

**LAND AT CHESTNUT AVENUE,
STONEHAM, EASTLEIGH,
HAMPSHIRE**

**AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION
REPORT**



**LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY:
EASTLEIGH BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**PLANNING APPLICATION NUMBERS:
0/15/76023**

PCA REPORT NO: R13008

SITE CODE: CASH17

SEPTEMBER 2017



PRE-CONSTRUCT ARCHAEOLOGY

LAND AT CHESTNUT AVENUE, STONEHAM, EASTLEIGH, HAMPSHIRE: AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Issue 1: Issued for Approval

Site Code: CASH17

Central NGR: SU 44013 18139

Local Planning Authority: Eastleigh Borough Council

Planning Reference: 0/15/76023

Commissioning Client: The Environmental Dimension Partnership

Written/Researched by: Katherine Marshall BA(Hons) MRes, PCA

Project Manager: Thomas Hayes (ACIfA) BA(Hons) MRes, PCA

Contractor: Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd (Winchester)
5 Red Deer Court
Elm Road
Winchester
Hampshire SO22 5LX

Tel: 01962 849 549
E-mail: thayes@pre-construct.com
Web: www.pre-construct.com

© Pre-Construct Archaeology Limited
September 2017

© The material contained herein is and remains the sole property of Pre-Construct Archaeology Limited and is not for publication to third parties without prior consent. Whilst every effort has been made to provide detailed and accurate information, Pre-Construct Archaeology Limited cannot be held responsible for errors or inaccuracies herein contained.

DOCUMENT VERIFICATION

Site Name: Land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire

Type of project: Archaeological Evaluation

Report: R13008

Quality Control

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Pre-Construct Archaeology Limited Project Code | | | K3864 |
| | Name & Title | Signature | Date |
| Text Prepared by: | K Marshall | | 18/09/2017 |
| Graphics Prepared by: | T Cammegh | | 19/09/2017 |
| Graphics Checked by: | H Baxter | | 19/09/2017 |
| Project Manager Sign-off: | T Hayes | TJH | 20/09/2017 |

| Revision No. | Date | Checked | Approved |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | Non-Technical Summary..... | 4 |
| 2 | Introduction..... | 5 |
| 3 | Aim | 8 |
| 4 | Results | 9 |
| 5 | Archive Prerparation and Deposition | 13 |
| 6 | Acknowledgements | 14 |
| 7 | References | 15 |
| | Appendix 1: Trench Index | 16 |
| | Appendix 2: Photographs (Plates 1 – 18) | 25 |
| | Appendix 3: Artefact Summary Assessment..... | 35 |
| | Appendix 4: OASIS Record..... | 38 |

Figure 1: Site Location

Figure 2: Trench Locations

Figure 3: Detailed Trench Location – Fields 2 & 3

Figure 4: Detailed Trench Location – Fields 5 & 6

Figure 5: Detailed Trench Location – Fields 7 & 8

Figure 6: Representative Sections

Figure 7: Early Iron Age – Plan and Sections

Figure 8: Late Iron Age – Plan and Sections

Figure 9: Roman Pits – Plans and Sections

Figure 10: Medieval Pits – Plans and Sections

Figure 11: Post-Medieval - Plans and Sections

Figure 12: Post-Medieval Linear Features – Plans and Sections (1)

Figure 13: Post-Medieval Linear Features – Plans and Sections (2)

1 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd (PCA) were appointed by the Environmental Dimension Partnership (EDP) to undertake a programme of archaeological evaluation on land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire, ahead of building work. This report provides the results of an evaluation which comprised of 80, 50m X 2m trenches opened between 26th June - 22nd August 2017. The evaluation was completed in keeping with the Written Scheme of Investigation and Method Statement.

The evaluation has demonstrated that areas of the site contain archaeological remains dating to four separate time periods, Iron Age, Roman, medieval and post medieval.

Fields 1, 4, 7 and 8 contained no significant archaeological resources.

Pre-historic features were identified, and are thought to represent two separate phases of prehistoric activity on the site, early and late Iron Age.

Two Roman pits were identified during the evaluation towards the southern end of the site.

Medieval features were identified during the evaluation towards the southern end of the site, they are thought to represent evidence of the former medieval village of North Stoneham.

Finally, post medieval features were identified and investigated, but were not thought to represent a significant archaeological resource.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Project Background

2.1.1 Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd (PCA) were appointed by the Environmental Dimension Partnership (EDP) to undertake a programme of archaeological evaluation on land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire (**Figure 1 & 2**).

2.1.2 The archaeological evaluation was required, in keeping with NPPF Section 12 and Local Plan Policy, by the Local Planning Authority (LPA) Eastleigh Borough Council (EBC) to provide information with regards to understanding the archaeological implications of the proposed development and determining the subsequent treatment of archaeological resources within it. The requirement follows consultation by EDP with the LPA's archaeological advisor David Hopkins, County Archaeologist, Hampshire County Council. The requirement for archaeological works forms a condition (18) of planning permission for the proposed development (EBC planning ref: 0/15/76023).

2.1.3 The archaeological background to the site was set out in an Archaeology and Heritage Assessment (EDP 2014). This highlighted that although the site does not contain any nationally designated heritage assets, part of the south-eastern corner of the site is included within an Area of Archaeological Potential, relating to the former medieval village of North Stoneham. Further potential for possible Roman activity was also identified, relating to a projected Roman road crossing the site, however no physical evidence is present to confirm its position or alignment. Post-medieval activity associated with the expansion of North Stoneham Park was also expected on site. Potential for archaeological resources from other periods was considered to be low.

2.1.4 The *'Written Scheme of Investigation for Evaluative Archaeological Works'* (EDP 2017) set out a requirement for a staged approach to archaeological evaluation of the site, beginning with a geophysical survey. The results of the geophysical survey conducted on the site were summarised as follows (GSB 2017):

'No anomalies of archaeological interest were detected. A number of uncertain trends of unlikely archaeological origin were noted. Plough marks can be seen in Field 2. Several pipes were identified across the survey area, including a large gas pipe.'

2.1.5 A total of 80, 50m X 2m trenches were proposed and arranged over the site. A total of 79 trenches were excavated with the exception of trench 72 which was not excavated owing to the presence of overhead power and telegraph cables. A number of trenches were also moved from their original intended location owing to the presence of overhead power lines.

