

THE  
**Archaeological Journal.**

PUBLISHED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF

THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE

OF

**The Archaeological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland,**

FOR THE ENCOURAGEMENT AND PROSECUTION OF

RESEARCHES INTO THE ARTS AND MONUMENTS

OF

**The Early and Middle Ages.**

VOLUME IX.

LONDON :

PUBLISHED AT THE OFFICE OF THE INSTITUTE, 26, SUFFOLK  
STREET, PALL MALL EAST.

(DISTRIBUTED GRATUITOUSLY TO SUBSCRIBING MEMBERS.)

TO BE OBTAINED THROUGH ALL BOOKSELLERS, FROM (THE PRINTERS)  
MESSRS. BRADBURY AND EVANS, WHITEFRIARS.

MDCCCLII.

THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE of the ARCHAEOLOGICAL INSTITUTE desire that it should be distinctly understood, that they are not responsible for any statements or opinions expressed in the Archæological Journal, the authors of the several memoirs and communications being alone answerable for the same.

## CONTENTS.

	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY ADDRESS . . . . EDMUND OLDFIELD . . . .	1
The Collection of British Antiquities in } the British Museum . . . .	AUGUSTUS W. FRANKS . . . . 9
Example of Decorative Brickwork at } Boos, near Rouen . . . .	REV. J. L. PETIT, M.A., F.S.A. . . 15
The Descent of the Earldom of Oxford . JOHN GOUGH NICHOLS, F.S.A . .	17
Painted Glass in New College Chapel } and Hall, Oxford . . . .	CHARLES WINSTON . . . . 29
Supplementary Note . . . . .	120
Examples of Ecclesiastical Architecture } in France . . . .	REV. J. L. PETIT, M.A., F.S.A. 59, 141
Assay Marks on Gold and Silver Plate OCTAVIUS MORGAN, M.P., F.S.A. . . . .	126, 231, 313
Sepulchral Monuments, Oxford Cathedral M. HOLBECHE BLOXHAM . . . .	150
The Abbey Church, Dorchester . . . EDWARD A. FREEMAN, M.A. 158, 262, 329	
The Geometrical Period of Gothic } Architecture . . . .	EDMUND SHARPE, M.A. . . . 170
Notice of a Saxon Brooch found in } Warwickshire . . . .	ALBERT WAY, M.A. . . . 179
The Bronze Doors of the Cathedral of } Gnesen . . . .	ALEXANDER NESBITT . . . 213, 339
Excavations near the Fleam Dyke, } Cambridgeshire . . . .	HON. RICHARD C. NEVILLE, F.S.A. 226
The Alien Priory of Andwell, Hants . REV. W. H. GUNNER, M.A. . . .	246
The Battle of Ashdown, A.D. 871 . . W. NELSON CLARKE, D.C.L. . . .	320
Notice of Two Remarkable Balls found } in Sussex and Gloucestershire . .	A. W. . . . . 336

## ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS :—

PAGE

Ancient Consuetudinary of Winchester. By E. SMIRKE . . . .	69
Bond by the Abbot and Convent of Winchcombe. By A. W. . . .	181
On the Use of Tin on Girdles, XIV. century. By E. SMIRKE . . .	281
Documents relating to Suffragan Bishops. By the REV. W. H. GUNNER .	358
Proceedings at the Meetings of the Institute . . . . .	99, 185, 285, 381
Annual London Meeting and Auditors' Report . . . . .	205
Report of the Annual Meeting, Newcastle-upon-Tyne . . . . .	361

## NOTICES OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL PUBLICATIONS :—The Pursuivant of Arms, by J.

R. Planché, p. 120. Fairford Graves, by William M. Wylie, p. 207. Monumental Effigies in Elford Church, by Edward Richardson, p. 208. Specimens of Tile Pavements, by Henry Shaw, p. 210. Tour in Sweden, by Samuel Laing, p. 308. Remains of Pagan Saxondom, by John Yonge Akerman, p. 310. Itinerary of Antoninus, new edition, by Parthey and Pinder, p. 391.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL INTELLIGENCE . . . . . 396

MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES . . . . . 124, 211, 400

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.\*

	PAGE
1, 3. Bronze Sword, Spear and Pin, found in Surrey . . . . .	7
4. Bronze Celt, and Profile of do. found <i>ibid.</i> . . . . .	*8
5. Urn from Broughton, Hants . . . . .	*11
6. Cover of Cist, Binstead, Hants . . . . .	*12
7, 8. Sepulchral Cist, and Fictile Vessels found in it, at Binstead . . . . .	12
9. Portion of a Pigeon-house, Boos, near Rouen † . . . . .	15
10. View of the same . . . . .	*15
11. Decorative Coloured Brickwork, <i>ibid.</i> . . . . .	16
12, 13. Seals of Aubrey de Vere, 1st Earl, and Hugo, 4th Earl of Oxford . . . . .	27
14. Seal of Robert de Vere, Earl of Oxford . . . . .	26
15, 16. Seals of John de Vere, 7th Earl, and John, 13th Earl of Oxford . . . . .	28
Views of Churches in France :—‡	
17. Agnetz . . . . .	65
18. Breuil le Vert . . . . .	66
19. Cambronne . . . . .	67
20. Cauffry . . . . .	68
21. Laigneville . . . . .	68
22. Common Seal of Winchester . . . . .	88
23. Counterseal of Winchester . . . . .	*89
24. Silver Brooch, from Kirby Ravensworth . . . . .	90
25, 26. Helmet, t. Richard II. Tower Armory. Two Cuts. . . . .	93
27—29. Stone Objects, from Honduras Bay. Three Cuts. . . . .	97
30. Inscribed Tablet, Stixwold Church . . . . .	*97
31. Bronze Object, from Chilton Bustle . . . . .	*106
32. Inscribed Relic of Bone, APRILIS . . . . .	*107
33, 34. Gold Pendant Ornament, from Palgrave . . . . .	*107
35. Ring Brooch, from Carisbrook . . . . .	*110
36. Incised Slab, from Cracow. John Kovilensky . . . . .	113

\* The Illustrations marked thus \* are in the letter press. The remainder are to be inserted at the pages indicated.

‡ This and the following Illustrations are presented by the Rev. J. L. Petit.

	PAGE
37. Incised Slab, from Laon . . . . .	*114
38. Bronze Object, for Drawing the Bow? . . . . .	*115
39. Jewelled Saxon Ornament, part of a Buckle . . . . .	*116
40, 41. Ring Brooch, in Mr. Whincopp's collection . . . . .	*116
42. Ogham Inscription, from co. Cork . . . . .	*116
43, 44. Portions of Mural Painting, East Wellow . . . . .	*117
45, 46. Bifurcate Bolt-heads . . . . .	*118
47. Painted Glass, Figure of St. Longinus . . . . .	119
Views of Churches in France :—*	
48. Nogent les Vierges, south side . . . . .	141
49. —————, Tower . . . . .	142
50. Auviller . . . . .	142
51. Angy . . . . .	143
52. Rieux . . . . .	145
53. St. Leu d'Esserent . . . . .	146
54. St. Maximin . . . . .	146
55. Champagne . . . . .	147
56—68. Sections, shewing various forms of Abacus. Thirteen Cuts . . . . .	150
69. Ground Plan, Dorchester Abbey Church . . . . .	158
70. Bronze Brooch, Saxon period, from Myton . . . . .	179
71. Crystal Bead, found with the Brooch, Myton . . . . .	*179
72—74. Stone Moulds for Casting Bronze Weapons . . . . .	185
75. Bronze Object from Ireland . . . . .	*186
76, 77. Sculptured Head, from Dominican Convent, Exeter . . . . .	*188
78. Reverse of an Inedited Coin of Carausius . . . . .	*194
79—82. Bronze Celt with two side loops. Bronze Object, resembling an <i>umbo</i> . Both Irish . . . . .	195
83—85. Irish Antiquities of Bronze, Harp-pin and Brooches, in Mr. Brack- stone's Collection . . . . .	200
86. Sepulchral Brass at Bamberg, Bishop Lambert Von Brunn . . . . .	204
87. Panel from the Bronze Doors, Gnesen Cathedral . . . . .	*222
88. Another Panel, <i>ibid.</i> . . . . .	*224
89. Sepulchral Urn, from Matlow Hill . . . . .	228
90, 91. Four Sepulchral Urns, from same place . . . . .	229
92—100. Brooches, Armlets, Bronze Needle, and Iron Buckle, Matlow Hill. Nine Cuts.† . . . . .	230
101, 102. Seal and Dated Counterseal, Guido, Abbot of Chartres . . . . .	*248
103. Seal of Andwell Priory, Hants . . . . .	*251
104, 105. Norman Pilaster, and Remains of Norman Turret, Dorchester Abbey Church . . . . .	*266

\* The whole of these Illustrations are presented by the Rev. J. L. Petit.

