

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION REPORT POTTERHANWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL, POTTERHANWORTH, LINCOLNSHIRE.

NGR: TF 0554 6622 ACC. No. 2002.168 Site Code: POTT 02 Planning Ref.: N/49/990/01

α-

 \mathcal{O}





112/26



Enert L13493 Saurce L18207 L18208

MON 6181774

61739 Romon

LI 60811 settlement 60811 Conservation

Services

1 0 OCT 2002

Highways & Planning Directorate

LISIT62 61757 pottory prod.

L18 3343 62554 Sthool

ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION REPORT POTTERHANWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL, POTTERHANWORTH, LINCOLNSHIRE.

NGR: TF 0554 6622 ACC. No. 2002.168 Site Code: POTT 02 Planning Ref.: N/49/990/01

Report Prepared for Hyder Business Services by Jim Rylatt

October 2002

Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln) 61 High Street Newton on Trent Lincoln LN1 2JP Tel & Fax. 01777 228155

© Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln)

3

Contents

	List of figures List of plates	iii iv
	Summary	1
1.0	Introduction	2
2.0	Location and description	2
3.0	Planning background	3
4.0	Archaeological and historical background	3
5.0	Methodology	5
6.0	Results	6
6.1 6.2	Area 1 Area 2	6 13
7.0	Interpretation and discussion	17
7.1	The medieval pottery industry at Potterhanworth	22
8.0	Conclusions	23
9.0	Effectiveness of methodology	23
10.0	Site archive `	23
11.0	Acknowledgements	24
12.0	References	25
13.0	Appendices	
Appendix 13.1: Colour photographs		
Append	dix 13.2: Medieval pottery report - by J. Young	
Append	dix 13.3: The source of raw materials used in glazed ware production - by A. Vince	9
Appen	dix 13.4: Report on items of stone, metal & glass - by J. Cowgill & G. Taylor	
Appen	dix 13.5: Report on stone quern – by A. Vince	
Append	dix 13.6: Environmental archaeology report - by J. Rackham & A. Snelling	
Appendix 13.7: Archaeometallurgical report - M. Allen		
Appendix 13.8: Site matrices		

Appendix 13.9: List of archaeological contexts

]

List of Figures

Figure 1: Site location at a scale of 1: 25,000.

Figure 2: Trench location plan, showing school grounds at 1: 500.

Figure 3: A - A plan of the Lordship of Potterhanworth in the County of Lincoln' produced in 1775. B - A hypothetical reconstruction of medieval land use in Potterhanworth.

Figure 4: Area 1 - pre-excavation plan showing the disposition of the post-medieval and early modern features, at a scale of 1: 50.

Figure 5: Area 1 – south-east facing section running along the northern edge of the trench.

Figure 6: Area 1 – sections across Victorian toilet block (1000) and the brick-built extension appended to its southern end.

Figure 7: Area 1 – elevations along the faces of limestone walls running along eastern edge of the area.

Figure 8: Area 1 - post-excavation plan showing the location of the postholes and gullies that predated the construction of the 19^{th} century school; at a scale of 1: 50.

Figure 9: Area 1 – sections across the postholes and gullies that predated the construction of the 19^{th} century school.

Figure 10: Area 2 - plan showing the location of the medieval clay pit [2008] and the distribution of its early modern fills, at a scale of 1: 50.

Figure 11: Area 2 – representative section through the fills of the clay extraction pit [2008] and sections along the trench edges.

Figure 12: Interpretational plan showing the extent and phasing of the features and deposits exposed during the excavation.

Figure 13: A – Potterhanworth in 1904 - an extract from the Ordnance Survey Second Edition 6": 1 mile (1: 10,560) Sheet LXXIX.NW, of 1906. B - Potterhanworth in the 1960s, extract from a 1:2,500 Ordnance Survey map. C – Extract from the 1775 plan of the Lordship of Potterhanworth showing the centre of the village. D – Enlarged image of the school in 1904. E – Enlarged image of the school in the 1960s.

Figure 14: Copy of the architect's plans for the original school building that was constructed for Reverend Anson, c. AD 1855.

Figure 15: Reconstruction of the floor plan of the toilet block.

List of Plates

Plate 1: General view of Area 1 following the removal of the playground surface, looking north-west. Toilet block (1000) is visible at bottom left, while its 20th century replacement is the building decorated with the mural.

Plate 2: Toilet block (1000), with wall (1001) running up the image to the left of centre, thereby dividing the girls' toilet (to the left) from the boys' toilet (to the right), looking west.

Plate 3: Toilet block (1000), looking into the septic tank from the boys' end of the structure, looking south.

Plate 4: Area 1, showing the relationship between the toilet block, (1000), and the original school building, which only extended up to the fire door at the northern end of the wall, looking west. Wall (1008) is visible bottom right.

Plate 5: Section through the gullies running down the eastern side of Area 1, with [1042] to the right and [1052]/[1041] to the left. The dark material above them is (1040) and below that is the stony medieval surface (1009), looking north.

Plate 6: General view of Area 1 following the removal of the playground surface, looking north-east. The foundation of the village hall is visible at the centre, with the sondage through the fills of the clay pit, [2008], beyond.

Summary

- An archaeological excavation was undertaken within the grounds of Potterhanworth Primary School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire, prior to the construction of an easterly extension to the existing school building.
- A post-built structure and a yard surface occupied the western half of the site, Area 1, from the later 13th to mid 14th centuries. A series of boundaries were then created between Area 1 and Area 2. The last of these was still standing when the school building was constructed in the mid 19th century.
- The foundations of a Victorian toilet block serving the school were exposed at the southwest corner of the trench.
- The eastern half of the site, Area 2, had been largely quarried away by a huge clay extraction pit created during the late 13th or 14th centuries. Following its abandonment, this feature had turned into a pond, and remained as such until filled in towards the end of the 19th century.



Figure 1: Site location at a scale of 1: 25,000. (O.S. copyright license No. A1 515 21 A0001) Hyder Business Services commissioned Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln) to undertake an archaeological excavation within the grounds of Potterhanworth Primary School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire, in order to fulfil a planning requirement associated with the construction of an easterly extension to the existing school building.

This report details the results of the archaeological evaluation and also incorporates a series of assessments by specialist researchers who studied the archaeological materials recovered during the excavation. The text follows current national guidelines produced by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA, 1999) and local guidelines set out in the Lincolnshire County Council publication *Lincolnshire Archaeological Handbook: A Manual of Archaeological Practice* (LCC, 1998).

2.0 Location and description

Potterhanworth lies within the administrative district of North Kesteven, and is situated approximately 8km south-east of Lincoln and c. 21km north of Sleaford. The Primary School is located at the centre of the village on the eastern side of Main Road, adjacent to the Village Green and c. 150m north of the church. The main school building, an 'L'-shaped stone structure, is situated at the north-west corner of the school yard. To the north-east of this lies a sub-rectangular brick outbuilding, which has a pergola and associated seating attached to its eastern end. A small square temporary building and a rectangular mobile classroom were situated within the footprint of the new extension and were moved to other parts of the playground prior to the commencement of the fieldwork. The school and its grounds extend to c. 1390m² and are enclosed by a section of wall on the front (western) elevation, and fencing on the other sides.

The extension will adjoin the north-eastern side of the existing stone school building and will extend c. 25m to the north-eastern corner of the site (fig. 2). It will have three interconnecting components, which will have a combined floor area of c. $245m^2$.

The village of Potterhanworth overlies the south-western corner of an extensive deposit of 'older river sand and gravel', a Quaternary drift deposit (I.G.S., 1973). The underlying glacial till is exposed at the edge of this deposit, c. 250m to the south of the school. The till covers the uppermost formations of the solid geology, which consist of cornbrash and Blisworth clay, both deposited during the Jurassic period.

Central National Grid Reference: TF 0554 6622.

Existing school building Main Area 1 Road Area 2 Mobile classrooms N Barff Road

1

1

Figure 2: Trench location plan, showing school grounds at 1: 500.

3.0 Planning background

]

Hyder Business Services applied to Lincolnshire County Council for planning permission to construct an extension to the Primary School at Potterhanworth (planning ref. (LCC) N49/0990/01). That authority granted permission for the development, subject to the implementation of a programme of investigation to determine the archaeological potential of the site. The initial stage in this procedure was an archaeological evaluation, which determined that significant, stratified archaeological deposits were situated within the footprint of the development. Some of this material was not deeply buried, indicating that preservation *in-situ* was not a viable option. Consequently, it was decided that an excavation of the entire footprint of the extension would be the most suitable methodology to ensure that the uppermost deposits were fully investigated and recorded prior to the commencement of groundworks.

4.0 Archaeological and historical background

The etymology of the place-name suggests that the origin of the modern settlement lies in the Anglo-Saxon period. The village appears as *Haneworde* in the *Domesday Book*, a word utilising an Old English personal name, *Hana*, and a contemporary suffix, *worth*, meaning 'Hana's enclosure' (Cameron, 1998). The prefix 'Potter' is later and refers to the medieval pottery industry centred upon this settlement (see below).

The village is located 1.75km to the west of the Witham Valley. An examination of the geological map indicates that several ribbons of alluvium extend westward from the edge of the valley. Each of these corresponds to the bed of a small stream flowing from west to east, which has eroded the scarp edge at the interface of the higher ground to the west and the fen to the east (I.G.S., 1973). All of the villages that are sited along the western half of the limestone escarpment are situated at the western end of one of these watercourses, Potterhanworth included.

It is also apparent that each of the medieval parishes running along the western edge of the Lower Witham was laid out as an elongated south-west to north-east orientated strip between 12 and 15km long and as little as 1.5km wide. This meant that each parish contained a comparable range of land and soil types encouraging diversity of use and exploitation (fig. 3). At the western edge of each parish (defined by the A15) the land was relatively open and dry heath. The villages were sited approximately 6km further to the west, along the spring line at the eastern edge of the heath; each settlement would have been surrounded by an open field system. Immediately to the east was an area that was referred to as 'moor', beyond which there would have been tracts of woodland fringing the fen edge, much as today. Beyond this, each parish had a swathe of fen extending across the river valley to the edge of the Witham.

The Domesday Book indicates that by the later 11th century Walter d'Aincourt had the jurisdiction over much of the land in the parish (Morgan & Thorn, 1986). This included 12 carucates of land held by 'Halfdan and his brothers', land for six ploughs, and 150 acres of both meadow and woodland pasture. A church and priest was also referred to in the survey. While the present church of St Andrew does not contain any



fabric of this date, it is likely that it overlies the site of the Saxon or Saxo-Norman precursor mentioned in AD 1086, and thus is likely to indicate the general location of the *Domesday* settlement. Most of the present church was built in 1856 to a design by R.C. Hussey, only the lower two stages of the 14th century tower surviving this rebuilding (Pevsner & Harris, 1989).

Potterhanworth's position on the spring line equated to the junction between sandy and clayey drift deposits. These different strata were ideal as sources of raw materials for pottery production. The date at which the pottery industry was founded has not been definitively established, but sherds of Potterhanworth ware have been recovered from early 13th century deposits in Lincoln (J. young, *pers. comm.*). Undoubtedly the industry must have been well established prior to the application of the prefix 'Potter', which is first recorded in 1327, the village having previously been referred to as 'Hanworthe juxta Nocton' (Healey, 1974).

There appears to have been large-scale production throughout the 14th century, with the industry waning at the end of the 15th or beginning of the 16th century. This rural industry was characterised by unglazed, coarse shell-gritted fabrics, which were fired to a grey, buff, light brown or pinkish hue. The most common form was the cooking pot, which was complemented by storage jars, bowls, jugs, pancheons and pipkins, all of which are likely to have been for utilitarian purposes. Other more unusual products include 'industrial bases', typically used in the distilling process (Healey, 1988). It also appears likely that a limited quantity of a finer, green glazed, very sandy grey fabric was manufactured in the village.

Two scatters of medieval pottery have been found at the fen edge, in a field to the south-east of Burnt Wood and south of Woodside Farm. The first scatter was situated on the eastern bank of the Car Dyke, while the other was found c. 220m further to the east. The assemblage was comprised of fragments of shell-gritted fabric, almost certainly from the Potterhanworth kilns 1.5km away. The close relationship between this material and the Car Dyke may be largely fortuitous. However, it is also possible that the channel represented one of the primary means of distributing the products of the local kilns throughout the region. If this were the case, then pots would have been transported along Barff Road to quays or 'hards' along the edge of the Car Dyke; the end of Barff Road passing through the village is a well-defined hollow way.

In 1595 a Dr Richard Smith purchased the Manor of Potterhanworth. He was a wealthy physician who had practiced in London prior to retiring to Welton, c. 14km to the north of the village (Anon, 2000). He died in 1602 and bequeathed the entire manor, including about 1600 acres of land, to enable the foundation of a school on Steep Hill in Lincoln. This was called Christ's Hospital and was modelled on a school of the same name in London (White, 1856). The initial foundation provided maintenance and education for 12 boys, 3 from Potterhanworth, 3 from Welton and 6 from Lincoln, who were provided with a uniform that led to the establishment also being referred to as Blue Coat School. The Christ's Hospital Endowment was a very lucrative property, particularly after 600 acres of land that lay in the Witham Fen were enclosed and drained around the beginning of the 19th century. The Blue Coat School still exists and continues to own a large proportion of the parish.

The Reverend Arthur Anson was appointed as rector of the parish in 1847. He was responsible for the construction or renovation of many of the major buildings in the village, including the rebuilding of the church during 1856. Prior to this he had already organised the construction of a new rectory and had personally funded the construction of the School House, which was situated between the church and the school.

The school itself was another of the Reverend Anson's projects, and was described as "a neat stone building, lately erected by subscription and grants" (White, 1856: 366). It is recorded that the groundworks associated with its construction exposed archaeological deposits.

"At Potterhanworth great quantities of Roman pottery of different kinds were found on the site of the parish school house when its foundations were laid" (Trollope, 1872: 41).

Although described as Roman, it is almost certain that most, if not all, of this pottery was locally manufactured medieval wares. In 1909 S.B. Bridges, the rector, funded extensions to the northern and western sides of the school building. These works appear to have uncovered further archaeological material (Kelly, 1909).

5.0 Methodology

Initially, an archaeological evaluation was undertaken at the site (Rylatt, 2001). Two trenches were opened within the schoolyard; Trench 1 lay at the centre of the planned extension, while the second trench lay immediately to the east of the proposed new wing. These trenches demonstrated the presence of stratified archaeological deposits. It was determined that preservation *in-situ* was not a viable option given the nature of the proposed development, and consequently, it was decided that the entire footprint of the extension should be excavated prior to the onset of groundworks.

A JCB fitted with a pneumatic pick was used to break up the schoolyard at the commencement of the excavation. This hard surfacing was then removed with a 1.6m wide toothed bucket. Subsequently, a toothless ditching blade was used to remove underlying unconsolidated deposits in spits no greater than 0.2m in depth. All spoil was placed in large skips and was removed from the site.

Where exposed, archaeological features were sample excavated by hand, in order to assess their nature, dimensions and to attempt to recover datable materials. These investigations resulted in the production of written descriptions of all deposits and features on standard context record sheets. Complementary scale drawings were made in both plan and section. A photographic record (colour slide) of exposed features was also maintained. Selected images have been reproduced in this report, with the remainder forming part of the project archive.

A team of five experienced field archaeologists carried out the excavation over a period of ten days, from the 15th to the 19th, and the 22nd to the 26th April 2002.

Artefactual materials recovered from the site were cleaned and processed prior to their submission to researchers specialising in the examination of archaeological materials. In addition, a recognised specialist analysed processed soil samples to ascertain the

5

presence and nature of any palaeo-environmental remains, which they may have contained. The results of these investigations have been included as independent appendices to this report, and the general conclusions of these accounts have been integrated into the main text.

6.0 Results

A preliminary inspection of the trench was conducted after the removal of the hard surfacing and the underlying modern deposits. This examination essentially confirmed observations made during the archaeological evaluation, demonstrating that the new building would occupy two areas that contained distinctly different groups of archaeological deposits. The western half of the site was covered by a series of stone wall foundations and probable yard surfaces, while the eastern half appeared to be covered by large quantities of redeposited material. Given this disparity, it was decided that the two areas, 1 and 2 respectively, should be recorded separately. A north-south aligned wall foundation, (1008)/(1010), separated the two areas, both physically and with respect to the recording strategy implemented on site.

6.1 Area 1 (See fig. 4)

Modern schoolyard and village hall

The removal of the present-day tarmac schoolyard, (1031), exposed an earlier concrete surface, (1030), that extended over almost all of Area 1. However, this concrete slab did not cover the walls, or internal area of an 'L'-shaped section of foundation, (1023), which projected from the southern edge of the trench. Wall (1023) was constructed from machine made bricks that had been bonded with sandy mortar and subsequently repointed with hard grey cement. Examination of a 1960s Ordnance Survey map suggested that this foundation probably formed the north-west corner of the central, rectangular wing of the old village hall. This structure is likely to have been demolished in, or after 1993 when the Memorial Hall was constructed to the north of the schoolyard; the sewer pipe, (1021), from the Memorial Hall ran along the north-western edge of foundation (1023) suggesting the older building was only abandoned and levelled after the newer structure had been completed.

Although there is likely to have been some variation across Area 1, in most places it appears that the concrete slab had been laid upon a layer of quartzite gravel and grit, (1046), which was contained within a matrix of mid-grey sandy silt (fig. 5). This material is likely to have been deposited as a bed for the concrete surface, but it is also possible that it significantly predates the concrete and was originally laid down as an unconsolidated yard surface.





Figure 4: Area 1 - pre-excavation plan showing the disposition of the post-medieval and early modern features, at a scale of 1: 50.

Mid 19th - early 20th century toilet

The gravel, (1046), overlay a series of demolition deposits in the southern half of Area 1. They included (1020), a mid brownish-grey sandy silt containing limestone rubble, (1022), a mottled creamy-yellow to pale grey silty sand incorporating small fragments of limestone and brick, and (1081), a creamy to pale brownish-yellow slightly silty sand. Each of these deposits was primarily composed of degraded lime mortar that had been cleaned from bricks or limestone walling, the serviceable materials presumably having been reclaimed for use elsewhere.

Removal of (1022) and (1081) exposed a series of foundations in the south-west quadrant of Area 1. Examination indicated that these wall footings represented the remains of a sub-rectangular toilet block, (1000), that had been constructed adjacent to the new village school in the mid 19th century. The earliest element of this structure was a wall, (1001), constructed from limestone blocks bonded with lime mortar. This wall was 6.2m long and 0.42m wide at the base, and ran from north-east to south-west across the schoolyard. Examination of the plans for the original school indicate that the building was designed to ensure that girls and boys were segregated from the moment that they entered the grounds; this was a virtually universal feature of the 19th century education system. It is therefore highly probable that wall (1001) was erected to create separate playgrounds for the two halves of the school, with the boys to the north and girls to the south.

The toilet block itself was c. $3.9m \log and c. 1.6m$ wide, and had been erected around the south-western end of (1001). The long axis of the toilet ran perpendicular to (1001), with approximately two-thirds of the structure situated in the 'boys' area to the north of the wall. The eastern wall of the toilet butted both sides of (1001), while the western wall ran across its end; as (1001) stopped c. 2.9m short of the school building it seems likely that the toilet was erected immediately afterward, as part of a continuous process. The walls, (1003), of the toilet were c. 0.45m wide, and were constructed from tabular limestone bonded with lime mortar. At least 12 courses of stone survived, the majority forming the walls of a large, integral septic tank situated beneath the floor of the building (fig. 6). Further walls projected from the north-west corner of the structure, and defined an opening c. $0.75m^2$. This was evidently an access pit that enabled the septic tank to be emptied. Fragments of a Yorkstone slab found in the upper fill of this pit are likely to have formed its cover.

Following the completion of toilet (1000), a short limestone wall, (1002) was constructed to span the gap between its western wall and the main school building, thus completing the partitioning of the schoolyard. Wall (1002) did not continue the alignment of (1001), but was slightly offset to the north. This suggests that the toilet block had been completed, which would then mask the location of the end of (1001). A posthole, [1068], had been created at the northern end of the western wall of the toilet block, with another, [1070], lying c. 1.30m to the south-west. The fills of both features, (1067) and (1069), still contained the degraded remains of wooden posts. It seems likely that these posts would have supported a timber screen running parallel to wall (1002), which would have afforded some privacy to boys entering the toilet.



Figure 5: Area 1 – south-east facing section running along the northern edge of the trench; see figure 4 for locations.

In addition to the septic tank, the toilet was also equipped with a brick-built soakaway, (2013). This soakaway lay to the east of the north-east corner of the building. A brown glazed ceramic pipe ran from south-west to north-east between (2013) and the northern side of the junction between the toilet wall and the wall dividing the girls' and boys' playgrounds.

At some point after its initial construction, the boys toilet was altered, a section of brick walling, (1006), being inserted into its eastern wall. Integral to this alteration was an internal brick-built chute, which sloped downwards toward the north and terminated in a drop into the septic tank. It seems likely that this chute was installed to serve as a urinal. It would have replaced one of the toilet seats, but would presumably have increased the number of boys that could have used the toilet in any given time period.

An extension added to the southern end of the girls' toilet may have been constructed at the same time as urinal (1006) was created. The eastern external wall of this extension, (1025), was 1.80m long and continued the alignment of the eastern wall of (1000). The surviving element of (1025) was constructed from machine made bricks, which were laid as headers and were set upon a foundation of tabular limestone rubble, (1039). Another comparable wall, (1027), ran parallel to (1025), c. 1.08m to the west. The southern ends of these two walls were connected by a perpendicular foundation, (1051), of slightly different form. Its lower course was made from roughly squared limestone blocks, while the upper course was constructed from machine bricks laid as stretchers. A fourth brick wall. (1026), ran parallel to (1051). It bisected the internal area of the extension, which thus presumably represented two individual girls' toilets. The western edge of this extension was situated outside of the trench, but cleaning of the north-facing section exposed a 0.65m long, north-east to south-west aligned section of wall, (1033), that would have been situated immediately outside of the building. Seven courses of (1033) were exposed, the lowest four being laid as stretchers, but very crudely with no formal bonding pattern. The upper three courses were laid as stretchers and stepped out slightly toward the north. Two Yorkstone slabs sat atop the upper course. The capping, informal nature of construction and dimensions of this structure suggested that it was another access pit opening onto a septic tank.

A layer of limestone rubble, (1034), abutted the western face of (1033) and the eastern wall of the school. This deposit also incorporated a large ashlar block, 0.70m long by 0.32m wide and 0.26m deep, which was dressed on three faces. One of these sides tapered to a point suggesting that this piece represented part of an architectural moulding such as a doorjamb. The stones forming (1034) were not bonded, which could indicate that this was a demolition deposit. If so, it would have come from a limestone building that had been levelled some time after the southern extension (1025)/(1026)/(1027)/(1033)/(1051) was constructed. It therefore seems likely that (1034) represents remnants of (1000), the only comparable structure likely to have been situated in the immediate vicinity. Consequently, this raises the possibility that the original Victorian toilet replicated the style employed in the adjacent school building, utilising large ashlar quoins and mouldings.

The large septic tank beneath toilet (1000) was filled in during or immediately after its demolition. It was principally filled by a mid grey-brown sandy silt, (1007), which



contained limestone rubble and large quantities of cultural material. The latter included glass bottles, a boot, a bicycle bell, clay pipes and a compass or divider leg. There were also quantities of window glass and associated lead cames, which are likely to have formed leaded lights either within the main school building or the toilet block itself. Additionally, there were a large number of writing slates, some of which were manufactured from roof tiles and others that had been purpose made (Appendix 13.4). All of this material was of 19th to early 20th century date.

The upper part of the toilet shafts and access pit (1004) had been filled by ashy, midgrey silty sand, (1085). It seems likely that this material equates to (1082), a brownishgrey sandy silt containing large quantities of ash and coal fragments, which was spread across the south-western quadrant of the schoolyard. This material may have been derived from the hearths within the main school building, but its wide distribution and depth (up to 0.12m) suggests that it was deliberately deposited to form a relatively free-draining yard surface.

The demolition of toilet block (1000) and its southern extension must have occurred after it had been replaced by a newer structure. The latter was almost certainly the sub-rectangular brick structure that runs along the northern edge of Area 1. At the time of the excavation this building was being used as a store, but was due to be demolished prior to the onset of the groundworks for the construction of the new extension to the school. The footings of a brick wall, (1012), ran parallel to and the full length of the southern side of this structure; two stub walls, each c. 0.80m long, connected the western end of (1012) to the brick store. The relationship between this 'F'-shaped foundation and the extant building indicated that (1012) had been an integral part of the initial design. Consequently, this suggested that (1012) had been constructed as a screen wall that afforded some privacy to children using the toilets, and also providing protection from well-aimed balls.

The construction of a new toilet block along the northern edge of the playground would have formed part of a major reorganisation of the system of education utilised at the school. If it had still stood, wall (1001)/(1002) would have prevented the girls from getting into the northern part of the playground where the new toilet was constructed. Consequently, this barrier must have been demolished at the time that the new toilet block was erected; a deposit of lime mortar fragments, (1086), may represent the residues of this event. It is therefore very likely that the construction of the new toilet represents a physical manifestation of the integration of the boys' and girls' classes. Following this partition would have been based upon age rather than gender.

Construction of the school and schoolyard

L

The construction of the school building itself undoubtedly predated the erection of the toilet block. The construction trench, [1016], containing the eastern wall of the 19th century school was exposed along the edge of Area 1. The foundation within [1016] was constructed from limestone rubble and was slightly wider than the wall that it supported. Interestingly [1016] did not extend the full length of the eastern side of the school building, but stopped adjacent to a fire door that provides egress into the northern part of the playground. This suggests that most northerly 3.8m of the main



Figure 7: Area 1 – elevations along the faces of limestone walls running along eastern edge of the area; see figure 4 for locations.

wing of the school building is part of a later extension constructed in the style of the original. It seems likely that this extension would have been part of the works carried out in 1909 on behalf of the rector S. Bridges.