2.1.6 This document was prepared in accordance with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists standard and guidance for archaeological field evaluation (ClfA 2014) and Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (Historic England, 2015).

2.2 Location, Topography and Geology

2.2.1 The site lies 2.5km to the south of Eastleigh and falls within the Eastleigh Borough Council district and within the settlement of North Stoneham. The city of Southampton is located approximately 6km to the south of the Site.

2.2.2 The site, an irregular area of 60 hectares, is bound to the west by playing fields, to the north by Chestnut Avenue, to the east by Stoneham Lane and to the south by ponds. The site comprises a group of eight separate fields and is predominantly farmland used for grazing.

2.2.3 The site is relatively low-lying, falling from approximately 25m above the Ordnance Datum (aOD) along the western boundary to approximately 10m along the eastern boundary with Stoneham Lane.

2.2.4 The underlying geology of the site is mapped as London Formation – Clay Silt and Sand. Two superficial geological deposits are also mapped within the site; River Terrace Deposits – Sand and Gravel, located along the western and eastern edges of the Site, and Head Deposits – Gravel, located throughout the middle of the Site (BGS 2017).

2.3 Archaeological and Historical Background

2.3.1 The archaeological and historical background to the site was set out in an Archaeology and Heritage Assessment (EDP 2014) and is not repeated here. However, the archaeological and historical background is summarised as follows:

'The assessment confirms that the site does not contain any designated heritage assets, such as scheduled monuments, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens, or battlefields where there would be a presumption in favour of either physical retention preservation in situ, and against development.

Within the wider study area there are no world heritage sites, scheduled monuments, Grade I listed buildings, registered parks and gardens, or registered battlefields. However, there is one Grade II listed building and 14 Grade II listed buildings. The assessment confirms that the vast majority of the listed buildings will not be affected by the proposed development. The assessment also confirms that the land within the application site makes only a limited contribution to the settings of the Grade II* listed Church of St Nicolas and the Grade II listed walled garden, although it is still recommended that the evolving masterplan responds positively to these. With regard to undesignated heritage assets, consultation with the Hampshire Historic Environment Record (HER), and other sources, has established that there is a low latent background potential for finds from the Palaeolithic period due to the presence of Pleistocene gravels underneath parts the site. This represents a potential for redeposited finds within the gravels rather than in-situ deposits, and even then there is a low chance of them being identified. The assessment also establishes a potential for Roman activity in association with the postulated line of a Roman road mapped crossing the site, although there is no physical evidence to confirm*

its presence or alignment. The surrounding evidence, coupled with the Hampshire Integrated Historic Landscape Assessment, suggests that any activity present at the site is likely to represent agricultural exploitation, rather than settlement.

Part of the south-eastern corner of the site is mapped by Hampshire County Council as an Area of Archaeological Potential. This covers an area thought to be occupied by the former medieval village of North Stoneham. The site of the former North Stoneham manor may also have been located in this area. These remains, if present, are likely to be of moderate importance.

The post-medieval period saw the expansion of North Stoneham Park to include the area of the application site. There is considered to be the potential for associated, below ground archaeological features, such as boundary ditches and driveways, and significance of greater interest are the known remains to be encountered of Winchester Lodge and the main carriage in the far north west and centre of the site, although these are considered to be of low importance.

Given the archaeological potential on the site, a robust archaeological response has been formulated which comprises, in the first instance, of a geophysical survey of the Area of Archaeological Potential to establish whether there are any remains of the medieval village or former manor house. This will be undertaken prior to determination.

For the remaining areas, in view of the limited potential for significant archaeological remains to be preserved within the site, and the flexibility of the illustrative masterplan, it is considered that a staged approach, consisting initially of geophysical survey, could be undertaken as a condition of any successful outline application, followed by further targeted trenching, changes in design or implementation of other mitigation measures if needed ahead of or during construction.

3 AIM

3.1 Archaeological Evaluation

3.1.1 The aim of the archaeological evaluation was to determine the presence or absence of archaeological resources within the Site and, where present, determine their nature, extent, date, condition and significance, taking account of their potential to contain biological and palaeo-environmental remains.

3.1.2 This report on the evaluation aims to provide a context for any archaeological resource that was identified and provide sufficient information so that the future treatment of that resource, in respect of the proposed housing development, can be determined in consultation with the archaeological advisor to the Local Planning Authority.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 The following presents a summary of the evaluation results based upon the Site archive which comprises a Site diary, trench recording sheets, context sheet, site drawings and digital photographs.

4.1.2 A summary of recorded contexts is provided in a Trench Index in **Appendix 1** and a selection of photographs of the trenches and trench sections in **Appendix 2**. The archive is held at PCA's Winchester office under the Site code **CASH17** and will in due course be deposited with Hampshire Cultural Trust on behalf of Hampshire County Council.

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 The archaeological evaluation was undertaken following the methodology that was detailed in the Written Scheme of Investigation (EDP, 2017) and Method Statement (PCA, 2017), which was approved on behalf of the Local Planning Authority in advance of the commencement of works.

4.2.2 A total of 80, 50m X 2m trenches were proposed and arranged randomly over the site. A total of 79 trenches were excavated with the exception of trench 72 which was left due to the presence of overhead power and phone cables. A number of trenches were also moved from their original intended location due to the presence of overhead cables (**Figure 2, Plates 1 & 2**).

4.3 Summary of Deposition Sequence

4.3.1 The deposit sequence revealed by the trenches was inconsistent across the Site (**Figure 6, Plates, 3-5**).

4.3.2 Field 2 and the western side of Field 5 revealed a natural geology of clay, sand and gravel, which was seen at depths between 0.29m and 0.57m Below Ground Level (BGL) with an average depth of cover (comprising sub soil and top soil) of 0.42m BGL. Field 6 also contained a natural geology of clay, sand and gravel, however, the top soil, sub soil and natural in the south of the field had been heavily disturbed by modern hydrocarbons, debris and rubbish with no surviving archeological level.

4.3.3 Field 3 and the eastern side of Field 5 contained, primarily, a gravel natural with small pockets of sand and clay, which was seen at an average depth of 0.42m BGL.

