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## Summary

In December 1997, evaluation trenching comprising 14 machine trenches and 6 test pits was carried out on the site of a proposed extension to an existing limestone quarry.

The trenching demonstrated the presence of a triple ditch system, dated to the Middle and Later Iron Age. An additional linear feature of Later Iron Age/early Roman date was also recorded.

Substantial remains from the Roman period were also present, predominantly in the north-west of the site. These included the remains of buildings, a pit complex related to industrial activity, and a ring ditch, possibly the remains of a burial mound, all datable to the 3rd century AD.

Post-Roman features on the site were confined to the remains of medieval/post-medieval ridge and furrow agriculture.

## Introduction

Lindsey Archaeological Services was commissioned by Oxford Archaeological Associates, on behalf of Butterley Aggregates Limited, to undertake evaluation trenching at the above site. The scope of the work complies with the guidance from Archaeology and Planning (PPG 16), Department of the Environment (1990); Management of Archaeological Projects, English Heritage (1991); Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-Based Studies, Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations, Institute for Field Archaeologists (1993, revised 1994).

The purpose of the evaluation was to :

- establish the presence or absence of archaeological remains and their location within the proposed development area.
- gather data to assist in the determination of the level of further archaeological investigation required prior to development.


## Site Location

The development area lies close to the eastern outskirts of Lincoln, some 3 km east of Lincoln cathedral. It is situated on the south-east slope of the Jurassic Limestone scarp known as the Lincoln Edge (c.35m AOD) on the northern side of the Witham Valley, immediately adjacent to the existing Butterley Aggregates Limited limestone quarry (Fig. 1).
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## Planning Background

A desk top study was carried out in 1994 (Johnson 1994) to assess the archaeological potential of the site prior to submission of a planning application for the extension of an existing limestone quarry by Butterley Aggregates Limited. A non-intrusive evaluation, comprising geophysical (Johnson 1997) and fieldwalking surveys (Armour-Chelu 1997) formed the next part of a staged archaeological evaluation for planning purposes.

## Archaeological Background

Previous work in the surrounding area has identified archaeological remains of prehistoric, Roman and medieval date. This includes a triple parallel linear feature recorded from the air as cropmarks within the western half of the survey area which appears to be part of a major linear trackway or boundary observed at several points north and south of the site, extending north and north-west for a distance of at least 5 km . Staff now working at LAS have excavated two narrow cross-sections of the ditches in 1979 and 1993. Whilst they appear to pre-date Roman features, little clear evidence of their function and date has been obtained thus far.

Several additional factors highlight the potential archaeological importance of the Greetwell area; its proximity to the Roman town/legionary fortress and thriving medieval city of Lincoln; its geology, providing accessible sources of both limestone and ironstone and its topographic location overlooking a significant crossing point of the River Witham immediately downstream from Lincoln. It has been suggested that the original crossing point of the Witham in Roman times may have lain east of the fortress and city (Hockley 1992). If this were the case, the higher ground overlooking a river crossing such as at Greetwell would be of great significance within a Roman military context .

## Method

A Site Director and a team of seven experienced archaeologists undertook the evaluation trenching between the 3rd and 19th December 1997. A total of 14 trenches and 6 test pits were opened by $360^{\circ}$ tracked excavator equipped with a 2.1 m toothless bucket (PI. 1). All trenches were hand-cleaned and photographed with archaeological layers and features hand-excavated and recorded as appropriate.

The positioning of the trenches was determined with reference to both geophysical and fieldwalking survey results and approved by the Lincolnshire County Archaeological Officer prior to excavation (Figs. $2 \& 3$ ). All trenches were laid out using a geodimeter 640 total station 1' machine working from a baseline tied into the National Grid and related to existing points of reference used during previous fieldwork carried out on the site.

Fieldwork Results
Trench 1 ( $20.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.90 \mathrm{~m}$, Pls. 2, 3)
Trench 1 was deliberately positioned beyond the point where the triple ditch system was recorded on the geophysical survey. Its purpose was to locate
the triple ditch at a point where colluvium may have protected any associated banks and to investigate the possibility of sealed ground surfaces.

This double width trench was machined to a depth of 1.2 m into the natural geology. Although no archaeological features were present, the trench inferred the presence of at least three ditch termini to the south, part of the triple ditch system previously identified by both aerial photography and geophysical survey carried out prior to the evaluation trenching.

## Trench 2 ( $13.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 11.10 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 4, 5a, PI. 4)

Trench 2 was positioned to examine a sample of possible pit features identified by geophysical survey to examine their human origin and, if possible, their date.

Hand-cleaning of this small open area identified a number of irregular subsoil filled features. The best defined of these, cut 205, was quarter-sectioned. It was $1.77 \mathrm{~m}, 1.52 \mathrm{~m}$ long, 0.26 m wide and contained one uniform red-brown silty clay fill, 206, which included a low percentage of small natural limestone fragments. No finds or other anthropogenic material was present in the fill of 205 which was almost certainly of natural origin.

## Trench 2, extension ( $15.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.14 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 4, 5a, PI. 5)

Trench 2 was extended northwards to pick up a linear anomaly recorded by the geophysical survey. This slightly irregular feature, 203, was aligned eastwest and had a maximum width of 0.69 m and a depth of 0.29 m . The clean silty fill, 204, contained no finds and the general impression of the excavated section was that the feature may have been of natural origin.

Trench 3 ( $20.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.16 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. $5 \mathrm{~b}, 6$, PI. 6)
Trench 3 was positioned to investigate an enclosure boundary and internal features, including a large pit and possible ring-ditch, to determine whether these were domestic features.

One NW-SE aligned linear feature, 304, was excavated at the eastern end of the trench (PI. 7). It was c.1.1m wide and between 0.13 m and 0.22 m deep with a single fill, 305, a dark brownish grey silty clay containing localised concentrations of charcoal and heavily inundated with broken limestone fragments which may have been elements of stone walls or footings. 3rd to 4th century Roman pottery and tile (some of it burnt) was recovered from this fill, along with quantities of animal bone and an iron nail.

A number of linear features, probably the remains of former buildings were identified along the length of this trench. Within the angle formed by the intersection of linear features 311 and 313 was a large oval posthole 309. A second potential posthole 315 was located 0.25 m east of 313 , and this group of features almost certainly relate to one structure. Curvilinear features 317 and 323 appear, in plan, to represent two elements of the same ring form, possibly a dwelling. 317 was cut by a sub-oval pit, 308 , which was 1.64 m long and c .1 .00 m wide. 317 was cut through an earlier pit, 319 , which had similar dimensions to 308. Part of a third pit, 321, was visible adjacent to the
northern edge of the trench. A small linear feature or elongated pit 307, 2.54 m long and 0.50 m wide was identified on a north-south alignment cutting through 323.

On the advice of the County Archaeological Officer, only feature 304 was excavated in this trench, although general cleaning of the trench revealed quantities of what was apparently building debris (such as limestone and tile) and pottery within the fills of the exposed features listed above. The cleaned trench was subsequently covered with plastic before being backfilled.

## Trench 4 (13.05m $\times 2.24 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. $7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$, PI. 8)

Trench 4 was positioned to investigate a possible ring ditch to determine whether its function was domestic or funerary.

The ring ditch, 405, was 1.5 m wide and 0.46 m deep and contained two fills. The upper fill 402 comprised a reddish brown silty clay, 0.30 m deep. Quantities of Roman pottery and animal bone were recovered from this deposit. Below this was fill 404, a limestone-rich silty clay containing animal bone and Roman pottery. This deposit was sampled for environmental data (Appendix 3).

Within the area defined by the ring ditch, an irregular feature, 411, was hand cleaned but, in accordance with the Site Specification, was not excavated. 403, the fill of 411, was very similar in nature to that of the ring ditch, with contemporary pottery recovered from the surface.

## Trench 5 (12.55m x 2.32m, Figs. 8a, 8b, PI. 9)

Trench 5 was positioned to investigate a curving ditch, possibly an enclosure. The trench was positioned at $90^{\circ}$ to the ditch.

The curving ditch, 504, was aligned NE-SW (PI. 10). It was c.1.00m wide, 0.28 m deep, and contained a single red-brown silty clay fill, rich in fossil shell and small limestone fragments, from which a small quantity of animal bone was recovered.

An irregular sub-oval feature, 506, was investigated at the north-eastern end of the trench, $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ in plan, with a depth of 0.22 m . One fill was present, 505, a dark red-brown silty clay heavily inundated with limestone fragments. This feature appears almost certainly to be the result of natural processes.

## Trench 6 ( $31.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.14 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 9a, 9b, PI. 11)

Trench 6 was positioned to investigate features contained within the curving ditch investigated in Trench 5. These included a large pit, an associated irregular ditch Their possible relationship to the triple ditch system was also examined.

Severe waterlogging occurred toward the north end of the trench some 0.10 m below the topsoil making excavations below a depth of 1 m impossible (PI. 12).

The apparently large pit at the northern end of the trench, 604, located by geophysical survey was sectioned and found to be a relatively shallow depression, c.0.40m deep within which two further discrete pits 610 and 611 were identified and excavated. The upper fill of this depression, 605, was a dark brownish grey silty clay rich in large limestone fragments, Roman pottery, building materials, animal bone and oyster shell. Metal finds from this deposit included two concentrations of hobnails, two iron nails and a copper alloy coin, dated to the 3rd century. This deposit also contained a discreet concentration of material, originally interpreted as slag but later identified as degraded fragments of a quern stone. An environmental sample was collected from this deposit (Appendix 3). The primary fill, 606, was a mid brownish grey silty clay 0.10 m deep. Finds similar in nature to those found in layer 605 were recovered from this fill which appears to represent a disturbed or trampled area of natural clay between the pits discussed below.

Pit 610, which was cut through deposit 606, was sub-circular with a diameter of c .1 .50 m . Excavation ceased at a depth of 0.44 m (c.1.00m below the ground surface) due to the aforementioned waterlogging. The earliest excavated fill of this pit was a small amount of redeposited natural clay, 609, which was against the southern edge of the cut. Partially overlying this was a brownish grey silty clay, 608, with a depth of at least 0.20 m , which contained occasional charcoal and limestone flecks. Roman pottery, building materials and quantities of animal bone were recovered from this deposit. Overlying 608 and partially sealing 609 was fill 607 , a 0.22 m deep brownish grey silty clay from which Roman pottery, building materials, animal bone and oyster shell were recovered. Deposit 607 was sealed below 605. The pottery from these deposits was dated to the 3rd/4th centuries.

Part of pit 611 was identified in the western corner of the trench. It had a maximum depth of 0.75 m , although a greater depth is almost certainly present beyond the limits of the trench. It contained a greyish brown silty clay fill, 612, from which a quantity of 3rd/4th century Roman pottery was recovered. Like 610, pit 611 was cut through deposit 606.

South of the pit complex a 1 m wide and 0.30 m deep east-west aligned ditch, 622, was excavated. The grey clay fill, 623, contained a small quantity of animal bone, but no datable material. Cut by this ditch was a small subrectangular pit, 624, 1.05 m long, 0.39 m wide and 0.20 m deep. Two fills were present, the lower, 628, was a manganese-rich grey clay 0.10 m deep whilst the upper, though very similar in nature and depth, lacked the manganese content. No finds were recovered from either of these fills and the date and function of this feature remain unknown. Ditch 622 was also cut by a modern land drain.