† The whole of these Illustrations are from drawings by Mr. Youngman, of Saffron Walden, executed for the Hon. Richard Neville, who has kindly permitted them to be used for the *Journal*.



	PAGE
106. Junction of Norman and Decorated Work, <i>ibid.</i> . . . .	*267
107. Inscription on a Cross at St. Vigean's, Forfarshire . . . .	*286
108, 109. Chalice and Paten, Christ Church, Monmouthshire . . . .	290
110. Winged Burgonet, Tower Armory . . . . .	292
111. Piece for the Game of Tables? From Scotland. . . . .	*297
112, 113. Palimpsest brass Escutcheon . . . . .	*300
114. Sepulchral Brass, Sir Walter Mauntell. . . . .	300
115, 117. Progressive Forms of Spoons . . . . .	*301
118, 119. Bronze Chisel, Romford, Essex . . . . .	*303
120, 121. Jewelled Ornament, and Bronze Buckle, Saxon period, from Ringwold . . . . .	*304
122. Necklace of Amber, from co. Monaghan . . . . .	304
123. Impression of a Ring, with Merchant's Mark, from Dorsetshire . .	*305
124. Sword, bearing Portraiture and name of Cromwell, Dover Museum .	*306
125. Remarkable Ancient Balls, found in Sussex and Gloucestershire . .	336
126. Portion of Panel, Bronze Doors, Gnesen Cathedral. . . . .	*343
127. Another portion, <i>ibid.</i> . . . .	*345
128. Incised Slab, Meaux Cathedral, Jehan Rose and his Wife . . . .	384
129. Bronze Relic, found near Buttevant, co. Cork . . . . .	*387
130. Enamelled Escutcheon . . . . .	*389
131. Table for finding the Dominical Letter, Eastry, Kent . . . . .	*389

---



---

#### ERRATA.

---

- Page 9, line 31, for "Reliquæ" read "Reliquiæ."
- „ 185, The stone moulds found in Devon are inadvertently described as in the possession of Mr. Croker, by whom they were communicated. They belong to Mr. Davoy.
- „ 212, line 32, for "Lord Clive," read "Hon. R. H. Clive, M.P."
- „ 223, foot-note 9, for "Rome," read "France."
- „ 296, lines 17 and 19, for "ecclesia," read "ecclesie."

ON THE ASSAY MARKS ON GOLD AND SILVER PLATE.<sup>1</sup>

HAVING brought down to the present time the general history of the Assay and the Assay marks, the next division of the subject comprises—

A short Abstract of the Statutes by which the goldsmiths, their works, the Assay, and these marks have been regulated.

As these Statutes contain much curious matter, I recommend a perusal of them by those who take an interest in the subject; they will find them at length in the Statutes at Large. The original early Statutes were in Norman French, and where I have considered the original French words important or interesting, I have given them. The abstract, however, I have confined as briefly and as nearly as I can to the particular subject.

The first Statute on record is—

A.D. 1300, 28th Edward I., cap. 20.—Ordains that no goldsmith should make any article of gold or silver unless it be of good and true alloy, *i. e.* gold of the standard of the Touch of Paris (Tuche de Parys), and silver of the sterling alloy of the coin, or better, (argent del alloy de le esterling, ou de meilleur). That all articles should be assayed by the warden of the craft, and marked with the leopard's head (e q'ele soit signée de *une* teste de leopart). That the wardens (gardiens) should go from shop to shop, (de shope en shope) among the goldsmiths, and assay the gold (assaient); and all that they should find of lower standard should be forfeit to the King. That no false stones should be set in gold, and no real stones in base metal.