4.3.4 Fields 1, 4, 7 and 8 (Trenches 48-70) were previously used as sports playing fields and appears to have been previously lowered and leveled. The natural clay was seen at an average depth of 0.40m BGL. Both topsoil and subsoil were heavily mixed and disturbed and contained evidence of modern building material, charcoal and rubbish. No archaeological features, deposits or finds were revealed by these evaluation trenches. It is likely that any previously surviving archaeological resources were removed or heavily truncated during levelling of these fields.

4.4 **Archaeological Features**

- 4.4.1 No archaeological features, deposits or finds were revealed in evaluation trenches 1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 16, 18 - 20, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 36 - 40, 44, 47 - 70, 73, 75 - 79.
- 4.4.2 Prehistoric features were identified in 6 separate trenches, with a total of 23 features uncovered, 9 of which were sampled ([904], [2204], [2304], [2404], [2407], [2410], [2804], [4304], [4306]). A small pit was seen in Trench 9, measuring 0.56m wide by 0.13m deep containing a single fill, with flecks of charcoal and pottery, which was not retrievable due to size.
- 4.4.3 Trenches 23 and 22 contained a total of 7 large circular shallow pits, two of which were sampled ([2204] and [2304]) (**Plate 6,7**), measuring 1.5m in diameter, 0.29 in depth and 1m in diameter, 0.2m in depth respectively. Pit [2304] contained a relatively large amount of burnt flint (718g) and pottery dated to the late Iron age in the top fill of the feature above a burnt ashy deposit.
- 4.4.4 Trench 24 contained 13 separate pits, thought to date to the Iron Age, three of which were sampled. Pits [2404] (**Plate 8**) and [2407] were similar in measurement, 0.50m in diameter, 0.21 in depth and 0.65 in diameter, 0.16m in depth. Both pits had two fills with the lower ([2406], [2409]) being redeposited natural, with charcoal and the upper ([2405], [2408]) a burnt ashy deposit, containing burnt flint and pottery dating to the Iron Age. Pit [2410] was much smaller in size, containing a single fill and measured 0.27m in diameter and 0.10m in depth.
- 4.4.5 While not all features were excavated, or contained dating evidence, their location, size and nature were largely consistent and can be described as deriving from the later prehistoric period.
- 4.4.6 Trench 43 contained two small pits, [4304] which was 0.44m wide and 0.08m in depth, contained a large quantity of burnt flint (3325g) and pottery dating to the early Iron Age. Pit [4306] (**Plate 9**) was only partly visible in the trench and continued into the bulk, it was 0.30m wide and 0.18m deep as seen in the bulk section. Pit [4306] also contained large amounts of burnt flint (3239g). Owing to their location, in the far western end of Field 5, and different pottery type and date, it is probable that these features represent a separate period of activity on site from the activity which was identified in Field 3 and to the east of Field 5.
- 4.4.7 Two features [2904] and [8007], in two separate trenches, thought to date to the Roman period were identified. Pit [2904] (**Plate 10**) contained a single fill and measured 0.85m wide by 0.27m in depth. Pit [8007] was a much larger sub-circular pit, 1.2m x 2.3m wide and 0.43m deep also containing a single fill. Both pits contained pottery which is thought to date to the Roman period.

- 4.4.8 Three medieval features were identified in two separate trenches ([3304], [3506], [3508]). Pit [3304] (**Plate 11**) measured 0.41m x 0.70m wide and 0.30m deep, and contained a single fill containing a large piece of slag, weighing 1157g, and sherds of pottery dating to 12th–13th century. Pits [3506] (**Plate 12**) and [3508] were sub-circular and shallow, measuring 0.83m wide by 0.07m depth and 0.76m wide and 0.7m deep respectively. Pit [3506] contained pottery which was dated to 12th-13th Century. Although [3508] contained no dating evidence, owing to its location and similar size to [3506], it has been attributed a medieval date.
- 4.4.9 A large block of worked chalk was recovered from Trench 74 (**Plate 13**). The block was not in-situ and was found amongst a post medieval and modern rubbish and debris. It is thought to relate to the former medieval church of North Stoneham which was demolished in the 16th century.
- 4.4.10 Post Medieval features were identified in 17 trenches, a total of 19 linears ([204], [304], [404], [504], [507], [509], [511], [604], [1004], [1204], [1404], [1704], [2806], [3504], [4104], [4504], [4604], [4606], [8004]), 1 terminus ([1504]) and 2 pits ([3306], [3404]). The linears vary size and shape from very small and shallow to the largest [4606] at 2.1m wide and 0.76m depth, running north east-south west (**Figure 13, Plate 16-18**). It is likely that these linear features relate to post-medieval field boundaries or drainage ditches. Two post-medieval pits were identified. Pit [3404] (**Plate 14**) was 0.32m wide and 0.08m deep and contained post medieval CBM; whereas, [3306] (**Plate 15**) was large, 1.4m in diameter, but shallow, only 0.17m deep, with pottery recovered thought to date to the 16th-17th century.
- 4.4.11 There was a total of six undated features, [2804], [3204], [3206], [4204], [7104], [7106]. Pits [2804], [3206], revealed no datable evidence and are found within an area of the site that contained multiple time periods and therefore cannot be dated by location or type. Linear [4204] was 0.84m wide and 0.19m deep, containing a single silty fill, no dating evidence, and was not seen to cut the sub soil. Linears [3204], [7104], [7106] are all related, did not contain any dating evidence and the cut could not be seen in the subsoil.
- 4.5 **Conclusion**
- 4.5.1 The evaluation has demonstrated that areas of the site contain archaeological remains dating to four separate time periods, Iron Age, Roman, medieval and post-medieval.
- 4.5.2 Fields 1, 4, 7 and 8 (Trenches 48-70) contained no significant archaeological resources.
- 4.5.3 A total of 22 features dating to the Pre-historic were identified and are thought to represent two separate phases of prehistoric activity on the site; Early and Late Iron Age. The Late Iron Age features were identified towards the northern end of the site in Field 3, whereas the pits dating to the Early Iron Age were identified in the south-west of the site at the west end of Field 5. Two Roman pits were identified during the evaluation towards the southern end of the site. Finally, three medieval features were identified during the evaluation

towards the southern end of the site, which possibly relate to the former medieval village of North Stoneham.

- 4.5.4 A total of 22 post medieval features were identified and investigated and are not thought to represent a significant archaeological resource.