In the approximate centre of the trench the terminus of ditch $619,0.55 \mathrm{~m}$ wide and 0.33 m deep was identified (Fig. 10c, PI. 13). Its alignment and location suggested it may have been an element of the triple ditch system (see Trench 14, below). Its red-brown silty clay fill 620 contained no finds. To the south of 619 was 617, a shallow curvilinear gully, 0.26 m deep which
resembled an eaves-drip gully was excavated (PI. 14). The red-brown silty clay fill 618 contained no finds and no associated features (ie. postholes) were present.

To the south of 617 two NW-SE aligned ditches were present. Ditch 615 was 0.90 m wide and 0.35 m deep (Fig. 10b, Pls. 15, 16). Its orange-brown clay fill contained a small quantity of animal bone and its alignment suggested it may be an element of the triple ditch system (see Trench 14, below). Cutting this feature was a shallow ditch, 613, which was 0.80 m wide and 0.20 m deep (Fig. 10a, PI. 16). It had one red-brown silty clay fill, 614, which contained several sherds of Roman pottery.

Trench 7 ( $13.48 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 11a, 11b, PI. 17)
Trench 7 was positioned to examine a flanking ditch and its relationship, if any, to a rectangular feature to the south. The trench was extended far enough to establish whether the rectangular magnetic anomaly was a building.

One SW-NE aligned ditch, 706, 2.25 m wide and 0.78 m deep, was located toward the northern end of this trench (PI. 18). Four fills were present within this feature, the earliest, 704, was a soft brown clay, 0.07 m thick, from which a small quantity of Roman pottery and animal bone was recovered. Overlying this was 703, a 0.53 m deep grey-brown silty clay containing relatively high percentages of limestone fragments and molluscan remains. Animal bone and pottery of Roman date were also present in this deposit. The upper fill of this ditch was an orange-brown silty clay, 702, which was 0.22 m thick and contained some larger fragments of limestone. This fill also contained Roman pottery and animal bone. Within 702 was a small deposit of light grey clay, 705.

Trench 8 ( $9.79 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.24 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 12a, 12b, PI. 19)
Trench 8 was positioned to examine irregular, wavy linear anomalies at eastern side of magnetometer survey area to determine if they were ancient land boundaries or natural in origin. The trench was positioned at $90^{\circ}$ to the anomalies.

Several small irregular features were present in this trench, all of which were the result of natural processes. However, one potential posthole 803 was identified at the north-east end (PI. 20). It was sub-circular with a diameter of 0.32 m and a depth of 0.12 m . A single red-brown silty clay fill was present, 802, which contained occasional limestone fragments but no finds.

Trench 9 (11.13m $\times 2.28 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 13a, 13b, Pl. 21)
Trench 9 was positioned to examine a group of irregular magnetic anomalies visible on the geophysical survey.

One irregular depression, 903, was revealed in the trench and found to be a natural feature.

Trench 10 was positioned to examine the source of weak anomalies on the brow of the hill which comprise an irregular linear feature running NW-SE west of the pylon and a second weaker linear anomaly which had been interpreted as being possibly indicative of a Roman marching camp. The trench was positioned at $90^{\circ}$ to the linear feature crossing the weaker anomaly to the west, avoiding the point at which they crossed one another.

One NW-SE aligned ditch, 1003, was identified in this trench (PI. 22). It was 1.43 m wide and 0.50 m deep and had two fills. The lower fill, 1002, was a friable red-brown clay silt, containing frequent small limestone fragments and occasional flecks of charcoal. Animal bone and shell-tempered pottery dated to the Late Iron Age/early Roman period were recovered from this deposit. 1002 was sampled for environmental data (Appendix 3). Above this was a mid brown clay deposit, $1005,0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth which contained no finds. No evidence was found for the existence of the second linear feature.

Trench 11 (11.22m $\times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. $15 \mathrm{a}, 15 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{Pl} .23$ )
Trench 11 was positioned to examine a curving double linear anomaly west of the triple ditch. The trench was positioned at $90^{\circ}$ to the anomaly avoiding the point at which it crosses the triple ditch.

Banded clays present in the section at the south-west end of the trench (contexts 1116 to 1123 and 1126 to 1128 inclusive, Fig. 16a, PI. 24) may represent the remains of a bank or dump. This material is recorded collectively as context 1125. Subsequent machining was carried out to a depth of $c .1 .00 \mathrm{~m}$ to investigate this material further. To the north-east, and upslope of this clay, the section showed a build-up of reddish brown subsoil, 1108, very similar to the material present in Trenches 12 and 13 (see below).

The terminus of an east-west aligned, possibly linear feature 1103, 1.65m wide and 0.44 m deep was located at the north-east end of the trench (Fig. 16b, PI. 25). Four fills were recorded within this cut. 1106, a red-brown sandy silt 0.30 m deep was located against the southern edge of the feature. This stony deposit appears to have tipped into the ditch from the south. Above this, and also apparently tipped in from the south was 1105, a soft red-brown sandy clay c. 0.14 m thick with occasional small stone inclusions. Between these two contexts a stony tip line was observed. The main fill of this feature was a grey sandy clay, 1104, which contained small pockets of heavier greenish-grey clay. This 0.28 m thick deposit contained occasional small fragments of limestone. The upper fill of this feature was 1102, a mottled redbrown and grey sandy clay up to 0.08 m deep. One sherd of Roman pottery was recovered from this fill, although its position suggests it may have been deposited during the cutting of a modern land drain and thus should be regarded as intrusive.

Trench 12 ( $10.88 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. $17 \mathrm{a}, 17 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{PI} .26$ )
Trench 12 was positioned to investigate a double linear anomaly, possibly a headland, which crossed a former field boundary, parallel to medieval ridge and furrow. According to the geophysical survey, its character apparently
differed to the north and south of the former field boundary so its structure was examined and compared in two places (Trenches 12 and 13).

Trench 12 was located north of the field boundary at $90^{\circ}$ to the anomaly and machined to the base of the subsoil.

A projected medieval agricultural headland at the west end of this trench was found to be a large natural depression (recorded as 'cut' 1203) in the limestone which was filled with a red-brown sandy silt, 1202. This material was investigated further in Trench 13 (see below).

Trench 13 ('L'-Shaped, $14.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}, 28.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$, Figs. 18, 19a, 19b. Pls. 27, 28)
Trench 13 was positioned south of the field boundary to investigate a linear feature located between a zone of clearer ridge and furrow to the east and possible pits to the west, possibly the result of ancient quarrying. An extension, excavated at $45^{\circ}$ to the first trench was positioned to examine the ridge and furrow visible on geophysical survey.

The NW-SE arm of this trench demonstrated the expected presence of medieval plough furrows in section. Furrow 1307 was 1.80 m wide and 0.26 m deep and filled with a dark red-brown clay silt, 1304 (PI. 29). Furrow 1308 was located c. 1.00 m north-west of 1307 and was 0.95 m wide and 0.35 m deep. The fill, 1305 was identical in nature to 1304. The third identified furrow was 1309 , located 0.75 m north-west of 1308 . This 0.80 m wide and 0.28 m deep feature was filled by 1306 which was slightly stonier than the fills of the other two. The distance between ridges (centre to centre) was c. 2.00 m .

The NE-SW arm was dug across the same natural depression as that recorded in Trench 12. Two sondages were dug by machine through deposit 1303. The eastern sondage reached natural limestone at a depth of 0.85 m , the western, though dug to a depth of 1.1 m failed to do so.

The possible pit-like features recorded on the geophysical survey were actually the result of natural soil movements down the slope of the filled in depression.

Trench 14 ( $22.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.18 \mathrm{~m}$. Figs. 20, 21, Pls. 30,31 )
Trench 14 was located to examine the form and depth of the triple ditches, the general nature and date of their fills and to examine the possible survival of associated banks and the potential for sealed palaeosols or other preexisting surfaces at a point along the alignment which was not too eroded.

The trench crossed at least three elements of a triple ditch system. The westernmost, and largest of the ditches, 1419, was 2.36 m wide and 0.92 m deep. The uniform fill of this feature, 1418, consisted of a red-brown sandy silt and contained abundant molluscan remains which increased with depth. Small quantities of animal bone and pottery of Late Iron Age/early Roman date were recovered from this context.

No finds were recovered from the central ditch, 1412 , which was 1.52 m wide and 0.50 m deep. The fill of this ditch, 1411, was a red-brown sandy silt which contained occasional fragments of natural limestone.

The eastern ditch, 1403 , was 0.90 m wide and 0.46 m deep (PI. 32). 27 sherds of Middle Iron Age pottery were recovered from the fill, 1404, a red-brown sandy silt containing frequent small stones. Context 1402 was located adjacent to, and west of 1403 and was probably formed by plough damage to the top of fill 1404. This deposit was very similar in nature to 1404, with pottery of early Roman date, fired clay and animal bone recovered from it. All three of these ditches were sampled for environmental data (Appendix 3).

Excavation of an irregular feature, 1406, located between the central and eastern ditches showed two depressions, both c. 0.65 m wide and 0.20 m 0.30 m deep, which may be the termini of two small ditches or gullies possibly associated with the ditch system. Filling this feature was a red-brown sandy clay silt, 1407, which contained abundant molluscan remains. An environmental sample was taken to examine the possibility of similarities with the fills of the other features in this trench (Appendix 3).

Trenches $15-20(2 m \times 2 m$ test pits, Figs 22a, b, c \& 23a, b, c, Pls. 33 to 38) These six test pits were machined down into natural layers. Trenches 15 and 16 encountered natural limestone outcrops immediately below the topsoil (Figs. 22a, b, Pls. 33, 34). A deeper natural stratigraphy was present in Trenches 17 to 20 with mixed clays present to a depth of at least 1.20 m (Figs. 22c, 23a, b, c, Pls. 35 to 38). With the exception of a land drain in Trench 18 (Fig. 23a, PI. 36), no archaeological features were present in any of the trenches.

## Discussion

Evaluation trenching at Greetwell revealed a number of archaeological features of the pre-Roman and Roman periods.

Trench 14 was positioned across a triple ditch system, some 14 m in total width, identified both by aerial photographs and geophysical survey. Although previous excavations by LAS on this, and similar systems in Lincolnshire have produced little dating evidence, the ditches have been assumed to be of Iron Age date. At Greetwell, finds were recovered from the two outer ditches, and analysis of this material (Appendix 2) suggests they may not be contemporary. Pottery from the eastern ditch, 1403, the smallest of the three, was dated to the Middle Iron Age whilst 1419, the western and largest ditch produced material of the Late Iron Age/early Roman period. This dating evidence may be interpreted in a number of ways. It is possible that all three ditches date to the Middle Iron Age, or earlier, and that whilst the eastern (and possibly the central) ditch fell into disuse, the western ditch was cleaned and maintained up to, and possibly beyond the start of the Roman period. Alternatively, the ditch 'system' may only have comprised one or two ditches at any one time, 1419 representing its last incarnation. In both these scenarios, the increase in size of the western ditch may reflect a change in
function for the complex as a whole. No associated banking or palisading was observed within the trench.

The ditches also appear to skirt a large depression, now barely visible on the surface but evident during machining. This feature, filled with what appears to be large quantities of ancient soils (recorded in Trenches 12 and 13 as 1202 and 1303) may be the result of quarrying in antiquity or, alternatively it may be a geological feature. It appears to have been gradually filled by ploughsoil moving downslope, the result of intensified agricultural practice through the Middle Ages. This hypothesis is largely borne out by both the fieldwalking results, obtained prior to the evaluation trenching phase, and examination of deposit 1303. Practically no finds were recovered from either the surface in this area (Armour-Chelu 1997), or 1303, suggesting its infilling to be a relatively recent event.