The eastern wall of the schoolyard also predated the Victorian toilet block, (1000). The northern half of this eastern boundary was defined by a north-south aligned stone wall, (1008). Up to eight courses of this wall survived, each being comprised of tabular limestone rubble (fig. 7A). The lower courses were not bonded, but the surviving upper courses were fixed with off-white, gritty lime mortar. The northern end of (1008) has been reused as the foundation for the rear wall of an area of raised seating surmounted by a pergola. This formed a feature of the playground immediately prior to the onset of groundworks for the new extension to the school.

The perpendicular wall separating the girls' and boys' play areas, (1001), abutted the southern end of (1008). This demonstrated that the eastern boundary was already in place prior to the division of the schoolyard. This is unsurprising given that a large, deep, and presumably very dangerous pond, [2008], occupied most of the next plot to the east. However, it is not clear whether walls (1008) and (1001) were constructed successively as part of a continuous process, or if (1008) had formed the existing boundary between Areas 1 and 2 prior to the erection of the school.

Wall (1008) stops just to the south of its junction with (1001). Beyond this point it is continued by a later extension or rebuild, (1010). This southerly continuation follows a slightly different alignment, being orientated c. 5° further toward the west. While there was insufficient evidence to confirm the proposal, it seemed probable that (1010) represented an extension of an existing wall, which was added at the time that the school was constructed in order to ensure that the yard was entirely enclosed.

Mid 14^{th} to mid 19^{th} century features (See fig. 8)

Area 1 also contained a series of features and deposits that predated the founding of the village school. The latest of these was a layer of mid greyish-brown slightly sandy silt, (1040), c. 0.08m deep. This deposit contained occasional fragments of limestone, quartzite pebbles, some sherds of pottery and a few animal bones. The nature of both the matrix and the coarse inclusions suggested that this material had accumulated gradually over an extended period¹. The incorporation of artefactual material suggests that (1040) may have accrued along the margin of a yard belonging to an adjacent dwelling or workshop.

Deposit (1040) had built up against the western face of a wall, (1044), at the northeastern corner of Area 1. This wall was constructed from tabular limestone rubble, larger pieces being used for the outer faces, with smaller chunks being dropped into

¹ The large quantities of Potterhanworth-type pottery present on the site mean that many of the later feature contain residual material. Pottery from (1040) suggests that it is of late 13th to 14th century date, but this would make it virtually contemporary with (1009), compressing all of the activity from the demolition of Structure 1 to the construction of wall (1044) into a period of less than 60 years (see below).



Figure 8: Area 1 - post-excavation plan showing the location of the postholes and gullies that predated the construction of the 19th century school; at a scale of 1: 50. The pairs of blue letters indicate the locations of the sections depicted in Fig. 9.

the centre, together creating a boundary c. 0.75m wide. Up to five courses survived in places, but there was no evidence of any mortar bonding; the width of the wall and its method of construction is consistent with techniques employed in drystone walling (fig. 7B). Only a 4.0m long section of (1044) survived, as its southern end and most of its eastern face had been removed during the creation of (1008). This reflects the fact that the later wall, had a slightly different alignment, running almost due north-south, while its predecessor, (1044), ran approximately 12° further to the north-west, thus paralleling the orientation of Main Road. It is likely that (1044) either reiterated an even earlier boundary, or was a new landscape division intended to close off access to the abandoned clay pit, [2008], situated immediately to the east.

A series of gullies ran parallel to (1044). The most easterly of these was [1042], which lay only 0.2m from the western face of wall (1044) (fig. 9). It was c. 0.68m wide and 0.16m deep, with a flat base and sides sloping at 45°. The fill of [1042] was a mid brownish-grey sandy silt, (1055), which contained a concentration of limestone rubble at the point where (1044) had been truncated by its successor (1008). This raised the possibility that [1042] had been filled at the same time that (1044) had been levelled. However, there was no direct physical relationship to confirm that they were contemporaneous. Pottery recovered from (1055) suggested that it was a 14th century feature, but this could reflect the presence of large quantities of residual Potterhanworth-type fabric.

A slightly smaller gully, [1052], was situated c. 1.10m to the west of [1042]. It was up to 0.4m wide and 0.15m deep, with a flattened 'U'-shaped profile. It was filled with a mid brownish-grey to orangey-brown sandy silt, (1054), which appeared to be a product of natural silting. Subsequently, this gully was redefined by another narrow linear feature, [1041], which had a slightly different alignment, and as a result it only removed the western edge of fill (1054). Gully [1041] was c. 0.5m wide and 0.2m deep and also had a 'U'-shaped profile. It could be traced for over 9.6m to the southern edge of the trench, suggesting that the other gullies, [1042] and [1052], and wall (1044) also extended this far. A concentration of pebbles along its base suggested that its fill, (1053), also resulted from natural silting. A residual sherd of $11^{\text{th}}-12^{\text{th}}$ century pottery was recovered from (1053).

A small posthole, [1043], situated a little to the west of gully [1041] also belongs to this phase of activity. It was a sub-circular feature approximately 0.2m in diameter and 0.1m deep, which was filled by mid greyish-brown sandy silt, (1056). One other feature, [1032], has also been assigned to this period. It seems to have been a pit, but this was impossible to confirm as it had been largely truncated by the construction of toilet block (1000) and manhole (1033); the surviving element was 0.80m long by 0.55m wide and 0.45m deep.

Later 13th to mid 14th century activity

Gullies [1052] and [1042] had been excavated through a stony deposit (1009), which also provided the base for wall (1044). This layer extended over all but the southeastern corner of Area 1. The large quantities of quartzite pebbles and flint gravel were contained within a matrix of mid brownish-grey sandy silt, but the stones were very



unevenly distributed indicating that they did not constitute formal metalling. However, these coarse components must have been imported onto the site suggesting that (1009) represented a rough yard surface that had been periodically augmented until it eventually attained a depth of c. 0.15m. In addition to the stones there were large quantities of cultural material within (1009). These items included animal bone, an iron staple, a piece of worked gritstone, degraded organic material and a large quantity of broken pottery. Although there were a few intrusive pieces of 18th to 19th century fabrics, the vast majority of the 677 sherds recovered from (1009) suggested that it had been laid down between the end of the 13th and the mid 14th centuries. Much of the pottery may have been introduced deliberately, helping to firm up the ground surface in much the same way as the pebbles and gravel. However, the animal bone and degraded organic material also suggests that this deposit incorporated a certain amount of domestic rubbish, and consequently, it may have been little better than a smelly, open midden.

The removal of (1009) exposed a series of discrete features that had been cut into the mid yellow clay natural, (1014). The majority of these were postholes, six or seven of which described a gentle arc running from south-east to north-west up the centre of the northern half of Area 1 (figs. 8 & 9). The most southerly of this group was [1076], a small sub-circular feature, c. 0.16m in diameter and 0.13m deep, with a 'U'-shaped profile. This posthole may have formed a pair with [1079], a comparable feature situated only 0.22m to the north. Certainly, the lower fill of both features, (1075) and (1078) respectively, was analogous, incorporating large quantities of quartzite gravel that may have been introduced as post-packing. However, any direct stratigraphic relationship between [1076] and [1079] had been removed by the creation of a third posthole, [1058], which occupied the space between them. The dimensions of [1058] were slightly larger than those of the earlier features, being c. 0.23m in diameter and 0.2m deep.

The next feature in this alignment, [1060], was situated 1.1m to the north-west of the first cluster. Only one fill, (1059), was identified, suggesting that it was a small pit, c. 1.1m long by 0.8m wide and 0.26m deep. However, it had a very irregular shape in plan, and the gradient of the edges varied around the circumference. These factors raise the possibility that the feature described as [1060] could actually represent two or more intersecting postholes. Another large posthole, [1062], lay only 0.20m to the north of [1060]. This sub-oval feature was c. 0.55m in diameter and 0.22m deep, the relatively steep sides terminating at a flat base. The fill of [1062] was a mid brownishgrey silty sand, (1061) the basal component of which included a fragment of quern stone that had almost certainly been utilised as a post pad. The stone represented approximately a quarter of the upper stone of a rotary quern (Appendix 13.5). Such items are generally recovered from Roman, Anglo-Saxon or early medieval contexts, spanning the 1st to 12th centuries AD. However, four sherds of Potterhanworth type pottery were also recovered from (1061) suggesting that the posthole had been created during the second half of the 13th century. Consequently, it would appear that the stone had either been found in an earlier context and then reused, or that the use of these items continued, to a limited extent, after the 12th century.

Two more intercutting postholes were situated at the northern end of this alignment. The earlier was [1066], a relatively large, sub-oval feature, c. 0.85m long by 0.63m wide and 0.12m deep. It was filled by mid brownish-grey sandy silt, (1065), the southwestern edge of which had been removed by the second posthole, [1064]. The latter was a smaller sub-circular feature, c. 0.35m in diameter and 0.25m deep.

Given their spatial inter-relationships, it seems likely that these features formed part of a single structure that was subject to one or two phases of repair. The initial structure is represented by [1076]/[1079], [1060], [1062] and [1066], while [1058], [1060]a? and [1064] represent the repairs. The apparent absence of a second parallel or perpendicular row of postholes implies that this structure was not a building, although it is possible that such features could have been situated outside of the trench. Consequently, it seems likely that these features contained vertical timbers supporting some kind of panel or rail fence.

A further two features in this phase were situated c. 2.6m to the east of posthole cluster [1076]/[1079]/[1058], in the area bracketed by the later gullies [1042] and [1052]. One feature was a posthole, [1072], c. 0.46m long, by 0.38m wide and 0.26m deep. It was filled by mid greyish to yellowish-brown sandy clay, (1071), within which was a brownish-grey post pipe that was 0.09m in diameter. Running eastward from [1072] was a shallow linear gully, [1074]. This latter feature was 0.37m wide and more than 0.6m long, its eastern end having been removed by [1042]. Its fill, (1073), was a mid greyish-brown sandy silt, with frequent fine white flecks; it contained a sherd of 13th to 15th century pottery. Relatively small pieces of limestone rubble had been placed along both lateral edges, but did not extend into the centre of the fill. Considered in conjunction, the characteristics of these two features suggest that [1072] contained a post that supported the western end of a fence panel, the bottom edge of which was buried to provide additional support, or to deter animals from burrowing beneath it.

6.2 Area 2

(See fig. 10)

Modern schoolyard and village hall

The tarmac yard surface, (2027), extended approximately half way across Area 2 before stopping at the former location of the western edge of the mobile classroom. Narrow strips of tarmac also ran to the north and east of the site of the temporary classroom. As with Area 1, the tarmac had been laid upon an earlier concrete surface, (2026). It seems likely that the concrete was a product of relatively recent activity, as it did not extend beneath the mobile classroom, suggesting that the latter was already in place at the time that the concrete was laid. The area that had lain beneath the classroom was covered by mid grey sandy silt, (2034).

Three modern drains were identified beneath the concrete slab. Two, (2040) and (2033), were surface water drains that had been installed to remove rainwater from the guttering affixed to the mobile classroom (fig. 11). The other, (2028), contained a ceramic sewer pipe that evidently came from the Memorial Hall situated immediately to the north of the schoolyard. The hall had been constructed in 1993, providing a firm date for the installation of this pipe. Concrete capped all three drains, and while it is possible that in each case the concrete may have represented a localised repair to the



-

yard following the installation of the pipes, these relationships appear to provide further support for the proposal that the concrete schoolyard was laid in the second half of the 20th century.

The concrete yard, (2028), had been laid upon a bed of crushed and compacted limestone, (2025), c. 0.14m thick. These limestone chippings had been deposited upon a layer of hardcore, (2024), primarily composed of crushed brick fragments. Some of the bricks had the word 'Lincoln' impressed into the frogs, and many still had hard, grey Portland cement adhering to their surfaces. This provides an indication that they were derived from a relatively modern structure.

Removal of the modern surfacing and its bedding exposed the foundation of a wall, (2016), near the centre of the southern edge of Area 2. This 'L'-shaped section wall was constructed from pieces of tabular limestone rubble that were bonded by poorly manufactured pale grey lime mortar. Pieces of 19^{th} and 20^{th} century pottery had been pressed into the wet mortar indicating that this structure had been erected during the last century. One wall was aligned from north-east to south-west, with the other running perpendicular. Examination of an Ordnance Survey map published during the 1960s indicated that the section of (2016) that was exposed is likely to have been the north-west corner of a small square annexe forming the most northerly part of the old village hall. The limestone rubble walls were set upon a layer of mid brownish-grey clayey silt, (2021), which contained a large quantity of coal fragments and several pieces of late 19^{th} to early 20^{th} century bottle glass (Appendix 13.4).

The exposed element of foundation (2016) was abutted by a mid greyish-brown sandy silt, (2035), which may have been a garden soil that surrounded the structure. Beneath (2035) was a mid pinkish-brown sandy silty clay, (2036), which appeared to be spoil produced by the creation of a nearby feature.

In the eastern and southern-eastern part of Area 2 the removal of the tarmac, (2027), and the grey sandy silt from beneath the mobile classroom, (2034), exposed a layer of mid to dark brownish-grey sandy silt, (2030). This material was fairly humic and resembled topsoil, suggesting that it had once formed the ground surface. Sealed below (2030) was a band of dark brownish-grey sandy silt, (2031), c. 0.08m thick. This layer incorporated quantities of coal and ash, and it seems likely that it was a deliberate dump deposit. As (2031) also contained a sock manufactured from man-made fibres, it is probable that it was introduced to the site in the latter part of the 20th century. Beneath (2031) was a more substantial dump deposit, (2032), a mid brownish-grey sandy silt, c. 0.2m deep.

Medieval clay extraction pit and late 19th-early 20th century ground raising

Two further substantial dump deposits were sealed by (2032). One was a mottled orangey to greyish-brown silty sand, (2037), which was up to 0.26m deep. The other, (2011), was a mid brownish-grey sandy clayey silt, c. 0.20m thick. The depth and extent of these two contexts indicated that substantial quantities of sediment had been imported onto the site. Together (2030), (2031), (2032), (2037) and (2011) had raised the ground level by approximately 1.0m, and represented in excess of 90m³ of earth.











A metalled surface, (2014)/(2041), was exposed along the western edge of Area 2 following the removal of these dump deposits and the clayey silt, (2021), upon which the northern part of the village hall had been constructed. This surface was composed of a compacted layer of sub-rounded quartzite pebbles, and was c. 0.1m thick. Among these pebbles were a few pieces of limestone rubble and sherds of medieval pottery. However, its relationships to the underlying deposits indicated that (2014) was of late 19th or 20th century construction. Along the western edge of Area 2 it abutted wall (1008)/(1010), and also sealed the fill of a north-west to south-east aligned French drain, [2019], which emerged from beneath the wall. This fill, (2020), contained pieces of medieval pottery and tile, but also incorporated fragments of 19th ceramic building materials. Elsewhere, the western edge of (2014) had been placed directly upon the upper surface of the orangey-brown clay natural, (2018). The juxtaposition of 19th or 20th century surface and a naturally occurring geological deposit indicated that all of the strata resulting from earlier activity had been removed and redeposited elsewhere.

Surface (2014) extended approximately 7.4m eastward from wall (1008). The eastern half of this metalling was not bedded upon the natural clay, (2018), but rested upon a deposit of tabular limestone rubble, (2005), in a matrix of pale grey coarse sand. As the upper surface of (2005) was level with the upper surface of the natural it was evident that the rubble must have been situated within a large feature. Examination of Area 2 indicated that as well as the strip of clay natural running along its western edge, (2018), there were small areas of comparable material, (2007), exposed at the northeastern and south-eastern corners of the trench. Together, (2007) and (2018) indicated that most of Area 2 had been occupied by an extremely large feature, [2008], that was more than 10.5m wide, from east to west, and well over 10m long. Indeed, the northern end appeared to lie somewhere beneath the southern end of [2008] was situated.

Given that [2008] was so large, it was decided to use the mechanical digger to open a sondage at the centre of the exposed portion (fig. 11). This process established that the base of the feature lay c. 1.6m below the top of the natural, (2018), which indicated that a large volume of clay that must have been removed; the total must have been well in excess of 160m³. It is therefore highly likely that [2008] originated as a quarry pit from which clay was extracted for use in the medieval pottery industry. As such, this feature would have grown incrementally and could well have supplied a number of potters for many years. It is interesting to note that this large pit was situated within 150m of the church and is thus likely to have lain within the main residential area of the village.

Flooding must have been a constant problem throughout the working life of this quarry, as the sides and base would have formed an impermeable membrane. Consequently, it is unsurprising that [2008] seems to have rapidly filled with water as soon as it ceased to be used for clay extraction. The primary fill of [2008] was a very smelly, mid to dark grey clayey silt, (2006), which had black mottles and frequent organic inclusions, including leaves and twigs. This material resulted from silting within a partially anaerobic environment, and provides a strong indication that the abandoned clay pit became a pond. It is even possible that it may have served as a

reservoir for this part of the village, given that the nearest stream, Town Side Drain/Skirry Drain, was situated more than 250m to the south. This primary fill, (2006), exceeded 0.4m in depth. Two sherds of Potterhanworth type pottery were found within it, suggesting that it had begun to form in the 13th or 14th centuries, and then continued to accumulated over the next five centuries until the deliberate backfilling of the pit/pond towards the end of the 19th century.

The nature of the deposits overlying (2006) suggests that the majority of the quarry pit was filled rapidly. The earliest dump of material exposed was a mottled mid brown to blue-grey clay, (2023), which had been tipped into the pond from its western edge. Above (2023) was a localised deposit of orangey-brown sandy clay, (2015), which contained the brass percussion cap of a 20th century shotgun cartridge marked J. PURDEY & SONS ELEY SELECTOR (Appendix 13.4). This deposit was in turn sealed by (2005), a spread of limestone rubble that had been exposed by the removal of metalled surface (2014). It was evident that (2005) had been tipped in from the southwest corner of the feature, and the volume of material suggested that it represented two or three cart loads, which may have represented the remains of a single small building. A series of smaller deposits sealed (2005). These included a dump of yellowish to orangey-brown slightly clayey coarse sand, (2004), a layer of gingery to rusty-brown coarse sandy clay, (2003), and a quantity of mottled orangey-brown to pale grey stiff clay, (2002).

At least four of these dump deposits had been tipped from the eastern edge of the redundant pit. The lowest of these, (2013) and (2010), were largely comprised of sediment, but they were sealed by (2012), which contained large quantities of limestone rubble. Again, this is likely to represent the remains of a demolished structure. The most interesting of these deposits was (2009), a mid to dark brownish-grey sandy silt, which contained large quantities of pottery, along with a mass of shell fragments and burnt limestone. The majority of this material represented sherds of Potterhanworth ware. Some of the fabric was over-fired or distorted suggesting that most of (2009) was derived from a medieval waster pit, or a similar deposit located close to the site of a kiln. Some later material had also been incorporated into this deposit, this including fragments of 17th and 18th century clay pipes and pieces of 18th-19th century glass (Appendix 13.4).

The variety exhibited by the deposits contained within [2008] suggests that they represent whatever heaps of soil could readily be found in and around the village, which is unsurprising given the size of the feature. Some of this material may have been derived from spoil heaps resulting from the construction of nearby buildings, as it is evident that there was considerable development in Potterhanworth during the 19th and early 20th centuries. It is also possible that the material that had been scraped off the surface of the natural, (2018), was also cast directly into the adjacent pond.

7.0 Interpretation and discussion

The archaeological deposits examined during the excavation predominantly relate to two broad phases of activity. The majority are associated with the construction of the village school around 1855, or its subsequent use and evolution. Beneath these 19th and 20th century materials lay other features and deposits relating to medieval and post-medieval use of the site.

Roman, medieval and post-medieval activity

The earliest material recovered were four pieces of Romano-British pottery. These sherds were associated with medieval and 19th century fabrics indicating that they were redeposited. However, it is not clear whether they had originally been discarded in this area, or if they had inadvertently been imported onto the site from another part of the settlement. Nevertheless, the presence of these artefacts provides an indication that there was Romano-British activity, and possibly settlement, somewhere within the area now occupied by the village.

The earliest features identified in Area 1 were a series of postholes, which formed five discrete clusters of intercutting features. The identification of post-pipes and the recovery of a quern fragment laid horizontally in the base of one of the features, [1062], indicated that these features represented the sub-surface remains of a post built structure, Structure 1. The spatial relationships of the individual elements also suggested that this structure had been repaired or rebuilt on one or two occasions. Pottery recovered from the fills of [1062], [1072] and [1074] suggested that Structure 1 had been built during the mid to late 13th century.

Four of these groups of features appeared to form an arc running from north-west to south-east across the northern part of Area 1. However, the orientation of this curving line of posts would differ from that of all the other linear features identified on the site. A re-examination of the relationships between these features suggests an alternative interpretation. It is possible that [1066] and [1064] represented the southern end of an alignment running from north to south, while [1072] and [1076]/[1079]/[1058] formed the western end of a perpendicular boundary, the junction between the two occurring at [1060] (fig. 12). The orientation of the north-south alignment is consistent with that of the later features, and would suggest that Structure 1 extended at least 6m from east to west and more than 3m toward the north. The lengths of these post rows are sufficiently short that it is not possible to determine whether Structure 1 was a building, such as a shed or dwelling, or the south-western corner of a boundary surrounding a paddock or yard. A gully, [1074], running eastward from posthole [1072] contained stone packing that was arranged along the edges of the feature. These characteristics implied that the posts supported a series of wooden panels, each approximately 1.5m long. Again, such panels could have served to create a stock-proof fence, or have formed the walls of a building, in which case they may have been plastered.

There were no features or deposits associated with the elements of Structure 1, as the post-holes appeared to have been cut directly into the upper surface of the clay natural.



Their fills were sealed by a mixed deposit, (1009), which appears to have been an informal medieval yard surface. This deposit contained large quantities of quartzite pebbles and broken pottery, as well as animal bone, a small fragment from a human skull and a piece of worked gritstone. The pottery recovered from this deposit suggested that it had been laid down, or accumulated during the 13th to mid 14th centuries. However, this date range can be further reduced, given that the remains of Structure 1 appear to have been created during the second half of the 13th century. Consequently, it seems likely that surface (1009) was put down and utilised during the first half of the 14th century.

Away from the earlier postholes, the material constituting (1009) lay directly upon the natural clay, a relationship indicating that any earlier deposits had been removed. It is possible that this process happened during a single event, with the soils being deliberately removed to expose the surface of the clay. Alternatively, the intensity of medieval activity could have been sufficient to completely churn up and mix the soil horizons, in which case (1009) would represent an homogenised remnant of all of the earlier deposits. The clay content of the soils could have resulted in them easily becoming waterlogged and sticky. Exposed soils would then have been prone to truncation simply as a result of people walking over them and accumulating sediment upon the soles of their shoes. Such poaching of the ground would further impair the drainage characteristics of the soils and accelerate this form of erosion. The addition of significant quantities of imported coarse materials, particularly the quartzite pebbles and pottery fragments, could be explained as an attempt to create a relatively solid surface. Firming up the ground in this way would have reduced its slipperiness, thereby easing movement across this area.

The process responsible for the truncation or homogenisation of the deposits predating (1009) also removed any distinction that may have existed between the area contained by Structure 1, and its surroundings. Consequently, this has destroyed another means of establishing whether it was a building or a boundary. However, the homogeneity of (1009) does indicate that Structure 1 ceased to exist at some point prior to, or during the formation of this makeshift surface; it is possible that (1009) was an active surface for a considerable period, during which time it is likely that it was being constantly reworked.

The earliest feature detected in Area 2 was an enormous pit, [2008], that occupied almost all of the eastern half of the trench. The northern and southern edges of this feature extended beyond the limits of the excavation, but even so it was possible to establish that this pit was at least 10.5m wide and well over 10m long (fig. 12). The edges of [2008] were exposed in four places, which allowed the position of the northern end to be extrapolated, but also suggested that a significant proportion of this feature lies to the south of the trench. Despite this limitation, it is possible to suggest that the pit was c. 12m wide and more than 15m long. The flat bottom of [2008] lay c. 1.6m below the upper surface of the natural, which indicated that a large volume of clay had been removed. Around 140m³ had being extracted from within Area 2 and the estimated minimum dimensions suggest that at least twice as much had been taken from the whole feature.

Only a relatively small section was excavated through the fills of [2008], and this process did not recover any artefacts that could provide a direct date for its creation. However, its massive dimensions, when considered along with the nature of the material removed and the recorded history of the settlement, clearly suggest that [2008] represents a clay extraction pit serving the medieval pottery industry. Its location close to the church suggests that this industry operated in the heart of the medieval settlement, rather than being sited beyond the margins of the residential area in order to minimise the pollution and risk of fire that was posed by the kilns.

One of the most conspicuous attributes of the site was the difference between the deposits situated in the eastern and western halves of the trench. Accordingly, it has proved difficult to establish relationships between the features in Area 1 and those in Area 2, even when they appear to be similar in date. As a result it has not even been possible to determine whether the two areas formed part of the same unit of land during the medieval period. Consequently, this presents a range of prospective relationships that may have existed between the earliest features identified in eastern and western halves of the site. For example, it is possible that Structure 1 predated the creation of the clay extraction pit and was swept away as a result of the establishment or expansion of the pottery industry in this area. Alternatively, Structure 1 could have existed contemporaneously with the clay quarry and represent either the remains of a building used by the people operating the pit, or part of a boundary created to prevent livestock and people straying into the workings.

The yard surface, (1009), that succeeded Structure 1 incorporated a large quantity of pottery. This suggests that it was created after the pottery industry had become well established in the immediate environs of the site. It therefore seems likely that the clay pit was in operation by the time that (1009) was laid down, or in formation. This raises the possibility that the surface was created to aid movement between the edge of the quarry and potters sheds situated along the road frontage. On the other hand, the two areas may have lain in separate plots, in which case the surface would have terminated at a boundary that was later redefined by wall (1044).