5 ARCHIVE PRERARATION AND DEPOSITION

5.1 The Site Archive

5.1.1 The Site archive, to include all project records and cultural material produced by the project, will be prepared in accordance with 'Guidelines for the Preparation of Excavation Archives for Long-term Storage' (UKIC 1990) and the Institute for Archaeologists 'Standard and Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives' (ClfA 2014). On completion of the project PCA will arrange for the archive to be deposited with the Hampshire Cultural Trust on behalf of Hampshire County Council.

5.2 Copyright

5.2.1 The full copyright of the written/illustrative archive relating to the site will be retained by Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved. Hampshire County Council, however, will be granted an exclusive licence for the use of the archive for educational purposes, including academic research, providing that such use shall be non-profitmaking, and conforms to the Copyright and Related Rights regulations 2003. Further distribution and uses of the report either in its entirety or part thereof in paper or electronic form is prohibited without the prior consent of Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd.

5.2.2 The licence extends to the use of all documents arising from this project in all matters relating directly to the project, as well as for bona fide research purposes (which includes the Hampshire County Council Archaeology and Historic Building Record).

5.2.3 Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of the content of this report. However, Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd cannot accept any liability in respect of, or resulting from, errors, inaccuracies or omissions this report contains.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Pre-Construct Archaeology is grateful to Rob Skinner of The Environmental Dimension Partnership for commissioning the Evaluation on behalf of Highwood Group. Thanks is due to Ready Power for their assistance on site.

The evaluation was supervised by Katherine Marshall, assisted by Gareth Hatt, Rhiannon Campbell, James Banister and Dominic McAtominey.

This report was prepared by Katherine Marshall with Illustrations prepared by Tilia Cammegh. The project was managed for PCA by Thomas Hayes.

7 REFERENCES

EDP, 2014, Land off Chestnut Avenue, Eastleigh: Archaeology and Heritage Assessment, unpublished report

EDP, 2017, Land off Chestnut Avenue, Eastleigh: Written Scheme of Investigation for Evaluative Archaeological Works, unpublished report

GSB Prospection Ltd, 2017, Geophysical Survey Report G16105: North Stoneham Park, Phase 1, Eastleigh, Hampshire, unpublished report

PCA 2017, Land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire: Method Statement for an Archaeological Evaluation.