At the northern end of the site, the ditches terminate, as indicated by the lack of archaeological features in Trench 1. This suggests the ditches respect the field boundary, marked by a watercourse and demonstrates the presence of ditch termini within the proposed development area. At Brauncewell Quarry it was shown that ditch termini were associated with an increase in contemporary archaeological activity (Tipper 1994) and it is suggested that a similar situation may exist at Greetwell.

Evidence for earlier Roman occupation at Greetwell is scant. It is suggested that pottery from ditch 706, associated with a rectangular structure visible only as a topsoil 'ghost' on geophysical plots, may be indicative of an earlier phase of activity (Appendix 2), but the poor state of preservation of the material makes absolute dating impossible.

Quantities of stone and tile were present within the unexcavated features in Trench 3 which may indicate the former presence of at least two stone buildings, although the fact that no significant quantities were noted on the surface makes it more likely that these represent the remains of stone footings associated with wooden buildings. Pottery and a coin recovered suggest a mid 3rd to 4th century date for these features. Broadly contemporary finds were collected from the ring ditch, 405, an associated feature, 411, in Trench 4 and the pit complex, 604, in Trench 6.

At this evaluation stage, it is unclear whether the buildings are contemporary with the pit complex in Trench 6, as the date range from the finds thus far recovered is rather broad. The function of the pits themselves also remains rather ambiguous. Finds suggest they are contemporary with one another, and an area of trample between them suggests a fair amount of human activity in the area. A layer of probable backfill, 605, was rich in 3rd and 4th century pottery, tile and stone was identified sealing both the pits and the trampled area between.

Fired clay fragments recovered from this deposit contained quantities of fossilised shell, suggesting a local origin. The majority of the surfaces were roughly flat with only three pieces exhibiting a smooth face. Two right-angled
surfaces exist suggesting that the clay fragments may once have been building bricks; however, the clay has been low-fired suggesting this to be unlikely.

The fragments also have unusual indentations and protrusions. These are similar to the crude tenon and mortise joints identified on possible furnace lining bricks found at the iron smelting site at Laxton, Northamptonshire (Jackson and Tylecote 1988, 288-290). Unfortunately no corroborative evidence of industrial activity was recorded in the trial trenches at Greetwell and none of the fired clay was slagged. Nevertheless, this raises the possibility that industrial activity may have been occurring close by. This deposit was also rich in environmental remains and evidence for nearby human habitation (Appendix 3). Feature 411, within the area delineated by ring ditch 405 may represent one or more burials, assuming the ring ditch is indeed related to a barrow. As this feature remains unexcavated, further work would be necessary to clarify this situation.

The bank form, 1125, identified in Trench 11 represents probably the most enigmatic feature at Greetwell. Both date and function remain undetermined, although the build up of subsoil, 1108, behind it may suggest a post-medieval date. The soil build up is likely to be the result of an intensification of agricultural practice in the medieval and post-medieval periods, suggested by the ridge and furrow evident on the geophysical survey (Fig. 2) and recorded in Trench 13 (Fig. 19a).

Results from the evaluation trenching broadly agreed with those gained from fieldwalking and geophysical survey. Some results were, however, unexpected. For example, the ring form in Trench 4 proved to be considerably later than its hypothesised Bronze Age date and the projected non-contemporary nature of the Iron Age triple ditch system was a surprising development. All interpretation of the archaeology at Greetwell is, of course, based on features observed within the width of machine trenches and, though incomplete, the data does indicate substantial multi-period remains on the site.
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| CONTEXT | TYPE | PART OF | FORM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | L | 201 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 2) :=301, 401, 501, 601,701, 801, 901, 1001, 1101, 1201,1301, <br> 1401,1501,1601,1701,1801,1901,2001 |
| 202 | L | 202 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 203 | C | 203 | Linear | Ditch/Gully. Filled by 204 |
| 204 | F | 203 | Linear | Fill of 203 |
| 205 | C | 205 | Hollow | Natural Feature. Filled by 206 |
| 206 | F | 205 | Hollow | Fill of 205 |
| 207 | L | 207 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit |
| 301 | L | 301 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 3) |
| 302 | F | 307 | Linear | Fill of 307 |
| 303 | F | 308 | Pit | Fill of 308 |
| 304 | C | 304 | Linear | Gully. Filled by 305 |
| 305 | F | 304 | Gully | Fill of 304 |
| 306 | L | 306 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 307 | C | 307 | Linear | Construction trench/elongated pit |
| 308 | C | 308 | Pit | Pit? Filled by 303 |
| 309 | C | 309 | Posthole | Posthole. Filled by 310 |
| 310 | F | 309 | Posthole | Fill of 309 |
| 311 | C | 311 | Linear | Construction trench? Filled by 312 |
| 312 | F | 311 | Linear | Fill of 311 |
| 313 | C | 313 | Linear | Foundation trench? Filled by 314 |
| 314 | F | 313 | Linear | Fill of 313 |
| 315 | C | 315 | Posthole | Posthole. Filled by 316 |
| 316 | F | 315 | Posthole | Fill of 315 |
| 317 | C | 317 | Ditch | Ring ditch:= 323. Filled by 318 |
| 318 | F | 317 | Ditch | Fill of $317:=324$ |
| 319 | C | 319 | Pit | Pit. Filled by 320 |
| 320 | F | 319 | Pit | Fill of 319 |
| 321 | C | 321 | Pit | Pit. Filled by 322 |
| 322 | F | 321 | Pit | Fill of 321 |
| 323 | C | 323 | Ditch | Ring ditch:= 317. Filled by 324 |
| 324 | F | 323 | Ditch | Fill of 323: $=318$ |
| 401 | L | 401 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 4) |
| 402 | F | 405 | Ditch | Upper fill of 405 |
| 403 | F | 411 | Feature | Fill of 411 |
| 404 | F | 405 | Ditch | Primary fill of 405 |
| 405 | C | 405 | Ditch | Ring ditch. Filled by 402 \& 404 |
| 406 | L | 406 | Layer | Natural gravelly clay |
| 407 | L | 407 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 408 | L | 408 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 409 | L | 409 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 410 | L | 410 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 411 | C | 411 | Feature | Internal feature associated with ring ditch. Filled by 403 |
| 501 | , | 501 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 5) |
| 502 | L | 502 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 503 | F | 503 | Ditch | Fill of 504 |
| 504 | C | 504 | Ditch | Enclosure ditch. Filled by 503 |
| 505 | F | 505 | Hollow | Fill of 506 |
| 506 | C | 506 | Hollow | Natural feature. Filled by 505 |
| 507 | L | 507 | Layer | Natural clay/limestone mix |
| 601 | L | 601 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 6) |
| 602 | L | 602 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 603 | L | 603 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 604 | C | 604 | Pit | Pit. Filled by 605 \& 606 |
| 605 | F | 604 | Pit | Upper fill of 604 |
| 606 | F | 604 | Pit | Primary fill of 604 |
| 607 | F | 610 | Pit | Upper fill of 610 |


| CONTEXT | TYPE | PART OF | FORM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 608 | F | 610 | Pit | Fill of 610 |
| 609 | F | 604 | Pit | Fill of 610 |
| 610 | C | 610 | Pit | Pit. Filled by 607, 608 \& 609 |
| 611 | C | 611 | Pit | Pit. Filled by 612 |
| 612 | F | 611 | Pit | Primary fill of 611 |
| 613 | C | 613 | Ditch | Ditch. Filled by 614 |
| 614 | F | 613 | Ditch | Primary fill of 613 |
| 615 | C | 615 | Ditch | Ditch, element of triple ditch? Filled by 616 |
| 616 | F | 615 | Ditch | Fill of 615 |
| 617 | C | 617 | Gully | Eaves-drip gully? Filled by 618 |
| 618 | F | 617 | Gully | Fill of 617 |
| 619 | C | 619 | Ditch | Ditch terminus. Possibly element of triple ditch system. Filled by 620 \& 621 |
| 620 | F | 620 | Ditch | Upper fill of 619 |
| 621 | F | 619 | Ditch | Primary fill of 619 |
| 622 | C | 622 | Ditch | Ditch. Filled by 623 |
| 623 | F | 622 | Ditch | Fill of 622 |
| 624 | C | 624 | Pit | Sub-rectangular pit. Filled by 625 \& 628 |
| 625 | F | 624 | Pit | Upper fill of 624 |
| 626 | C | 626 | Land drain | Modern land drain. Filled by 627 |
| 627 | F | 626 | Land drain | Fill of 626 |
| 628 | F | 624 | Pit | Primary fill of 624 |
| 701 | L | 701 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 7) |
| 702 | F | 706 | Ditch | Upper fill of 706 |
| 703 | F | 706 | Ditch | Fill of 706 |
| 704 | F | 706 | Ditch | Primary fill of 706 |
| 705 | F | 706 | Ditch | Fill of 706 |
| 706 | C | 706 | Ditch | Ditch. filled by $702,703,704$ \& 705 |
| 707 | L | 707 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 708 | L | 708 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 709 | L | 709 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 710 | L | 710 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit |
| 711 | L | 711 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 712 | L | 712 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 801 | L | 801 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 8) |
| 802 | F | 803 | Posthole | Fill of 803 |
| 803 | C | 803 | Posthole | Posthole? Filled by 802 |
| 804 | L | 804 | Layer | Natural clay/limestone deposit |
| 805 | L | 805 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit:=806, 807, 808 \& 809 |
| 806 | L | 806 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit:=805, 807, 808 \& 809 |
| 807 | L | 807 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit:=805, 806, 808 \& 809 |
| 808 | L | 808 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit:=805, 806, 807 \& 809 |
| 809 | L | 809 | Layer | Irregular subsoil deposit:=805, 806, 807 \& 808 |
| 810 | L | 810 | Layer | Infill of natural depression |
| 811 | L | 811 | Layer | Natural clayey/sandy deposit |
| 901 | L | 901 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 9) |
| 902 | F | 903 | Hollow | Fill of 903 |
| 903 | C | 903 | Hollow | Natural hollow. Filled by 902 |
| 904 | L | 904 | Layer | Natural sandy/clay deposit |
| 905 | L | 905 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 906 | L | 906 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 907 | L | 907 | Layer | Natural clay/limestone deposit |
| 1001 | L | 1001 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 10) |
| 1002 | F | 1003 | Ditch | Primary fill of 1003 |
| 1003 | C | 1003 | Ditch | Ditch. Filled by 1002 \& 1005 |
| 1004 | L | 1004 | Layer | Clay/limestone natural |
| 1005 | F | 1003 | Ditch | Upper fill of 1003 |
| 1101 | L | 1101 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 11) |
| 1102 | F | 1103 | Linear | Upper fill of 1103 |