Rain and groundwater must have been a constant problem during the lifetime of the clay pit and it is therefore highly likely that once abandoned, the workings would have rapidly transformed into a large pond. This proposal is corroborated by an analysis of the primary fill, which indicated that it had formed within an aquatic environment. The organic sandy silt, (2006), contained a large quantity of twigs and small roundwood that had been preserved in the anaerobic conditions that prevail in a permanent body of water (Appendix 13.6). The bones of frogs and newts were also recovered, along with the remains of rush and water crowfoot, species that are indicative of slow moving or standing water.

Other material recovered from (2006) relates to the environment immediately around the margins of this pond. The twigs and roundwood suggest that trees grew close to and overhung the edge of the feature, while the remains of bramble and dock imply that these old workings had become an area of waste ground. The recovery of pottery, animal bone, fired earth, slag, charred barley grains and mussel shell also suggests that the pond was sometimes used as a convenient location for the dumping of waste from adjacent dwellings and workshops.





Figure 13: A - Potterhanworth in 1904, as shown on an extract from the Ordnance Survey Second Edition 6": 1 mile (1: 10,560) Sheet LXXIX.NW, of 1906; reproduced at arbitrary scale. B - Potterhanworth in the 1960s, extract from a 1:2,500 Ordnance Survey map; reproduced at arbitrary scale. C - Extract from the 1775 plan of the Lordship of Potterhanworth showing the centre of the village. The 18th century street pattern is directly comparable to its modern form making it possible to determine the location of the school, which was built 80 years later. The plan is schematic with little detail, showing only the church, a 'blob' to the north of the church and a circular feature approximately where the school is. It is possible that this circular feature could be the pond/redundant quarry pit, [2008]. D - Enlarged image of the school in 1904. E - Enlarged image of the school in the 1960s.


The pond appears to equate to a circular feature depicted on the estate map of 1775 (fig. 13C). If so, it must have formed one of the most prominent features of the village, as the only other elements of the settlement shown on the map were the church and the road system.

As with the preceding phase of activity, it is difficult to establish the relationship between the clay pit and the series of boundaries, which were created along the eastern edge of Area 1. It is possible that the earliest of these features represented a more westerly realignment of an earlier boundary that was necessitated by the continued expansion of the clay pit. Alternatively, it is also possible that all of these features were created after the quarry had become redundant, each serving to limit the extent of the waste ground and preventing children and animals from accessing the potentially lethal pool.

It is even far from clear as to whether the three gullies and wall (1044) result from four episodes of boundary definition, or reflect pairs of contemporary features (fig. 12). If each was created sequentially, then gully [1052] would have been the earliest, being dug 4.5m away from, and parallel to, the edge of the clay pit/pond. This alignment would have been almost exactly replicated by the succeeding gully, [1041]. Subsequently, gully [1042] would have been created c. 1.40m further to the east, in the process reclaiming a sliver of the wasteland fringing the pond. Later still the boundary would have been redefined by wall (1044), which would have appropriated yet another thin strip of land. However, if these features represent two successive sets of paired features, then gullies [1052] and [1042] would have defined a track c. 1.20m wide, which would have run to the rear of the properties fronting onto Main Road. After a period of time had elapsed this trackway would have been widened to c. 2.20m, its western side being formed by gully [1041], while drystone wall (1044) defined its eastern boundary.

None of the gullies were particularly wide, or deep and as a consequence they would not have formed effective boundaries by themselves. Consequently, although they may have been created as surface water drains, it is more likely that they served as linear quarries in order to form an adjacent low bank. The latter would have provided an ideal medium for setting winter hardwood cuttings that would develop into hedges (Pryor, 1998). Such hedges would have formed long-lived, stock proof boundaries, a factor that may indicate that these three gullies represent a sequence spanning several centuries; this could possibly extend from the 14th to the 18th, or 19th centuries.

19th and 20th century activity

The final boundary in the sequence created along the eastern edge of Area 1 was limestone wall (1008). This had a slightly different orientation to the preceding features, but essentially represented a rebuild of wall (1044). It was constructed during the 19th century and may already have been standing in 1855 when the area to the west was chosen as the site of the new school. If not, it was erected at this time to serve as the eastern boundary of the schoolyard. It is probable that the plot to the west of (1008) was church property, as the Reverend Anson selected the site, and the



establishment was referred to as the 'Church School' shortly after its construction (White, 1856); it therefore seems likely that Area 1 was part of the endowment made to the living at the Reformation. The land to the east of the wall, including the area now occupied by the Memorial Hall, was owned by the Christ's Hospital Endowment and had evidently been part of the secular manor that was donated to them at the beginning of the 17th century.

The Reverend Anson's building still forms the core of the present village school. Surviving plans indicate that it was a 'T'-shaped structure, with a large rectangular room 9m long by 5.5m wide, and a western annexe (fig.14). The main room was divided in half by a partition that separated the girls and boys. This arrangement indicates that education was segregated according to gender and thus provides a basis for the interpretation of contemporary features that were exposed during the excavation. Foremost among the latter were the remains of a substantial toilet block, (1000) (fig. 15). This was a sub-rectangular structure, with thick walls constructed from limestone rubble. The foundations of (1000) were comparable to the sub-surface component of the original school building. This suggests that the toilet was not merely a functional adjunct to the school, but also replicated its architectural style in order to compliment the main structures. These buildings were prominently placed at the centre of the community and would have indirectly emphasised the status and influence of the philanthropic Reverend Anson.

The toilet had been built around a wall, (1001), which divided the playground in half, thereby replicating the division seen in the main classroom. Examination of the plans of the school indicated that the boys would have used the northern half, while the girls would have occupied the area to the south. Two thirds of the toilet was situated to the north of the wall. This could provide a crude indication of the prevailing attitude to education in the mid 19th century, as it could signify that the school was attended by a higher proportion of boys than girls at this time.

The construction of a brick-built extension to the girls' toilet would appear to reflect a shift in the composition of the student body, as it was probably necessitated by an increase in the number of girls attending the school. This additional structure is likely to have been added in the late 19th century or early 20th century, during the period when boys and girls were still educated separately. It is therefore possible that it formed part of the building programme funded by Rector Bridges in 1909.

The demolition of toilet block (1000) probably occurred prior to, or shortly after the Second World War. It is likely that this was brought about by a major change in education policy, which saw pupils divided not by gender, but by age. The provision of mixed sex classrooms would have been accompanied by the removal of the wall dividing the playground. This would have left the toilet block 'floating' within the playground, which had the potential to create blind spots that would hamper supervision. Consequently, a new toilet block was constructed against the northern boundary of the schoolyard and the Victorian building was demolished.

Artefacts recovered from the fills indicate that the pond occupying the adjacent plot was filled at the end of the 19th century. All of the children would have been aware of this pool, and it may have been considered to be an unnecessary and irresistible danger;



Figure 15: Reconstruction of the floor plan of the toilet block; Victorian toilet (1000) is shown in solid black, with the later extension depicted as an outline.

it is even possible that some drama or disaster could have precipitated this event. Large quantities of material were needed to backfill the abandoned pit and the differentiated nature of the fills suggests that cart loads were collected from all over the village. At least one of these loads, (2008), appears to have been largely composed of medieval material. It may have been directly derived from an *in-situ* deposit, or could represent spoil from the foundation trenches of recently constructed buildings. The importation of material continued after the pond itself had been completely filled, which resulted in the ground surface being raised quite considerably. It is likely that this area was then allowed to settle and consolidate. Subsequently, a northerly extension to the village hall occupied the southern part of Area 2, before being demolished c. 1993.

7.1 The medieval pottery industry at Potterhanworth

The excavation at Potterhanworth School has provided a range of new information regarding the nature and form of the medieval pottery industry that was centred upon the village. Although kilns were not exposed during the excavation, the discovery of a large clay pit, [2008], indicates that the extraction of raw materials, and thus probably the production itself, was situated within, or very close to the core of the medieval settlement. Most of the medieval features exposed during the excavation appear to have been created during a relatively short period of time during the first half of the 14th century; this broadly coincides with the first documentary reference to '*Potter* Hanworth', made in 1327. It is possible that all of these features directly relate to activity within and around the quarry pit, which, given its size, could potentially have provided most of the raw material for the industry during this period.

Large quantities of the shell tempered Potterhanworth-type fabric were recovered during the excavation. Previous examination of this type of pottery had not been able to determine whether the shell inclusions were derived from living bivalves or fossiliferous stone (Healey, 1988). Examination of sherds recovered from Potterhanworth School has demonstrated that this temper represents fragments of fossil shell extracted from the Great Oolite Limestone beds outcropping along the western side of the village (Appendix 13.2 & 13.3). Quantities of burnt limestone and fragments of liberated fossil shell were found mixed with large amounts of broken Potterhanworth fabric within a deposit, (2009), that had been dumped into the redundant quarry pit (Appendix 13.6). Although undoubtedly redeposited, the volume of chalky, burnt fossil shell supports the proposal that the limestone was being intentionally scorched to extract the shell for use as temper.

Other material ceramic recovered during the excavation provided further corroborative evidence that there was a second type of pottery produced in the village. This is a harder, glazed, quartz-tempered fabric, which appears to have been utilised for the production of jugs.

8.0 Conclusions

The archaeological excavation uncovered evidence of two main phases of activity within the footprint of the extension to Potterhanworth Primary School. The earlier of these dates from the later 13th to mid 14th century and appears to be centred around a large clay extraction pit and adjacent yard. Subsequently, there appears to have been relatively little human intervention between the abandonment of the clay pit and the construction of the original school building in the mid-19th century.

Although kilns and other structures that would provide direct evidence for the nature of the medieval pottery industry were not exposed, the materials recovered during the excavation have provided further insight into the nature of pottery production at Potterhanworth.

9.0 Effectiveness of Methodology

One of the most obvious features of the excavation was the significant difference between the deposits situated in eastern and western halves of the trench. This was reflected in the recording system implemented, which considered the trench to be two discrete areas. This disparity had also been noted during the preceding evaluation, but the magnitude of the clay pit in Area 2 had led to an incorrect interpretation of the deposits encountered there (Rylatt, 2001); this highlights one of the main disadvantages of using small evaluation trenches, as there was no way of determining that the trench was situated over a feature more than 15m long, 11m wide and nearly 3m deep.

Area 1 contained a series of medieval and early modern features that were situated relatively close to the present ground surface. Most of the features were sample excavated, and the most significant deposits were removed by hand to ensure that adequate quantities of artefactual materials were recovered. This process enabled the nature of activity on the site to be determined, and also indicated that utilisation was centred upon the medieval and early modern periods, with a hiatus occurring between the 15th and 19th centuries. The recovery of a range of artefactual material, combined with the morphological attributes and relationships of the features examined, provides a basis for anticipating the depth, nature and date of deposits that may be encountered during any further development adjacent to the areas already examined.

It is concluded that the programme of fieldwork satisfied its primary objectives by providing a permanent record of the archaeological deposits that would have been disturbed or destroyed by the construction of the extension to the school building.

10.0 Site archive

The site archive for this project is in preparation and will be deposited at the Lincoln City and County Museum (physical) and the Lincolnshire Archives Office (documentary) within six months. Access to the archive may be granted by quoting the global accession number 2002.168.

11.0 Acknowledgements

-

Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln) would like to thank Hyder Business Services for commissioning this programme of archaeological investigation; in particular thanks are extended to Matthew Whitehead for his help throughout. Special thanks are also extended to Carol Smith, her staff and pupils for all the interest, assistance and information given during the course of the excavation.

The author is grateful to the members of the site team, Dave Bower, Rachael Gardiner, Wayne Livesey, Dave Marshall and Douggie Young for all their efforts and exertions. Thanks are also offered to James Rackham and Jane Young for supplying additional information used in this report. Simon Savage produced the illustrations from original drawings created by the site team.

12.0 References

Anon, 2000 St Andrew's Church, Potterhanworth. (Guide obtained from the church).

- Cameron, K. 1998 A Dictionary of Lincolnshire Place-Names. Nottingham, English Place-Name Society, Popular Series, Vol 1.
- Healey, R.H. 1974 Pottery from Potterhanworth. In Marjoram, J. (ed.) 'Archaeological notes 1973', Lincolnshire History & Archaeology, 9: 30-31.
- Healey, R.H. 1988 Specialist potters at Potter Hanworth. In Field, N. (ed.) 'Archaeology in Lincolnshire and South Humberside 1987', *Lincolnshire History & Archaeology*, 23: 85-87.
- IFA 1999 Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation (revised edition). Reading, Institute for Field Archaeologists.
- I.G.S. 1973 Lincoln, Sheet 114. Solid and drift edition. Southampton, Institute of Geological Sciences.

Kelly, 1909 Kelly's Directory of Lincolnshire.

LCC, 1998 Lincolnshire Archaeological Handbook: A Manual of Archaeological Practice. Lincoln, Built Environment Section, Lincolnshire County Council.

Morgan, P. & Thorn, C. (eds.) 1986 Domesday Book: 31 Lincolnshire. Chichester, Phillimore.

- Pevsner, N. & Harris, J. 1989 The Buildings of England: Lincolnshire (2nd Edition revised by N. Antram). London, Penguin.
- Rylatt, J.D. 2001 Archaeological Evaluation Report: Potterhanworth Primary School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire. Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln) (Unpublished report).
- Trollope, E. 1872 Sleaford and the Wapentakes of Flaxwell and Aswardhurn in the County of Lincoln. Sleaford, William Fawcett (Reprinted 1999: Heckington, Heritage Lincolnshire).

White, W. 1856 History, Gazetteer and Directory of Lincolnshire (2nd Edition). Sheffield (reprinted 1969 by David & Charles Reprints, Newton Abbot).

12.0 References

Anon, 2000 St Andrew's Church, Potterhanworth. (Guide obtained from the church).

- Cameron, K. 1998 A Dictionary of Lincolnshire Place-Names. Nottingham, English Place-Name Society, Popular Series, Vol 1.
- Healey, R.H. 1974 Pottery from Potterhanworth. In Marjoram, J. (ed.) 'Archaeological notes 1973', Lincolnshire History & Archaeology, 9: 30-31.
- Healey, R.H. 1988 Specialist potters at Potter Hanworth. In Field, N. (ed.) 'Archaeology in Lincolnshire and South Humberside 1987', *Lincolnshire History & Archaeology*, 23: 85-87.
- IFA 1999 Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation (revised edition). Reading, Institute for Field Archaeologists.
- I.G.S. 1973 *Lincoln, Sheet 114.* Solid and drift edition. Southampton, Institute of Geological Sciences.

Kelly, 1909 Kelly's Directory of Lincolnshire.

LCC, 1998 Lincolnshire Archaeological Handbook: A Manual of Archaeological Practice. Lincoln, Built Environment Section, Lincolnshire County Council.

Morgan, P. & Thorn, C. (eds.) 1986 Domesday Book: 31 Lincolnshire. Chichester, Phillimore.

- Pevsner, N. & Harris, J. 1989 The Buildings of England: Lincolnshire (2nd Edition revised by N. Antram). London, Penguin.
- Rylatt, J.D. 2001 Archaeological Evaluation Report: Potterhanworth Primary School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire. Pre-Construct Archaeology (Lincoln) (Unpublished report).
- Trollope, E. 1872 Sleaford and the Wapentakes of Flaxwell and Aswardhurn in the County of Lincoln. Sleaford, William Fawcett (Reprinted 1999: Heckington, Heritage Lincolnshire).
- White, W. 1856 History, Gazetteer and Directory of Lincolnshire (2nd Edition). Sheffield (reprinted 1969 by David & Charles Reprints, Newton Abbot).

Appendix 13.1: Colour photographs



Plate 1: General view of Area 1 following the removal of the playground surface, looking north-west. Toilet block (1000) is visible at bottom left, while its 20th century replacement is the building decorated with the mural.



Plate 2: Toilet block (1000), with wall (1001) running up the image to the left of centre, thereby dividing the girls' toilet (to the left) from the boys' toilet (to the right), looking west.



Plate 3: Toilet block (1000), looking into the septic tank from the boys' end of the structure, looking south.



Plate 4: Area 1, showing the relationship between the toilet block, (1000), and the original school building, which only extended up to the fire door at the northern end of the wall, looking west. Wall (1008) is visible bottom right.



Plate 5: Section through the gullies running down the eastern side of Area 1, with [1042] to the right and [1052]/[1041] to the left. The dark material above them is (1040) and below that is the stony medieval surface (1009), looking north.



Plate 6: General view of Area 1 following the removal of the playground surface, looking north-east. The foundation of the village hall is visible at the centre, with the sondage through the fills of the clay pit, [2008], beyond.

Appendix 13.2: Medieval pottery report

Report on the Post-Roman Pottery from an Excavation at Potterhanworth School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire (POTT02)

Jane Young

Lindsey Archaeological Services

Introduction

A total of one thousand five hundred and six sherds of pottery representing a maximum of one thousand three hundred and seven vessels were recovered from the site. The pottery ranges in date from the Roman to the early modern period. A substantial part of the assemblage consists of waste or misfired sherds in shell-tempered Potterhanworth ware (POTT02). The material was examined both visually and microscopically then recorded on an Access database using locally and nationally agreed codenames. A detailed report on the fabric of the shell-tempered ware and also that of two glazed ware fabrics that may also have been produced in the village is given separately (Dr Alan Vince). Every effort was made within the scope of the project to reassemble vessels, however the very nature of waste material makes it very difficult to be sure that sherds belong to the same vessel unless there are direct joins.

Condition

The non-kiln waste material is in variable condition with some vessels being abraded while others are in a more fresh condition. Sherd size is on the whole in the small to medium range, although a few sherds are larger. A large number of sherds recovered from the site are over-fired kiln waste and many sherds are brittle.

Overall Chronology and Source

Four Roman sherds together with a range of forty-four different post-Roman pottery types were found on the site, the type and general date range for these fabrics is shown in Table 1. Most of the material found on the site was typical of pottery recovered from previous excavations within the area although the general composition of the non-kiln waste assemblage is somewhat unusual.

Table 1: Post-Roman pottery codenames and total quantities by sherd and vessel count

codename	full name	earliest date	latest date	sherds	vessels
BERTH	Brown glazed earthenware	1550	1800	3	3
BEVO1	Beverley Orange ware Fabric 1	1100	1230	6	3
BEVO1T	Beverley Orange-type ware Fabric 1	1100	1230	1	1
BEVO2	Beverley Orange ware Fabric 2	1230	1350	4	3
BEVO2T	Beverley Orange-type ware Fabric 2	1230	1350	1	1
BL	Black-glazed wares	1550	1750	42	36
CREA	Creamware	1770	1830	9	6
DERBS	Derby Stoneware	1830	1900	6	3
ENGS	Unspecified English Stoneware	1750	1900	10	5
ENPO	English Porcelain		1000	3	2
CRE	Clozed Red Earthenware	1500	1650	1	1
GRE		1000	1550	10	28
HUM	Humberware	1200	1550	40	20
HUMB	Humber Basin fabrics	1250	1500	3	3
LEMS	Lincolnshire Early Medieval Shelly	1130	1230	1	1
LERTH	Late earthenwares	1750	1900	8	6
LFS	Linclonshire Fine-shelled ware	970	1200	4	4
LHUM	Late Humber-type ware	1550	1750	2	1
LLSW	Late Lincoln Glazed ware	1350	1500	1	1
LONS	London Stoneware	1670	1800	2	2
LSW	Lincoln Glazed Sandy Ware	970	1500	2	2
LSW1	12th century Lincoln Glazed ware	1100	1200	1	1
LSW1/2	12th-13th century Lincoln Glazed ware	1100	1300	1	1
LSW2	13th to 14th century Lincoln Glazed Ware	1200	1320	17	14
LSW2/3	13th to 15th century Lincoln Glazed Ware	1200	1450	5	4
LSW3	14th to 15th century Lincoln Glazed Ware	1280	1450	2	2
LSWA	Lincoln Glazed ware Fabric A	1100	1500	3	3
MEDLOC	Medieval local fabrics	1150	1450	2	2
MEDX	Non Local Medieval Fabrics	1150	1450	2	2
MISC	Unidentified types	400	1900	2	2
NCBW	19th-century Buff ware	1800	1900	6	6
NOTG	Nottingham glazed ware	1250	1500	8	8
NOTS	Nottingham stoneware	1690	1900	6	6
NSP	Nottingham Splashed ware	1100	1250	4	4
PEARL	Pearlware	1770	1900	2	1
POTT	Potterhanworth-type Ware	1250	1500	1170	1053
POTTG	Potterhanworth Glazed ware	1180	1500	35	30
ST	Stamford Ware	970	1200	2	2
STRE	Staffordshire redware	1630	1750	2	1
STSI	Staffordshire/Bristol slipware	1680	1800	8	7
TB	Toynton/Bolingbroko woroc	1450	1750	2	2
TGE	Tip dezed oothenword	1450	1750	2	2
TOY		1000	1/50	F	2
		1250	1450	5	3
	I ranster printed ware	1//0	1900	18	1/
WHILE	Modern whiteware	1850	1900	49	29

Saxo-Norman to Early Medieval

Only a small number of sherds (nineteen) predate the second quarter of the 13th century. Eight are locally or regionally produced jars or pitchers (including vessels from Stamford and Lincoln) whilst the other eleven vessels are jugs from Nottingham and Beverley. The fabric of the Nottingham Splashed ware vessels is the latest of the three Splashed ware fabrics found, dating from the mid/late 12th century. The four Beverley ware vessels are probably of a similar date. The Stamford ware and Lincolnshire Fine-shelled ware sherds may date to the second half of the 11th century but could equally well be of 12th century date as none of the vessels are diagnostic. The sherds are on the whole small and abraded and represent re-deposited material.

Medieval to Late Medieval

At least seventy-four vessels not associated with the Potterhanworth production can be dated to the medieval period. Surprisingly given that Potterhanworth lies about 10km to the southeast of Lincoln only about 32% are Lincoln wares. The majority of the remaining vessels are Humber or Beverley wares from north of the Humber. Eight Nottingham Glazed ware vessels were also found - an unusually high number for the size of the assemblage. Almost all the identifiable vessels are jugs. The majority of these vessels can be dated to between the 14th and late 15th centuries.

Post-medieval to Early modern

A total of one hundred and eleven vessels can be dated to the period between the 16th and mid 20th centuries. The later sherds are mainly fresh and are probably derived from nearby occupation. The material includes local and regional types including vessels from Yorkshire and the East Midlands. Form types are wide ranging and include jugs, jars, bowls and cups.

Potterhanworth Shell-tempered ware

Finds of pottery waste in the village during the mid 19th and early 20th centuries were first thought to be of Roman date (Kelly 1909). None of the material was retained and it was not until the early 1970's that work by Hilary Healey (Healey 1974) identified the pottery as a medieval shell-tempered ware subsequently named Potterhanworth ware. Several detailed descriptions of the ware and form types exist (Healey 1975, Healy 1988 and Young and Vince forthcoming), however little typological work has taken place on form and attribute typologies in the county. More than four thousand sherds recovered from excavations in the City of Lincoln were studied as part of a corpus on post-Roman pottery; despite the high residuality factor it was possible to discern some developments in rim and form typology.

Potterhanworth ware is tempered with common to abundant fossil bivalve shell up to 2mm together with moderate to common rounded quartz up to 0.4mm and sparse iron-rich grains that are visible by eye. A more detailed fabric report by Dr. Alan Vince is included separately. Sherds have a rough to slightly sandy surface texture and are fairly hard fired, with a tendency to feel brittle. Almost all sherds have a reduced dark grey core, and surface colours that range from buff through orange to a light orange or red-brown.

Almost one third of the Potterhanworth ware from this site was over-fired to such an extent that the shell-temper has begun to decompose. About another third of vessels are fired to a higher than usual temperature and these are characterised by flaking surfaces and prominent shell inclusions. Only two vessels can be described as under-fired. Completely wasted vessels, mainly defined by sherds obviously having broken during firing, are comparatively rare (244 examples).

The vessels appear to have been built up on a turntable using thick coils of clay and then finished on a turntable or slow wheel. Bases are formed on a heavily sanded surface with little trimming or finishing taking place after the initial construction. Rims show clear evidence of having been formed while the vessel is being rotated at speed, probably with a simple template. Few decorated sherds occur in this assemblage and it is limited to examples of incised or combed wavy lines, thumbing to rim edges and stabbing. Other decorative techniques used on Potterhanworth ware include occasional oblong roller-stamping similar to that used in the late Saxon period.

Vessel Form

It was possible to identify the form type of about 50% of the vessels recovered from this site. With the exception of twelve vessels all are jars or bowls.

Jars

On domestic sites in Lincoln less than 10% of identifiable forms are anything high-shouldered, jars show little other than jars. These wide-based, development in basic shape over their 300 years of production. A range of sizes from small (about I4cm high) to large (about 30cm high) is produced throughout the currency of the ware, although small cooking pots are more common from the mid 13th to the mid 14th centuries. A single lipped jar was recovered from the school site; these are a minor form usually occurring in 13th century assemblages. Analysis of the Lincoln material suggests that before the late 14th century, a wide variety of everted rim shapes is found After this date, a slightly more upright everted shape develops which can be used for dating later vessels. Of the two main groups of Potterhanworth from this site (context 1009 and 2009), the jar rims from context 2009 fall into the later category. The presence of sherds of Humberware and 14th to 15th century Lincoln Glazed ware in the context confirm the later dating of this group. The assemblage from context 1009 is earlier in character with a more extensive range of rim types present.

These jars would have primarily been used for cooking as on domestic sites they are almost always heavily sooted, although the evidence suggests that they must also have fulfilled other functions. A number of vessels found throughout the county have obviously been used for industrial purposes.

Bowls

Almost all the bowls recovered from this site are the large, wide pancheon type, either with straight or fired sides. Rim shapes are extremely varied and no pattern has been found to enable them to be used for dating purposes. On domestic sites about 50% of vessels have soot residues, suggesting that they were used either for cooking, or, considering their shape, more probably in the dairy. Sooting is also occasionally found internally and it is probable that some bowls may have been inverted and used as curfews.