Appendix 1: Trench Index

| Trench No. | Context | Type | Description/ Depth (m) BGL | Finds? |
|------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.19m | |
| | 102 | Subsoil | 0.19 - 0.49m | |
| | 103 | Natural | 0.49m + | |
| 2 | 201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.27m | |
| | 202 | Subsoil | 0.27 - 0.42m | |
| | 203 | Natural | 0.42m + | |
| | 204 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 205 | Fill | Fill of (204) | |
| 3 | 301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.32m | |
| | 302 | Subsoil | 0.32 - 0.51m | |
| | 303 | Natural | 0.51m + | |
| | 304 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 305 | Fill | Fill of [304] | CBM, Pottery |
| 4 | 401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.30m | |
| | 402 | Subsoil | 0.30 - 0.57m | |
| | 403 | Natural | 0.57m + | |
| | 404 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 405 | Fill | Fill of [404] | |
| 5 | 501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.23m | |
| | 502 | Subsoil | 0.23 - 0.49m | |
| | 503 | Natural | 0.49m + | |
| | 504 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 505 | Fill | Dark Top Fill of [504] | Glass |
| | 506 | Fill | Fill of [504] | |
| | 507 | Cut | Cut of E-W Ditch | |
| | 508 | Fill | Fill of [507] | |
| | 509 | Cut | Cut of N-S Ditch | |
| | 510 | Fill | Fill of [509] | Pottery |
| | 511 | Cut | Cut of Ditch Terminus | |
| | 512 | Fill | Fill of [511] | |
| | 513 | Fill | Fill of [511] | |
| 6 | 601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 602 | Subsoil | 0.16m - 0.34m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|------------------|-----|
| | 603 | Natural | 0.34m + | |
| | 604 | Cut | Cut of E-W Ditch | |
| | 605 | Fill | Fill of [604] | CBM |
| 7 | 701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 702 | Subsoil | 0.20 - 0.33m | |
| | 703 | Natural | 0.33m + | |
| 8 | 801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.10m | |
| | 802 | Subsoil | 0.10 - 0.30m | |
| | 803 | Natural | 0.30m + | |
| 9 | 901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.19m | |
| | 902 | Subsoil | 0.19 - 0.29m | |
| | 903 | Natural | 0.29m + | |
| | 904 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 905 | Fill | Fill of [904] | |
| 10 | 1001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.43m | |
| | 1002 | Subsoil | 0.43 - 0.75m | |
| | 1003 | Natural | 0.75m + | |
| | 1004 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 1005 | Fill | Fill of [1004] | |
| 11 | 1101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.40m | |
| | 1102 | Subsoil | 0.40 - 0.54m | |
| | 1103 | Natural | 0.54m + | |
| 12 | 1201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.17m | |
| | 1202 | Subsoil | 0.17m - 0.29m | |
| | 1203 | Natural | 0.29m + | |
| | 1204 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 1205 | Fill | Fill of [1204] | CBM |
| 13 | 1301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.29m | |
| | 1302 | Subsoil | 0.29 - 0.53m | |
| | 1204 | Natural | 0.53m + | |
| 14 | 1401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.23m | |
| | 1402 | Subsoil | 0.23 - 0.42m | |
| | 1403 | Natural | 0.42m + | |
| | 1404 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 1405 | Fill | Fill of [1404] | CBM |
| 15 | 1501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.15m | |
| | 1502 | Subsoil | 0.15 - 0.34m | |
| | 1503 | Natural | 0.34m + | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1504 | Cut | Cut of Ditch Terminus | |
| | 1504 | Fill | Fill of [1504] | |
| 16 | 1601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.14m | |
| | 1602 | Subsoil | 0.14 - 0.35m | |
| | 1603 | Natural | 0.35 m + | |
| 17 | 1701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.12m | |
| | 1702 | Subsoil | 0.12 - 0.37m | |
| | 1703 | Natural | 0.37m + | |
| | 1704 | Cut | Cut of E-W Ditch | |
| | 1705 | Fill | Fill of [1704] | CBM |
| 18 | 1801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.11m | |
| | 1802 | Subsoil | 0.11 - 0.43m | |
| | 1803 | Natural | 0.43m + | |
| 19 | 1901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |
| | 1902 | Subsoil | 0.18m - 0.50m | |
| | 1903 | Natural | 0.50m + | |
| 20 | 2001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 2002 | Subsoil | 0.20 - 0.34m | |
| | 2003 | Natural | 0.34 - 0.50m | |
| | 2004 | Natural | 0.50m + | |
| 21 | 2101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.13m | |
| | 2102 | Subsoil | 0.13 - 0.34m | |
| | 2103 | Natural | 0.34m + | |
| | 2104 | Cut | Cut of Feature | |
| | 2105 | Fill | Fill of [2104] | |
| 22 | 2201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.14m | |
| | 2202 | Subsoil | 0.14 - 0.43m | |
| | 2203 | Natural | 0.43m + | |
| | 2204 | Cut | Cut of Large Circular Pit | |
| | 2205 | Fill | Fill of [2204] | |
| 23 | 2301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.13m | |
| | 2302 | Subsoil | 0.13m - 0.50m | |
| | 2303 | Natural | 0.50m + | |
| | 2304 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 2305 | Fill | Ashy layer in [2304] | |
| | 2306 | Fill | Fill of [2304] | Burnt Flint, Pottery |
| 24 | 2401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.31m | |
| | 2402 | Subsoil | 0.31 - 0.48m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 2403 | Natural | 0.48m + | |
| | 2404 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 2405 | Fill | Top Fill of [2404] | |
| | 2406 | Fill | Lower Fill of [2404] | |
| | 2407 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 2408 | Fill | Top Fill of [2407] | Burnt Flint, Pottery |
| | 2409 | Fill | Lower Fill of [2407] | |
| | 2410 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 2411 | Fill | Fill of [2410] | |
| 25 | 2501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.36m | |
| | 2502 | Subsoil | 0.36 - 0.47m | |
| | 2503 | Natural | 0.47m + | |
| 26 | 2601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.12m | |
| | 2602 | Subsoil | 0.12 - 0.38m | |
| | 2603 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| 27 | 2701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.10m | |
| | 2702 | Subsoil | 0.10 - 0.48m | |
| | 2703 | Natural | 0.48m + | |
| 28 | 2801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.31m | |
| | 2802 | Subsoil | 0.31 - 0.42m | |
| | 2803 | Natural | 0.42m + | |
| | 2804 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 2805 | Fill | Fill of [2804] | |
| | 2806 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 2807 | Fill | Fill of [2806] | |
| 29 | 2901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |
| | 2902 | Subsoil | 0.18 - 0.48m | |
| | 2903 | Natural | 0.48m + | |
| | 2904 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 2905 | Fill | Fill of [2904] | Pottery |
| 30 | 3001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 3002 | Subsoil | 0.16 - 0.38m | |
| | 3003 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| 31 | 3101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.17m | |
| | 3102 | Subsoil | 0.17 - 0.35m | |
| | 3103 | Natural | 0.35m + | |
| 32 | 3201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 3202 | Subsoil | 0.20 - 0.44m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 3203 | Natural | 0.44m + | |
| | 3204 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 3205 | Fill | Fill of Ditch [3204] | |
| | 3206 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 3207 | Fill | Fill of [3206] | |
| 33 | 3301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.11m | |
| | 3302 | Subsoil | 0.11 - 0.32m | |
| | 3303 | Natural | 0.32m + | |
| | 3304 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 3305 | Fill | Fill of [3304] | Animal Bone, Pottery |
| | 3306 | Cut | Cut of Large Pit | |
| | 3307 | Fill | Fill of [3306] | CBM, Pottery |
| 34 | 3401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.25m | |
| | 3402 | Subsoil | 0.25 - 0.68m | |
| | 3403 | Natural | 0.68m + | |
| | 3404 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 3405 | Fill | Fill of [3404] | CBM |
| 35 | 3501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.23m | |
| | 3502 | Subsoil | 0.23 - 0.55m | |
| | 3503 | Natural | 0.55m + | |
| | 3504 | Cut | Cut of E-W Ditch | |
| | 3505 | Fill | Fill of [3504] | |
| | 3506 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 3507 | Fill | Fill of [3506] | Burnt Flint, Pottery |
| | 3508 | Cut | Cut of Small Pit | |
| | 3509 | Fill | Fill of [3508] | Burnt Flint |
| 36 | 3601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.12m | |
| | 3602 | Subsoil | 0.12 - 0.31m | |
| | 3603 | Natural | 0.31m + | |
| 37 | 3701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |
| | 3702 | Subsoil | 0.18 - 0.38m | |
| | 3703 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| 38 | 3801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.14m | |
| | 3802 | Subsoil | 0.14 - 0.41m | |
| | 3803 | Natural | 0.41m + | |
| 39 | 3901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 3902 | Subsoil | 0.20 - 0.36m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 3903 | Natural | 0.36m + | |
| 40 | 4001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.17m | |
| | 4002 | Subsoil | 0.17 - 0.50m | |
| | 4003 | Natural | 0.50m + | |
| 41 | 4101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.22m | |
| | 4102 | Subsoil | 0.22 - 0.50m | |
| | 4103 | Natural | 0.50m + | |
| | 4104 | Cut | Cut of N-S Ditch | |
| | 4105 | Fill | Fill of [4104] | |
| | 4106 | Fill | Fill of [4104] | |
| 42 | 4201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.23m | |
| | 4202 | Subsoil | 0.23 - 0.46m | |
| | 4203 | Natural | 0.46m + | |
| | 4204 | Cut | Cut of N-S Ditch | |
| | 4205 | Fill | Fill of [4204] | |
| 43 | 4301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.30m | |
| | 4302 | Subsoil | 0.30 - 0.55m | |
| | 4303 | Natural | 0.55m + | |
| | 4304 | Cut | Cut of Burnt Pit | |
| | 4305 | Fill | Fill of [4304] | Burnt Flint, Pottery |
| | 4306 | Cut | Cut of Burnt pit | |
| | 4307 | Fill | Fill of [4306] | Burnt Flint |
| 44 | 4401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 4402 | Subsoil | 0.20 - 0.41m | |
| | 4403 | Natural | 0.41m + | |
| 45 | 4501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 4502 | Subsoil | 0.16 - 0.38m | |
| | 4503 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| | 4504 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 4505 | Fill | Fill of [4504] | |
| 46 | 4601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.36m | |
| | 4602 | Subsoil | 0.36 - 0.54m | |
| | 4603 | Natural | 0.54m + | |
| | 4604 | Cut | Cut of NE - SW Ditch | |
| | 4605 | Fill | Fill of [4604] | Burnt Flint |
| | 4606 | Cut | Cut of N-S Ditch | |
| | 4607 | Fill | Fill of [4606] | Glass |
| 47 | 4701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|--------------|--|
| | 4702 | Subsoil | 0.18 - 0.35m | |
| | 4703 | Natural | 0.35m + | |
| 48 | 4801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.26m | |
| | 4802 | Natural | 0.26m + | |
| 49 | 4901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.23m | |
| | 4902 | Natural | 0.23m + | |
| 50 | 5001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.24m | |
| | 5002 | Natural | 0.24m + | |
| 51 | 5101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.32m | |
| | 5102 | Natural | 0.32m + | |
| 52 | 5201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.40m | |
| | 5203 | Natural | 0.40m + | |
| 53 | 5301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.15m | |
| | 5302 | Subsoil | 0.15 - 0.35m | |
| | 5303 | Natural | 0.35m + | |
| 54 | 5401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.14m | |
| | 5402 | Subsoil | 0.14 - 0.38m | |
| | 5403 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| 55 | 5501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.13m | |
| | 5502 | Subsoil | 0.13 - 0.38m | |
| | 5503 | Natural | 0.38m + | |
| 56 | 5601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.14m | |
| | 5602 | Subsoil | 0.14 - 0.33m | |
| | 5603 | Natural | 0.33m + | |
| 57 | 5701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.15m | |
| | 5702 | Subsoil | 0.15 - 0.34m | |
| | 5703 | Natural | 0.34m + | |
| 58 | 5801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |
| | 5802 | Subsoil | 0.18 - 0.37m | |
| | 5803 | Natural | 0.37m + | |
| 59 | 5901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.15m | |
| | 5902 | Subsoil | 0.15 - 0.45m | |
| | 5903 | Natural | 0.45m + | |
| 60 | 6001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.18m | |
| | 6002 | Subsoil | 0.18 - 0.34m | |
| | 6003 | Natural | 0.34m + | |
| 61 | 6101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.19m | |
| | 6102 | Subsoil | 0.19 - 0.61m | |