| CONTEXT | TYPE | PART OF | FORM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1103 | C | 1103 | Linear | Probable ditch terminus. Filled by $1102,1104,1105$ \& 1106 |
| 1104 | F | 1103 | Linear | Fill of 1103 |
| 1105 | F | 1103 | Linear | Fill of 1103 |
| 1106 | F | 1103 | Linear | Fill of 1103 |
| 1107 | C | 1107 | Hollow | Natural feature. Filled by 1108, 1109 \& 1110 |
| 1108 | F | 1107 | Hollow | Subsoil build up/upper fill of 1107 |
| 1109 | F | 1107 | Hollow | Fill of 1107 |
| 1110 | F | 1107 | Hollow | Fill of 1107 |
| 1111 | F | 1114 | Hollow | Fill of 1114 |
| 1112 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Unused context number |
| 1113 | L | 1113 | Layer | Natural subsoil deposit |
| 1114 | C | 1114 | Hollow | Natural feature. Filled by 1111 |
| 1115 | L | 1115 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 1116 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1117 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1118 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1119 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1120 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1121 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1122 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1123 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1124 | L | 1124 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 1125 | E | 1125 | Bank | Bank form? Contains 1116 to 1123 \& 1126 to 1129 inclusive |
| 1126 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1127 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1128 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1129 | L | 1125 | Bank | Element of bank form. Component of 1125 |
| 1130 | L | 1130 | Layer | Natural clay/limestone deposit |
| 1201 | L | 1201 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 12) |
| 1202 | L | 1203 | Depression | Fill of 1203:=1303 |
| 1203 | C | 1203 | Depression | Natural feature. "Filled" by 1202 |
| 1204 | L | 1204 | Natural | Natural gravel |
| 1301 | L | 1301 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 13) |
| 1302 | L | 1302 | Layer | Natural limestone |
| 1303 | L | 1302 | Depression | Fill of natural feature:=1202 |
| 1304 | F | 1307 | Furrow | Fill of 1307 |
| 1305 | F | 1308 | Furrow | Fill of 1308 |
| 1306 | F | 1309 | Furrow | Fill of 1309 |
| 1307 | C | 1307 | Furrow | Medieval plough furrow. Filled by 1304 |
| 1308 | C | 1308 | Furrow | Medieval plough furrow. Filled by 1305 |
| 1309 | C | 1309 | Furrow | Medieval plough furrow. Filled by 1306 |
| 1310 | L | 1310 | Layer | Natural subsoil deposit |
| 1401 | L | 1401 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 14) |
| 1402 | L | 1402 | Spread | Spread of material from ditch 1403 |
| 1403 | C | 1403 | Ditch | Ditch. Filled by 1404 |
| 1404 | F | 1403 | Ditch | Fill of 1403 |
| 1405 | L | 1405 | Layer | Natural subsoil deposit:=1421 |
| 1406 | C | 1406 | Ditch | Irregular ditch/guly termini. Filled by 407 |
| 1407 | F | 1406 | Ditch | Fill of 1406 |
| 1408 | L | 1408 | Layer | Natural clay layer |
| 1409 | C | 1409 | Land drain | Modern land drain. Filled by 1410 |
| 1410 | F | 1409 | Land drain | Fill of 1409 |
| 1411 | F | 1412 | Ditch | Fill of 1412 |
| 1412 | C | 1412 | Ditch | Central ditch of the triple ditch system. Filled by 1411 |
| 1413 | F | 1414 | Irregular | Fill of 1414 |
| 1414 | C | 1414 | Irregular | Modern disturbance. Filled by 1413 |


| CONTEXT | TYPE | PART OF | FORM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1415 | L | 1415 | Layer | Natural limestone |
| 1416 | L | 1416 | Layer | Natural sandy gravels |
| 1417 | L | 1417 | Layer | Natural limestone |
| 1418 | F | 1419 | Ditch | Fill of 1419 |
| 1419 | C | 1419 | Ditch | Ditch. Part of the triple ditch system. Filled by 1418 |
| 1420 | L | 1420 | Layer | Natural gravels |
| 1421 | L | 1421 | Layer | Natural subsoil deposit:=1405 |
| 1501 | L | 1501 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 15, T.P) |
| 1502 | L | 1502 | Layer | Natural limestone/clay mix |
| 1601 | L | 1601 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 16, T.P) |
| 1602 | L | 1602 | Layer | Silty clay subsoil |
| 1603 | L | 1603 | Layer | Natural clay/limestone |
| 1701 | L | 1701 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 17, T.P) |
| 1702 | L | 1702 | Layer | Subsoil deposit |
| 1703 | L | 1703 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 1704 | L | 1704 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 1705 | L | 1705 | Layer | Natural clay |
| 1801 | L | 1801 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 18, T.P) |
| 1802 | L | 1802 | Layer | Silty clay subsoil |
| 1803 | L | 1803 | Layer | Natural (orangey/brown) broken limestone |
| 1804 | L | 1804 | Layer | Natural (light grey) broken limestone |
| 1805 | L | 1805 | Layer | Natural (yellowish/grey) broken limestone |
| 1806 | L | 1806 | Layer | Grey clay/limestone natural |
| 1807 | C | 1807 | Land drain | Modern land drain. Filled by 1808, 1809 \& 1810 |
| 1808 | F | 1807 | Land drain | Backfill of 1807 |
| 1809 | F | 1807 | Land drain | Fill of 1807 |
| 1810 | F | 1807 | Land drain | Fill of 1807 |
| 1901 | L | 1901 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 19, T.P) |
| 1902 | L | 1902 | Layer | Natural (orange/grey) clay |
| 2001 | L | 2001 | Layer | Topsoil (Trench 20, T.P) |
| 2002 | L | 2002 | Layer | Natural (light yellow) broken limestone |
|  |  |  |  |  |

REPORT ON THE POTTERY FROM GREETWELL, GWQ97
for LINDSEY ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICES
by Margaret J Darling, M.Phil., F.S.A., M.I.F.A.
Report 23, 12 January 1998

## QUANTITY AND CONDITION

The total quantity recorded is 376 sherds, 5.816 kg . There is a quantity of tile, 40 fragments, 3.438 kg . Condition is mostly good, and there are no problems for long term storage. The pottery has been archived according to the guidelines of The Study Group for Roman Pottery, the archive including sherd count and weight. A copy of the archive database can be supplied on disk, and is attached. Vessels selected for illustration have been assigned drawing numbers, and are separated from the main pottery bags.

The pottery came from 26 contexts, from seven trenches, mostly from trench 6. Tile is recorded from four trenches producing pottery ( $3,4,6$ and 7 ), and also from trenches 2 and 9 . A summary of the quantities by context, with date, comments and information relating to sherd links between contexts, ordered numerically, is on Table 1.

Table 1

| Trench | Cxt | Shs | Wt | Date | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 301 | 4 | 132 | $3-4 C$ |  |
| 3 | 302 | 11 | 156 | $3-4 C$ |  |
| 3 | 305 | 15 | 94 | M3 ON |  |
| 3 | 318 | 3 | 28 | $3-4 C$ |  |
| 3 | 322 | 1 | 24 | ML3-?4 |  |
| 3 | 324 | 3 | 108 | M3 ON |  |
| 4 | 401 | 16 | 244 | M3 ON |  |
| 4 | 402 | 25 | 549 | M3-?4 |  |
| 4 | 403 | 18 | 268 | ML3 |  |
| 4 | 404 | 7 | 63 | L2-3 | NO STRONG DATE EVIDENCE |
| 6 | 601 | 7 | 84 | 4 C? |  |
| 6 | 605 | 64 | 1440 | ML4 |  |
| 6 | 606 | 63 | 836 | ML3 |  |
| 6 | 607 | 30 | 401 | ML3 | POSS LINKS 607-608 |
| 6 | 608 | 27 | 431 | ML3 |  |
| 6 | 612 | 1 | 79 | L2 ON |  |
| 6 | 614 | 24 | 666 | ML3 |  |
| 7 | 701 | 2 | 14 | RO |  |
| 7 | 702 | 3 | 11 | RO |  |
| 7 | 703 | 4 | 17 | RO |  |
| 7 | 704 | 4 | 6 | RO |  |
| 10 | 1002 | 11 | 50 | LIA/RO? |  |
| 11 | 1102 | 2 | 7 | M3? |  |
| 14 | 1402 | 1 | 1 | RO? |  |
| 14 | 1404 | 28 | 101 | MLIA |  |
| 14 | 1418 | 2 | 6 | LIA/RO? |  |
| Total |  | 376 | 5816 |  |  |

## Sherd links

The only possible links occurred between 607 and 608.

## OVERVIEW OF FABRICS AND FORMS

The fabrics from the total site are detailed on Table 2.
Table 2

| Fabric | Code | Sherds | $\%$ | grams | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amphorae | AMPH | 2 | 0.53 | 73 | 1.26 |
| Mortaria Swanpool? | MOSP? | 3 | 0.80 | 157 | 2.70 |
| Cream? | CR? | 3 | 0.80 | 6 | 0.10 |
| Nene Valley colour-coated | NVCC | 11 | 2.93 | 61 | 1.05 |
| Oxidized | OX | 6 | 1.60 | 18 | 0.31 |
| Grey fine | GFIN | 1 | 0.27 | 6 | 0.10 |
| Grey | GREY | 211 | 56.12 | 3991 | 68.62 |
| Dales ware | DWSH | 96 | 25.54 | 1339 | 23.03 |
| Grey minimal shell | GYMS | 2 | 0.53 | 6 | 0.10 |
| Iron Age coarse shell | IASHC | 28 | 7.45 | 101 | 1.74 |
| Shell-gritted | SHEL | 13 | 3.46 | 58 | 1.00 |
| Total |  | 376 |  | 5816 |  |

The distribution of fabrics across the trenches is shown on Table 3.
Table 3

|  |  | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 14 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amphorae | AMPH | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mortaria | MOSP? | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Cream | CR? | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Oxidized | OX | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NV colour-coat | NVCC | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Grey fine | GFIN | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grey | GREY | 23 | 60 | 114 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 211 |
| Dales Ware | DWSH | 12 | 3 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| Shell | SHEL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Grey min.shell | GYMS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Iron Age shell | IASHC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
|  | Total | 37 | 66 | 216 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 31 | 376 |

The two amphora sherds both come from the same type of amphorae, probably from Spain, but appear to be from two different vessels, despite coming from 605 and 606 . The three mortarium sherds belong to a single mortarium, almost certainly from the late Swanpool kilns in Lincoln, but lacking the common white-slip finish.

The three sherds of Cream are flakes only from a single vessel in 606, the fine fabric with mica being of the type often used for flagons. Oxidized sherds are all flakes or tiny abraded bodysherds (from trenches 3, 4, 6 and 7). One from 401 could possibly be from a flagon or closed vessel. The 11 sherds of Nene Valley colour-coated ware represent just three vessels, a folded beaker with scale-decoration from 608 ( 9 sherds, the fabric suggesting a probable mid 3rd century date) and two sherds from 605, a bead-and-flange bowl of 4th century date, and a sherd from a beaker with a late fabric type, of later 3rd to 4th century date.

Of the sherds identifiable for vessel type, the GREY wares are dominated by sherds from widemouthed bowls, classic types for the later 3rd and 4th centuries, mostly of types seen at the

Rookery Lane and Swanpool kilns in Lincoln, at least 10 vessels. These account for $32 \%$ of identified forms. Nine sherds came from six bead-and-flanged bowls, ranging from low to high beads, accounting for $14 \%$ of identified grey vessel forms. Other identified types are of 3rd century date. There is little evidence to indicate vessels of earlier date.

Most of the shell-gritted sherds are from Dales Ware jars. 11 bodysherds from 1002 differed, appearing to be wheel-made and could be from Late Iron Age or early Roman vessels. Two sherds could not be positively identified, a thin-walled bodysherd from 302 and a flaked darkgrey fragment from 401.