A.D. 1363, 37th Edward III., cap. 7.—Ordains that no goldsmith within the realm should work any gold or silver but of the alloy of good sterling (alloy de bon esterlyng). That such master goldsmith should have a mark of his own, known to those who should be assigned by the King to survey their works and the alloy; that after the said surveyors had made their Assay (Assay), as ordained by

<sup>1</sup> Continued from p. 140.



the King and his council, they should set thereon the King's mark, and then the worker his mark, for which he will answer. That no goldsmith take for silver work but 18*d.* for the lb. of 2 marks, as in Paris. That no worker in silver should meddle with gilding, and no gilder work in silver.

A.D. 1379, 2nd Richard II., No. 30.—In the Rolls of Parliament of this date are found the following ordinances:—“Because the gold and silver which is worked by goldsmiths in England is oftentimes found less fine than it ought to be, because the goldsmiths are themselves the judges, be it ordained henceforth that each goldsmith should have his own mark upon his work; and that the Assay of the touch be to the Mayors and Governors of the Cities and Boroughs, with the aid of the Master of the Mint, if there be one, putting the mark of the City or Borough where it is assayed.”

“Item: it is ordained that each goldsmith of England have his own mark for himself; and if any vessel which is made be found within the realm after the Nativity of St. John next coming, not marked with the mark of the goldsmith who made it, or if it be of worse alloy than sterling, then the same goldsmith shall pay to the party complaining double the value of the same vessel, and be imprisoned, and pay a fine, according to the quantity and quality of the trespass. And our Lord the King shall appoint whom it shall please him to make the Assay, as well in London as elsewhere, as often as it shall be necessary; and after the Assay made to mark the said work with another mark, thereto appointed by our Lord the King. And it is assented that this ordinance shall commence at the said Feast of St. John, and shall last till the next Parliament, to try in the meantime if it be profitable or not.”

These ordinances are not found in the “Statutes of the Realm,” and therefore seem to have been only provisional, and were not confirmed or enacted when Parliament assembled.

A.D. 1381, 5th Richard II., cap. 2.—Ordains, “That for the great mischief which the realm suffereth, for that gold and silver, as well in money, vessel, plate, and jewels, as otherwise by exchangers, is carried out of the realm, so that in effect there is none thereof left, the King enjoins all

manner of people, as well merchants, clerks, as strangers, that none send or carry away gold or silver in money, bullion, plate, or vessel, without special licence, upon pain of forfeiting the same.

A.D. 1402, 4th Henry IV., cap. 16.—Enacts again that no person shall carry gold or silver out of the realm without the King's licence.

A.D. 1404, 5th Henry IV., cap. 13.—In order to prevent frauds it was enacted that no artificer should gild or silver any locks, rings, beads, candlesticks, harness for girdles, chalices, hilts, pomels of swords, powder-boxes, nor covers for cups made of copper or latten, on pain to forfeit to the King 100*l.*; but that chalices excepted, artificers may work ornaments for the Church of copper and latten, and the same gild and silver, so that at the foot, or some other part, the copper and latten shall be plain.

A.D. 1414, 2nd Henry V., cap. 4.—It is enacted for that the goldsmiths of England, of their covin and ordinances, will not sell the wares of their mystery gilt, but at the double price of the weight of the silver of the same, which seemeth to the King very outrageous, and too excessive a price: the King, for the ease of his people, hath ordained that all goldsmiths of England shall gild no silver wares but of the English sterling; and that they take for a pound of Troy gilt but 46 shillings and 8 pence at the most; and of greater weight and less, according to the quantity and weight of the same; and that which shall be by them gilt from henceforth shall be of a reasonable price, and not excessive; and if any goldsmith do contrary to this Statute, he shall forfeit to the King the value of the thing sold.

A.D. 1420, 8th Henry V., cap. 3.—It was by this Statute forbidden to gild any sheaths, or any metal but silver, and the ornaments for churches; or to silver any metal but Knights' spurs, and all the apparel that pertaineth to a baron, and above that estate.