| | | | | |
|----|------------|---------|---------------------|--|
| | 6103 | Natural | 0.61m + | |
| 62 | 6201 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 6202 | Subsoil | 0.16 - 0.45m | |
| | 6203 | Natural | 0.45m + | |
| 63 | 6301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.31m | |
| | 6302 | Subsoil | 0.31m - 0.48m | |
| | 6303 | Natural | 0.48m + | |
| 64 | 6401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.22m | |
| | 6402 | Subsoil | 0.22 - 0.46m | |
| | 6403 | Natural | 0.46m + | |
| 65 | 6501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.17m | |
| | 6502 | Subsoil | 0.17 - 0.45m | |
| | 6503 | Natural | 0.45m + | |
| 66 | 6601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.27m | |
| | 6602 | Subsoil | 0.27 - 0.44m | |
| | 6603 | Natural | 0.44m + | |
| | 6604 | Cut | Cut of Modern Ditch | |
| | 6605 | Fill | Fill of [6604] | |
| 67 | 6701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 6702 | Subsoil | 0.16 - 0.52m | |
| | 6703 | Natural | 0.52m + | |
| 68 | 6801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.22m | |
| | 6802 | Subsoil | 0.22 - 0.47m | |
| | 6803 | Natural | 0.47m + | |
| 69 | 6901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.26m | |
| | 6902 | Subsoil | 0.26 - 0.47m | |
| | 6903 | Natural | 0.47m + | |
| 70 | 7001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.22m | |
| | 7002 | Subsoil | 0.22 - 0.47m | |
| | 7003 | Natural | 0.47m + | |
| 71 | 7101 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.16m | |
| | 7102 | Subsoil | 0.16 - 0.45m | |
| | 7103 | Natural | 0.45m + | |
| | 7104 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 7105 | Fill | Fill of [7104] | |
| | 7106 | Cut | Cut of Ditch | |
| | 7107 | Fill | Fill of [7106] | |
| 72 | NOT OPENED | | | |

| | | | | |
|----|------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 73 | 7301 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 7302 | Subsoil | 0.20m - 0.32m | |
| | 7303 | Natural | 0.32m + | |
| 74 | 7401 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 7402 | Layer | 0.20 - 0.49m | |
| | 7403 | Layer | 0.49 - 0.74m | |
| | 7404 | Layer | 0.74 - 0.92m | |
| | 7405 | Natural | 0.92m + | |
| 75 | 7501 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.20m | |
| | 7502 | Layer | 0.20 - 0.41m | |
| | 7503 | Subsoil | 0.41m - 0.70m | |
| | 7504 | Natural | 0.70m + | |
| 76 | 7601 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.28m | |
| | 7602 | Natural | 0.28m + | |
| 77 | 7701 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.35m | |
| | 7702 | Layer | 0.35 - 0.56m | |
| | 7703 | Natural | 0.56m + | |
| 78 | 7801 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.13m | |
| | 7802 | Subsoil | 0.13m - 0.45m | |
| | 7803 | Natural | 0.45m + | |
| 79 | 7901 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.32m | |
| | 7902 | Layer | 0.32 - 0.67m | |
| | 7903 | Subsoil | 0.67 - 0.85m | |
| | 7904 | Natural | 0.85m + | |
| 80 | 8001 | Topsoil | 0.00 - 0.19m | |
| | 8002 | Subsoil | 0.19 - 0.31m | |
| | 8003 | Natural | 0.31m + | |
| | 8004 | Cut | Cut of N-S Ditch | |
| | 8005 | Fill | Top Fill of [8004] | Animal Bone, CBM |
| | 8006 | Fill | Bottom Fill of [8004] | Animal Bone |
| | 8007 | Cut | Cut of Pit | |
| | 8008 | Fill | Fill of [8007] | Burnt Clay, Pottery |

Appendix 2: Photographs (Plates 1 – 18)