Two sherds of GYMS, grey with minimal shell, come from a single vessel in 1418. This appears to be wheel-made and would be the type of fabric and technique seen in the late Iron Age or early Roman period. The Iron Age coarse shell-gritted sherds all belong to a single hand-made vessel, with a square-cut upright rim of a wide-rimmed vessel, and is the sole find from 1404.

## TILE

The tile includes a stone fragment from $608(81 \mathrm{~g})$. Most of the fragments are in a fairly abraded condition, and except for two thick fragments from 402 and 606 which could be from bonding tiles or similar, the fragments are from roof tiles, including tegulae and probable imbrices. Possible post-Roman tiles came from 201, 401 and possibly 901.

## DISCUSSION

Overall the emphasis of the bulk of the material is the later Roman period, broadly the mid 3rd to 4th centuries. Notably no samian was found. The earliest pottery is the single vessel from 1404; this is very fragmentary and in poor flaked condition, and is a type which could be current in the middle Iron Age, possibly extending into the Late Iron Age. The single GYMS vessel from 1418 could fit the Late Iron Age or early Roman period. The group of shellgritted sherds from 1002 could fit a similar date; these are too fragmentary to be definitive.

Trench 7 was unfortunate in its pottery, only 13 sherds, in that none of these, all coarse GREY or OX fabrics, can be closely dated. This could be from earlier Roman occupation than found in the other trenches.

Trenches $3,4,6$ and 11 all seem to fit into the later Roman period, trench 11 having only two sherds. Trench 14 has a single flake (from 1402) possibly of Roman date, but is otherwise of Mid to Late Iron Age to possibly early Roman date, while Trench 10 could be date to the Late Iron Age to early Roman period.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Nine vessels have been selected as necessary to illustrate these excavations, all of later Roman date. The Iron Age vessel from trench 14 is barely drawable, but should be considered. Since further excavation is envisaged, these vessels for drawing should be reserved for decisions to be made after this work, which may produce more definitive and better examples.

| Trench | Cxt | Fab | Fm | Dec | Ves | D? | DNo | Comment | Joins | Shs | wt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 614 | GREY | BWM | - | 1 | D | 1 | RIM>GROOVES;NONJ BSS;CURVED U/C | - | 6 | 376 |
| 6 | 607 | GREY | BFB | - | $1 ?$ | D | 2 | RIM/WALL;F HIGH BEAD;NONJ BSS | - | 3 | 118 |
| 6 | 606 | GREY | BFL | - | - | D | 3 | RIM/WALL;STUBBY FL | - | 1 | 58 |
| 6 | 606 | GREY | BWM | - | 1 | D? | 4 | U/C CURVED RIM/SHLDR | - | 8 | 170 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | BFBH | - | - | D | 5 | RIM/WALL;CHUNKY FL | - | 1 | 169 |
| 3 | 302 | GREY | JNN | - | $1 ?$ | D? | 6 | FLANGED RIM/NECK/SHLDR;NONJ BSS | - | 3 | 71 |
| 4 | 401 | GREY | BFBL | - | - | D | 7 | RIM/WALL | - | 1 | 59 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | DGR | - | - | D | 8 | COMP PROF | - | 1 | 27 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | BWM | - | - | D | 9 | ROUND RIM NECK.>SHLDR | - | 1 | 52 |


| Trench | Cxt | Fab | Fm | Dec Ves | D? | DNo | Comment | Joins | Shs | wt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 612 | GREY | BD |  |  |  | BASE SH |  | 1 | 79 |
| 6 | 612 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | L2 ON |  |  |  |
| 6 | 614 | GREY | BWM | 1 | D | 1 | RIM $>$ GROOVES:NONJ BSS:CURVED U/C |  | 6 | 376 |
| 6 | 614 | GREY | JCUR |  |  |  | RIM ONL Y:CP TYPE |  | 1 | 13 |
| 6 | 614 | GFIN | CLSD |  |  |  | BS NR ?NECK ?FLASK |  | 1 | 6 |
| 6 | 614 | GREY |  |  |  |  | ESS |  | 11 | 115 |
| 6 | 614 | DWSH | J | 1 |  |  | BASE |  | 2 | 144 |
| 6 | 614 | DWSH | 1 |  |  |  | BSS |  | 3 | 12 |
| 6 | 614 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | ML3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 608 | NVCC | BKFOS | 1 |  |  | BSS:LTBN FAB |  | 9 | 49 |
| 6 | 608 | GREY | EWM? |  |  |  | CURVED U/C RIM FR |  | 1 | 11 |
| 6 | 608 | GREY | JB |  |  |  | RIM FR ONLY |  | 1 | 9 |
| 6 | 608 | GREY |  | 1 |  |  | JBSS:DKGRY WLT GRYBNS |  | 2 | 42 |
| 6 | 608 | GREY |  | 1 |  |  | BASE:LTGRY FAB:GRYEN S |  | 2 | 81 |
| 6 | 608 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS |  | 5 | 32 |
| 6 | 608 | DWSH | JOW |  |  |  | RIM |  | 1 | 13 |
| 6 | 608 | DWSH | J |  |  |  | ESS 2+ VESS |  | 6 | 194 |
| 6 | 608 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | ML3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 607 | GREY | BFB | $1 ?$ | D | 2 | RIMMALL:F HIGH BEAD:NONJ BSS |  | 3 | 118 |
| 6 | 607 | GREY | JTR |  |  |  | RIM FR:UNUS TR;NECK;SHLDR |  | 1 | 14 |
| 6 | 607 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS |  | 10 | 145 |
| 6 | 607 | DWSH | JOW | 2 |  |  | RIMS |  | 2 | 29 |
| 6 | 607 | DWSH | J |  |  |  | BSS |  | 14 | 95 |
| 6 | 1607 | DATE |  |  |  |  | ML3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 607 | III |  |  |  |  | POSS LINKS 607-608 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1606 | AMPH | A |  |  |  | LTRB BS,MICA \& CALC |  | 1 | 35 |
| 6 | 606 | CR? |  |  |  |  | FLAKES ONLY FINE LTBN-CR:MICA |  | 3 | 6 |
| 6 | 806 | GREY | BFL |  | D | 3 | RIMAWALL: STUBEY FL |  | 1. | 58 |
| 6 | 606 | GREY | BWM | 1 | D? | 4 | U/C CURVED RIM/SHLDR |  | 8 | 170 |
| 6 | 606 | GRE | JCUR |  |  |  | RIM FR ONLY |  | 1. | 11 |
| 6 | 606 | GREY | J107 |  |  |  | RIMFR ONLY:LTGRY |  | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | J? |  |  |  | STRING BASE:RB INT |  | 1. | 20 |
| 0 | 606 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS.ONE RB INT |  | 24. | 174 |
| 6 | 606 | OX? |  |  |  |  | FLAKE ONLY |  | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 606 | DWSH | JDW | 1 |  |  | RIMS; 2 NONJ BSS |  | 3 | 245 |
| 6 | 606 | DWSH | JDW |  |  |  | RIM |  | 1. | 9 |
| 6 | 606 | DWSH | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | ESS |  | 13 | 104 |
| 6 | 606 | IDATE |  |  |  |  | ML3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 605 | MOSP? | M | 1 |  |  | BASE:LTBN GRYCORE SLAG TG |  | 3 | 157 |
| 6 | 605 | AMPH | A |  |  |  | LTRE BS:MICANCALC:NOT SAME 606 |  | 1 | 38 |
| 6 | 605 | NVCC | BFB |  |  |  | RIM FR ONL Y:BURNT GRY |  | 1. | 9 |
| 6 | 605 | NVCC | BK |  |  |  | ESREFAB |  | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | EFBH |  | D | 5 | RIMWALL:CHLNKY FL |  | 1 | 169 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | BFBH |  |  |  | RIMFL ONLY |  | 1. | 27 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | EWM? |  |  |  | RIM CURVED ONLY |  | 1 | 20 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | BWM |  |  |  | RIM CURVED ONL Y |  | 1 | 21 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | JH? |  |  |  | ES W HDL E SCAR: ORF? |  | 1 | 21 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | JNN? |  |  |  | NECK:SHLDR:? ${ }^{\text {UNN }}$ |  | 1 | 15 |
| 6 | 805 | GREY | $J ?$ |  |  |  | SANDY BASE |  | 1 | 149 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | JB |  |  |  | BASE PROB BWIM |  | 1. | 83 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS |  | 18. | 310 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | J |  |  |  | BS RB INT TRACES BURNISH EXT |  | 1. | 57 |
| 6 | 605 | DWSH | JDLS? |  |  |  | RIM FR ONLY |  | 1 | 10 |
| 6 | 605 | DWSH | JOW |  |  |  | RIMFR |  | 1 | 7 |
| 6 | 605 | DVVSH | J | 1 |  |  | BSS |  | 6 | 66 |
| 6 | 605 | DWSH | $J$ |  |  |  | BSS 2-3 YESS |  | 12. | 123 |
| 6 | 605 | DWSH | $J$ | 1 |  |  | EASEIBSS |  | 10 | 148 |
| 6 | 605 | GREY | CLSD |  |  |  | BS HALF RB FAB.DK SURFS |  | 1. | 7 |
| 6 | 605 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | ML4 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 601 | GREY | J |  |  |  | RIM FR.POSS JCR TYPE |  | 1 | 10 |
| 6 | 601 | GREY | BWM |  |  |  | SQUARISH RIM FR ONL Y |  | 1 | 18 |
| 6 | 601 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS |  | 4. | 48 |
| 6 | 601 | DWSH? | J |  |  |  | ES ABR |  | 1 | 8 |
| 6 | 601 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | 4C? |  |  |  |
| 3 | 301 | GREY | BD | 1 |  |  | BASE SHS JSTRING |  | 2 | 113 |
| 3 | 301 | GREY | CLSD | 1 |  |  | BSS THIN-W ?FLASK/EK | 318 | 2 | 19 |
| 3 | 301 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | 3-4C |  |  |  |
| 3 | 302 | GREY | JNN | $1 ?$ | D? | 6 | FLANGED RIMNECK/SHLDR:NONJ ESS |  | 3. | 71 |
| 3 | 302 | GREY | BD |  |  |  | BASE FR |  | 1. | 24 |
| 3 | 302 | GREY |  |  |  |  | ESS/2 BASE FRS |  | 6 | 59 |
| 3 | 302 | SHEL | CLSD |  |  |  | SM BS:THINWALL |  | 1. | 2 |
| 3 | 302 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | $3-4 \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | 305 | $0 \times$ |  |  |  |  | ABR BS,LTRB SURF:DKGRY SANDY FAB |  | 1. | 3 |
| 3 | 305 | GREY |  |  |  |  | BSS |  | 3. | 26 |
| 3 | 305 | DWSH | J |  |  |  | BSS |  | 11 | 65 |
| 3 | 305 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | M3 ON |  |  |  |
| 3 | 318 | GREY | CLSD | 1 |  |  | BSS THIN-W ?FLASK/EK | 301 | 3. | 28 |
| 3 | 318 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | 3-4C |  |  |  |
| 3 | 322 | GREY | BWM |  |  |  | RIM FR:SPOOL? |  | 1 | 24 |
| 3 | 322 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  | ML3-24 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 324 | GREY | JB |  |  |  | EASE FR:POSS BWM |  | 1 | 76 |
| 3 | 1324 | GREY | CLSD |  |  |  | ES |  | 1. | 13 |