Other forms

The small number of other form types found at Potterhanworth School include industrial jars, curfews, jugs, a dripping pan and a possible collar or prop. A number of probable industrial bases have been identified in the County (Healey 1988, 85-7). The vessels vary in size and all but one have a flange at or just below the rim to take an upper vessel or a lid. The two vessels found on this site are only recognisable by the presence of a flange. Part of a ring stand similar to that from the Broadgate East site (BE73) in Lincoln was recovered from the site. It is possible that the form was used as a sort of collar, similar to that used for supporting cloth over a narrow-necked container while straining curd cheese. A single triangular jug rim was recovered from an unstratified context. Only one other Potterhanworth jug rim has previously been found; this rim is inturned and is of early 13th century date. The rim found on this site however is more likely to date to the late 14th or 15th centuries.

Summary and Recommendations

The pottery recovered from this site dates between the early medieval and the early modern periods with the majority of the pottery being kiln waste and dating to between the 13th and 15th centuries. The medieval pottery not associated with kiln production is typical of material found on sites elsewhere in the County but is heavily biased towards products from north of the Humber.

The chief product of the kilns in the village is a shell-tempered ware that remains in production from the 13th to the late 15th or early 16th centuries. Shell-tempered vessels similar to the waste material found on this site are found in stratified deposits of 13th to 15th century date within the City of Lincoln and throughout the County. This ware not only constitutes the main medieval coarseware in use during this period over most of central Lincolnshire, it was also marketed to sites in Nottinghamshire and Yorkshire. The character of the two main groups of pottery recovered from this site (contexts 1009 and 2009)

suggest that they represent fairly discrete dumps of kiln waste, although they may have been deposited some time after they had initially been discarded. It is not unknown for massive waste heaps up to 3-4m deep to have built up during long-lived industries and these may only have been moved or levelled when the land they were occupying was needed for another purpose.

Finds of misfired glazed quartz-tempered jugs on the site add to the growing evidence that a long-lived glazed ware industry was also sited in the village. These products have been termed Potterhanworth Glazed ware (POTG). Glazed quartz tempered sherds have not yet been identified outside of Potterhanworth but are probably present as the consistent medieval local fabrics noted on sites south of the River Witham. The extent of this industry cannot be determined until identification of vessels takes place on domestic sites in the county.

A number of vessels have been drawn for the archive record and these should be integrated into a rim type-series for Potterhanworth ware. The pottery from this site should be included in any future programme of detailed fabric examination of the local wares and details of the excavation should be submitted to the MPP Clay Industries Survey.

References

Healey, H 1974. Pottery from Potterhanworth, in Marjoram J (ed), Archaeological Notes, 1973, *Lincolnshire Hist Archaeol* 9, 30-33

Healey, H 1988. Specialist potters at Potterhanworth, in Field N (ed), Archaeology in Lincolnshire and South Humberside 1987, *Lincolnshire Hist Archaeol* 23, 85-87

Healey, R H 1975. *Medieval and Sub-Medieval Pottery in Lincolnshire*, Unpublished MPhil thesis, Univ Nottingham

Kelly's Directory of Lincolnshire, 1909

Young, J and Vince, A.G. A Corpus of Saxon and Medieval Pottery from Lincoln forthcoming

Dating Archive POTT02

context	date	comments	total sherds
1007	early/mid 20th		51
1009	early/mid to mid 14th or 18/19th	very mixed;probable intrusive	584
1009a	13th to 14th		27
1009b	13th	intrusive early modern brick	66
1013	late 13th to 14th		5
1019	late 19th to 20th		70
1040	late 13th to 14th		26
1053	11th to 12th	single sherd	1
1055	13th to 14th		22
1061	13th to 15th		4
1067	13th to 15th	single sherd	1
1071	late 13th to 15th	single sherd	1
1073	13th to 15th	single sherd	1
1083	early/mid 20th		15
2006	13th to 15th		2
2009	19th		431
2011	18th		5
2014	late 13th to 14th		8
2015	18th		12
2016	19th		7
2020	18th to 19th		11
2021	late 19th to 20th		50
2022	early 20th		13
2044	13th to 15th		6

08 October 2002

Page 1 of 1



Pottery Archive POTT02

Jane Young

ung LIndsey Archaeological Services

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1007	BL		cup	1	1	14		base				late 17th to 18th
1007	BL		large bowl	1	1	47		rim			discarded	19th to 20th
1007	ENGS		bottle	4	2	80		BS			discarded	late 19th to 20th
1007	ENGS		jar	4	1	45		BS			discarded	late 19th to 20th
1007	ENPO		egg cup	1	1	15		base			discarded	20th
1007	HUM		jug	1	1	4		BS				
1007	HUM		jug	1	1	10		BS				
1007	HUM		large jug	1	1	33		BS				
1007	HUM		large jug	1	1	3		BS				
1007	LERTH		?	1	1	1		BS			green glaze;discarded	1900-1930
1007	LSW2		jug	1	1	2		BS			cu glaze; ? ID fabric slightly odd;? Potterhanworth	
1007	MEDLO	OX/R/OX;fine- med sandy;hard	?	1	1	3		BS			very abraded; mixed quartz	
1007	NCBW		?	1	1	13		base			discarded	19th to 20th
1007	POTT		?	1	1	8		base			waster	
1007	POTT		?	1	1	19		base			waster	
1007	POTT		?	1	1	9		base				

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1007	POTT		?	6	6	34		BS				
1007	POTT		large bowl	1	1	114		rim		draw?		
1007	POTT		large jar	1	1	24		base			soot;int dep	
1007	POTT		large jar	1	1	19		base			soot;int dep	
1007	POTT		large vessel	1	1	30		base			soot	
1007	POTT		large vessel	1	1	6		base			soot	
1007	POTT		large vessel	1	1	23		BS			very sandy fabric	
1007	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	4		BS			comm med-coarse mixed quartz occ ca;? Potterhanworth	
1007	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	0		BS			comm fine-med quartz occ larger mod fe	
1007	POTTG	В	large jug	1	1	33		base			sim to LSW but higher fe content;? Potterhanworth	
1007	TPW		plate	1	1	3		rim			discarded	1920-1940
1007	TPW		plate	2	1	123		BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1007	WHITE		dish	3	1	6		various			int yellow glaze; discarded	1920-1940
1007	WHITE		plate	1	1	123		BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1007	WHITE		small bowl	4	1	162	blue banded	BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1007	WHITE		various	3	3	24		various			discarded	19th to 20th
1009	BEVO1		?	1	1	3		BS			flake	
1009	BEVO1		jug	1	1	9		BS				
1009	BEVO1		jug	4	1	17	applied pressed &	BS				

combed strips

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	BL		jar	3	1	31		BS			abraded; ? Burnt	18th to 19th
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	7		BS			flake	
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	7		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	5		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	3		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	5		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	1		BS			flake	
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	6		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	4		BS				
1009	HUM		jug	1	1	7		BS				
1009	HUM		large jug	9	1	65		BS				
1009	HUM		large jug	3	1	30		BS				
1009	HUM		large jug	1	1	34		BS				
1009	HUM	2	jug	3	1	16		BS				
1009	HUMB		jug	1	1	7		LHJ				
1009	HUMB		large jug	1	1	22		BS				
1009	HUMB		large jug	1	1	23		base			pocked glaze	
1009	LEMS		?	1	1	4		BS			soot	
1009	LERTH		flower pot	1	1	2		BS				
1009	LFS		?	1	1	7		BS			soot	
1009	LHUM		jar	2	1	27		BS			? ID	16th to 17th

~

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description date
1009	LSW2		jug	2	1	4		BS			
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	3		BS			light firing;? ID
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	3		BS			misfired glaze?;? ID or POTTG
1009	LSW2		jug	2	1	24		BS			abraded;? ID
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	4	applied decoration	BS			
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	5		BS			
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	1		BS			
1009	LSW2		jug	1	1	5		BS			
1009	LSW2		jug ?	1	1	15		base			abraded;? ID
1009	LSW2		small jug	1	1	8		BS			
1009	NOTG	L	jug	1	1	4		BS			
1009	NOTG	L	jug	1	1	9		BS			
1009	NOTG	L	jug	1	1	18		base			
1009	NOTG	L	jug	1	1	7		rim			
1009	NOTG	L	jug	1	1	2		BS			
1009	NOTG	R	jug	1	1	18		BS			
1009	NSP	sandy		1	1	3		BS			
1009	POTT		?	1	1	28		base		Alan 14	comm coarse shell mod quartz;thumb pressing on underneath
1009	POTT		?	5	5	59		base			overfired
1009	POTT		?	1	1	23		base		Alan 13	comm coarse shell mod quartz

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	POTT		?	1	1	18		base		Alan 2	waster; abun med shell sparse quartz	
1009	POTT		?	170	170	1050		BS				
1009	POTT		?	2	2	15		base			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	41		base		Alan 12	comm coarse shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	14		BS			soot	
1009	POTT		?	5	5	78		base			waster	
1009	POTT		?	4	1	22		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		?	6	6	71		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		?	2	1	30		base			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		?	6	6	65		BS			overfired	
1009	POTT		?	28	28	192		BS			waster	
1009	POTT		?	20	20	245		base				
1009	POTT		?	1	1	23		base		Alan 33	mod coarse shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	4		handle?			strap ?	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	25		base		Alan 4	abun med shell sparse quartz	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	29		base		Alan 22	mod coarse shell comm quartz	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	41		base			walls applied into base leaving untrimmed join	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	28		base		Alan 23	mod coarse shell comm quartz	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	7		rim				
1009	POTT		?	1	1	6		rim				

Page 5 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration.	part	action	ref no	description	date	
1009	POTT		?	1	1	4		rim					
1009	POTT		?	1	1	10		base		Alan 3	abun med shell sparse quartz		
1009	POTT		bowl	2	2	33		BS					
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	35		base		Alan 11	comm coarse shell mod quartz;echonoid spine		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	16		base		Alan 32	mod coarse shell mod quartz		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	16		base		Alan 31	mod coarse shell mod quartz		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	22		BS			waster		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	26		base		Alan 1	abun med shell sparse quartz		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	12		BS			waster		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	22		base					
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	26		base					
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	11	cordon below rim	BS					
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	11		rim			sharp everted rim		
1009	POTT		bowl	3	1	50		rim			sharp everted rim		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	51		rim		DR4	sharp everted rim		
1009	POTT		bowl	2	1	43		base		Alan 21	mod coarse shell comm quartz		
1009	POTT		bowl	1	1	5		rim			upright		
1009	POTT		bowl/dish	1	1	10	incised wavy dec ?	base					
1009	POTT		bowl/dripping pa	1	1	9	incised wavy dec on body stabbed rim	rim					

Page 6 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description date
1009	POTT		bowl/jar	1	1	6		rim			sharp everted rim; overfired
1009	POTT		bowl/jar	1	1	7		rim			sharp everted rim;overfired
1009	POTT		curfew	1	1	29		base			holes c12mm diam
1009	POTT		curfew/jug	1	1	35	pressed edges	handle			strap handle
1009	POTT		jar	5	1	66		BS		Alan 26	mod coarse shell comm quartz
1009	POTT		jar	3	1	83		BS		Alan 27	mod coarse shell comm quartz
1009	POTT		jar	4	1	54		BS		Alan 25	mod coarse shell comm quartz
1009	POTT		jar	3	1	18		rim			sharp everted rim
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	14		rim			flat everted rim
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	13		rim		DR5	sharp everted rim
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	6		rim			sharp everted rim
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	11		BS		Alan 34	mod coarse shell mod quartz
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	23		base			
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	28		BS		Alan 28	mod coarse shell comm quartz
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	12		rim			hollow everted rim; overfired
1009	POTT		jar	1	1	4		rim			EVERB1 rim
1009	POTT		jug ?	1	1	17		BS			
1009	POTT		jug ?	1	1	33		base			
1009	POTT		large bowl	6	1	131		BS			soot
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	11		rim			triangular rim
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	6		rim			square rim

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	35		rim		DR8	square everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	19		rim			square everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	20		rim			square everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	31		rim			square everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	8		rim			square everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	30		rim			square everted rim;waster	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	19	incised wavy dec on rim int	rim			square rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	17		rim			sharp everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	11		rim			sharp everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	43		rim		DR6	triangular rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	4	1	33		rim			wide everted rim; overfired	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	23		rim			wide flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	23		rim			wide everted rim; overfired	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	7		rim			wide everted rim; very thin	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	27		rim			wide everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	26		rim			wide everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	33		rim		DR3	wide everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	10		rim			wide slightly hollow flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	17		rim			sharp everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	24		rim			wide slightly hollow flat everted rim	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	21		rim		DR7	slightly hollow triangular rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	20		rim			wide flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	3	1	72		rim		DR2	wide slightly hollow everted rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	9		rim			triangular rim	
1009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	21		rim			slightly hollow triangular rim	
1009	POTT		large industrial v	1	1	10		flange			applied part	
1009	POTT		large industrial v	1	1	17		flange			applied part	
1009	POTT		large jar	2	1	57		rim			wide flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large jar	2	2	65		BS			overfired	
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	26		BS		Alan 15	waster;comm coarse shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		large jar	2	1	54		BS				
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	17		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	77		base				
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	34		base				
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	48		rim		DR1	wide flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	28		rim			wide flat hollow everted rim	
1009	POTT		large jar	39	1	1239		part profile		DR9	waster	
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	27		BS			waster	
1009	POTT		large jar	7	7	116		BS				
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	37		BS			overfired	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	33		BS		Alan 16	comm coarse shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		large jar	1	1	22		BS		Alan 6	abun med shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		large jar	2	1	62		BS		Alan 17	comm coarse shell mod quartz	
1009	POTT		large jar	2	1	39		rim			wide flat everted rim	
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	9	incised wavy dec	BS				
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	32		BS		Alan 5	abun med shell mod sparse quartz	
1009	POTT		large vessel	25	25	392		BS				
1009	POTT		large vessel	3	3	67		base				
1009	POTT		large vessel	2	2	53		base			waster	
1009	POTT		large vessel	7	7	142		BS			waster	
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	13		rim				
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	7		rim				
1009	POTT		large vessel	2	1	28		BS				
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	13		base			soot	
1009	POTT		large vessel	2	1	40		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	50		BS		Alan 24	mod coarse shell comm quartz;fe rich int surface;soot ? Ext;	
1009	POTT		large vessel	2	1	49		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009	POTT		large vessel	3	3	53		BS			overfired	
1009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	28		base			overfired	
1009	POTT		med jar	11	11	138		BS				

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	POTT		small jar	2	2	15		BS			soot	
1009	POTT		small jar	1	1	8		BS				
1009	POTT		small jar	1	1	3		rim			EVERB3 rim	
1009	POTT		small vessel	1	1	6		base				
1009	POTTG	А	jug	1	1	1		BS			cracked in firing	
1009	POTTG	Α	jug	3	1	16		BS			splashed glaze	
1009	POTTG	A	jug	2	1	16		BS			slightly abbraded	
1009	POTTG	A/B	?	1	1	11		BS			? Post-firing hole	
1009	POTTG	A;light firing	?	1	1	3		BS			very abraded;underfired ?	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	13		BS			slip	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	2	1	19	thumbed basal edge	base				
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	22		base			misfired	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	20	rilled shoulder	BS			thick reduced green glaze; overfired ?	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	14		BS			misfired ?	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	65		rim			thumbed UHJ scar;thick upright rim;drawable	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	12		BS			reduced glaze	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	18		BS			misfired ?	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	2	1	61	thumb pressed basal edge	base			? ID	
1009	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	29		BS			reduced glaze	
1009	R			3	3	91		various				

08 October 2002

Page 11 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009	ST	В	jar/pitcher	1	1	5		BS				
1009	TOY		jug	3	1	50		BS			abraded	
1009a	LSWA		large jug	1	1	18		BS			thick walled	
1009a	MISC	?/OX/R;fine-med sandy;hard	?	1	1	2		BS			flake	
1009a	POTT		?	3	3	36		base				
1009a	POTT		?	8	8	48		BS			part leached & abraded	
1009a	POTT		bowl	1	1	11		rim			overfired;soot int;finer shelled	
1009a	POTT		jar	4	4	37		BS			part leached & abraded	
1009a	POTT		large bowl	1	1	136		BS			same vessel 1009b	
1009a	POTT		large bowl	1	1	13		rim				
1009a	POTT		large vessel	2	2	35		base				
1009a	POTT		large vessel	1	1	35		base			soot	
1009a	POTT		large vessel	1	1	24		rim			overfired	
1009a	POTT		largge jar	1	1	9		rim				
1009a	POTT		very large bowl	1	1	38	inc wavy line rim int	rim			similar to DR3	
1009a	POTTG	A	large jug	1	1	24		BS				
1009b	BEVO2		?	1	1	3		BS				
1009b	BEVO2		jug	2	1	10	wheat ear stamp	BS & handle				
1009b	BEVO2		jug	1	1	1		BS			flake;? ID	
1009b	LSW2		jug	2	1	11		BS			light firing	

08 October 2002

Page 12 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009Ь	LSW2/3		bowl	2	1	24		BS			int glaze	
1009b	MEDLO	reduced;fine-med sandy;hard	jug	1	1	11		rim			cuff rim with ribbed neck;? Could be another Potterhanworth type;cu glaze over white slip	
1009b	MEDX	light firing;med sandy;hard	small jug	1	1	4		BS			some fe cemented sst;could be an odd NOTGL	
1009b	POTT		?	8	8	163		BS			fe rich surfaces	
1009b	POTT		?	3	3	38		base				
1009b	POTT		?	9	9	90		BS				
1009b	POTT		?	1	1	11		BS			soot	
1009b	POTT		?	3	3	34		BS			overfired	
1009b	POTT		bowl	11	1	281		base & BS		draw ?	same vessel 1009a;waster	
1009b	POTT		bowl?	2	1	35		BS			soot ?;overfired;fe rich int surface	
1009b	POTT		jar	2	2	24		BS				
1009b	POTT		large bowl	1	1	25		BS				
1009b	POTT		large jar	1	1	38		rim		draw ?	waster	
1009b	POTT		large jar	2	1	35		rim			EVERb rim;waster	
1009b	POTT		large jar	1	1	17		BS			waster	
1009b	POTT		large jar	2	1	35		rim			EVERb rim	
1009b	POTT		large jar	1	1	20		BS			soot	
1009b	POTT		large jar	3	1	51		BS				
1009b	POTT		large vessel	1	1	39		base			fe rich surfaces	

Page 13 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1009b	POTT		large vessel	1	1	45		base			soot ?	
1009b	POTT		large vesssel	1	1	22		BS				
1009b	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	5		BS			oxid;overfired ?	
1009b	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	7		BS				
1009b	ST	A	pitcher	1	1	3		BS			? ID	
1013	HUM		jug	1	1	15		BS				
1013	POTT		?	1	1	16		base				
1013	POTT		jar	1	1	3		BS				
1013	POTT		medium jar	1	1	12		rim				
1013	TOY		jug	1	1	6		BS			pocked reduced glaze;? ID or emed	
1019	BERTH		?	1	1	6		base				18th
1019	BL		large bowl	1	1	10		rim				18th to 19th
1019	CREA		?	3	1	12		BS				late 18th to 19th
1019	CREA		dish	1	1	9		rim				late 18th to 19th
1019	GRE		large bowl	1	1	29		BS				17th to 18th
1019	HUM		jug	1	1	25		BS				
1019	LERTH		?	1	1	3		BS				18th to 20th
1019	LERTH		plant pot	2	2	30		base			discarded	19th to 20th
1019	MEDX	oxid;fine-med sandy;med hard	jug/jar	1	1	15		BS			comm fine quartz mod larger occ flint occ agg sst occ ca poorly sorted	
1019	NOTG	light firing	jug	1	1	3		BS				

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description date
1019	POTT		?	1	1	17		base			waster
1019	POTT		?	1	1	11		base			waster
1019	POTT		?	1	1	4		spout/lip?			
1019	POTT		?	2	1	8		base			
1019	POTT		?	8	8	54		BS			
1019	POTT		?	3	3	26		base			
1019	POTT		jar	1	1	7		BS			underfired
1019	POTT		jar	1	1	15	\sim	BS			waster
1019	POTT		jar	1	1	4		BS			
1019	POTT		large bowl	1	1	58		rim		draw?	
1019	POTT		large jar	4	4	40		BS			
1019	POTT		large jar	2	1	38		BS			
1019	POTT		large jar	1	1	58		rim		draw?	
1019	POTT		large jar	2	2	19		BS			overfired
1019	POTT		large jar	1	1	62		BS			
1019	POTT		large jar	1	1	50		neck			
1019	POTT		large jar	8	1	235		base			
1019	POTT		large jar	1	1	8		rim			
1019	POTT		large vessel	1	1	35		base			
1019	POTTG	В	jug	1	1	12		rim		draw	splashed glaze;upright rim;comm med- coarse sandy mod fe some coarse lumps occ-mod ca poorly sorted fabric

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
1019	TB		large jug	1	1	50		UHJ				
1019	WHITE		bowl	1	1	6	blue banded	rim			discarded	19th to 20th
1019	WHITE		bowl	1	1	5	blue banded	BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1019	WHITE		sanitary fitting	12	1	130		BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1040	HUM		jug	1	1	3		BS				
1040	LSW2		?	1	1	0		BS				
1040	LSW2		small jug	1	1	5	\sim	BS				
1040	NSP	sandy	jug	1	1	30		base			spl glaze;? ID as mod ca incl & some quite large quartz	
1040	POTT		2	4	4	45		BS				
1040	POTT		?	3	3	41		base				
1040	POTT		large bowl	1	1	12		rim				
1040	POTT		large bowl	1	1	111		rim		draw ?	waster	
1040	POTT		large bowl	1	1	46		BS			overfired	
1040	POTT		large jar	1	1	18		BS			soot;int dep	
1040	POTT		large jar	1	1	21		BS			very sandy	
1040	POTT		large jar	1	1	37		BS			waster	
1040	POTT		large jar	7	7	163		BS				
1040	POTT		large lid/curfew	2	1	119		rim		draw		÷.
1053	LFS		small jar	1	1	5		base				
1055	LFS		jar	1	1	4		BS				
	Carlo Contractor		-									
context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
---------	-------	------------	----------------	--------	---------	--------	------------	---------	--------	--------	-------------------------------	--------------
1055	POTT		?	2	1	9		base				
1055	POTT		?	10	10	32		BS				
1055	POTT		jar	1	1	11		BS			waster	
1055	POTT		jar	2	2	12		BS				
1055	POTT		large jar	1	1	50		rim		draw?		
1055	POTT		large jar	1	1	79		base			waster	
1055	POTT		medium jar	1	1	36		base			overfired	
1055	POTT		medium jar	2	1	41		base				
1055	POTT		small jar	1	1	32		base				
1061	LFS		small jar	1	1	4		BS			? Same vessel context 1053	
1061	POTT		?	1	1	3		BS				
1061	POTT		?	1	1	2		BS			very abraded	
1061	POTT		?	1	1	2		BS			very abraded	
1067	POTT		large dish/lid	1	1	18		rim		draw?	little curvature	
1071	LSW3		large jug	1	1	20		BS			reduced glaze cu specks	
1073	POTT		?	1	1	4		BS				
1083	BL		jug	1	1	15		BS				17th to 18th
1083	ENGS		bottle	1	1	16		BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1083	LERTH		bowl/strainer	3	1	18		various			green glaze;discarded;pierced	
1083	NCBW		baking bowl	1	1	26		BS			discarded	19th to 20th
1083	TPW		dish	1	1	6		rim				19th to 20th

08 October 2002

Page 17 of 29

weight decoration part description date ref no action vessels context cname sub fabric form type sherds 1920-1940 yellow glaze; discarded 9 rim 1 1 WHITE 1083 cup 19th to 20th BS discarded 1 55 1083 WHITE cup 4 19th to 20th discarded 1 21 rim 1083 WHITE saucer yellow glaze; discarded 1920-1940 WHITE 1 1 17 base 1083 saucer 19th to 20th discarded;burnt WHITE 1 1 19 base 1083 small jar overfired ?;mixed subround quartz 1 2 BS jug? 1 2006 POTTG A 19 BS TOY 1 1 2006 jug 18th to 19th ? 1 1 19 base 2006-200 BL 18th to 19th BS 15 1 1 2006-200 BL bowl vitrified 18th 1 28 base jar 1 2006-200 BL 18th to 19th 51 base 1 2006-200 BL large bowl 1 18th to 19th 235 base 2006-200 BL large bowl 1 1 18th to 19th 96 rim 2006-200 BL large bowl 1 1 18th to 19th large bowl 5 1 480 rim 2006-200 BL 18th to 19th 2006-200 BL 1 31 BS large jar 1 18th 69 BS 1 2006-200 BL large jar 1 18th 2006-200 BL 1 136 rim large jar 1 ? 37 BS 1 1 2006-200 POTT overfired; EVERB1 7 rim 2006-200 POTT jar 1 1 overfired; EVERB1 rim 1 1 29 2006-200 POTT jar overfired; EVERB1 rim 1 6 2006-200 POTT jar 1

Page 18 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2006-200	POTT		jar	_ 1	1	6		BS			overfired	
2006-200	POTT		jar	1	1	7		BS			overfired	
2006-200	STSL		large press moul	1	1	20	trailed & combed	BS				
2009	BERTH		jar	1	1	14		BS				18th to 19th
2009	BERTH		large jar	1	1	68	thumb pressed strip under rim	rim			abraded int	17th to 18th
2009	BL		jar	1	1	40		BS			? Bourne	17th to 18th
2009	BL		?	1	1	22		base				18th
2009	BL		bowl	1	1	14		BS			staffs	17th to 18th
2009	BL		chamber pot ?	1	1	16		BS				18th
2009	BL		large bowl	1	1	20		rim				17th to 18th
2009	BL		large bowl	1	1	53		rim				17th to 18th
2009	BL		large jar	1	1	169		BS				17th to 18th
2009	BL		large jar	1	1	30		rim				17th to 18th
2009	ENPO		cup	2	1	25		base				19th to 20th
2009	HUM		jug	1	1	9		BS			abraded	
2009	LSW2/3		jug	1	1	5		BS				
2009	LSW3		large biconical j	1	1	12	mid body cordon	BS			cu glaze	
2009	NOTS		various	4	4	25		various			one could be derbs	18th to 19th
2009	NSP	sandy	jug	1	1	10		BS				
2009	NSP	sandy	jug	1	1	10		base				

