained between deposits from different periods represented on site, similarly can any useful comparisons be made with undated and unphased deposits (to aid interpretation of the evaluation results and help in the study of undated deposits which may otherwise be overlooked and which may via sampling yield material for RC dating)
- Do waterlogged deposits exist on site, if so is there potential for palaeoenvironmental data from preserved insects or pollen and do such deposits contain organic material suitable for RC dating from samples taken as advised by the relevant soil specialist (who would also coordinate the assessment for pollen and insect remains), the RSA will also be consulted in such cases in conjunction with the relevant SCCAS Officer. Incremental column samples will be taken should waterlogged deposits be revealed in close consultation with the evaluation soils specialist with 10-20 litre sample sizes which will be sub-sampled for preserved pollen, insects, diatoms, preserved parasite eggs etc. If waterlogged wood is encountered it will ideal to leave in situ, if it has to be lifted it will be packed while wet in black polythene and stored at 5C until it can be transferred to a specialist for species identification, assessment and potential for RC dating is undertaken (should RC dating be required in the evaluation on such deposits this will incur an additional cost and will take time to obtain, examination of the topographic location of the site indicates that the presence of waterlogged deposits is unlikely unless deep deposits are revealed).
- Deep blanket type deposits resulting from both natural and human derived actions and events can yield valuable land use and palaeoenvironmental information. In particular such deposits can form at the base of a slope, if located in the evaluation the relevant SCCAS Officer and RSA will be

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

consulted over monolith sampling and assessment by the relevant evaluation specialist (the composition of such deposits may give information on past land use in the area through a study of the soil matrix notwithstanding additional data if it is waterlogged)

5.7 An archive of all records and finds will be prepared consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* (and the guidelines in the Archaeological Archives Forum: a guide to best practice 2007). This archive will be deposited with the Suffolk CC HER within 3 months of working finishing on site under the relevant HER number and following the guidelines outlined in '*Archaeological Archives in Suffolk- Guidelines for preparation and deposition*' (SCCAS Conservation Team revised version 2019). As necessary the site digital archive will be deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS) within the agreed allowance for the monitoring and reporting works.

5.8 The evaluation report will be consistent with the principles of *MoRPHE* and this report will summarise the methodology employed and relate the archaeological record directly to the aims of this WSI and section 4 above in particular. The report will give an objective account of the deposits and stratigraphy recorded and finds recovered with an inventory of the latter. The report will include an assessment of palaeoenvironmental remains recovered from palaeosols and cut features in relation to both dated and undated features and in terms of patterning across the site. Any developments during the site and reporting works will be communicated to SCCAS.

5.9 Any interpretation of the evaluation will be clearly separated from the objective account of the evaluation and its results and the results will be discussed with the relevant SCCAS Officer at an early stage in the reporting process following reporting on the day of the immediately apparent conclusions. The report will give a clear statement regarding the results of the site evaluation in relation to both the more detailed aims in section 4 above and their significance in the context of local HER records and of the Regional Research Framework (EAA Occ. Papers 3, 8 & 24, 1997, 2000 & 2011). There will be no further work on site until the evaluation results have been assessed and the SCCAS Officer has considered whether further archaeological works are required if this application receives consent. The report may give an opinion regarding the necessity for further evaluation work as appropriate. A draft copy of the report will be presented to SCCAS following completion of the site works. Once accepted a bound hard copy will be provided for the County HER with a digital version on disc. As required the site evaluation will be registered on the OASIS online archaeological record followed by submission of the final draft in .pdf format. An HER summary sheet will be completed and a summary prepared of any positive results for inclusion in the annual PSIAH round-up.

## 6. Risk Assessment

6.1 Protective clothing will be worn on site (hard hat, high visibility vest/coat, steel-toe cap boots, and ear muffs if required). A safe working method will be agreed with

## John Newman Archaeological Services

---

the machine operator for excavation of the trenches and examination of the up cast spoil while at the same time allowing efficient use of plant. Suitable clothing will be available to mitigate against extremes of weather. COVID guideline requirements will be adhered to with social distancing, no sharing of equipment and separate rest areas.

6.2 Vehicles will be safely parked away from work areas and lines of access.

6.3 Prior to evaluation work starting on site the client will be consulted with regard to any potential contamination at the site. No overhead services impinge on the trench locations. Gloves and hand wash/wipes be available and any information on possible ground contamination revealed during the evaluation will be passed to finds and environmental specialists.