| 3 | 1324 | DWSH | J |  |  |  |  | BS | 1 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1324 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | M3 ON |  |  |
| 4 | 401 | OX |  |  |  |  |  | ABR SM BS LTRE THRO ?FLAG | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 401 | GREY | BFBL |  |  | D | 7 | RIMWWALL | 1 | 59 |
| 4 | 401 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BSS | 10 | 129 |
| 4 | 401 | DWSH | $J$ |  |  |  |  | BSS | 3 | 48 |
| 4 | 401 | SHEL |  |  |  |  |  | FLAKED DKGRY BS | 1 | 6 |
| 4 | 401 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | M3 ON |  |  |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | BFE |  |  |  |  | IRIM/PT WALL:F HIGH BEAD | 1 | 36 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | DGR |  |  | D | 8 | COMP PROF | 1 | 27 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | BWM |  |  | D | 9 | ROUND RIM NECK. $>$ SHLDR | 1 | 52 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | BD |  |  |  |  | BASE SH | 1 | 12 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY | BWM |  |  |  |  | SQUARISH RIM FR ONLY | 1 | 13 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY |  |  | 1 |  |  | LGEISH BASE FRAGS:STRING | 3 | 186 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY |  |  | 3 |  |  | BASES | 3 | 75 |
| 4 | 402 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BSS | 14. | 148 |
| 4 | 402 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | M3-?4 |  |  |
| 4 | 403 | GREY | BWM |  | 1? |  |  | RIM FRS: U/C RL TYPE | 2 | 39 |
| 4 | 403 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BSS | 14. | 139 |
| 4 | 403 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | THICK SHSLGE VESS | 2 | 90 |
| 4 | 403 | IDATE |  |  |  |  |  | ML3 |  |  |
| 4 | 404 | OX |  |  |  |  |  | ABR FLAKED BS,LTRB THRO | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY | JBK |  |  |  |  | FR BASE FTM | 1. | 2 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY | J |  |  |  |  | FTM BASE | 1 | 35 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY | JBK? |  |  |  |  | THIN WALL BS DKGRY SURFS | 1. | 1 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BS LTGRY NR NVGW | 1 | 3 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BS ? CARINATED THINW | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 404 | GREY | BD? |  |  |  |  | BASE.?CHAMFERED | 1 | 18 |
| 4 | 404 | IDATE |  |  |  |  |  | L2-3 |  |  |
| 4 | 404 | $\underline{77}$ |  |  |  |  |  | NO STRONG DATE EVIDENCE |  |  |
| 7 | 701 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BSS ONE LTGRY | 2. | 14 |
| 7 | 701 | IDATE |  |  |  |  |  | RO |  |  |
| 7 | 702 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | BS:RB FAB:DKGRY SURFS | 1 | 5 |
| 7 | 702 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | THIN WALL BS \& CHIP | 2 | 6 |
| 7 | 702 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | RO |  |  |
| $\overline{7}$ | 703 | $0 \times$ |  |  | 2 |  |  | FLAKED RE \& SANDY CHIP | 2. | 10 |
| 7 | 703 | GREY |  |  | 1 |  |  | JBSSLTGRY | 2. | 7 |
| 7 | 703 | IDATE |  |  | ! |  |  | RO |  |  |
| 7 | 704 | GREY |  |  | 1 |  |  | BSSICHIPS DKGRY FIGRYEN SURFS | 4. | 6 |
| 7 | 704 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | RO |  |  |
| 10 | 1002 | SHEL | WM |  | 1 |  |  | BSS:GRYFILTENEXT, SPARSE SH | 7 | 35 |
| 10 | 1002 | SHEL | WM? |  | 1 |  |  | BSS:GRYF/ TBN EXT:COMMON SH | 2 | 8 |
| 10 | 1002 | SHEL | WM |  |  |  |  | BS DKGRY THRO:F COMMMON SH | 1. | 6 |
| 10 | 1002 | SHEL |  |  |  |  |  | CHIP | 1 | - |
| 10 | 1002 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | LIARO? |  |  |
| 11 | 1102 | GREY | BFE? |  | 1 |  |  | FLANGE EROKEN SEAD: SANDY | 2 | 7 |
| 11 | 1102 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | M3? |  |  |
| 14 | 1418 | GYMS | WM? |  | 1 |  |  | BSS:GRY W GRYEN SURF V SPARSE SH | 2. | 6 |
| 14 | 1418 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | LIANRO? |  |  |
| 14 | 1402 | GREY |  |  |  |  |  | TINY BS:DKGRY F WGRYEN SURFS | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 1402 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | RO? |  |  |
| 14 | 1404 | IASHC | B? | HM | 1 |  |  | UPR SO CUT RIMSIFLAKES DKGRY:RE SURFS | 28 | 101 |
| 14 | 1404 | ZDATE |  |  |  |  |  | MLIA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 376 | 5816 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 310 | TILE | TEG |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 1 | 152 |
| 4 | 401 | TILE | IMB? |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 1. | 92 |
| 4 | 401 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | POSS POSTRO | 1 | 35 |
| 4 | 401 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | FRAG THICK | 1 | 46 |
| 4 | 401 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FLAKES | 3. | 35 |
| 4 | 402 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 1. | 100 |
| 4 | 402 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FRAGSIFLAKES | 8 | 177 |
| 4 | 402 | TILE | EOND? |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 1. | 114 |
| 6 | 601 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FRAGS GRY FAB THICKISH | 2 | 103 |
| 6 | 601 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | LUMPS ABR - | 2. | 75 |
| 6 | 608 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FLAKES | 2 | 10 |
| 6 | 608 | STONE |  |  |  |  |  | LUMP | 1. | 81 |
| 6 | 614 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | ABR THICKISH FRAG | 1 | 188 |
| 6 | 605 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | THICKISH FRAG | 1 | 398 |
| 6 | 805 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | THICKISH FRAG | 1 | 337 |
| 6 | 605 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | THICKISH FRAG | 1 | 220 |
| 6 | 606 | TILE | TEG? |  | 1 |  |  | THICKISH:BURNT | 5 | 676 |
| 6 | 606 | TILE | BOND? |  |  |  |  | THICK FRAG | 1. | 361 |
| 6 | 606 | TILE | TEG? |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 1 | 41 |
| 6 | 606 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | ABR FRAG | 1. | 66 |
| $\frac{7}{7}$ | 701 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | ABR FRAG | 1 | 98 |
| 7 | 701 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | CREAMISH FRAG | 1 | 26 |
| 7 | 702 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FLAKE STREAKY FAB | 1 | 6 |
| 9 | 901 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG ?RO | 1 | 20 |
| 2 | 201 | TILE |  |  |  |  |  | FRAGIFLAKES POSTRO | 1 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 3519 |

## Greetwell Quarry, GWQ97

## Environmental Archaeology Assessment James Rackham

## Introduction

Eight soil samples were collected from Iron Age and Roman features revealed during the evaluation of the quarry extension at Greetwell (Table 1). Six of these were taken from ditch fills and in addition a possible natural feature and a late Roman, possibly industrial, pit were sampled. A small quantity of animal bone was recovered during the excavation and submitted for assessment.

These samples have been assessed and the results used to suggest the lines of enquiry which might warrant sampling and collection during further archaeological work at the site.

Table 1: List of soil samples collected

| sample | context | cut | vol in l. | weight in kg. | description | date | sample type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1404 | 1403 | 9 | 9 | primary fill of triple <br> ditch? | mid-late Iron <br> Age | flotation |
| 3 | 1407 | 1406 | 9 | 11 | fill. possible natural <br> feature? | ?IA | flotation |
| 4 | 1411 | 1412 | 10 | 12 | fill central ditch of triple <br> ditch system | undated- <br> probably IA | flotation |
| 5 | 1418 | 1419 | 10 | 12.5 | upper fill from outer <br> ditch of triple ditch | Late IA. | flotation |
| 6 | 1418 | 1419 | 9 | 12 | as above, lower fill | Late IA | flotation |
| 7 | 1002 | 1003 | 9 | 11 | primary fill of possible <br> pre-Roman feature | Late IA, poss. <br> early Roman | flotation |
| 8 | 404 | 405 | 8 | 11 | primary fill of ring <br> ditch | Roman ring <br> ditch | flotation |
| 9 | 605 | 610 | 27 | 31 | upper fill of pit | 3/4th cent. <br> AD | flotation |

## Methods

The animal bone collected during excavation was catalogued using the Environmental Archaeology Consultancy recording procedures (see Appendix 1), but no analysis has been carried out owing to the small size of the sample. Identifications were made by comparison to modern reference skeletons in the author's collection.

The soil samples were processed in the following manner. Sample volume and weight was measured prior to processing. The samples were washed in a 'Siraf' tank (Williams 1973) using a flotation sieve with a 0.5 mm mesh and an internal wet-sieve of 1 mm mesh for the residue. Both residue and float were dried, and the residue of sample 9 (Table 1) subsequently refloated to ensure the efficient recovery of charred material. This was not carried out for the other samples which contained very little or no charred remains. The dry volume of the flots was measured, and the volume and weight of the residue recorded. A total of 91 litres of soil was processed in this way.

The residue was sorted by eye, and environmental and archaeological finds picked out, noted on the assessment sheet and bagged independently. A magnet was run through each residue in order to recover magnetised material such as hammerscale and prill. The residue was then discarded. The float of each sample was studied under a low power binocular microscope. The
presence of environmental finds (ie snails, charcoal, carbonised seeds, bones etc) was noted and their abundance and species diversity recorded on the assessment sheet. The float was then bagged. The float and finds from the sorted residue constitute the material archive of the samples.

The individual components of the samples were then preliminarily identified and the results are detailed below in Tables 2 and 3 .

## Results

## Samples

The ditch fills were generally very poor in archaeological material and included little or no charred material, bone, pottery or other archaeological debris. A few fragments of pottery and bone were found in 1404, which also produced fire-cracked stone, but the other ditch fills were largely devoid of archaeological debris (Table 2). The only context that produced any 'rubbish' was the late Roman pit fill, context 605, where charcoal, charred cereals, chaff, bone, fired clay, pottery, hob nails and a couple of flakes of hammerscale were recovered. This was the only sample with more than one or two small fragments of charcoal and the flot, unlike the other samples which were composed almost entirely of snails, was mainly charred material (Table 3). Wheat, barley, pea/bean and straw were identified among the charred material in 605.

Table 2: Finds from the soil samples

| sample | context | flot vol. in <br> mls | pot wt. in <br> g. | finds | bone wt <br> ing. | comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1404 | 15 | 15 |  | 1 | fire-cracked stone; many small frags <br> pottery-prob from one vessel |
| 3 | 1407 | 5 |  | tiny frag <br> building <br> material |  |  |
| 4 | 1411 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1418 | 8 |  |  | 1 | residue includes 2 pebbles |
| 6 | 1418 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1002 | 2 |  | few tiny frags <br> brick/tile | 7 | 2 hobnails; 2 <br> flakes h'scale; <br> fired clay; <br> stone |
| 8 | 404 | 2 | 21 | many pieces of fired tempered clay; 13 <br> sherds pottery |  |  |
| 9 | 605 | 20 | 28 |  |  |  |

Poor preservation is clearly a factor at the site. There was no survival of preserved organic remains in the samples and the animal bone was in general badly preserved. The most abundant and well preserved element of the environmental evidence are the snails (Table 3). These were abundant in most of the samples and showed a reasonable level of diversity. Although no quantification was made during the assessment it is clear that some of the assemblages recovered from the different samples varied appreciably. Species of grassland habitat, such as Vallonia sp., Pupilla muscorum, and Vertigo pygmaea are common; Punctum pygmaeum, Hygromia hispida, Helicigona lapicida, species of Clausilia, Helix, Retinella, Oxychilus, Acanthinula, Cochlicopa, Vitrea, Truncatellina and Helicella occur in a number of samples; Carychium sp were particularly common in some of the ditch samples and with Discus rotundatus, frequent in one sample, these suggest woodland or shaded habitats (Evans, 1972). Aquatic species were only present in ditch 1412, although a single planorbid shell was present in the late Roman pit fill.