08 October 2002

Page 19 of 29

context	t cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2009	POTT		?	5	5	115		base			waster	
2009	POTT		?	88	88	1034		BS			high fired;discarded	
2009	POTT		?	29	29	728		base			overfired;discarded	
2009	POTT		?	70	70	849		BS			overfired;discarded	
2009	POTT		?	1	1	25		base			waster	
2009	POTT		?	3	3	78		BS			waster	
2009	POTT		?	1	1	41		base		Alan 29	soot ? Charcoal on underneath;int surface almost shell free;mod coarse shell comm quartz	
2009	POTT		?	10	10	398		base			overfired	
2009	POTT		?	1	1	8		BS			soot	
2009	POTT		?	15	15	328		base			high fired;discarded	
2009	POTT		curfew	1	1	88	stabbed	handle		DR19	strap	
2009	POTT		curfew ?	2	1	68	inc wavy dec	BS			c.15-20mm thick walls	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	18		BS			waster	
2009	POTT		jar	6	6	92		BS			overfired	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	17		BS		Alan 30	high fired;mod coarse shell comm quartz	
2009	POTT		jar	6	6	99		BS			high fired	
2009	POTT		jar	2	2	100		base			overfired	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	25		rim			EVERB1 rim	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	30		rim			EVERB1 rim	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	18		rim			square rim poss lip	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2009	POTT		jar	8	8	154		rim			waster;discarded	
2009	POTT		jar	1	1	16		BS		Alan 37	high fired;mod coarse shell mod quartz	
2009	POTT		large bowl	3	3	66		BS			wide everted rim	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	81		base			waster	
2009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	154		base		Alan 18	overfired;comm coarse shell mod quartz	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	39		BS		Alan 20	comm coarse shell mod quartz;high fired	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	98		BS		DR18		
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	80		BS		Alan 36	med coarse shell mod quartz;high fird	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	138		base			waster	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	28		BS			overfired	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	99		BS			waster	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	15		rim			overfired; wide everted rim	
2009	POTT		large bowl	3	3	118		rim			waster; discarded	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	92		rim			waster;sim to DR7	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	47		rim			waster; wide everted rim	
2009	POTT		large bowl	2	1	39		rim			waster; wide hollow everted rim	
2009	POTT		large bowl	2	2	53		rim			waster; wide hollow everted rim	
2009	POTT		large bowl	2	2	60		rim			wide everted rim;waster	
2009	POTT		large bowl	1	1	92		rim		DR17	waster; wide hollow everted rim	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	92		BS		Alan 38	high fired; mod coarse shell mod quartz	

08 October 2002

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	40		BS		Alan 39	high fired;mod coarse shell mod quartz	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	37		rim			overfired	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	77		rim		DR15		
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	20		rim			hammerhead rim	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	68		rim		DR12	overfired	
2009	POTT		large jar	2	2	86		rim			as DR12;overfired;discarded	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	42		rim		DR13	waster	
2009	POTT		large jar	3	3	85		rim			as DR13;overfired;discarded	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	58		rim		DR14	overfired	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	39		rim			thin flanged rim	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	43		BS			waster; very thick walled	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	37		BS			soot int & ext	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	42		rim			EVERA3 rim	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	21		BS			EVERB3 rim	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	18		BS			EVERB1 rim; overfired	
2009	POTT		large jar	2	2	37		BS			waster	
2009	POTT		large jar	6	6	168		BS			overfired;discarded	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	32		rim		DR9	waster	
2009	POTT		large jar	4	4	47		rim			as DR9;discarded;waster	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	22		rim			as DR9 but slightly hollow; overfired	
2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	28		rim			as DR9	

08 October 2002

Page 22 of 29

2009 POTT Iarge jar 1 1 48 rim DR10 everfined 2009 POTT Iarge jar 5 5 242 BS overfined 2009 POTT Iarge jar 1 1 112 rim DR10 DR11 2009 POTT Iarge jar 2 2 58 rim as DR11/aiscarded/waster 2009 POTT Iarge jar 5 5 217 DS0 overfined/inscarded/waster 2009 POTT Iarge vasel 6 6 384 base overfined/inscarded/waster 2009 POTT Iarge vasel 1 1 29 rim vartimisfied 2009 POTT Iarge vasel 1 1 29 rim vartimisfied 2009 POTT Iarge vasel 1 1 54 BS Alan 19 omm coarse shell mod quartz/high fired 2009 POTT Iarge vasel 5 5 111 Bs Vaster vaster/discarded 2009 POTT	context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
10001071Ingrin55242B8verfreeoverfree20001071Ingrin1011ininS11S1120001071Ingrin12238inS11S1120001071Ingrin125217B8S11S1120001071Ingrin125217B8S11S1120011071Ingrin121334BaS11S11S1120021071Ingrin1314216S1S11S11S1120031071Ingrin151536B8S1S11S11S1120041071Ingrin161536B1S11S11S11S1120051071Ingrin161536B1S11S11S11S1120041071Ingrin161662B2S11S11S11S1120051071Ingrin16161616S11S	2009	POTT	an an thus see also we have a second	large jar	1	1	48		rim		DR10	overfired	
2009 POTT Ingrin 1 12 rin PR1 PR1 2009 POTT Ingrin 2 2 58 rin PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrin 1 2 2 58 rin PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrin 1 2 2 7 BR PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrin 1 2 2 7 BR PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrines 1 2 2 PR1 PR1/HaceAdyaster PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrines 1 2 3 PR1 PR1/HaceAdyaster PR1/HaceAdyaster 2009 POTT Ingrines 1 3 9 PR1 PR1 PR1/HaceAdyaster	2009	POTT		large jar	5	5	242		BS			overfired	
2009POTImaginaryImagina	2009	POTT		large jar	1	1	112		rim			DR11	
2009 POTT Inge grange 5 5 12 B8 Inge frage Inge frage 2009 POTT Inge vessel 6 6 34 Bace Inge frage Inge frage 2009 POTT Inge vessel 1 1 20 Infector Inge frage Inge frage <t< td=""><td>2009</td><td>POTT</td><td></td><td>large jar</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>58</td><td></td><td>rim</td><td></td><td></td><td>as DR11;discarded;waster</td><td></td></t<>	2009	POTT		large jar	2	2	58		rim			as DR11;discarded;waster	
2009 POTT Iarge vessel 6 6 384 base verified,discaded 2009 POTT Iarge vessel 1 1 29 nin verified,discaded of antiparticipartext Alano Alano Alan	2009	POTT		large jar	5	5	217		BS			high fired	
2009 POTT Iarge vessel 1 1 29 rin very itilic curvature; edge cut flat or very itilic curvature; edge cut flat	2009	POTT		large vessel	6	6	384		base			overfired;discarded	
2009 POTT large vessel 1 1 54 BS Ala 19 comm coarse shell mod quartz, tipfn fried 2009 POTT large vessel 15 15 396 BS igh fried, discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 5 5 111 BS vaster 2009 POTT large vessel 5 5 111 BS vaster, discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 1 31 765 BS vaster, discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 1 1 21 BS Ala 15 igh fried, discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 1 1 21 BS Ala 15 igh fried, discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 1 1 21 BS Ala 15 igh fried, discarded 2009 POTT inge collar 1 1 20 Bas Date vaster, discarded 2009 POTT Ala jug 1 1 1 mix ingular ingular 2009 POTT A jug 1 1 1 BS ingular ingularin 2	2009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	29		rim			very little curvature;edge cut flat or vent;misfired	
2009POTTIarge vessel1515396BSIsigh freed, discarded discrept freed2009POTTIarge vessel55111BSvaster2009POTTIarge vessel8602Iarge vesselvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTIarge vessel3131765BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTIarge vessel1121BSJan 35Iarge discarded discrept freed2009POTTIarge vessel1121BSVastervaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTIarge vessel1121BSVastervaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTIng collar?1130BaseDR16vaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug1112BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug111minvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug11BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug11BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug11BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug11BSvaster, discarded discrept freed2009POTTGAjug11BS<	2009	POTT		large vessel	1	1	54		BS		Alan 19	comm coarse shell mod quartz;high fired	
2009POTTIarge vessel5511BSwaker2009POTTIarge vessel88602basewater, isocarded, isoc	2009	POTT		large vessel	15	15	396		BS			high fired;discarded	
2009POTTlarge vessel88602basewater;discarded2009POTTlarge vessel3131765BSorefined;discarded2009POTTlarge vessel1121BSAla 35Igh fred;mod coarse shell mod quartz2009POTTlipped jar2192min & lipDR10orefined;discarded quartz2009POTTring collar ?1130baseDR20incr edge cut2009POTTGAjug1112BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug111mintriangular reacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug11BSreacked infring2009POTTGAjug1 <t< td=""><td>2009</td><td>POTT</td><td></td><td>large vessel</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>111</td><td></td><td>BS</td><td></td><td></td><td>waster</td><td></td></t<>	2009	POTT		large vessel	5	5	111		BS			waster	
2009 POTT large vessel 31 31 765 BS overfired,discarded 2009 POTT large vessel 1 1 21 BS Ala 35 high fred,mod coarse shell mod quartz 2009 POTT lipped jar 2 1 92 mi& lip DR16 verfired,coarse shell mod quartz 2009 POTT ring collar ? 1 1 92 mi& lip DR16 verfired,coarse shell mod quartz 2009 POTT ring collar ? 1 1 92 mi& lip DR16 verfired,coarse shell mod quartz 2009 POTTG A ing collar ? 1 1 30 base DR20 iner edge cut iner edge cut 2009 POTTG A jug 1 1 1 mim<	2009	POTT		large vessel	8	8	602		base			waster;discarded	
2009POTTlarge vessel1121BSAlan 35high fired;mod coarse shell mod quartz2009POTTlipped jar2192rim & lipDR16overfired2009POTTGAring collar ?1130baseDR20inar edge cut2009POTTGAjug1112BScracked in firing2009POTTGAjug111rimtriangular rim2009POTTGAjug118BSinsfred ?2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11BStriangular rim2009POTTGAjug11POTTGrim2009POTTGAjug11POTTGrim2009 </td <td>2009</td> <td>POTT</td> <td></td> <td>large vessel</td> <td>31</td> <td>31</td> <td>765</td> <td></td> <td>BS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>overfired;discarded</td> <td></td>	2009	POTT		large vessel	31	31	765		BS			overfired;discarded	
2009POTTlipped jar2192rim & lipDR16overfired2009POTTGAring collar ?1130baseDR20inner edge cut2009POTTGAjug1112BScracked in firing2009POTTGAjug111rimtriangular rim2009POTTGAjug118BSinsified ?2009POTTGAjug1115BSinsified ?	2009	POTT		large vesssel	1	1	21		BS		Alan 35	high fired;mod coarse shell mod quart	Z
2009POTTring collar ?1130baseDR20inner edge cut2009POTTGAjug1112BScracked in firing2009POTTGAjug111rinntriangular rinn2009POTTGAjug118BSmisfired ?2009POTTGAjug1115BSrinn	2009	POTT		lipped jar	2	1	92		rim & lip		DR16	overfired	
2009POTTGAjug1112BScracked in firing2009POTTGAjug111rimtriangular rim2009POTTGAjug118BSmisfired ?2009POTTGAjug1115BS	2009	POTT		ring collar ?	1	1	30		base		DR20	inner edge cut	
2009POTTGAjug11rimtriangular rim2009POTTGAjug118BSmisfired ?2009POTTGAjug1115BSumport and the set of the	2009	POTTG	А	jug	1	1	12		BS			cracked in firing	
2009 POTTG A jug 1 1 8 BS misfired ? 2009 POTTG A jug 1 15 BS	2009	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	1		rim			triangular rim	
2009 POTTG A jug 1 1 15 BS	2009	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	8		BS			misfired ?	
au motified reduced glaze; overfired ?	2009	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	15		BS				
2009 POTTG B large jug 1 1 29 BS ca montair function function of the second sec	2009	POTTG	В	large jug	1	1	29		BS			cu mottled reduced glaze; overfired ?	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2009	R			1	1	69		rim				
2009	STSL		press mould dish	1	1	3	trailed	base				18th
2009	STSL		press mould dish	1	1	11	trailed & dot dec	base				18th
2009	STSL		thrown dish	2	1	15		BS			black glaze ext yellow int	18th
2009	TGE		flat	1	1	6	blue & white	base				18th
2009	WHITE		dish	1	1	35		base				19th to 20th
2011	POTT		large bowl	1	1	69		rim		draw?	waster	
2011	POTT		large jar	1	1	8		BS				
2011	POTT		large jar	1	1	2		BS				
2011	POTT		large vessel	1	1	29		base			waster	
2011	STSL		press mould dish	1	1	11		BS				
2014	HUM		jug	1	1	3		BS				
2014	LSW2/3		jug	1	1	4		base			hard fired;? ID	
2014	POTT		?	1	1	22		base			waster	
2014	POTT		?	1	1	4		base				
2014	POTT		?	1	1	6		base			underfired	
2014	POTT		?	1	1	3		base			soot	
2014	POTT		?	1	1	5		BS			overfired	
2014	POTT		?	1	1	10		base			overfired	
2015	BEVO1T		jug	1	1	25		handle			double grooved strap	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2015	BEVO2T		jug	1	1	17		base			? ID or early Humber	
2015	BL		?	1	1	4		BS				18th
2015	BL		?	1	1	7		base				18th
2015	BL		large jar	1	1	12		rim				18th
2015	BL		large jar	1	1	50		base				18th
2015	LSW2/3		jug	1	1	28	thumbed base	base				
2015	NOTS		jar ?	1	1	35	stamp dec	base				18th
2015	POTT		jar	1	1	10		rim			sharp everted rim	
2015	POTT		jar	1	1	20		BS				
2015	POTT		jar ?	1	1	13		BS				
2015	POTT		very large jar	1	1	66		base			c 20mm thick base & wall;no curvature;? Pan or ridge tile	
2016	NCBW		large bowl	1	1	26		BS				19th to 20th
2016	NOTS		bowl	1	1	11		BS				late 19th to 20th
2016	TPW		flat	1	1	9		BS				early to mid 19th
2016	WHITE		dish	2	1	29		rim				19th to 20th
2016	WHITE		dish	1	1	2		BS				19th to 20th
2016	WHITE		flat	1	1	7		base				19th to 20th
2020	BL		large bowl	1	1	79		rim				18th to 19th
2020	BL		large jar	1	1	254		base				18th to 19th
2020	LONS		bellamine ?	1	1	36		BS			same vessel context ??	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2020	LSWA	light oxid;med sandy;med hard	jug	<u> </u>	1	92		rim	? ID or PO		looks sim to NOTGE but wrong form;cu speckled glaze;2 shallow foliate pressings	
2020	POTT		?	2	1	21		BS				
2020	POTT		?	1	1	32		BS				
2020	POTT		bowl?	1	1	80		base	fabric type		waster	
2020	POTT		jar	1	1	9		rim			waster; hollow flanged	
2020	POTT		large jar	1	1	22		base			overfired	
2020	POTT		large jar	1	1	19		BS			overfired	
2021	BL		?	1	1	5		BS				18th
2021	BL		chamber ?	1	1	20		base				18th
2021	BL		dish ?	1	1	30		base				18th
2021	BL		large bowl	1	1	163		rim			discarded	18th to 19th
2021	BL		large bowl	1	1	71		rim			discarded	18th to 19th
2021	CREA		bowl	2	1	47		BS				
2021	CREA		bowl	1	1	26		rim				
2021	CREA		dish	1	1	3		rim				
2021	DERBS		various	2	2	31		various				late 19th to early 20t
2021	LONS		large bellamine	1	1	37		BS			same vessel context 2020	
2021	NCBW		various	2	2	29		various			discarded	19th to 20th
2021	PEARL		bowl	2	1	39		BS				early 19th
2021	POTT		?	1	1	2		BS				

																-				-	-	-		-	-	
à	-	N	N	N	1	1 1	-	-		-	1	_	1		1		1	1 1	_	1_	_		1		L	

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2021	POTT		?	3	1	3		BS				
2021	POTT		large jar	3	1	22		BS				
2021	POTT		large vessel	1	1	67		base				
2021	POTT		largge jar	1	1	43		shoulder				
2021	STRE		?	2	1	10	trailed	BS				
2021	STSL		press mould dish	1	1	9	trailed & moulded	rim				late 17th to 18th
2021	STSL		press mould dish	1	1	7	trailed	rim				
2021	TB		?	1	1	3		BS			int & ext glaze	
2021	TPW		various	13	13	196		various			discarded	19th to 20th
2021	WHITE		bowl	1	1	5	banded	BS			discarded	19th
2021	WHITE		various	6	6	98		various			discarded	19th to 20th
2022	BL		?	1	1	5		BS				17th to 18th
2022	BL		large jar	1	1	96		base				17th to 18th
2022	CREA		jar	1	1	8		BS				18th to 19th
2022	DERBS		spirit flagon	4	1	58		BS				19th
2022	ENGS		jam/lard pot	1	1	23		rim				20th
2022	NCBW		bowl	1	1	14	banded	BS				19th
2022	WHITE		?	1	1	3		BS				19th to 20th
2022	WHITE		dish	1	1	9		rim				19th to 20th
2022	WHITE		jar	1	1	3		rim				19th to 20th

08 October 2002

Page 27 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
2022	WHITE		saucer	1	1	3	imitation chinese painted	rim				late 18th to 19th
2044	LSW		?	1	1	1		base				
2044	LSWA		jug	1	1	3		BS			cu glaze	
2044	POTT		?	1	1	4		base				
2044	POTT		?	1	1	4		base				
2044	POTT		?	1	1	17		base				
2044	POTT		?	1	1	5		base				
area 1 u/s	MISC	OX/R;med-coarse sandy;hard	?	1	1	16		BS			very odd abraded ext surface;comm subround quartz mod fe occ ca	12th to 16th
area 1 u/s	POTT		large bowl	1	1	46		rim		draw ?	hollow everted	
area 1 u/s	POTTG	A	jug	1	1	15		neck			reduced glaze;could be llsw but looks wrong too much feldspar ?;? POTTERHANWORTH	
area 2 u/s	HUM		jar ?	1	1	32		base			abraded	
area 2 u/s	HUM		jar ?	1	1	3		BS				
area 2 u/s	HUM		jug	1	1	40		BS				
area 2 u/s	HUM		jug/jar	1	1	5		BS				
area 2 u/s	HUM		jug/jar	1	1	8		BS				
area 2 u/s	HUM		large jug	1	1	132	thumbed basal edge	base				
area 2 u/s	LLSW		large jug	1	1	48		base			not st marks; int dep	
area 2 u/s	LSW		jar ?	1	1	3		BS			int dep	
area 2 u/s	LSW1		jug	1	1	49	thumbed edges	handle			wide hollow strap	

08 October 2002

Page 28 of 29

context	cname	sub fabric	form type	sherds	vessels	weight	decoration	part	action	ref no	description	date
area 2 u/s	LSW1/2		jar ?	1	1	15		BS			? ID; int light brown pocked glaze; soot	
area 2 u/s	NOTG	early/light firing	jug ?	1	1	33		base				
area 2 u/s	POTT		?	14	14	147		various			to be returned to school	
area 2 u/s	POTT		bowl	3	3	35		various			to be returned to school	
area 2 u/s	POTT		curfew ?	1	1	48		handle		draw	strap with central thumbing	
area 2 u/s	POTT		jar	32	32	0		various			to be returned to school	
area 2 u/s	POTT		jug	1	1	91		rim & UHJ		draw	triangular rim;strap handle	
area 2 u/s	POTTG	В	jar ?	1	1	2		BS			mixed subround quartz mod fe;abraded ext;white slip;underfired ?	

08 October 2002

Tile Archive POTT02

Jane Young Lindsey Archaeological Services

context	cname	full name	frags	weight	description	date
1007	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	1	3		emod
1007	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	2	515		late 18th to 20th
1007	FLOOR	Floor tile	1	84	prob Lincoln;light firing	med to post-med
1007	PANT	Pantile	1	320	nib	late 18th to 20th
1007	PANTDISC	Pantile (discarded)	1	132		emod
1007	PANTDISC	Pantile (discarded)	3	300		late 18th to 20th
1007	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	46	reused as a counter	med to post-med
1007	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	46		med to post-med
1007	RIDDISC	Ridge tile (discarded)	1	120		late 18th to 20th
1009	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	14	light firing	med
1009	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	76	finger/thumb impression	med
1009	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	53	paw impression	med
1009	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	5	144		med
1009b	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	1	73		19th to 20th
1019	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	2	164		late 18th to 20th
1019	PANTDISC	Pantile (discarded)	2	249		late 18th to 20th
1019	PANTDISC	Pantile (discarded)	3	376		late 18th to 20th
1019	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	74		med
1019	RIDDISC	Ridge tile (discarded)	1	74		late 18th to 20th
2002-200	BRK	Brick	1	51		Roman or emod
2002-200	FIRED CLAY	fired clay	1	27	shell-tempered; ? From kiln	
2002-200	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	146	fe rich fabric	med to post-med
2009	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	25	flake	med to post-med
2011	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	71		med to post-med
2014	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	77		med to emod
2015	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	1	78		18th to 20th
2015	PNRDISC	Discarded peg, nib or ridge tile	1	3		med to post-med
2020	BRK	Brick	2	444		Roman or emod
2020	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	8	1030		18th to 20th

08 October 2002

(a)

.

.

2

Page 1 of 2

context	cname	full name	frags	weight	description	date
2020	KFURN	kiln furniture	1	24	shell-tempered	medieval
2020	PNRDISC	Discarded peg, nib or ridge tile	3	96		pmed to emod
2021	BRKDISC	Brick (discarded)	1	273		19th to 20th
2021	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	37	vitrified	med to post-med
2021	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	190	soot	post-med to emod
2021	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	279	stick imprint	post-med to emod
Area 2 u/s	PNR	Peg, nib or ridge tile	1	120	corner	med to post-med

08 October 2002

Page 2 of 2

Appendix 13.3: The source of raw materials used in glazed ware production

The source of the raw materials used in the glazed ware production at Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire

Alan Vince

Although the production of unglazed, handmade, shell-tempered pottery at Potterhanworth in Lincolnshire is well-known the existence of a glazed ware industry has only recently been established for certain. This followes the discovery of definite wasters in 1999 (PRB99) and 2000 (PBRA00) during fieldwork by APS. Subsequent work by Pre-Construct Archaeology Lincolnshire recovered further evidence for glazed ware production (Site Code POTT02).

Sherds from both sites were submitted for examination to see whether it was possible without recourse to scientific examination to establish the materials which were used to make these glazed wares. Further aims of this study were, firstly, to compare the raw materials used in the different collections, since a study of the manufacturing techniques and forms has shown that they represent different periods of production and, secondly, to establish whether there are any clear differences between the Potterhanworth glazed ware and that produced at Lincoln.

Description

PBR99 and PBR00

Twenty-two sherds, mainly from PBR99 context 043, were examined under x20 magnification and the major and minor inclusions noted, together with a note of the character of the groundmass.

The fabric is tempered with a medium-grained quartzose sand, with grains up to 1.0mm across. In addition, sparse heat-altered calcareous inclusions and angular fragments of iron-rich material are present. The shape of the calcareous inclusions suggests that they are probably fossil shell although all structure has gone as a result of the high firing temperature. Some of these inclusions are rounded and may have been limestone rather than shell. The iron-rich nodules appear red and earthy in texture in lower-fired samples but can be black or completed heat-altered in higher-fired pieces. In some cases only a void with a microcrystalline black lining remain. The quartzose sand consists of rounded grains of quartz, some of which have a milky appearance, ranging up to 1.0mm across. Fine-grained sandstone fragments are tentatively identified but require thin-section analysis to confirm the identification. Rounded fragments of chert, some almost black, were noted in some sherds as was a single fragment of angular flint, 3mm across.

The clay matrix is clean and laminae are visible in the broken sections. These were presumably produced during the wedging of the clay and are unlikely to reflect the stratification of the parent clay. However, for them to develop in the first place indicates that fine-grained inclusions (which would not be visible by eye) are rare.

AVAC Report 2002/59

Ľ

The fine-textured clay matrix is typical of local Jurassic clays, such as the Oxford clay and the Lias clays. In the Lincoln area there are no obvious visual differences between these clays although sometimes iron-rich faecal pellets of silt size are seen in thin-section. The sand is coarse than the windblown sands which can be found on the flanks of the Jurassic scarp and the Witham Gap and in pockets on the hill top (these tend to have few grains larger than 0.5mm across) but are typical of the river terrace sands found in the Trent and Witham valleys. The presence of fine-grained sandstones and cherts are typical of both deposits. Grains derived from lower Cretaceous deposits ('Greensand quartz') are absent from definite examples of this ware (although they were present in a single sherd, which contained no shell fragments and is likely to be a Toynton All Saints product). Similarly, there are no definite grains of lower Carboniferous sandstone or the distinctive overgrown quartz grains of which it is composed. Both the Greensand quartz and Millstone Grit-derived sand grains occur in sands in the Ancholme valley and the dip slope of the Jurassic scarp and are probably an indication of fluvio-glacial deposits derived from the north. Given the condition of the calcareous inclusions it is difficult to guess at their identity and origin. It is tempting to see the shell as being accidental contamination from the clay or shell temper used to make the Potterhanworth shelly ware. However, some of the grains are definitely rounded.

Shell-tempered clay from POTT02

Two fragments of shell-tempered clay were examined. They contain abundant shell fragments but sparse quartzose sand and angular red iron-rich nodules similar in character to those in the PBR99 glazed ware occur.

Previous find from Potterhanworth School (in LAS Type Series)

A sherd of a splash-glazed jug found at Potterhanworth School and now in the LAS fabric type series contained the same range of inclusions as the 1999 finds:

- Rounded quartz, including milky grains up to 0.5mm across.
- Rounded chert up to 0.5mm across.
- Angular heat-altered shell fragments up to 3.0mm across
- Angular red iron-rich inclusions up to 5.0mm across.