6.4 A fully charged mobile phone will be carried and a first aid kit will be taken to site.


6.5 It is unlikely that any trench plus excavated feature depth will go below c1/1.3m from the present ground level. If any excavations need to go deeper measures such as stepping in the sides will be employed.

6.6 JNAS holds full insurance cover for archaeological site works from the specialist provider Towergate Risk Solutions covering Public & Products Liability, details can be supplied on request.

### 7. Specialists

Conservation:	Conservation Services
Faunal remains:	J Curl (Sylvanus Archaeology)
Human remains:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Metal detecting:	J Armes (experienced freelance)
Palaeoenvironmental samples:	V Fryer (Freelance)
Soils specialist	tbc
Pre-historic flint:	S Bates (Freelance)
Pre-historic pottery:	S Percival (Freelance)
Post Roman ceramics & CBM:	S Anderson (Freelance)
Roman period small finds:	N Crummy (Freelance)
Roman period ceramics:	Colchester Archaeological Trust
Medieval coins:	M Allen (Fitzwilliam Museum)
Post Roman small finds:	JNAS

# John Newman Archaeological Services



Proposed location of trial trenches (4 x 30m)

## Appendix III- The Finds (JNAS)

All of the finds were recovered as stray finds from the upcast spoil and topsoil across the site

Very worn George II/George III halfpenny

Four small copper alloy buttons- Late Pmed

Copper alloy plain crotal/rumbler bell 27mm diam. x 34mm top to bottom

Two small plain copper alloy studs, 14mm diam.- Late Pmed

Two small copper buckles frags- Pmed

One copper alloy ring shaped machine part with slot on external surface, internal diam. 15mm- Late Pmed

One copper alloy disc shaped machine part with three piercings near edge, 24mm diam.- Late Pmed

Three small copper alloy sheet frags- ?date

One copper alloy curtain type ring- Late Pmed

One small copper alloy ?bell frag- Pmed

Two small lead musket balls

Fourteen small lead fragments of uncertain date

One iron horseshoe

Four iron nails

Three small sherds of sandy medieval coarseware



# OASIS ID: johnnewm1-419411

## Project details

Project name	Land East of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon, Suffolk-Archaeological Evaluation Report
Short description of the project	Raydon, land east of Wayside, Woodlands Road (RAY 035, TM 05172 38792) evaluation trenching for a planned residential development comprising five dwellings with cart lodges to the north-east of the village and south-west of Raydon Hall did not reveal any features except a water pipe in a ditch type feature and the few stray finds were mainly of later Post medieval or uncertain date in addition to three small and abraded pottery sherds of medieval date.
Project dates	Start: 06-05-2021 End: 06-05-2021
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	RAY 035 - Related HER No.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/19/04444 - Planning Application No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Cultivated Land 3 - Operations to a depth more than 0.25m
Monument type	DITCH Modern
Significant Finds	POTTERY Medieval
Significant Finds	COIN Post Medieval
Significant Finds	BELL Post Medieval
Significant Finds	MUSKET BALL Post Medieval
Significant Finds	BUTTON Post Medieval
Methods & techniques	""Sample Trenches""
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK BABERGH RAYDON LAND EAST OF WAYSIDE WOODLANDS ROAD

Postcode	IP7 5LL
Study area	4400 Square metres
Site coordinates	TM 05172 38792 52.009053875576 0.989870332372 52 00 32 N 000 59 23 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 49m Max: 50m
Project creators	
Name of Organisation	John Newman Archaeological Services
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	John Newman
Project director/manager	John Newman
Project supervisor	John Newman
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Project archives	
Physical Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Physical Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Digital Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Digital Media available	"Images raster / digital photography","Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service
Paper Contents	"Ceramics","Metal"
Paper Media available	"Report"
Project bibliography	
1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Land East of Wayside, Woodlands Road, Raydon, Suffolk- Archaeological Evaluation Report
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Newman, J
Date	2021

Issuer or publisher	John Newman Archaeological Services
Place of issue or publication	Bramford, Suffolk
Description	Report in pdf format
Entered by	John Newman (johnnewman2@btinternet.com)
Entered on	19 May 2021