The upper and lower fills of the Late Iron Age ditch 1419 were sampled to establish that snails occurred throughout the fills. The upper fills (sample 5) were dominated by Vallonia sp. with Vertigo pygmaea common, a typically grassland group, while the lower fill (sample 6) is dominated by Carychium tridentatum, a shade loving species. This suggests changes in the local environment and indicates that the mollusc evidence should be suitable for studying these changes.

Table 3: Environmental finds from the samples

| sample | cont. | charcoal * | carbonised cereal * | chaff * | bone finds | snails * <br> abundance | snails \# diversity | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1404 |  |  |  | sheep tooth | 2 | 3 | tooth enamel only-very poor bone preservation |
| 3 | 1407 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| 4 | 1411 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 1418 |  |  |  | indet. | 3 | 3 |  |
| 6 | 1418 |  |  |  | indet. | 3 | 3 |  |
| 7 | 1002 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8 | 404 |  |  |  | indet. one or two burnt | 2 | 2 | bone poorly preserved |
| 9 | 605 | 3 | 3 | 2 | sheep tooth:juv pig; indet: small mammal | 2 | 2 | possibly a few charred weed seeds; preservation of charred material poor; pea/bean \& straw present |

* frequency of items: $1=1-10 ; 2=11-100 ; 3=101-250 ; 4=251-500 ; 5=>500$
\# diversity of molluscs as follows: $1=1-3: 2=4-10 ; 3=11-25 ; 4=26-50$ taxa.


## Animal Bone

Animal bone was recovered from a number of contexts. The preservation of this category of find was variable across the site (Table 4) and this was assessed by categorising each fragment to a specific preservation condition (Table 4). In most contexts preservation was poor, with some being very poor, but in the late Roman pit fill, contexts 605, 606, 607 and 608 , the bone was better preserved, although still showing evidence of root etching. The bones in trench 7, 10 and 14 were in very poor condition, a pattern substantiated by the finds of enamel only and very poorly preserved fragments from the soil samples from trench 14 . In these contexts much of the original assemblage may have been lost, and many of the remaining fragments may not be identifiable.

The poor condition of the bone, despite the calcareous nature of the soil is probably due to solution of the mineral element in the bone tissue as a result of the leaching processes in the soil. The archaeologically rich context, 605 , which had probably contained much more organic material, finer sediments and ash will have reduced the leaching effect leading to damage through root etching rather than solution of the bone. A time factor may also apply, in that middle Iron Age deposits, several hundred years older than the late Roman context, will have suffered a longer period of leaching and may therefore be in poorer condition; and variation in the soils across the site may have influenced bone survival.

The bone assemblage included finds of cattle (50 fragments), horse (12), sheep (or goat) (14), $\operatorname{dog}(2)$ and one pig bone, with 78 cattle size fragments, 14 sheep sized and 27 indeterminate. The catalogue of this material is attached (Appendix 1). There was evidence of dog gnawing but little for butchery and a few fragments were measureable and permitted an estimate of age at death.

Table 4. Number of bone fragments in different preservational categories from each context

| Context | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 305 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 324 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 401 |  |  | 12 | 2 |  |
| 402 |  |  | 30 | 4 |  |
| 403 |  |  | 14 | 2 |  |
| 404 |  |  | 21 |  |  |
| 503 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 605 |  |  | 9 | 23 | 1 |
| 606 |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| 607 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| 608 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 616 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 623 |  | 9 | 1 |  |  |
| 702 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 703 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 704 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1002 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1402 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1418 |  | 2 |  |  |  |

( $1=$ tooth enamel only preserved; 2 =very degraded corroded bone with little or no intact surface and considerable loss of bone; $3=$ serious surface etching and mineral loss; $4=$ bone surface intact with only minimal etching and very little mineral loss; $5=$ bone in very good condition, no etching, no apparent mineral loss.)

## Recommendations

The evidence from the evaluation suggests that if contexts are not archaeologically rich then preservation of animal bone will probably be poor. The condition of the bone is sufficiently bad for any assemblage collected from such contexts to have been affected by a preservational bias which would make it unlikely that it could contribute much more than species presence and animal size to the analysis and interpretation of the site economy. In contrast the finds from archaeologically rich 'occupation' or 'rubbish' deposits may not be so severely effected and any bias is likely to be much smaller or minimal. It will be necessary to do a detailed taphonomic and preservational analysis on any assemblages collected to establish their reliability for the economic interpretation of the site.

The dearth of charcoal and other charred remains from all but the late Roman pit, 605, suggests that sampling for evidence related to the agricultural economy of the site should probably be limited to contexts filled with darker sediments and containing visible pottery, bone or charcoal. In these contexts the charred remains should indicate whether crop processing activities or merely consumption was taking place at the site, and the range of crops grown.

The most ubiquitous remains from the site are the snails. The abundance and diversity of this group of finds indicates that they have good potential for assessing local environmental conditions at the site. The upper and lower fills of ditch 1419 both contained reasonable numbers of snails such that the larger ditches should yield a good sequence of samples for studying palaeo-environmental changes. If sampling is linked to a sequence of fills of the major ditches for each of the main phases of occupation at the site, and located where there is little
or no archaeological debris in the fills, then the mollusc data should permit interpretation in terms of general environmental changes such as between woodland, grassland and arable at the site.

The soils at the site have clearly limited the site potential and the main targets that could be expected to be addressed concern palaeoenvironmental changes through snail analysis; evidence of crops, processing activities, associated weed floras and possibly other food species through study of the charred remains; and the animal husbandry and food economy through analysis of the faunal assemblages from the better preserved contexts. Identification of any industrial activity at the site would accrue from the sample processing with no need for independent sampling.
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## APPENDIX 1

ARCHIVE CATALOGUE OF ANIMAL BONE FROM

GREETWELL QUARRY - GWQ97
LCCM 273.97
D.James Rackham

The Environmental Archaeology Consultancy

28 January 1998

Key to codes used in the cataloguing of animal bones


29/01/98
ZONES - codes used to define zones on each bone

SKULL - 1. paraoccipital process 2. occipal condyle
3. intercornual protuberance
4. external acoustic meatus
5. frontal sinus
. ectorbitale

- entorbitale
- temporal articular facet

9. facial tuber
. infraorbital foramen
HANDIBLE

SCAPULA

RADIUS

ULNA

1. Symphyseal surface . diastema
. lateral diastemal foramen
2. coronoid process
3. condylar process
4. angle . mandibular foramen
5. centrum
. neural arch
6. Supraglenoid tubercle 2. glenoid cavit;
7. origin of the distal spine
8. tuber of spine
9. cranial angle of blade
10. caudal angle of blade

HUMERUS 1. head
2. greater tubercle
3. lesser tubercle
5. deltoid tuberosit
. deltoid tuberosity
6. dorsal angle of olecranon fossa
8. trochlea

1. medial half of proximal epiphysis 2. lateral half of proximal epiphysis
. posterior proximal ulna scar and foramer
2. medial half of distal epiphysis
. Lateral half or distal epiphysi

## 1. olecranon tuberosity

anterior dorsal acsending ramus posterior $M$
foramen

HETATARSUS 1. medial facet of proximal artciulation, MT3.
6. distal shaft immediately above distal epiphysis
2. trochlear notch- semilunaris
3. lateral coronoid process
4. distal epiphysis