Plate 1 – Overview of Field 5, looking west



Plate 2 – Overview of Field 4, looking south east



Plate 3 – Overview of Trench 13, looking east



Plate 4 – Overview of Trench 54, looking north



Plate 4 – Representative Section Trench 20



Plate 5 – Representative Section Trench 76



Plate 6 – Section of Pit [2204], looking north east



Plate 7 – Section of Pit [2304], looking north east



Plate 8 – Section of Pit [2407], looking east



Plate 9 – Profile of Pit [4976], looking west



Plate 10 – Section of Pit [2904], looking east



Plate 11 – Section of Pit [3304], looking north west



Plate 12 – Section of Pit [3506], looking north east



Plate 13 – Worked stone found in Trench 74



Plate 14 – Section of Pit [3404], looking south



Plate 15 – Section of Pit [3306], looking north east



Plate 16 – Ditch Slot [4606], looking north east



Plate 17 – Ditch Slot [1704], looking south west



Plate 18 – Ditch Slot [8004], looking north

Appendix 3: Artefact Summary Assessment

Artefact Summary Assessment: CASH17

Introduction

This summary assessment provides an overview of the artefact assemblage recovered from an archaeological evaluation at Land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Hampshire (Site code: CASH17). The archaeological investigation produced a small assemblage of pottery, animal bone, burnt flint and glass (Table 1).

The artefacts were found in a number of different features and contexts and span a large date range.

The Pottery Assemblage

The pottery assemblage recovered from CASH17 appears to derive from three broad time periods; Iron Age, medieval and post medieval.

Pottery thought to date to the Iron Age (15 sherds) was recovered from contexts (2306) (2408) and (4305). All sherds are a similar handmade, flint tempered fabric.

Pottery dating to the Roman period (8 sherds) were recovered from contexts (2905) and (8008). Context (2905) contained a small amount of pottery with a soft fabric and red slip. Context (8008) contained pottery which has a fine sandy fabric with burnishing, 1 rim sherd is present.

Pottery (13 sherds) dating to the medieval period were recovered from contexts (3305) and (3505). Pottery from contexts (3305) and (3505) are similar in type and fabric with a sandy flint tempered fabric; one sherd has the characteristic “pie crust” detail and dates to the 12th-14th Century.

Pottery (4 sherds) dating to the post medieval period were recovered from three contexts (305), (501) and (3307). Context (3307) contained two sherds of glazed post medieval pottery, with one sherd likely Boarder Ware. Context (510) contained a late post medieval sherd of blue and white china and finally (305) contained an undiagnostic sherd of post medieval pottery.

It is not possible to identify a vessel types due to the nature and sizes of the individual sherds from the above assemblage. Furthermore, although this pottery can be broadly dated to time periods, it is difficult to narrow down a more specific date.

Animal Bone

A small collection of animal bones (25 fragments) were recovered from contexts (3305), (8005) and (8006). However, due to the size and level of degradation it is not possible to distinguish any specific species.

Burnt Flint and Burnt Clay

Burnt Flint and Burnt Clay are undateable but are widely considered a characteristic of Pre-historic activity on site.

Glass

Glass (2 fragments) was recovered from two contexts (505) and (4607). Context (4607) contained the bottom half of an 18th Century green glass wine bottle, with (505) containing an undiagnostic clear glass bottom.

Building Material

The ceramic building material (CBM) (35 fragments) recovered from CASH17 all derive from the post medieval period. Contexts (1205), (1405), (1705) and (8005) contained large fragments of brick. Contexts (305), (605), (3307), and (3405) contained fragments of post medieval tile and undiagnostic fragments of post medieval CBM. Slate (2 fragments) were recovered from contexts (3307) and (3405) but are undiagnostic due to their small size and nature.

Conclusions and Recommendations

All Pottery, CBM and Glass from the archaeological evaluation can be broadly dated to the Iron Age, medieval and Post Medieval periods suggesting that evidence for activity on site can be limited to these periods. All datable artefacts were recovered from secure contexts and can provide *terminus post quem* for all features.

Although the pottery, CBM and glass represents good dating evidence, the nature of the assemblage does not lend itself to further investigation at this time. Furthermore, it is not recommended that publication photographs or illustrations are necessary.

| Context | Material | Quantity | Weight (g) | Date/Period |
|---------|-----------------|----------|------------|---------------|
| 305 | CBM | 1 | 7 | Post Medieval |
| | Pottery | 1 | <1 | Post Medieval |
| 505 | Glass | 1 | 58 | Post Medieval |
| 510 | Pottery | 1 | 15 | Post Medieval |
| 605 | CBM | 1 | 16 | Post Medieval |
| 1205 | CBM | 14 | 780 | Post Medieval |
| 1405 | CBM | 2 | 363 | Post Medieval |
| 1705 | CBM | 4 | 374 | Post Medieval |
| 2306 | Burnt Flint | 34 | 718 | |
| | Pottery | 12 | 26 | Late Iron Age |
| 2408 | Burnt Flint | 4 | 95 | |
| | Non-Local Stone | 1 | 104 | |
| | Pottery | 1 | 6 | Late Iron Age |
| 2905 | Pottery | 4 | 6 | Roman |
| 3305 | Animal Bone | 2 | <1 | |
| | Pottery | 6 | 64 | Medieval |

| | | | | |
|------|-------------|-----|------|----------------|
| 3307 | CBM | 11 | 253 | Post Medieval |
| | Pottery | 2 | 5 | Post Medieval |
| | Slate | 1 | 15 | Post Medieval |
| 3405 | CBM | 1 | 9 | Post Medieval |
| | Slate | 1 | <1 | Post Medieval |
| 3507 | Burnt Flint | 1 | <1 | |
| | Pottery | 7 | 54 | Medieval |
| 3509 | Burnt Flint | 2 | 2 | |
| 4305 | Burnt Flint | 79 | 3325 | |
| | Pottery | 2 | 55 | Early Iron Age |
| 4307 | Burnt Clay | 34 | 63 | |
| | Burnt Flint | 183 | 3239 | |
| 4605 | Burnt Flint | 1 | 22 | |
| 4607 | Glass | 2 | 501 | 18th century |
| 8005 | Animal Bone | 6 | 39 | |
| | CBM | 1 | 213 | Post Medieval |
| 8006 | Animal Bone | 17 | 62 | |
| 8008 | Burnt Clay | 14 | 11 | |
| | Pottery | 5 | 17 | Roman |