POTT02 Tile

A fragment of tile from context 1007 is extremely worn on the upper surface and has a knife-trimmed edge. It is likely, therefore, to have been manufactured and used as a floor tile, despite the fact that in its present state it is only 14mm thick.

The fabric contains moderate rounded quartzose sand, similar in character to that in the 1999 glazed ware. However, not only is shell absent but the groundmass is variegated with light-coloured streaks

AVAC Report 2002/59

and rounded fragments of micaceous red-firing laminated shale or mudstone occur throughout the body. These latter traits are typical of products of the Lincoln tile industry, which from the later 12th century was based in the High Street in Wigford and exploited clay and probably sand dug from the hillside in the South Common.

POTT02 Fabric A

Three sherds classified as Fabric A by J Young from context 2009 and eight sherds from context 1009 were studied. Those from 2009 were over-fired but contained a similar range of inclusions to those from the 1999 excavations:

- Rounded quartz, including milky grains, up to 0.5mm across.
- Rounded chert up to 0.5mm across.
- Rounded fine-grained sandstone up to 0.5mm across.
- Angular heat-altered shell fragments up to 3.0mm across
- Angular red iron-rich inclusions up to 5.0mm across (mostly vitrified).

One of these sherds was decorated with applied white clay strips. This white clay was inclusionless.

The sherds from 1009 were lower fired and included one piece with characteristics of Lincoln glazed ware. The remainder had the same range of inclusions as those from context 2009.

POTT02 Fabric B

A sherd classified as Fabric B by J Young from context 2009 was studied. It was overfired but contained a higher quantity of iron-rich inclusions and little or no shell, in contrast to the POTTG Fabric A samples. A group of 12 sherds from context 1009 could be divided into two sub-groups. Seven sherds contained a similar range of inclusions as that from context 2009 and were all relatively high-fired. Some of the iron-rich inclusions were tabular. Four sherds, however, had a lower firing temperature and alongside some iron-rich inclusions were rounded laminated shale or mudstone fragments. This sub-group has a similar appearance to the floor tile fabric.

Conclusions

There are no differences in character visible by eye or under x20 magnification between the quartzose sands used in any of these vessels. Those samples which contain laminated shale/mudstone may be Lincoln products rather than made at Potterhanworth and there are no overfired examples of this group (which is represented by the floor tile and four 'Fabric B' sherds from POTT02 Context 1009. One sherd from the 1999 excavation is likely to be a Toynton All Saints product. The remainder are probably Potterhanworth glazed ware, POTTG. This ware can be divided into two sub-fabrics, A and

AVAC Report 2002/59

B. Sub-fabric A contains sparse shell and sparse iron-rich inclusions alongside the quartzose sand which is common to all of these samples, and to Lincoln glazed wares. Sub-fabric B contains moderate to abundant iron, some of which is tabular, and no surviving shell or recognised voids where shell was originally present. This sub-fabric is identified as a Potterhanworth product mainly on the grounds that sherds were present which appear to have been fired at higher temperatures than the typical medieval pottery of central Lincolnshire.

THE STONE, METAL GLASS AND TOBBACCO PIPE FINDS FROM POTTERHANWORTH PRIMARY SCHOOL EXCAVATION (POTT02).

Introduction.

The original school was built in c. 1850. An area close to the school was excavated in advance of the building of an extension. It was anticipated that medieval pottery kilns would be found but the main feature was a large clay extraction pit and various buildings associated with earlier phases of the school. The Victorian toilet pit (fill 1007), which was probably replaced c. 1920s or 1930s, was only partially excavated for safety reasons.

Catalogue of the finds.

The range of material is detailed in the table. All the material is in good condition and present no long-term storage problems.

Context	Material	Description	Count	Wt	Context
TTereteret	01	04 4/6422	2 (1:-1-)	(g)	Date
Area 1	Clay pipe	Stems, bore 4/64	2(link)	/	19 century
1700	Clay pipe	Bowl fragment, spur in form of an acorn, bore 4/64". This type of decorative moulding is known at Lincoln and was probably made there, though it does occur at other locations. This piece dates to the period 1850-80 (Mann 1977, 35, no. 206).	1	2	19 th -20 th century
	Clay pipe	Stems, bore 4/64", 19 th century.	3 (2 link)	9	
	Copper alloy	Compass or divider legs, late $19^{th} - 20^{th}$ century.	1		
	Iron	Nail? Length 85mm. Minerally-preseved wood with grain at right angles to the shank.	1	10	
	Glass	Base of cut glass tumbler (fluted column in form), much iridescence, 19 th century.	1	109	
	Glass	Pale green ?rectangular bottle, 19th century.	1	11	
	Glass	Window glass: 1 square quarry, c. 51mm square; 1 'house-shaped' quarry, 72mm wide, 62mm high; 2 elongated octagonal sheets, 1 complete 165mm x 122mm, 1 broken; 2 rims of very large round sheet with fire- rounded edge; 19 th -20 th century.	6	199	
	Lead and glass	Glazed window cames; 3 with square quarries approx. 55mm square; 3 with triangular quarries, 1 c. 50mm x 25mm, 2 c. 70mm x 35mm; 19 th -20 th century. The lead cames were either produced in a crimping machine, or cast so that the glazing bed of the came is covered with transverse grooves. This suggests a very late, 19 th -20 th century date, for the pieces and this is supported by the state of the lead which is minimally corroded at most. The square quarries were probably set on point in the form of diamonds, and the triangular pieces infilled the edges between adjacent diamond quarries at the window edge.	6	260	5
	Slate	Offcut? 1 sawn edge the other is chamfered with a rounded end. Used as a pencil? 102mm x 16mm x 5mm.	1	22	
	Slate	School slates (a subsample of c . 50-60 found – the rest were given to the school). 5 made from reused tiles, the remaining 4 are finer and were purpose made with chamfered edges that would have fitted a wooden frame. For a more detailed record see catalogue below (each slate has been given a letter to differentiate them).	9		

Finds and Metalworking Research

Context	Material	Description	Count	Wt	Context
1000	Iron	Staple with thick arms (14mm) Height 48mm width	1	(g) 30	Date
1009	11011	35mm.	1	57	
	Ironpan	Natural concretion – discard.	9	997	
	Stone	Roughly rectangular-sectioned coal measures sandstone or	1	381	1
		fine gritstone (identification by John Aram), 74mm wide,			
		41mm thick, surviving length 64mm. Appears to be			
		chamtered on upper edge. Flat faces smoothed /pollshed,			
		for paying or, possibly, as a whetstone, though the block is			
		moderately large for such a function. The piece is reddened			
		at its surfaces, probably due to burning which would have			
		caused iron within the stone to migrate to the outer			
1010		surfaces.	0 (1: 1)	50	T toth
1019	Glass	Part of pale green Codd bottle that bears the moulded	3 (link)	50	Late 19 th
		Al			century
		& cſ			
		Codd bottles were patented by Hiram Codd in 1872 and			
		continued in use until the 1940s (Fletcher 1976, 148).			
	Glass	Green bottle fragment, 19 th -early 20 th century	1	11	
	Glass	Colourless vessel, jar? Late 19th-early 20th century	1	5	
	Glass	Green jar lid, moulded embossed trademark details:	1	92	
		ISTLEFORD & LONDOL			
		IKEL The main line of this is almost certainly 'Castleford &			
		London' and is possibly part of the trademark of United			
		Glass Containers Ltd, who had factories in both locations			
		(Ashurst 1990, 65). 19^{th} – early 20^{th} century.			1
	Iron	Piece of sheet, probably plated. 75 x 40 x 1.5mm. Plaque?	1	56	
1040	Ironpan	Natural concretion – discard.	1	65	
1061	Coal	Sample <4>.	8	1	
2006	Slag	Sample <2>. Very dense hearth bottom fragment? By-	1	26	
2000		product of iron smithing.	1	12	10th 10th
2009	Clay pipe	Stem, bore 5/64", 18" century.		3	18 - 19 century
	Clay pipe	Stem, bore, 8/64", 1/" century.		4	Century
	Glass	Sample $< 1^{2}$. Pale green window glass, of various thickness $18^{th} - 10^{th}$ century	3	2	
	Glass	Sample $<1>$ Olive green vessel fragment 18^{th} 10^{th}	1	<1	-
	Glass	century.		~1	
	Coal	Sample <1>.	28	1	1
	Fired clay	Sample <1>. All oxidised and made from a range of	16	28	1
		fabrics, some surfaces.			
2015	Glass	Dark olive green bottle.	1	10	20 th
See Bee	Copper	Sons ELEY SELECTOR	1		century
2020	Iron	Bolt with large rectangular head and shaft Head 43 v	1	67	
		30mm, height 32mm.	1		
	Stone	Fossiliferous limestone, slightly worn by water? Contains	1	695	1
		hollow caused by probable loss of a fossil shell or similar.			
		Natural.			

Finds and Metalworking Research

Context	Material	Description	Count	Wt	Context
				(g)	Date
2021	Glass	Oval bottle base, pale blue-green, 19th-early 20th century.	1	12	19 th –
	Glass	Bottle neck, pale green, 19 th century.	1	6	early 20 th
	Glass	Embossed yellow rectangular? bottle, late 19 th -early 20 th century.	1	4	century
	Glass	Green bottle, 19 th -early 20 th century.	1	3	
	Glass	Pale blue flattened bottle 19th-early 20th century.	1	33	
	Glass	Neck and upper body of pale green rectangular bottle with applied neck; cork stoppered, late 19 th -20 th century.	1	21	
	Glass	Bottle neck, green, much iridescence, 18th century.	1	10	
	Iron	Nail, length 70mm.	1	9	
2022	Glass	Flattened blue bottle, 19th-early 20th century.	2	20	19 th –
	Glass	Embossed pale green bottle, 19th-early 20th century.	1	7	early 20 th
	Iron	Complete bolt with oval head, length 82mm.	1	67	century
	Iron	Nail with small circular head and long thin shaft, length 100mm.	1	8	
	Iron	Strip with a single perforation, 162 x 26 x 1.5 mm.	1	32	

Catalogue of the school slates.

Surviving dimensions given. These are a sample of the larger and better preserved slates; many of the pieces found were small and fragmented (pers. comm. J Rylatt).

Context 1007, A

Size: Height 26mm, width18mm (complete), 4mm thick. Weight 436g.

Slate: 2 pieces of reused tile that join in the middle, 2 perforations.

Sides: 3 sawn.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): One side lightly scored in a grid with $\frac{1}{4}$ " spacing, some double and mis-scored lines. Reverse only horizontal lines and this side is much more irregular with many more mis-scored lines that roughly alternate at $\frac{1}{2}$ " and $\frac{5}{8}$ " - $\frac{3}{4}$ " apart. The $\frac{1}{2}$ " demarked space seems to have been most used because there are frequent faint scratches suggestive of a sloping hand. Within the wider lines fainter lines may demark a $\frac{1}{4}$ " band, perhaps a later addition.

Comments: M etched and scored into the top band between and to the right of the 2 perforations.

Context 1007, B

Size: Height 174mm, width 132mm (complete), 4mm thick. Weight 241g.

Slate: 2 pieces of reused tile that join in the middle, 2 perforations.

Sides: 3 sawn.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): Neat scored horizontal lines with alternative spacings of 3/8 and 11/16 sometimes nearly 3/4. On the reverse the lines are almost equidistant ranging around 5/8 apart.

Context 1007, C

Size: Height 100mm, width 88mm,5mm thick. Weight 88g.

Slate: Reused tile, central fragment.

Sides: 1 sawn side.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): The lines are scored at spacings of $\frac{1}{2}$ " and $\frac{3}{8}$ " with a partial line running from the edge but only for a short distance across the tile at $\frac{3}{16}$ " in the $\frac{3}{8}$ " gap. Most lines are double scored. The back appears to be blank with just the occasional random scratches.

Context 1007, D Size: Height 68mm, width 182mm (complete), 5.5mm thick. Weight 181g. Slate: The base of a reused tile. Sides: 3 sawn. Finds and Metalworking Research

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): One side is lightly scored with a grid; vertical and horizontal line spacing $\frac{5}{16}$ ". Some lines are double scored. The scoring on the reverse is more irregular and follows a sequence of $\frac{1}{2}$ ", $\frac{3}{4}$ ", $\frac{1}{2}$ " on the left side with the lines moving upwards towards the right.

Context 1007, E

Size: Height 81mm, width 164mm (complete), 4mm thick. Weight 121g.

Slate: The base of a reused tile.

Sides: 3 sawn.

]

ue

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): There are only horizontal lines on both sides. Tidy well scored lines with a spacing of *c*. $\frac{3}{8}$ " - $\frac{5}{16}$ " then $\frac{11}{16}$ ". The reverse is lightly scored and some are double scored, spacing of alternating lines at $\frac{3}{16}$ " then $\frac{7}{16}$ ".

Context 1007, F

Size: Height 147mm, width 96mm, 3mm thick. Weight 94g

Slate: Good quality thin slate, highly polished.

Sides: 1 chamfered edge, grozed.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): Very tidy deep lines – no double scoring. $\frac{7}{8}$ margin then from the base (or top) upwards $\frac{3}{8}$, $\frac{3}{16}$, $\frac{3}{16}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and this sequence is then repeated. The reverse is highly polished and is not scratched so perhaps it was also covered by the wooden frame. No scratches on the scored side so perhaps this tile was unused.

Comments: Professionally made?

Context 1007, G

Size: Height 149mm, width 87mm, 3mm thick. Weight 94g.

Slate: Average.

Sides: 1 chamfered edge.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): Deeply scored lines – some double. $\frac{3}{4}$ " margin then 2 horizontal lines with a $\frac{3}{16}$ " spacing between wider lines spaced at $\frac{3}{8}$ " – $\frac{1}{2}$ ". On the reverse are vertical lines with an even regular spacing of $\frac{7}{8}$ " (all singles).

Context 1007, H

Size: Height 100mm, width 106mm, 2.5mm thick. Weight 73g

Slate: Average.

Sides: Corner with two chamfered edges, both grozed.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): Some deeply scored lines – many double, with more lightly scored examples in between. Sequence from top/base measured at edge: $\frac{5}{8}$ (light), $\frac{1}{2}$ (l), $\frac{1}{4}$ (d), $\frac{9}{16}$ (l), $\frac{1}{8}$ (d), $\frac{3}{8}$ (d), $\frac{1}{4}$ (l), $\frac{7}{8}$ (l). On the reverse the spacing of the lines is equally irregular although most are deeply scored. The spacing is generally $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{3}{16}$, or $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{3}{8}$ but there is no coherent sequence or pattern.

Context 1007, I

Size: Height 128mm, width 48mm, 4mm thick. Weight 68g

Slate: Average.

Sides: Corner with two chamfered edges.

Scoring (quality and spacing of lines): Lines irregularly scored in a grid but with frequent double and mis-scoring. It is probably meant to be a $\frac{1}{4}$ " grid. The horizontal lines on the reverse alternatively spaced at $\frac{1}{2}$ " and $\frac{3}{4}$ " with a few additional faint lines in between.

Discussion.

]

The great majority of the artefacts are 19th-early 20th century in date and reflect activity of that period at the site. Of particular note is the window glass and cames that indicate the presence of a building (presumably the school) in the late 19th century that could afford leaded lights at the site. The few earlier artefacts, two clay pipe stems of 17th and 18th century date, and a fragment of an 18th century glass bottle, are all redeposited with later artefacts. All of the clay pipe fragments were probably made in Lincoln or the proximity.

The school slates from the site are generally hand-made, many from reused roof slates, although the F example may have been professionally made. The pieces with a chamfered edge would have had a wooden frame and many extant examples have a slate pencil suspended from a hole in the bottom right-hand side corner. The reused tiles are thicker and therefore heavier than the framed slates. The majority have lines scored onto both sides, with either a combination of a grid on one side with horizontal lines on the reverse or horizontal lines on both sides (only one has a combination of horizontal on one side and vertical on the other). The combination of the spacings of these lines is very varied, although it is probable that in all of the gridded examples a grid size of $\frac{1}{4}$ was sought.

Recommendations.

The finds from this site should be deposited in the Lincolnshire Life Museum because of their late date. It is quite possible that they should wish to disperse them because many more complete examples of these finds are, no doubt, included amongst their collections. The school slates and window glass could, however, be retained and incorporated into a teaching collection. Slate A may warrant displaying because traces of the writing are visible on one side.

Bibliography.

Ashurst, D,. 1990 The History of South Yorkshire Glass

Fletcher, E., 1976 Antique Bottles in Colour

Mann, J. E., 1977 *Clay Tobacco Pipes from Excavations in Lincoln 1970-74*, Lincoln Archaeological Trust Monograph Series Vol. **XV-1** (Council for British Archaeology)

Jane Cowgill and Gary Taylor© July 2002

Appendix 13.5: Report on stone quern

Assessment of stone quern from Potterhanworth School, Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire (POTT02)

Alan Vince

A fragment of a stone quern found re-used as a packing stone in a posthole during excavations at Potterhanworth School was submitted for identification and assessment.

Petrology

The quern was made from a hard and noticeably dense sandstone. Under x20 magnification the stone was seen to consist of illsorted rounded quartz grains, none of which were either water-worn or windpitted (characteristics of the Spilsby Sandstone and Permian sandstone respectively). The largest grains were up to 2.0mm across but most were less than 1.0mm. The grains were mainly cemented with silica but patches of calcareous cement survived on the upper side of the stone as well as pock marks interpreted as areas where calcareous cement had leached away. The stone was probably cut from a single bed of sandstone, at least 100mm thick and there were no signs of bedding or other structure within the stone.

The stone was examined visually by Peter Hill and John Aram, neither of whom recognised it. Therefore, the stone is unlikely to have been a local quarried sandstone. This leaves two possibilities: either it was constructed from a boulder found within a local till deposit or it was made outside of the region and imported to central Lincolnshire. Given that it is likely that the lower stone of the quern would have had to be made from the same sandstone, so as to ensure that wear on the pair of stones was equal, the latter option is more likely.

It is possible that the identify of the rock could be established by submitting a sample to Dr D Williams at the Lithics Laboratory at the University of Southampton, or by submission to the British Geological Survey at Keyworth, Nottinghamshire.

It is possible that diagnostic details of the petrology might be revealed by thin-section, but without access to the comparative material held in Southampton or Keyworth a thin-section alone is unlikely to provenance the quern.

Description

The stone forms about a quarter of the upper stone from a rotary quern. A circular hole in the upper surface of the stone indicates the point at which a handle was inserted in order to rotate the stone. The outer edge has been roughly pecked to shape and the lower face is lightly pecked with some areas of polish, showing that the quern had been used. The upper surface shows few signs of working and might be an unworked stone face. Alternatively, it is possible that the upper face has been eroded since manufacture, since it seems to have coincided with an area of calcareous cement.

AVAC 08/10/02

Assessment

Rotary querns were introduced in the early Roman period, overlapping for the first two centuries AD with beehive querns. They were then the sole quern type in use until the widespread use of mills in the 11th century. After this time querns were used mainly for specialist grinding rather than production of wheat flour. It is unlikely, therefore, that this quern dates to the same period as the pottery production, evidence for which was found on the same site. However, sherds of Potterhanworth ware were found in the backfill of the posthole, and timber structures with earth-fast posts are not thought to have life-spans of much more than 20-30 years.

It is possible that the stone was a reused piece of a quern of Roman, Anglo-Saxon or early medieval date but it is also possible that hand-grinding of flour was more common on rural settlements than it was in medieval towns, where almost all rotary quern finds appear to be of 11th/12th-century or earlier date.

Without a better archaeological context (ie independent evidence for the construction date of the timber structure, and some idea of its function) there is little further that can be said about this object. However, it would be worthwhile conducting a survey of quern finds on rural sites in Lincolnshire to compare with that undertaken on the finds from the city of Lincoln. As part of such a survey a catalogue entry for this stone should be made. This would include illustration, the production of a thin-section and consultation with Southampton and Keyworth.

Acknowledgements

Peter Hill and John Aram kindly examined the stone and Tom Cadbury allowed me to compare with the collection held by Lincoln City and County Museum.

Appendix 13.6: Environmental archaeology report

09/10/02

The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy – EAC 29/02

Potterhanworth Primary School – POTT02

Environmental Archaeology Assessment

Introduction

Excavations conducted by Pre-Construct Archaeology at Potterhanworth Primary School uncovered features largely of post-medieval date, but with redeposited medieval material in some contexts. Soil samples were collected from three deposits for environmental analysis (Table 1) and during the course of the excavation a small collection of animal bone was recovered by hand.

site	sample	context	volume	description	date
			in l.		
POTT02	1	2009	20	Medieval pot rich fill of clay pit 2008	19/20 th C
POTT02	2	2006	16	Primary fill of clay pit 2008	19/20 th C
POTT02	4	1061	6	Fill of post-hole 1062	undated

Table 1: Samples submitted for environmental assessment

Methods

The soil samples were processed in the following manner. Sample volume and weight was measured prior to processing. The samples were washed in a 'Siraf' tank (Williams 1973) using a flotation sieve with a 0.5mm mesh and an internal wet-sieve of 1mm mesh for the residue. Both residue and flot were dried, except for sample 2 which was waterlogged, and the residues subsequently re-floated to ensure the efficient recovery of charred material and mollusc shells. The dry volume of the flots was measured, and the volume and weight of the residue recorded. The waterlogged sample was washed over to recover the majority of the organics which were kept wet, and the residue was then dried.

The residues were sorted by eye, and environmental and archaeological finds picked out, noted on the assessment sheet and bagged independently. A magnet was run through each residue in order to recover magnetised material such as hammerscale and prill. The residues were then discarded. The flot of each sample was studied under a low power binocular microscope (up to x30). The presence of environmental finds (ie snails, charcoal, carbonised seeds, bones etc) was noted and their abundance and species diversity recorded on the assessment sheet. The float was then bagged. The flot and finds from the sorted residue constitute the material archive of the samples.

The individual components of the samples were then preliminarily identified and the results are summarised below in Tables 2-4.

Results

Context 1061, post-hole 1062

A small sample was collected from this undated post-hole. The residue was composed of coarse sand and small and medium pebble gravel with occasional limestone. The recovery of twelve small sherds of pottery may permit the dating of this feature. Other archaeological finds included small quantities of animal bone, marine shell, cinder (?) and coal, and a single flake of hammerscale.

The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy - EAC 29/02

09/10/02

sample no.	cont. < no.	vol in 1.	residue vol in ml.	pot *	slag g.	fired earth g	ham'r scale \$	coal g.	glass \$	bone g.	marine shell g.	comment
1	2009	20	5750	199/ 479		30	16	1	6	44	1	
2	2006	16	2000	9/4	27	<1	1			6	<1	
4	1061	6	1250	12/4			1	<1		3	<1	Cinder?

 Table 2: Finds from the samples

(* sherd count/weight; # sorted from >7mm only; \$ - number of finds

The flot included charcoal, charred cereal grain and weed seeds and terrestrial snails shells. Barley and wheat are recorded, with probable oat, grass (Poaceae) and a charred hazelnut shell fragment (Table 3). The snails included shells of the burrwoing blind snail *Cecilioides acicula*, and shells of *Trichia hispida* and *Vallonia excentrica*. The latter typical of open country grassland habitats.

Table 3: Environmental finds from the samples

samp no.	cont. no.	flot vol	char coal	char'd grain	char'd seed *	water l'ged	egg- shell	snail */#	
		(ml)	*	*		seed	wt. g		
1	2009	10	3	1	1	3/2		1/1	Mussel, cattle, sheep/goat, house mouse, vole,
									frog, toad, elder, bramble, poppy, barley, wheat,
						_			oat, docks, grass, cf. cabbage family
2	2006	300		1		4/2	<1		Mussel, hazelnut, frog, toad, great crested newt,
		(30)							small bird, barley, hazlenut, water crowfoot, daisy
									family, dock, bramble, corncockle? + indets
4	1061	2	2	2	1	1/1		1/1	Mussel hazelnut harley wheat oat grass

* frequency 1=1-10; 2=11-50; 3=51-150; 4=151-250; 5=>250 items; # diversity 1=1-3; 2=4-10; 3=11-25 taxa (30) amount of flot scanned)

Clay pit 2008.

The primary fill, 2006, and a later 'dump', 2009, of this feature were sampled. The primary fill was waterlogged. Its residue was composed of coarse sand and small pebble gravel with limestone fragments, twigs and small roundwood. Archaeological finds included a few sherds of pottery, animal bone, fired earth, a piece of slag and one flake of hammerscale. Wood fragments, particularly twigs were very abundant.

The organic flot was only scanned for plant remains, and although insect fragments were noted no effort has been made to asess them. The plants included hazelnut shell, charred barley grains, *Ramuclulus batrachium* (water crowfoot), Compositae (daisy family), *Rubus* sp. (bramble), *Rumex* sp. (dock), *Scirpus* sp. (rush), *Agrostema githago* (corncockle), and unidentified possible fruit pips, but not all taxa were identified. Bird eggshell, and bones of small bird, frog and newt were also recovered.

This assemblage probably reflects the natural build up of organic debris in the waterlogged conditions at the base of the pit, with scrub vegetation overhanging, and some cultural material being deposited.

09/10/02

Context 2009 appeared on site to be a re-deposited medieval 'dump' (Rylatt pers comm) although contaminated with 19/20th century material. Twenty litres were washed and produced a large residue of fragmented limestone and much fossil shell, both elements with the appearance of having been burnt. Archaeological finds included 199 sherds of medieval pottery, 6 pieces of post-medieval window glass, a little fired earth, coal, animal bone and 16 flakes of hammerscale. Coal dominates the flot but a little charcoal, charred cereals and weed seeds and a few snails shells are present. The charred plant remains include barley, cf. wheat, cf. oat, Poaceae (grasses), *Brassica* spp. (cabbage family), *Rumex* spp. (dock) and three unidentified seeds. These may be contemporary with the medieval material in the deposit, but the presence of uncharred plant seeds including *Sambucus* spp. (elder), *Rubus* spp. (bramble), *Chenopodium* spp. (goosefoots) and *Papaver* spp. (poppy) suggest more recent elements in the deposits, possibly contemporary with the redeposition. The snails include the burrowing *Cecilioides acicula*, also *Trichia hispida* and *Vallonia* spp..