Archive Catalogue of Animal Bone from Greetwell Quarry, GWQ97

| site | context | species | bone | no. | side | fusion | zone | butchery | gnawing | toothwear | measurement | comment | presery <br> -ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWQ97 | 302 | SSZ | LBF | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 305 | BOS | HC | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | BASAL FRAG CORE | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 305 | OVCA | TIB | 1 | L |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | MIDSHAFT | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 324 | OVCA | RAD | 1 | L |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | PROX SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | BOS | LM2 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | J15 |  |  | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | CSZ | LBF | 5 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | CSZ | LBF | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | CSZ | RIB | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SPLIT SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | OVCA | LM2 | 1 | R |  |  |  |  | J11 |  |  | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 401 | UNI | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | FEM | 1 | R |  | 34 |  | DG |  |  | SHAFT-BOTH ENDS CHEWED | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | LI | 2 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  | WELL WORN-SAME JAWHEAVY WEAR ON MEDIAL EDGE | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | MTP | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | DISTAL SHAFT FRAG- 2 PIECES | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | MTT | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROX POST SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | PH1 | 1 | L | PF | 12 |  |  |  |  | BROKEN | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | RAD | 1 | F | PF | 1 |  |  |  |  | SPLIT FRAG PROX END | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | SKL | 1 | R |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | OCCIPITAL CONDYLE-2 <br> PIECES | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | TIB | 1 | R | DF | 567 |  |  |  | Bd-53 Dd-37.1 | DISTAL END | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | BOS | ULN | 1 | R | PN | 23 |  |  |  |  | PROX HALF-EPI LOST | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | LBF | 4 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | LMV | 1 | F |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | BASE SPINE-NEURAL ARCH | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | RIB | 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | TRV | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | BASE SPINE | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | TRV | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SPINE FRAGS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | CSZ | VER | 1 | F | CFAN | 4 |  |  |  |  | CENTRUM | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | EQU | UI | 1 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  | WELL WORN | 4 |
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| site | context | species | bone | no. | side | fusion | zone | butchery | gnawing | toothwear | measurement | comment | preserv -ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWQ97 | 402 | OVCA | TIB | 1 | L |  | 47 |  |  |  |  | SHAFT-GRACILE | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | OVCA | TIB | 1 | R |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | DISTAL HALF SHAFT- 2 PIECES | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 402 | SSZ | LBF | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | BOS | FEM | 1 | L | PF | 14 |  |  |  |  | PROX END AND SHAFT-EPI BROKEN | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | BOS | MAN | 1 | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANT FRAG HORI RAMUS WITH PM2 ALVEOLUS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | CSZ | LBF | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | CSZ | SCP | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | MARGIN-3 PIECES | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | CSZ | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | CSZ | UNI | 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | EQU | RAD | 1 | R | DF |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG DISTAL END | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | SSZ | TIB | 1 | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANT PROX SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 403 | UNI | UNI | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | BOS | MAN | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | LATERAL FRAG HORI RAMUS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | CEV | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | ZYGAPOPHYSIS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | LBF | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | MAN | , | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG HORI RAMUS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | MAX | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | LATERAL FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | RIB | 9 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | CSZ | VER | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | SSZ | LBF | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | SSZ | SKL | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 404 | UNI | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 503 | BOS | ULN | 1 | L |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG DIST TO PROX ARTIC | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 503 | EQU | RAD | 1 | L | PFDF | 123456 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GL-298 SD-29 } \\ & \text { Bd-62.3 } \end{aligned}$ | COMPLETE-GRACILE | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | AXI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANT VENTRAL FRAG CENTRUM | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | FEM | 1 | R |  | 4 |  | DG |  |  | DISTAL HALF SHAFT-DIST CHEWED | 4 |
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| site | context | species | bone | no. | side | fusion | zone | butchery | gnawing | toothwear | measurement | comment | preserv <br> -ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | LM2 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | J7 |  | ANT CUSP | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | LMV | 1 | F | CNAN | 45 |  |  |  |  | CENTRUM AND ARCH | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | LMV | 1 | F | CNAN | 45 |  |  |  |  | CENTRUM AND ARCH | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | MAN | 1 | R |  | 12367 |  |  | gh14111J4K1 |  | 5 PIECES-HORI RAMUS | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | MAN | 1 | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | POST CENTRAL FRAG HORI RAMUS | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | MTT | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | POST SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | SCP | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | VENTRAL FRAG CAUDAL MARGIN | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | SCP | 1 | L |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROX CAUDAL MARGIN | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | SCP | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | BLADE FRAG WITH SPINE | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | TIB | 1 | L | PF | 2 |  |  |  |  | FRAG PROX END | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | BOS | UM2 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | J11 |  |  | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | CSZ | RIB | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | CSZ | SCP | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | BLADE FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | CSZ | TRV | 1 | F | CN |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG ANT CENTRUM | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | CSZ | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | EQU | AST | 1 | L |  | 1 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L1-55 L2-54 } \\ & \text { Bd-58.4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | COMPLETE-SAME JOINT AS BELOW | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | EQU | TAR | 2 | L |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | SAME JOINT AS ABOVEPITTING AND SOME BONE GROWTH BETWEEN BUT NOT FUSED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | OVCA | MAN | 1 | L |  | 23 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GH14I16JI3K1 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | IN PIECES-OLD SSHEEP | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | OVCA | MAN | 1 | R |  |  |  |  | FGH13I16J13 |  | IN PIECES | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | OVCA | SCP | 1 | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | DISTAL HALF BLADE | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | SSZ | LBF | 2 | F |  |  |  | DG |  |  | SHAFT FRAG-CHEWED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | SSZ | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 605 | UNI | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | FEM | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANT SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | LMV | 1 | F | CNAN | 45 |  |  |  |  | CENTRUM AND ARCH | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | MAN | 1 | L |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | POST VENTRAL FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | PH1 | 1 | R | PF | 12 |  |  |  |  | COMPLETE | 4 |
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| site | context | species | bone | no. | side | fusion | zone | butchery | gnawing | toothwear | measurement | comment | preserv <br> -ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | SKL | 1 | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANT MAX AND PREMAX FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | BOS | UM1 | 1 | R |  |  |  |  | I16 |  | WELL WORN-POSS M2 | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CAN | TIB | 1 | R |  | 4 |  | DG |  |  | PROX SHAFT-SMALL DOG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | LBF | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | LMV | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG WITH ZYGA | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | MAN | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG HORI RAMUS | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | RIB | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | SCP | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | BLADE FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | CSZ | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | EQU | CAL | 1 | R |  | 23 |  | DG |  |  | PROX END CHEWED OFF | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | EQU | LMV | 1 | F | CFAF | 234 |  |  |  |  | CENTRUM | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | EQU | MTC | 1 | L | DF | 123 | CH |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GL-228 Bp-49.3 } \\ & \text { SD-31 Bd-46 } \\ & \text { Dd-36.2 Bp-32.9 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | COMPLETE- IN 5 PIECESPROX CHOPPED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | OVCA | MAN | 1 | R |  | 23 |  |  | gh17 |  | ANT FRAG RAMUS | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | OVCA | TIB | 1 | L |  |  |  | DG |  |  | ANT SHAFT FRAG-PROX CHEWED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | SMA | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT-POSS RAD OR FIB? | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | SSZ | TIB | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | DISTAL SHAFT-POSS PART ABOVE DOG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | SUS | LC | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | MALE | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 606 | UNI | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | DLP4 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | h8 |  |  | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | FEM | 1 | L |  | 4 |  | DG |  |  | DISTAL SHAFT | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | HC | 1 | L |  | 1 | CH |  |  |  | BASE CORE-UPWARD <br> BACKWARD TWISTING- <br> CORE CHOPPED-2 PIECES- <br> MED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | MAN | 1 | L |  | 68 |  |  |  |  | ANGLE | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | SCP | 1 | R |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | FRAG GLENOID | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | BOS | TIB | 1 | R | DF | 567 |  |  |  | Bd-54.4 Dd-42 | DISTAL END | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | CSZ | VER | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | OVCA | HUM | 1 | L |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | PROX SHAFT | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 607 | OVCA | LM2 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | J10 |  |  | 3 |
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| site | context | species | bone | no. | side | fusion | zone | butchery | gnawing | toothwear | measurement | comment | preserv <br> -ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWQ97 | 607 | UNI | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 608 | BOS | HUM | 1 | L | DF | 67890 |  | DG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BT-65 HT-40.8 } \\ & \text { SD-29.7 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DISTAL END AND SHAFTPROX CHEWED | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 608 | BOS | MAN | 1 | L |  | 78 |  |  |  |  | ANT FRAG ASC RAMUS | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 608 | CSZ | RIB | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG-ONE BROKEN | 4 |
| GWQ97 | 616 | BOS | TIB | 1 | L | DF | 47 |  |  |  |  | SHAFT-8 PIECES | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 616 | EQU | MTT | 1 | L | DF | 12 |  |  |  |  | NEARLY COMPLETE-VERY FRAGMENTED- 12 PIECES | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 623 | CAN | SKL | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRAG MAXILLA | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 623 | EQU | UM | 1 | L |  |  |  |  |  |  | VERY WORN | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 623 | UNI | UNI | 8 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 702 | CSZ | LBF | 4 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 703 | BOS | TIB | 1 | R | DF | 5 |  |  |  |  | SPLIT DISTAL END |  |
| GWQ97 | 703 | BOS | UM2 | 1 | L |  |  |  |  | J15 |  |  | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 703 | CSZ | LBF | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 703 | SSZ | LBF | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 703 | UNI | UNI | 3 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 3 |
| GWQ97 | 704 | BOS | MTC | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROX SHAFT FRAG-2 PIECES | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 704 | UNI | UNI | 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1002 | BOS | HUM | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | POST MIDSHAFT FRAG | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1002 | SSZ | SCP | 1 | L |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | FRAG GLENOID | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1002 | UNI | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1402 | UNI | UNI | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDET | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1418 | EQU | HUM | 1 | L |  | 69 |  |  |  |  | DISTAL HALF SHAFT-GRACILE-SMALL | 2 |
| GWQ97 | 1418 | OVCA | RAD | 1 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | SHAFT FRAG | 2 |



Fig. 1. Location of proposed quarry extension (reduced from 1:10,000 Ordnance Survey Map). Reproduced with permission of the Controller of HMSO. LAS Licence No. AL 50424A.


Fig. 2 Gradiometer survey: grey scale plot with superimposed trench locations. Scale 1:2500. Based on plot produced by Oxford Archaeotechnics ©, half original scale.



Fig. 4. Plan of Trench 2, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu
a. $\quad \mathrm{N}$
33.51 m

b. sw
36.07 m

Fig. 5 a. West facing section, Trench 2 b. South-east facing section, Trench 3, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 6. Plan of Trench 3, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu

b.


Fig. 7 a. Plan of Trench 4 b. South-east facing section, Trench 4, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 8 a. Plan of Trench 5 b. East facing section, Trench 5, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu
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Fig. 9 a. Plan of Trench 6 b. East facing section, Trench 6, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu



Fig. 11 a. Plan of Trench 7 b. North-east facing section, Trench 7, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 12 a. Plan of Trench 8 b. East facing section, Trench 8, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 13 a. Plan of Trench 9 b. West facing section, Trench 9, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 14 a. Plan of Trench 10 b. North facing section, Trench 10, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 15 a. Plan of Trench 11 b . North-west facing section, Trench 11 (see Fig. 16a for section across bank 1125), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu

b.


Fig. 16 a. North-west facing section through bank 1125
b. East facing section across ditch 1103, drawn by R. J. ArmourChelu


Fig. 17 a. Plan of Trench 12 b. South-east facing section, Trench 12, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 18. Plan of Trench 13, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 19 a. North-east facing section, Trench 13, NE-SW arm b. South-east facing section, Trench 13, NW-SE arm drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu




Fig. 21. North facing section, Trench 14, drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


Fig. 22 a. South-west facing section, Trench 15 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu b. East facing section, Trench 16 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu c. South-east facing section, Trench 17 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu
a.
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Fig. 23 a. South-west facing section, Trench 18 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu
b. South-west facing section, Trench 19 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu c. West facing section, Trench 20 (test pit), drawn by R. J. Armour-Chelu


PI. 1 Machine Trenching
PI. 2 Trench 1 looking east, scales 2 m



PI. 3 Detail of geological section, Trench 1, looking south, scales 2 m \& 1m

PI. 4 Trench 2, looking north-east, scales 2 m



PI. 5 Extension to Trench 2, looking north-west
PI. 6 Trench 3, looking south-west, scales 2 m


PI. 7 Ditch 304, Trench 3, looking north-west, scales $2 \mathrm{~m} \& 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$
PI. 8 Trench 4, looking north-east, ring ditch 405 visible in centre of trench, scales 2 m



PI. 9 Trench 5, looking north-east, ditch 504 visible in centre of trench, scales 2 m \& 1m

PI. 10 Ditch 504, Trench 5, looking south-east, scales $1 \mathrm{~m} \& 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$



PI. 11 Trench 6, looking south-east, pit complex 604 visible in foreground, scales 2 m

PI. 12 Pit complex 604, Trench 6, looking south, illustrating early stages of waterlogging, scale 2 m



PI. 13 Ditch terminus 619, Trench 6, looking north-west, scale 1m
PI. 14 Curvilinear gully 617, Trench 6, looking north-east, scale 1 m



PI. 15 Ditch 615, Trench 6, looking east, scales $1 \mathrm{~m} \& 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$
PI. 16 Ditch 613, Trench 6, looking east, ditch 615 to south, scale 2 m



PI. 17 Trench 7, looking south-east, scales 2 m
PI. 18 Ditch 706, Trench 7, looking south-west, scales 2 m \& 1m



Pl. 19 Trench 8, looking north-east, scales 2m \& 1m
PI. 20 Possible posthole 803, Trench 8, looking south-east, scale 0.25 m



PI. 21 Trench 9, looking north, scales 2 m
PI. 22 Ditch 1003, Trench 10, looking north-east, scale 1m



PI. 23 Trench 11, looking south-west, scales 2 m \& 1m
PI. 24 Bank form 1125, Trench 11, looking east, scales $2 m \& 0.25 m$



PI. 25 Possible linear feature 1103, looking west, scales $1 \mathrm{~m} \& 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$
PI. 26 Trench 12, looking west, deposit 1202 to west, scales 2 m



PI. 27 Trench 13, NW-SE arm, looking north-west, scales 2m \& 1m
PI. 28 Trench 13, NE-SW arm, looking south-west, scales 2 m \& 1m


PI. 29 Furrow 1307 in section of Trench 13, looking west, scale 2 m
PI. 30 Trench 14, looking west, ditch 1403 in foreground, scales 2 m



PI. 31 Trench 14 after excavation, looking east, ditch 1419 in foreground, scales $2 m$

PI. 32 Ditch 1403, Trench 14, looking south-east, scale 1m



PI. 37 Section of Trench 19 (test pit), looking north-east, scales 2 m \& 1 m

PI. 38 Section of Trench 20 (test pit), looking east, scales $2 \mathrm{~m} \& 1 \mathrm{~m}$