Table 1: Table of Artefacts from CASH17 Evaluation

Appendix 4: OASIS Record

OASIS ID: preconst1-295034

Project details

| | |
|--|--|
| Project name | Land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire: An Archaeological Evaluation |
| Short description of the project | Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd (PCA) was appointed by the Environmental Dimension Partnership (EDP) to undertake a programme of archaeological evaluation on land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire, ahead of building work. This report provides the results of an evaluation which comprised of 80, 50m X 2m trenches opened between 26th June - 22nd August 2017. The evaluation was completed largely in keeping with the Written Scheme of Investigation. The evaluation has demonstrated that areas of the site contain archaeological remains dating to four separate time periods, iron age, Roman, Medieval and post medieval periods. Fields 1, 4, 7 and 8 contained no significant archaeological resources. Pre-historic features were identified, and are thought to represent two separate phases of prehistoric activity on the site, early and late iron age. Two Roman pits were identified during the evaluation to the south of the site, and are thought to be associated with the roman road that may run through the site from Bittern to Winchester. Medieval features were identified during the evaluation to the south of the site, they are thought to represent evidence of the former medieval village of North Stoneham. Finally, post medieval features were identified and investigated and are not thought to represent significant archaeological resources. |
| Project dates | Start: 26-06-2017 End: 22-08-2017 |
| Previous/future work | No / Yes |
| Any associated project reference codes | CASH17 - Sitecode |
| Type of project | Field evaluation |
| Site status | None |
| Current Land use | Cultivated Land 4 - Character Undetermined |
| Monument type | PIT Early Iron Age |
| Monument type | PIT Late Iron Age |
| Monument type | PIT Roman |
| Monument type | PIT Medieval |
| Monument type | PIT Post Medieval |
| Monument type | DITCH Post Medieval |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Early Iron Age |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Late Iron Age |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Roman |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Medieval |
| Significant Finds | POTTERY Post Medieval |
| Significant Finds | GLASS Post Medieval |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Significant Finds | CBM Post Medieval |
| Significant Finds | ANIMAL BONE Uncertain |
| Methods & techniques | "Sample Trenches" |
| Development type | Urban residential (e.g. flats, houses, etc.) |
| Prompt | National Planning Policy Framework - NPPF |
| Position in the planning process | After full determination (eg. As a condition) |

Project location

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Country | England |
| Site location | HAMPSHIRE EASTLEIGH EASTLEIGH Chestnut Avenue, North Stoneham |
| Postcode | SO50 9HQ |
| Study area | 60 Hectares |
| Site coordinates | SU 44013 18139 50.96044700957 -1.373232549711 50 57 37 N 001 22 23 W Point |

Project creators

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Name of Organisation | Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd |
| Project brief originator | The Environmental Dimension Partnership |
| Project design originator | The Environmental Dimension Partnership |
| Project director/manager | Thomas Hayes |
| Project supervisor | Katherine Marshall |
| Type of sponsor/funding body | Developer |
| Name of sponsor/funding body | Highwood Group |

Project archives



| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Physical Archive recipient | Hampshire Cultural Trust |
| Physical Contents | "Animal Bones","Ceramics","Glass" |
| Digital Archive recipient | Hampshire Cultural Trust |
| Digital Contents | "Stratigraphic","Survey" |
| Digital Media available | "GIS","Images raster / digital photography","Text" |

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Paper Archive recipient | Hampshire Cultural Trust |
| Paper Contents | "Stratigraphic", "Survey" |
| Paper Media available | "Context sheet", "Drawing", "Notebook - Excavation", ' Research', ' General Notes', "Report" |

Project bibliography 1

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Publication type | Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript) |
| Title | Land at Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham, Eastleigh, Hampshire: An Archaeological Evaluation |
| Author(s)/Editor(s) | Marshall, K |
| Date | 2017 |
| Issuer or publisher | Pre-Construct Archaeology Winchester |
| Place of issue or publication | Winchester |
| Description | Unpublished A4 ringbound client report, 11 figures |

| | |
|------------|---|
| Entered by | Kathrine Marshall (kmarshall@pre-consturct.com) |
| Entered on | 6 September 2017 |



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2017

© Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd 2017

12/09/17 TC

Figure 1
 Site Location
 1:2,000,000 & 1:250,000 &
 1:16,000 at A4




Figure 2
 Trench Location
 1:2500 at A3




Figure 3
 Fields 2&3: detail
 1:1250 at A3




Figure 4
Fields 5&6: details
1:1250 at A3




Figure 5
 Fields 7&8: detail
 1:1250




Figure 6
 Representative sections
 1:20 at A4








Figure 7
Early Iron Age
Plan at 1:400, section 1:20 at A4











Figure 9
Roman pits
Plan at 1:400, section 1:20 at A4














Figure 11
Post-medieval pits
Plan at 1:400, section 1:20 at A4





PCA

PCA CAMBRIDGE

THE GRANARY, RECTORY FARM
BREWERY ROAD, PAMPISFORD
CAMBRIDGESHIRE CB22 3EN
t: 01223 845 522
e: cambridge@pre-construct.com

PCA DURHAM

UNIT 19A, TURSDALE BUSINESS PARK
TURSDALE
DURHAM DH6 5PG
t: 0191 377 1111
e: durham@pre-construct.com

PCA LONDON

UNIT 54, BROCKLEY CROSS BUSINESS CENTRE
96 ENDWELL ROAD, BROCKLEY
LONDON SE4 2PD
t: 020 7732 3925
e: london@pre-construct.com

PCA NEWARK

OFFICE 8, ROEWOOD COURTYARD
WINKBURN, NEWARK
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE NG22 8PG
t: 01636 370410
e: newark@pre-construct.com

PCA NORWICH

QUARRY WORKS, DEREHAM ROAD
HONINGHAM
NORWICH NR9 5AP
T: 01223 845522
e: cambridge@pre-construct.com

PCA WARWICK

UNIT 9, THE MILL, MILL LANE
LITTLE SHREWLEY, WARWICK
WARWICKSHIRE CV35 7HN
t: 01926 485490
e: warwick@pre-construct.com

PCA WINCHESTER

5 RED DEER COURT, ELM ROAD
WINCHESTER
HAMPSHIRE SO22 5LX
t: 01962 849 549
e: winchester@pre-construct.com