The great quantity of chalky burnt fossil shell in the residue suggests that the limestone was probably being intentionally burnt to extract the shell for tempering the medieval pottery produced at Potterhanworth. This re-inforces the field interpretation that this deposit was a redeposited medieval industrial 'dump' used to backfill the clay pit. The combination of medieval and post-medieval material in the deposit makes most of the other evidence from this context unreliable.

Animal Bone

A small collection of 128 animal bone fragments recovered from the two excavation trenches was submitted for identification and assessment. The bulk of these bones are likely to be of post-medieval date or perhaps re-deposited medieval, but the material from context 1009 derives from what may be a medieval yard, and several contexts are at present undated.

The animal bone was identified by reference to modern reference skeletons in the collection of the author and recorded directly into an ACCESS database using the recording procedures and codes routinely used by the Environmental Archaeology Consultancy. The details of these codes and the data recorded in each field are given in the key accompanying the attached Archive Bone Catalogue.

The condition of the bone is good with only five bones showing evidence of surface erosion. The majority of the sample has been recovered from context 1009, a possible yard surface of medieval date. The identified bones includes fragments of human, horse, cattle, sheep, pig, dog, cat and rabbit. Cattle are the most abundant in the assemblage. The bone finds are summarised in Table 4.

Fifteen of the bones show evidence of dog gnawing, while seven carry butchery marks. The small group from context 1009 includes immature and adult cattle, and adult sheep. No bones of calves or lambs were recorded. The human bone is a small part of a cranium.

The sample is too small to warrant any further discussion, and apart from context 1009 the dating of the material is suspest because of re-deposition.

Species	1007	1009	1019	1040	1055	2009	2011	2015
Dating	19/20 th	?med	Und	Und	Und	19/20 th	19/20 th	19/20 th
Human	Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Construction Constru Construction Construction	1		an rinn ann 1974 a llabhr an slàir (shìr (bhir) a sui i bhirth	ang katalang kang kang kang kang kang kang kang k			
Horse		4						
Cattle	3	26	2	2	3	3	a a a a fa a a fa a a a a a a a a a a a	
Cattle size		28	an ann an th' for an a factor of a start of a	an a fair a fair a fair an		2	1	1
Sheep or goat		18		2	1	2	1	
Sheep size		9	nan la Canal II aguna fan ann an an Canad Gana	a fi yezer. Dagine (i yeze) yezerli yez	and and a stand of the stand of		and from \$1,000 to the formula young on a layoung on	and a second
Pig		8	a han da yang dan atang atang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang			2		
Dog		1		and a second	for a second			
Cat		3		an a suite ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an a			Construction of the second	
Rabbit		1			And any other the full and the case of the state of the			
Unidentified		3		an an initia an an an initia an initia an initia		1	in the second	

Table 4: Frequency of fragments of each taxa by context

Discussion

The main interpretation of interest from the analysis of the soil samples is the probable burning of limestone to extract the fossil shell to use as a temper for the medieval pottery being produced at Potterhanworth. The presence of a small quantity of hammerscale in the same sample could indicate contemporary iron-smithing but unfortunately the clear contamination of the deposit and its deposition in the 19/20th century as a 'backfill' indicates that this component of the sample could be of much later date. This mixture of medieval and post-medieval material in the samples from the clay pits largely renders the environmental assemblages of no value.

The pottery from post-hole fill 1061 may be used to date the small assemblages from this deposit.

It is not recommended that any further work is undertaken on the sample material or animal bone from the site.

Acknowledgments

We should like to thank Jeremy Dubber for the sample processing and Chris Gleed-Owen of the Herpetological Trust, Bournemouth, for the identification of the amphibian bones.

Bibliography

Evans, J.G. 1972 Land Snails in Archaeology. Seminar Press, London. Williams, D.1973 Flotation at Siraf, Antiquity, 47, 198-202

© D.J.Rackham and Andrea Snelling 2nd July 2002

THE ENVIRONMENTAL ARCHAEOLOGY CONSULTANCY

Key to codes used in the cataloguing of animal bones and marine shells

SPECIES		8	PECIES	
CODE		0	ODE	
CODL			ODL	
MAN	human	Г	OVE	Dove species
FOU	Horse		FR	Feral dove
EQC EOS7	Horse size	P	ART	Partridge
BOS	Cattle		WAN2	Swan?
BOSI	Cattle-large		VOOD	Woodcock
CS7	cattle size		TIRI	Curley
SUS	Pig		VADE	wader
OVCA	sheen or goat		ROK	Crow or rook
OVL	Sheep of goat		OPV	Crow or rook
CPA	Goat	1	ACK	Lackdaw
CRA SS7	sheep size	5	ACK MIT	Onvl indet
FEI	Cat		21177	Buzzerd
CAN	Dog			Gullen
ALID	Aurocha		JOLL	Guii sp.
AUR	Aurochs?		TIPD	Turdidaa
AUR!	Aurocits?	1		I dontificable but not id'd
DAM	Faller deer			Descering
DAM	Fallow deer		ASS	Passerine Lange bird
LED	roe deer	1	BIRD	Dind in dat
OPO	D-11-2		JINID	Bird indet
URC	Kabbit		DOC	
LAG	Lagomorph	1	RUG	Frog
CARN	Camivore	f	RIO	Frog or toad
FOX	Fox			
POLE	Polecat/Ierret			0.111.16.1
WEA	weasel		JAD	Gadid, cod family
BADG	Badger		LING	Ling
SEAL	seal	1	ADD	Haddock
SQU?	Squirrel?	ł	<u>RAY</u>	ray
BEAV	Beaver	<u> </u>	ASH	Fish
ROD	Rodent	1	JNIF	Fish indet
RAT	Rat			
AGR	Field vole	(DYS	oyster
ARV	Water vole	(COK	Cockle
MUS	House mouse	N	MUSS	Common Mussel
SORA	Common shrew	1	WHELK	Common whelk
MOLE	Mole	I	ÆL	Helix aspersa
SMA	Small mammal	I	HELIX	Helix sp.
UNI	Unknown	I	HELN	Helix nemoralis
<u> </u>		5	SNAIL	snail
CHIK	Chicken			
CHKZ	Chicken size	H	FOSS	Fossil bone
GOOS	Goose, dom			
GOOS?	Goose, dom.?			
GSSZ	Goose size			
GSSP	Goose species			
GOSZ	Goose, poss. Wild			
DUCK	Duck, domestic sp.			
DUCK?	Duck?			
DKSP	Duck species			
DSP	Duck species indet			
MALL	Duck, dom.			
TURK	Turkey			

SPECIES:

09/10/02

BONE ELEMENT:

BONE CODE		BONE CODE	
SKEL	skeleton	SCP	scapula
SKL	skull	HUM	humerus
ANT	antler	RAD	radius
ANT?	antler?	ULN	ulna
ATT	antler tine	RUL	radius and ulna
HC	hom core	C/T	carpus/tarsus
TEMP	temporal	C23	carpus 2+3
FRNT	frontal	CAR	carpus
PET	petrous	CPA	accessory carpal
PAR	parietal	CPI	intermediate carpal
OCIP	occipital	CPR	radial carpal
ZYG	zygomatic	CPU	ulnal carpal
NAS	nasal	MTC	metacarpus
PMX	premaxilla	MC1-5	metacarpus 1-5
MAN	mandible	MTP	metapodial
MNT	mandibular tooth	MPL	lateral metapodial
DLI	deciduous lower incisor	INN	innominate
DLPM1-4	deciduous lower premolar 1-4	ILM	ilium
LI	lower incisor (and 1-3)	PUB	nubis
IC	lower canine	ISH	ischium
I PM1_I PM4	lower premolar 1-4	FEM	femur
I MI-I M3	lower molar 1 - molar 3	PAT	natella
MAY	maxilla	TIR	tibia
DIT	dogiduous uppor ingisor	FID	fibula
	ueciduous upper incisor	TIM	lateral mallachus
	upper incisor (1-3)	LIVIL	actrograma
DUDI	desiduaus un ar morealan	ASI	astragatus
DUPM DUPM	deciduous upper premotar	CAL	carcaneum
DUPMI-4	deciduous upper premotar 1-4	CQ TAD2	terme 2
UPMI-UPM4	upper premotar 1-4	TARS	tarsus 3
UMI-UMJ	upper molar 1 - molar 3	14	tarsus 4
MAI	maxillary tooth	IAK	tarsus
11H	indeterminate tooth	MIII	metatarsus
INC	incisor	MII-5	metatarsus 1-5
HYD	hyoid	MIL	lateral metatarsus
AIL	atlas	SES	sesamoid
AXI	axis	PHI	1st phalanx
CEV	cervical vertebra (and 3-7)	PH2	2nd phalanx
TRV	thoracic vertebra (and 1-13)	PH3	3rd phalanx
LMV	lumbar vertebra	PHL	lateral phalanx
SAC	sacrum	LBF	long bone
CDV	caudal vertebra	UNI	unidentified
VER	vertebra		
STN	sternum	CLV	clavicle
CC	costal cartilage	COR	coracoid
RIB1	first rib (2 etc)	CMP	carpo-metacarpus
RIB	rib	CMC	carpo-metacarpus
		WPH1-3	wing phalanges 1-3
URO	urostyle	WPH	wing phalanx
		LSA	lumbosacrale
DENT	dentary		
CLEI	cleithrum		
RAY	fin ray		
SHELL	shell		
UV	upper valve		
VAL	valve		

09/10/02 The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy – EAC 29/02

NUMBER: number of fragments in the entry

SIDE: W - whole L - left side R - right side F - fragment

FUSION: records the fused/unfused condition of the epiphyses P - proximal; D - distal; E - acetabulum; N - unfused; F - fused; C - cranial; A - posterior

7

ZONES: records the part of the bone present. The key to each zone on each bone is on page 4

BUTCHERY: records whether a bone has been chopped (CH), cut (KN), worked (W), burnt (C)

GNAWING: records if a bone has been gnawed by dogs (DG), cats (FEL) or rodents (RG)

TOOTH WEAR - Codes are those used in Grant, A. 1982 The use of tooth wear as a guide to the age of domestic animals, in B.Wilson, C.Grigson and S.Payne (eds) *Ageing and sexing animal bones from Archaeological sites*, 91-108.

Teeth are labelled as follows in the tooth wear column:

Deciduous	Permanent
f ldpm2/dupm2	F lpm2/upm2
g ldpm3/dupm3	G lpm3/upm4
h ldpm4/dupm4	H lpm4/upm4
	I lm1/um1
	J 1m2/um2
	K 1m3/um3

MEASUREMENTS : Any measurements are those listed in A. Von den Driesch (1976) A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites, Peabody Museum Bulletin 1, Peabody Museum, Harvard, USA

PATHOLOGICAL: A 'P' indicates that the bone fragment carries a pathology

COMMENTS: This may include a short description of the fragments, any pathologies, butchery or gnawing evidence

PRESERVATION: records the condition of the bone in the following manner

- 1- enamel only surviving
 - 2- bone very severely pitted and thinned, tending to break up; teeth with surface erosion and loss of cementum and dentine
 - 3- surface pitting and erosion of bone, some loss of cementum and dentine on teeth
- 4- surface of bone intact, loss of organic component, material chalky, calcined or burnt
- 5- bone in good condition, probably with some organic component

The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy - EAC 29/02

ZONES - codes used to define the zones on each bone

SKULL	1 paraoccipital process	METACARPUS	1 medial facet of proximal articulation, MC3
DIROLL	2 occipal condyle		2. lateral facet of proximal articulation, MC4
	3 intercornual protuberance		3. medial distal condyle. MC3
	4 external acoustic meature		4. lateral distal condyle, MC4
	5 frontal sinus		5 anterior distal groove and foramen
	6 ectorbitale		6 medial or lateral distal condyle
	7 entorbitale		
	8 temporal articular facet	FIRST PHALANX	1. proximal epiphysis
	9 facial tuber		2 distal articular facet
	0 infraorbital foramen		AL GLOCAL GLOCALAL LAGO
		INNOMINATE	1. tuber coxae
MANDIBLE	1. Symphyseal surface		2. tuber sacrale + scar
	2. diastema		3. body of illium with dorso-medial foramen
	3. lateral diasternal foramen		4. iliopubic eminence
	4. coronoid process		5. acetabular fossa
	5 condular process		6. symphyseal branch of pubis
	6 angle		7 body of ischium
	7. anterior dorsal acsending ramus posterior M3		8. ischial tuberosity
	8 mandibular foramen		9. depression for medial tendon of rectus femoris
VERTEBRA	1. spine	FEMUR	1. head
BRIDDICI	2. anterior epiphysis		2. trochanter major
	3 nosterior eninhysis		3. trochanter minor
	4. centrum		4. supracondyloid fossa
	5 neural arch		5. distal medial condyle
			6. lateral distal condyle
SCAPLILA	1 supraglenoid tubercle		7 distal trochlea
Ser CEAT	2. glenoid cavity		8. trochanter tertius
	3 origin of the distal spine		
	4. tuber of spine	TIBIA	1. proximal medial condyle
	5. posterior of neck with foramen		2. proximal lateral condyle
	6. cranial angle of blade		3. intercondular eminence
	7. caudal angle of blade		4. proximal posterior nutrient foramen
	The work and the of other		5. medial malleolus
HUMERUS	1. head		6. lateral aspect of distal articulation
	2. greater tubercle		7. distal pre-epiphyseal portion of the diaphysis
	3. lesser tubercle		
	4. intertuberal groove	CALCANEUM	1. calcaneal tuber
	5. deltoid tuberosity		2. sustentaculum tali
	6. dorsal angle of olecranon fossa		3. processus anterior
	7. capitulum		
	8. trochlea	METATARSUS	1. medial facet of proximal artciulation, MT3.
	9.		2. lateral facet of proximal articulation, MT4
	0.		3. medial distal condyle, MT3
RADIUS	1. medial half of proximal epiphysis		4. lateral distal condyle, MT4
	2. lateral half of proximal epiphysis		5. anterior distal groove and foramen
	3. posterior proximal ulna scar and foramen		6. medial or lateral distal condyle
	4. medial half of distal epiphysis		
	5. lateral half of distal epiphysis		
	6. distal shaft immediately above distal epiphysis		
	,		
ULNA	1. olecranon tuberosity		
	2. trochlear notch- semilunaris		
	3. lateral coronoid process		
	4. distal epiphysis		

09/10/02 The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy – EAC 29/02

Archive catalogue of Animal Bone from Potterhanworth – POTT02

site	context	species	bone	no.	side	fusion	zone	butchery	gnawing	toothwear	measurement	path.	comment	pres
POTT02	1007	BOS	LM3	1	R					K15			CENTRAL CUSP	4
POTT02	1007	BOS	PH1	1	R	PF	12	anta fais par in special pain an republic met la	an <mark>d</mark> ar man ar a' a' an	a <mark>a na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana </mark>	GL-67.8 Bp-38.4		SLIGHT DAMAGE	4
POTT02	1007	BOS	RAD	1	L	PF	1			1			SPLIT PROX END	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	AST	1	L	-	1	СН					PROX HALF-CHOPPED IN HALF	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	ATL	1	F	2 mart 1 = 1 mart	4			n a dh'r felan fel ar ffelad (fernan raansans)y fel (da(ol) y) dyne	ana kana serena mata kata tan mana tan mata tan mata mata mata		CENTRUM	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	CAL	1	R				DG		ann a sha gana shekara na canana any anti ganta ta dananani a tata a ta ta	1973-1879 (1990) (1997) (1997) 1977 - 1979 (1997) (1997) (1997)	PROX SHAFT-BOTH ENDS CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	FEM	1	F					a na ana amin'ny fisiana na manana amin'ny fanitr'o amin'ny fanitr'o amin'ny fanitr'o amin'ny fanitr'o amin'ny		had had a balance of a set of the set	MIDSHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	FEM	1	R	PJ	3	una de la que tanta fononciaria. Munarie angener	ייין איז				PROXIMAL SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	INN	1	L		3	and interest over desident or endowed and	DG	a ana ana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana		9 40 400 Contra to 1 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 4	ILIAL SHAFT-POST CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	INN	1	R	EF	5	anna a' mun (19 faloanna an an 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19					ILIAL PART ACETAB	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	LM1	1	R					115		117799 (100/00) 110/00/07/17/16/0	COMPLETE	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	MTP	1	F	DN	6	СН					DISTAL CONDYLE-POROUS	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	MTT	1	F		5		DG				DISTAL SHAFT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	MTT	1	L				DG	Annual Contact data and Annual Contact			DISTAL; HALF SHAFT-DISTAL CHEWED- 4 PIECES	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	PH1	1	L	PF	12						PROX END BROKEN	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	PH1	1	L	PF	12						DISTAL END DAMAGED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	RAD	1	L		3			and a standard standard processing and a standard straining			PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	RAD	1	L				DG	a participante de la companya de la			MIDSHAFT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	RAD	1	L			van er mentannastinen, er et en taken					PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	RAD	1	L	DF	456		DG			unita anti-territoria anti-	DISTAL END-SHAFT CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	RAD	1	R								PROX MED SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	SAC	1	L	CF		СН					ANT CENTRUM-CHOPPED TRANS THRU ANT EPI AND AXIALLY DOWN MIDDLE	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	SCP	1	L		5					**************************************	CAUDAL MARGIN OF NECK	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	SKL	1	F			na la fai na na dhaon dha chur a farrainn an Againna		n bert gint in dela la jungenin ratio ar solaid ar transmissioner		ra ma palakan narat tana sa	MAXIALLA FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	SKL	1	L		0			G12			MAXILLA FRAG	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	TIB	1	F				DG			1999 To	DISTAL SHAFT FRAGMENT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	TIB	1	R	DC	567				Bd-61 Dd-44		DISTAL END	4
POTT02	1009	BOS	UM3	1	R		1997 - Bargan Bart, San Jones, Bart, B			K7				4
POTT02	1009	BOS	UM3	1	R			and the second		K15			UNEVEN WEAR ON CUSP	4
POTT02	1009	CAN	MAN	1	R								POST HORI RAMUS WITH MOLAR ALVEOLI	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	HUM	1	F				DG				DISTAL SHAFT FRAGMENT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	5	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT	4

09/10/02	2	The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy – EAC 29/02 10												
site	context	species	bone	no.	side	fusion	zone	butchery	gnawing	toothwear	measurement	path.	comment	pres
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	1	F								DISTAL SHAFT FRAG HUM	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	1	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	1	F				DG	e provide de la companya de la compa			DISTAL SHAFT FRAGMENT-CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	4	F	a anna chairte airean ann an Anna Anna Anna Anna A			19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -			and a second a second	SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	1	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT	3
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	2	F	-							SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	LBF	1	F				an curanta i na anna 1963 ann a' an anna			and the second sec	FRAGMENT PROX END	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	L	1	F				and some or subscription is the subscription	and a start of the second s	a de la caracteristica de s	particular data from the second	PROB HORSE-SPLIT INCISOR	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	MAN	1	F				10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Annual contraction of an analysis of the second			LATERAL FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	RIB	1	F				on and the set of the particular differences of the set			analyse and house and south a	SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	RIB	1	F			СН			-		SHAFT FRAGMENT-ONE END CHOPPED	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	RIB	1	F			9.000					SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	RIB	1	L					a and a second construction of the second construction of the second construction of the second construction of	1		PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	SKL	1	F								INDET	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	UNI	1	F	an an a than an air air an sann Agus tro, ban ann agus faigh		and a station of the second	1999 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -				INDET	3
POTT02	1009	CSZ	UNI	2	F								INDET	4
POTT02	1009	CSZ	VER	1	F				DG				PART ARCH-CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	EQU	LM	1	F								ANT CUSP	4
POTT02	1009	EQU	LM	1	L	a fast tinn ringe till had sæksjonder store bler				an an an ann an tha an tha ann an tha an tha an tha an tha an tha a			MED WEAR	4
POTT02	1009	EQU	LM	1	R	an a gara tha an tao ang tao an tao an Neorana a							MED WEAR	4
POTT02	1009	EQU	LM	1	R					a da kana kana kana kana kana kana kana			MED WEAR	4
POTT02	1009	FEL	HUM	1	L					a (anazitian nani angen ya kazitian na na na na hitur (na			DISTAL HALF SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	FEL	MAN	1	R					200.00.000 0000000000000000000000000000			RAMUS WITH MOLAR ROW	4
POTT02	1009	FEL	MTP	1	F	DN		an paint and a summarian strain strain strain strain					SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	MAN	SKL	1	F				ag a de norma ser en en d'Antoninia a - en la Manne (nes	n an			PART CRANIUM	4
POTT02	1009	ORC	ULN	1	L	PF	123						PROX HALF	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	FEM	1	L	PF	1	na an an ann an an ann an A	nd an Unique o D Dy'nig an organization (C)		DC-20.5		CAPUT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	HUM	1	R		0				SD-15.8		SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	MAN	1	F								LATERAL FRAG HORI RAMUS	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	MAN	1	L		1237		An all a ferrar and the second se	GH12I13J12 K10			HORI RAMUS WITH TOOTH ROW-PM2 CONGENITALLY ABSENT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	MAN	1	L		123			FGH12			DIASTEMAL FRAG WITH PM ROW	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	MTC	2	F									4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	RAD	1	L	and the second second							DISTAL HALF SHAFT	4

1

.
09/10/02	2	5	The En	viro	nmen	tal Arch	aeology	Consultar	ncy – EA	C 29/02		11		
site	context	species	bone	no.	side	fusion	zone	butchery	gnawing	toothwear	measurement	path.	comment	pres
POTT02	1009	OVCA	RAD	1	R	PF	123				Bp-33 Dp-15.8		PROX HALF	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	RAD	1	R					-			PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	TIB	1	F	ala ana tahun yeur cenjahanja ili patikana			DG	-			DISTAL SHAFT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	TIB	1	F							and the sector of	DISTAL SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	TIB	1	R	14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	4	unaan arawaa kana kana kana kana kana kana kana		a baran da an an ann a' chuir an		n ging <mark>ang in an ang ang in</mark> d	PROXIMAL SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	TIB	1	R	an a	4	KN	DG			#1157 (1000) (1000) (1000) (1000)	PROX SHAFT-PROX CHEWED-MIDSHAFT CUT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	TIB	1	R		4					te en al provincio en al construcción de las	PROX MIDSHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	UM3	1	L			n min an	and a first and a second strategies of the second strategies	K14			LAST COLUMN FORMING WING!	4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	UM3	1	R					K12		**************************************		4
POTT02	1009	OVCA	UM3	1	R					K14			COMPLETE-LARGE	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	FEM	1	F					2.2.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.2.1.1.2.1.1.1.1.			SPLIT MIDSHAFT-PIG SIZE	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	LBF	1	F					And project where the function of the section			SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	LBF	1	F			nales and the second data and t					SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	LBF	3	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	LBF	1	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	RIB	1	L							n () i a gant di Annai di Brani i	PROX SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	SSZ	RIB	1	L								PROX SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	FEM	1	R		4			-			SHAFT-VERY POROUS-JUV	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	FIB	1	F							14. (SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	HUM	1	L		69		DG				DISTAL SHAFT-DISTAL CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	LMV	1	F	CNAN	4						PART CENTRUM	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	MAN	1	F		6				and the second sec		ANGLE	3
POTT02	1009	SUS	SCP	1	F			СН					PART CAUDAL MARGIN	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	SCP	1	L		3		DG				NECK AND DISTAL BLADE-CHEWED	4
POTT02	1009	SUS	ULN	1	L		3						PART PROX SHAFT	4
POTT02	1009	UNI	LBF	3	F								SHAFT FRAGMENT-PIG SIZE	4
POTT02	1019	BOS	MAN	1	R		23						DIASTEMAL FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	1019	BOS	ULN	1	R		23		DG				PROX ARTIC-PROX END CHEWED	4
POTT02	1040	BOS	MAN	1	L		47	of any particular of the particular distribution of the particular distribution of the particular distribution	n Privi dia kamputa dina kanja di Avar Averana (of FLER PARTY AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT O	ANT PART ASC RAMUS- 2 PIECES	4
POTT02	1040	BOS	MTT	1	R		12						PROX HALF-POROUS-JUV	4
POTT02	1040	OVCA	LM2	1	L					J10	and an in the Mager A. An annihilation of the second particular data and the second			4
POTT02	1040	OVCA	TIB	1	L								MIDSHAFT	4
POTT02	1055	BOS	ULN	1	R		2						SEMILUNARIS FRAG	4
POTT02	1055	BOS	UM1	1	L					112			COMPLETE	4

09/10/02 The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy – EAC 29/02

site	context	species	bone	no.	side	fusion	zone	butchery	gnawing	toothwear	measurement	path.	comment	presi
POTT02	1055	BOS	UM1	1	L	Les same an				117			VERY WORN	4
POTT02	1055	OVCA	MAN	1	R		237	a a gara anna. Inan a tag wanya ya a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		H14I17J14 K12	n panana manana na kao mpina amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana am	Sendar Balancia Contra Co	RAMUS WITH MOLAR ROW	4
POTT02	2009	BOS	LM2	1	R					J12		ana (1917), 1918 (1917), 1918 (1917)		4
POTT02	2009	BOS	TIB	1	R		4					and an array of the second	PROX MIDSHAT	4
POTT02	2009	BOS	ULN	1	R	a an					a de la construir de la constru		PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	2009	CSZ	UNI	1	F								INDET	4
POTT02	2009	CSZ	VER	1	F						n ya ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana		TRANS PROCESS	4
POTT02	2009	OVCA	INN	1	R		39			an ann an tar tar ann an tarainn an tar an an an an an an tar an tar			ILIAL SHAFT AND PART ACETAB	4
POTT02	2009	OVCA	RAD	1	R		3	an a	na dala nan cana a mana dala cana di na mara da ang				PROX SHAFT- 3 PIECES	3
POTT02	2009	SUS	MC2	1	L	DN	12						DISTAL EPI LOST	3
POTT02	2009	SUS	SKL	1	L		an a	an ann a fhairte an thairte ann an t			a dana da se se talen da antina a venera na da anciente atransmissione en esta da se antina da se antina da se		PREMAXILLA FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	2009	UNI	SKL	1	F		an ann ann an tharachan an the training and						FRAGMENT	4
POTT02	2011	CSZ	RIB	1	F	17.444444.46%.2004.07.07.07.07.0			ana ta dagan da ang a ang a ang ang ang ang ang ang an			P	PROX SHAFT FRAGMENT-BRPKEN AND HEALED	4
POTT02	2011	OVCA	TIB	1	R			an a	Contraction of the second s	and the second			MIDSHAFT-LARGE	4
POTT02	2015	CSZ	UNI	1	F								INDET	4

Appendix 13.7: Archaeometallurgical report by M Allen

Introduction

A single small piece of metallurgical waste (weighing 12g) was recovered from the basal fill (1061) of a posthole ([1062]) during an archaeological excavation within the village of Potterhanworth, Lincolnshire (Table 1).

Context No.	Weight (g)	Identification	Notes
1061	12g	Undiagnostic	Not magnetic

Table 1: Summary of material by context.

Conclusions

It is not possible to say whether the piece is a residue of iron smelting or smithing. The recovery of a single small fragment from the excavation indicates iron-working occurred away from the site.

Glossary

Undiagnostic Pieces that do not have diagnostic surface morphology.

M. Allen 24/07/02



Appendix 13.8: Site Matrices







AREA 2: Stratigraphic matrix

Appendix 13.9

-

-

List of Archaeological Contexts

Area 1		
Context No.	Category	Description
1000	Group no.	Victorian school toilet block – stone built foundation enclosing septic tank below structure providing boys' toilets in northern half and girls' toilets in southern half. Constructed around wall (1001); includes (1003), (1004), (1006).
1001	Structure	Wall – foundation of wall constructed from limestone rubble bonded with lime mortar. Probably constructed to divide the Victorian playground into separate units for girls (to south) and boys. Abuts wall (1008)
1002	Structure	Wall - short section of limestone rubble foundation running between (1000) and main school building; an offset continuation of (1001), possibly completely rebuilt after construction of (1000).
1003	Structure	External walls of toilet block (1000). North-south walls were 3.88m long, with corresponding perpendicular walls 1.60m long. Constructed around, and abutting (1001).
1004	Structure	Walls forming access to septic tank – situated at north-west corner of (1000). Integral part of structure and part of initial build.
1005	Cut	Construction pit/trench - sub-rectangular feature c. $3.95m$ long by 2.3m wide and c. >1.2m deep, with vertical sides and flat base. Excavated to contain the base of the Victorian toilet block (1000).
1006	Structure	Wall & chute – section of brick walling inserted into centre of eastern wall of toilet block. There was an associated brick built chute on the internal side of the wall, which fed into the septic tank, suggesting that this was a urinal installed as an adaptation to original design.
1007	Fill	Fill of septic tank within/beneath (1000) – fill included limestone rubble and refuse from the school, such as writing slates and leaded windows.
1008	Structure	Wall – north-south aligned foundation of unbonded courses of limestone rubble, with surviving upper courses bonded by lime mortar. Property boundary dividing Area 1 from Area 2.
1009	Layer	Pebble surface (probably medieval) – mid browny-grey clayey silt deposit that has accumulated incrementally, possibly over an extended period. Small rounded quartzite pebbles were distributed throughout layer and were possibly deposited in piecemeal manner
		to firm up soft areas of ground. Also contained lots of broken pottery, animal bone and heavily degraded organic material. Pottery 13 th to mid 14 th century.
1010	Structure	Wall – later realignment or rebuild of the southern end of wall (1008), to the south of its junction with (1001).

1011	Cut	Construction trench – north-south aligned linear feature containing foundation of wall (1008).
1012	Structure	Wall – foundation of brick wall running parallel to brick outbuilding at northern edge of trench. Latter probably 20^{th} century toilet replacing (1000), with (1012) acting as a screen wall to provide privacy for people using toilet.
1013	Fill/structure	Soakaway for (1000) - fill of $[1036]$, a mixed deposit of orangey- brown to pale grey-brown silty clay, which incorporates large quantities of small to medium sized limestone rubble. At centre was rectangular, brick-built tank of 0.46 x 0.25m.
1014	Layer	Natural - mid yellow clay, with pale grey mottles. Same as (1017)
1015	Fill	Fill of [1016] - mid brownish-grey slightly clayey silt.
1016	Cut	Construction trench – contains eastern wall of Victorian school building; foundation of latter is offset and constructed from limestone rubble. Trench [1016] does not extend to northern edge of trench, but only to existing fire door. This suggests that most northerly 3.8m of school is part of a later extension. Cuts (1009).
1017	Layer	Natural – isolated area of mid yellow clay, with pale grey mottles exposed at the south-west corner of Area 1. Same as (1014).
1018	Deposit	Gingery-orange coarse, slightly silty sand incorporating off white mortar flecks and fragments. Localised deposit possibly associated with the alteration of toilet (1000), or construction of adjacent structure (1025). Seals (1019).
1019	Deposit	Mid brownish-grey clayey sandy silt. Deposit built up against base of eastern wall of toilet (1000) and structure (1025).
1020	Deposit	Matrix of mid brownish-grey sandy silt containing tabular limestone rubble and flecks of mortar. Localised deposit probably derived from the demolition of wall (1010). Seals (1019).
1021	Fill	Fill of modern sewer trench – runs north-east to south-west across playground from Memorial Hall (latter constructed 1993). Mixed deposit of mid brownish-grey clayey silt. Same as (2028).
1022	Deposit	Demolition deposit - mottled creamy-yellow to pale grey silty sand incorporating small fragments of limestone and brick. Seals (1018).
1023	Structure	Brick wall – single skin wall running north-east to south-west, with short perpendicular return at northern end. Bricks machine made $0.23 \ge 0.11 \ge 0.075$ m. Examination of a 1960s map suggests that this wall formed the northern corner of the old village hall.
1024	Deposit	Crushed limestone – modern dump deposit used to fill the sub- surface internal void of structure (1023) following the demolition of the raised superstructure – this presumably occurred following the construction of the Memorial Hall in 1993.
1025	Structure	Brick wall – foundation running north-west to south-east, with bricks laid as headers. Bricks machine made, $0.23 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$, but quite crude, possibly indicates later 19 th century rather than 20 th

ET.

1

1111

-

		century construction? Abuts south-east corner of toilet block (1000); probably forms part of structure with (1026) and (1027), possible toilet extension. Constructed upon foundation (1039).
1026	Structure	Brick wall – foundation running north-east to south-west, with bricks laid as headers. Bricks machine made, $0.23 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$, but quite crude. Cross wall running between (1025) and (1027), possibly an extension to toilet (1000). Constructed upon foundation (1039).
1027	Structure	Brick wall – foundation running north-west to south-east, with bricks laid as headers. Bricks machine made, $0.23 \ge 0.12 \ge 0.08$, but quite crude, possibly indicates later 19 th century rather than 20 th century construction? Probably forms part of structure with (1026) and (1027), possible toilet extension. Constructed upon foundation (1039).
1028	Deposit	Mid greyish-brown sandy silt filling the internal void created by $(1025)/(1026)/(1027)$. Possibly a bedding layer for a floor.
1029	Fill	Fill of [1032] – Dark brownish-grey sandy clay, containing small pieces of limestone and shell.
1030	Surface	Concrete schoolyard surface extending eastward to former site of mobile classroom in Area 2. Same as (2026), seals (1021).
1031	Surface	Tarmac surface – modern schoolyard, laid after demolition of old village hall c. 1993. Same as (2027), seals (1030).
1032	Cut	Pit? – feature largely truncated by the construction of toilet block (1000) and possible manhole (1033). Surviving element 0.80m long x 0.55m wide and 0.45m deep. Cuts (1017).
1033	Structure	Probable manhole/access pit – appended to south-west corner of (1000) and visible in north facing section of trench. Brick built feature 0.65m wide by > 0.72m deep, with upper course capped by two Yorkstone slabs. Bricks machine made, 0.24m x 0.11m x 0.08m. Possibly part of structure (1025)/(1026)/(1027). Contained by [1035].
1034	Structure?	Layer of limestone rubble and single large piece of dressed limestone, which abutted western face of (1033). Stones were not bonded, but it is possible that it formed a rough foundation. Sealed (1015) and abutted (1033).
1035	Cut	Construction trench for manhole/access pit (1033); it was 0.65m wide by $>$ 0.45m long and $>$ 0.72m deep.
1036	Cut	Construction trench for soakaway (1013) - roughly square feature, with sides c. 1.8m long and $> 0.75m$ deep. It has a gully c. 1.8m long running from its south-west corner to the toilet block (1000).
1037	-	NOT ASSIGNED
1038	-	NOT ASSIGNED
1039	Structure	Foundation – two to three courses of unbonded limestone rubble forming strip foundation for walls $(1025)/(1026)/(1027)$.

<u>a</u>1

-

-

1040	Layer	Mid greyish-brown slightly sandy silt, with occasional limestone fragments and quartzite pebbles. Material accumulating over surface (1009); pottery dating to late 13^{th} to 14^{th} century.
1041	Cut	Gully – north-north-west to south-south-east aligned linear feature, c. 0.5m wide and 0.2m deep, with 'U'-shaped profile. Runs parallel to gully [1042] and wall (1044). Recut of [1052], contains (1053).
1042	Cut	Gully – north-north-west to south-south-east aligned linear feature, c. 0.68m wide and 0.16m deep, with flattened 'U'-shaped profile. Runs parallel to gully [1041]/[1052] and wall (1044). Contains (1055).
1043	Cut	Posthole – small sub-circular feature, c. 0.2m diameter and 0.1m deep, with bowl-shaped profile. Possibly associated with [1058], contains (1056).
1044	Structure	Stone wall – drystone wall constructed from tabular limestone rubble, has same alignment as gullies $[1041]/[1052]$ and $[1042]$, but slightly different orientation to wall (1008), which seems to have replaced it (probably at the time the school was built in the mid 19 th century). Was constructed directly upon surface (1009), with deposit (1040) building up against it; could be late medieval or post-medieval in date.
1045	Cut	Modern service trench – linear feature 0.62m wide running from east to west across Area 1; not fully excavated.
1046	Deposit	Mid grey sandy silt – possibly an unmetalled schoolyard predating the construction of the concrete surface (1030). Alternatively (but less likely) it may be a bedding layer for the concrete surface.
1047	Deposit	Orangey-brown coarse sand – although heavily truncated it is likely that this deposit once extended right across the school playground. It may have been an early surface of the schoolyard, but the friable nature of this material suggests that it would blow away or be heavily trampled.
1048	Deposit	Floor? – pale to mid creamy-yellow sandy lime mortar contained within walls $(1025)/(1026)/(1027)/(1051)$. May be remains of floor of that structure, or bedding for a tile floor. Alternatively could be a demolition deposit associated with the levelling of this structure and the reclamation of the bricks (in which case would be the same as (1081).
1049	-	NOT ASSIGNED
1050	Cut	Modern service trench – east-west aligned linear feature that had contained an armoured electric cable running from the Victorian school building to the mobile classroom that occupied the eastern half of Area 2.
1051	Structure	Brick wall – short section of wall the lower courses of which are roughly squared limestone blocks, while the upper course was constructed from machine brick. Abuts wall (1025) and probably part of same structure.

100

-

-

_

1052	Cut	Gully – north-north-west to south-south-east aligned linear feature, c. 0.4m wide and 0.15m deep, with flattened 'U'-shaped profile. Ran parallel to gully [1042] and wall (1044). Recut by [1041], contains (1054), cut through (1009).
1053	Fill	Fill of $[1041]$ – mid brownish-grey silty sand, with occasional orangey-brown mottles. Concentration of pebbles toward base suggests that this fill results from natural silting, with weathering of edges of gully releasing stones from surface (1009). Single sherd of 11^{th} to 12^{th} century pottery.
1054	Fill	Fill of [1052] – mid brownish-grey to orangey-brown sandy silt; probably a product of natural silting.
1055	Fill	Fill of $[1042]$ – mid brownish-grey sandy silt, with orangey mottles. At southern end there was a concentration of limestone rubble, which lay adjacent to the point that wall foundation (1044) was chopped through by 19 th century wall (1008). Possible that (1044) and (1055) destroyed at same time, but no direct physical relationship to confirm contemporaneity. Contained sherds of 13 th 14 th century pottery.
1056	Fill	Fill of [1043] – mid greyish-brown sandy silt, with orangey-brown mottles.
1057	Fill	Primary fill of [1058] – mid greyish-brown sandy silt, with frequent rusty mottles. Almost 50% of the deposit was composed of quartzite gravel.
1058	Cut	Posthole – c. 0.23m diameter and c. 0.2m deep, with a 'U'-shaped profile. Has similar form and dimensions to [1064] possibly indicating contemporaneity. Cuts (1075), (1077).
1059	Fill	Fill of [1060] – matrix of mid greyish-brown sandy silt, becoming more orangey-brown with depth, which contained a large quantity of quartzite gravel (c. 90% by volume). Sealed by surface (1009).
1060	Cut	Pit? – irregular feature c. 1.1m long by 0.8m wide and 0.26m deep. One edge vertical, with the other sloping at 45° to a relatively flat base. Cuts (1014), contains (1059).
1061	Fill	Fill of $[1062]$ – mid brownish-grey silty sand, with frequent orangey-brown mottles. Basal component included a fragment from a quern stone that appears to have been used as a post pad. Four sherds 13^{th} to 15^{th} century pottery also found within this deposit. Sealed by surface (1009).
1062	Cut	Large posthole – c. $0.55m$ diameter and $0.22m$ deep, with flattened 'U'-shaped profile. May have formed linear arrangement with [1060] and [1064]. Cuts (1014), contains (1061).
1063	Fill	Fill of [1064] – matrix of mid greyish-brown silty sand, becoming more orangey with depth, which contained a large quantity of quartzite gravel (c. 80% by volume). Sealed by surface (1009), which had slumped into the upper part of the feature.
1064	Cut	Posthole – sub-circular feature c. 0.35m diameter and 0.25m deep, with 'U'-shaped profile. Possibly associated with [1060] and

[1062], forming part of a structure or fence line. Alternatively could be rebuild of feature incorporating [1066]. Cuts (1065), contains (1063). Fill Fill of [1066] - mid brownish-grey sandy silt, with frequent 1065 orangey-brown mottles. Cut by [1064]. Large posthole/small pit - sub-oval feature c. 0.85m long by 0.63m 1066 Cut wide and 0.12m deep, with dished profile. Cuts (1014), contains (1065).1067 Fill Fill of [1068] - mid to dark greyish-brown sandy silt, containing fragments of decayed wooden post. Posthole - Sub-circular feature c. 0.19m diameter and >0.48m 1068 Cut deep, situated at north-west corner of toilet block (1000). It probably supported a screen, along with posthole [1070], which would have hidden the door to the boys' toilet. Contains (1067). 1069 Fill Fill of [1070] - Mid greyish-brown silty sand, with several limestone blocks distributed around the edges of the upper part of the fill. Latter probably post packing, and suggested a post of square-section, with sides of c. 0.17m. Piece of roof slate also observed within deposit. 1070 Cut Posthole - Sub-circular feature c. 0.5m diameter and 0.35m deep, situated between toilet block (1000) and Victorian school building. It probably supported a screen, along with posthole [1068], which would have hidden the door to the boys' toilet. Contains (1069). 1071 Fill Fill of [1072] - mid grevish to vellowish-brown sandy clay. Post pipe of 0.09m diameter was visible in section. Contained 1 sherd late 13th to 15th century pottery. 1072 Cut Posthole - sub-oval feature c. 0.46m x 0.38m and 0.26m deep, with 'U'-shaped profile. Probably same as [128] from evaluation. Cuts (1073), contains (1071). 1073 Fill Fill of [1074] – mid greyish-brown sandy silt, with frequent very fine white flecks. Limestone rubble concentrated along lateral edges may reflect demolition of nearby structure or packing of timbers within feature. Sealed by (1009). Contained 1 sherd 13th to 15th century pottery. 1074 Cut Short gully or pit - east-west orientated linear feature> 0.6m long by 0.37m wide and 0.1m deep, with flattened 'U'-shaped profile. Cuts (1014), contains (1073). 1075 Fill Fill of [1076] - matrix of mid greyish-brown sandy silt, with deep orangey-brown mottles. Quartzite gravel and small pebbles form c. 90% of the deposit (by volume), and may have been introduced as post packing. Cut by [1058] and possibly by [1079]. 1076 Cut Small posthole - sub-circular feature c. 0.16m diameter and 0.13m deep, with 'U'-shaped profile. Stratigraphic relationship to [1079] unresolved. Cuts (1014), contains (1075). 1077 Fill Upper fill of [1079] - mid greyish-brown silty sand.

1078	Fill	Primary fill of [1079] – matrix of mottled greyish-brown to orangey-brown sandy clay. Quartzite gravel forms c. 80% of the deposit and may have been introduced as post-packing.
1079	Cut	Small posthole – sub-circular feature c. 0.19m diameter and 0.18m deep. Was recut by [1058], but stratigraphic relationship to [1075] unclear, cuts (1014), contains (1077) and (1078).
1080	Fill	Upper fill of [1058] – mid brownish-grey sandy silt, which was relatively stone free in centre, possibly indicating former location of post.
1081	Deposit	Demolition deposit – creamy to pale brownish-yellow slightly silty sand. Likely to result from destruction of toilet block (1000), being largely composed of lime mortar cleaned from the limestone walling, the stone presumably being reclaimed for use elsewhere. Possibly the same as (1048), seals (1082) and (1085).
1082	Deposit	Mid to dark brownish-grey sandy silt, with very frequent ashy inclusions and small pieces of coal. May be derived from fires within school, but could equally have been laid down as an early schoolyard surface. Seals (1033) and (1034).
1083	Deposit	Mid to dark brownish-grey clayey silt. May be associated with the construction of the school (e.g. as spoil from foundation trenches, etc.); contained early to mid 20th century pottery.
1084	Fill	Fill of [1035] - yellowish-brown slightly silty clay; redeposited natural, which was observed in the north facing section of the trench.
1085	Fill	Mid grey silty sand, with very frequent ashy inclusions. Filling void likely to have been a toilet chute in the western half of the southerly extension, $(1025)/(1026)/(1027)/(1051)$, to toilet block (1000).
1086	Deposit	Demolition deposit – Creamy-yellow silty sand, with grey mottles. Primarily lime mortar cleaned from limestone structure (with some brick elements). Seals the drains leading to/from the 'new' brick toilet block along the northern edge of the schoolyard, so this demolition event post-dated the construction of that structure. Seals (1087).
1087	Deposit	Mottled mid greyish-brown to orangey-brown clayey silt containing discrete lumps of orangey-yellow clay. The latter suggests that this material represents spoil from the excavation of relatively deep features somewhere on the site.
Area 2		
Context No.	Category	Description
2001	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of mid grey-brown clayey sand, with frequent small grit, medieval and post-medieval pottery. Seals (2002).
2002	Fill	Fill of [2008] - a dump deposit of mottled orangey-brown to pale

grey stiff clay. Seals (2003).

2003	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of gingery to rusty-brown coarse sandy clay. Seals (2004).
2004	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of yellowish to orangey-brown slightly clayey coarse sand. Seals (2005).
2005	Fill	Fill of $[2008]$ – a dump deposit of pale grey clayey coarse sand, with tabular limestone rubble forming 60-70% of the deposit. Seals (2006).
2006	Fill	Primary fill of [2008] –mid to dark grey sandy clayey silt, with black mottles and frequent organic inclusions including leaves and twigs. Silting within an aquatic environment - i.e. a pond within the abandoned clay pit. Contained 2 sherds of 13^{th} to 15^{th} century pottery.
2007	Layer	Natural – mottled pale grey to yellow clay.
2008	Cut	Clay extraction pit - very large feature probably created incrementally as a result of quarrying clay for the medieval pottery industry. Edges seen in several places indicating that it was >9.0m in diameter and c. 1.6m deep. Likely to have become a pond once abandoned, and may have served as a reservoir for this part of the village prior to its deliberate backfilling in the 19^{th} century.
2009	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of mid to dark brownish-grey sandy silt, with large quantities of Potterhanworth pottery, 19th century pottery, shell fragments and burnt limestone. Seals (2013).
2010	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of yellow to orangey-brown sand. Seals (2013).
2011	Deposit	Dump deposit – mid brownish-grey sandy clayey silt. Initial ground make up deposit laid over upper fills of [2008]. Initial stage in raising ground level by c. 1.0m.
2012	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit, the matrix of yellowish-brown slightly silty sand and grit, incorporating a large quantity of tabular limestone rubble (c. 70% by volume). Possibly derived from a demolished structure. Seals (2010).
2013	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of pale yellowish-brown sandy clay. Possibly same as (2002).
2014	Deposit	Surface –quartzite pebbles within a compacted matrix of mid grey sandy silt. Covers the western half of Area 2, between walls (1008) and (2016). Possibly a yard surrounding 20 th century village hall. Seals (2005), (2015), (2020), probably the same as (2041).
2015	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of orangey-brown sandy clay. Seals (2023).
2016	Structure	Limestone wall – 'L'-shaped foundation of tabular limestone blocks bonded by poorly manufactured lime mortar. North-south aligned section >4.5m long, with east-west return >3.5m long. Mortar contained pieces of 19^{th} century pottery indicating that this was a
		contained proces of 17 containy pottery material and this was a

		late structure – probably part of the old village hall.
2017	Deposit	Gingery-orange slightly silty sand, which is contained within the area defined by (2016), but predates the creation of the walls. Possibly a levelling layer deposited prior to construction of village hall.
2018	Layer	Natural - mottled orangey-brown to pale greenish-grey clay.
2019	Cut	French drain – narrow linear feature, c. $0.16m$ wide and > $6.8m$ long, running north-west to south-east from beneath wall (1008). Cuts natural (2018), but may have also cut through series of other deposits that have since been removed.
2020	Fill	Fill of $[2019]$ – coarse rubble, including brick, tile and 18^{th} to 19^{th} century pottery, with frequent voids between adjacent pieces.
2021	Deposit	Mid brownish-grey clayey silt, with common coal fragments. Possibly a ground make-up layer, which then formed bedding for wall (2016). Contained late 19^{th} to 20^{th} century pottery. Seals (2017).
2022	Layer	Probable dump deposit – mid brownish-grey sandy silt, containing early 20^{th} century pottery. Seals (2014), possibly same as (2021).
2023	Fill	Fill of [2008] – a dump deposit of mottled mid brown to blue-grey clay. Seals (2006).
2024	Deposit	Hardcore – crushed brick fragments, relatively modern as some have 'Lincoln' impressed into frogs, and Portland cement still adhering to surfaces. Laid down as base for concrete surface (2026). Seals (2029).
2025	Deposit	Limestone rubble – crushed limestone and coarse creamy-yellow sand laid as bedding for concrete surface (2026). Seals (2024).
2026	Surface	Concrete schoolyard surface extending eastward to former site of mobile classroom in Area 2. Same as (1030), seals (2025), (2028), (2040).
2027	Surface	Tarmac surface – modern schoolyard, laid after demolition of old village hall c. 1993. Same as (1031), seals (2026).
2028	Fill	Fill of modern sewer trench – runs north-east to south-west across playground from Memorial Hall (latter constructed 1993). Mixed deposit of mid brownish-grey clayey silt. Same as (1021).
2029	Fill	Fill of [1011] – fill of construction trench for wall (1008); mottled yellowish-brown slightly silty clay.
2030	Deposit	Mid to dark brownish-grey sandy silt. Likely to be former ground surface across eastern end of Area 2; later covered by tarmac surface (2027). Probably imported topsoil, as all 2.6m of underlying deposits were imported during or after the 19 th century, leaving insufficient time for topsoil to develop naturally. Seals (2031) and (2035).
2031	Deposit	Dump deposit - dark brownish-grey sandy silt, incorporating

m

quantities of coal and ash - possibly residue from hearths. Also included sock manufactured from man-made fibres, suggests less than 50 years old. Seals (2032). 2032 Deposit Dump deposit - mid brownish-grey sandy silt. Seals (2037). 2033 Structure Modern surface water drain - installed to service down pipe from guttering on mobile classroom that occupied eastern edge of Area 2 prior to onset of excavation. Cuts (2030) 2034 Deposit Dump deposit - mid grev sandy silt that was situated beneath the mobile classroom, and was therefore not tarmaced over. Seals (2033). 2035 Deposit Dump deposit - mid grevish-brown sandy silt, a possible soil surrounding structure (2016). Seals (2036) and abuts (2016). 2036 Deposit Dump deposit - mid pinkish-brown sandy silty clay; possibly spoil from the creation of a nearby feature. Seals (2017). Dump deposit - mottled orangey to greyish-brown silty sand; 2037 Deposit deposited over the fully infilled [2008]. Seals (2001). 2038 NOT ASSIGNED Possible hardcore - compacted brick rubble forming a rough 2039 Deposit surface or acting as a localised supporting raft for concrete yard (2026). 2040 Structure Modern surface water drain - installed to service down pipe from guttering on mobile classroom that occupied eastern edge of Area 2 prior to onset of excavation. Cuts (2039). 2041 Deposit Surface -quartzite pebbles within a compacted matrix of mid grey sandy silt. Covers the area contained within (2016). Seals (2018), probably the same as (2014). 2042 Fill Upper fill of [2043] - mid brownish-grey slightly sandy silt matrix surrounding compacted limestone rubble, latter c. 90% by volume. Seals (2044). 2043 Large pit or ditch – only part of feature exposed, > 0.75m long by Cut >0.5m wide and >0.1m deep. Cuts (2041). 2044 Fill Fill of [2043] - mid brownish-grey clayey silt.