A.D. 1423, 2nd Henry VI., cap. 14.—It was ordained by this Statute that no goldsmith or jeweller should sell any article of silver unless it was as fine as sterling, nor before it be touched with the Touch, and marked with the workman's mark or sign, under penalty of forfeiting double the value. And if the keeper of the Touch shall touch any harness with the leopard's head, except it be as fine as

sterling, that the keeper of the Touch shall for everything so proved forfeit the double value to the King and the party. The cities of York, Newcastle, Lincoln, Norwich, Bristow, Salisbury, and Coventry, were to have divers Touches, and no goldsmith to sell any gold or silver wares but as it is ordained in this City of London.

A.D. 1477-8, 17th Edward IV., cap. 1.—It was enacted, *inter alia*, that no goldsmith or worker of gold or silver should work or put to sale any gold under the fineness of 18 carats, nor silver unless it be as fine as sterling. Also that no goldsmith work or set to sale harness of silver plate, or jewel of silver, from the Feast of Easter, within the City of London, or within two miles of London, before it be touched with the leopard's head crowned, such as may bear the same touch; and also with a mark or sign of the worker of the same so wrought, upon pain of forfeiture of the double value of such silver wrought and sold to the contrary. That the mark or sign of every goldsmith be committed to the wardens of the same mystery, and if it be found that the warden of the Touch of the leopard's head crowned do mark or touch any harness with the leopard's head, if it be not as fine as sterling, he shall forfeit double the value of the silver; and that the craft of goldsmiths of London shall be answerable for the non-sufficiency of the warden. This Statute was enacted for seven years, and was afterwards re-enacted for twenty years in 1489, and again for twenty years in 1552 by 7th Edward VI.

A.D. 1489, 4th Henry VII., cap. 2.—It was enacted, for the amendment of money and plate of the realm, that everything might be reformed to the right standard; that the finers and parters should only sell their gold and silver to the Masters of the King's Mint, at London, Calice, Canterbury, York, and Durham. That no alloys should be made but by the goldsmiths and Masters of the King's Mints. That silver be made so fine that it bear 12 pennyweights of alloy in the lb. weight, and yet be as good as sterling; and that all finers should set their marks upon it. The gold of Venice, Florence, and Gean (Genoa) to be 12 oz. to the lb. weight; and the export of gold and silver was forbidden.

A.D. 1576, 18th Elizabeth, cap. 15.—Feb. 8. In order to prevent the frauds which were then committed, it was enacted, that after the 20th April next, no goldsmith shall

work, sell, or exchange any plate or ware of gold less in fineness than 22 carats ; and that he use no sother, amell, or other stuffing more than is necessary for finishing the same, and that they take not above 12 pence for the ounce of gold, beyond the fashion, more than the buyer shall be allowed for the same at the Queen's Mint. Nor any wares of silver less in fineness than 11 oz. 2 dwts., nor take above the rate of 12 pence for the lb. weight of silver, above the fashion, more than the buyer shall be allowed for the same at the Queen's Mint. Nor put to sale any ware before he hath set his own mark on so much thereof as may conveniently bear the same. And if after April 20th any gold or silver wares shall be touched for good by the wardens or masters of the mystery, and there shall afterwards be found fraud or deceit therein, the warden shall pay forfeit the value of the thing so marked.

A.D. 1624, 21st James I., cap. 28—Repealed portions of the 28th Edward I., 37th Edward III., and 2nd Henry VI.

A.D. 1697, 8 & 9 William III., cap. 7.—In order to prevent the silver coins of the realm being made into plate, it was enacted that after the 25th March, 1697, no worker of plate should make any article of silver less in fineness than 11 oz. 10 dwts. in every pound troy, nor sell any article made after that day, but of that standard, and until it had been marked as followeth, viz.—with the worker's mark to be expressed by the *two first letters of his surname*. The marks of the Mystery or Craft of the Goldsmiths, which instead of the leopard's head and the lion, shall for this plate be the figure of *a Lion's head erased*, and the figure of a woman commonly called Britannia, and a distinct and *variable mark* to be used by the warden of the same Mystery to denote the year in which such plate is made.

A.D. 1700, 12 William III., cap. 4.—For the convenience of goldsmiths, the several cities of York, Exeter, Bristol, Chester, and Norwich, where mints had lately been erected for coining the silver monies of the kingdom, were by Act of Parliament appointed for the assaying and marking of wrought plate, and Goldsmiths' Companies were thereby incorporated in each for that purpose.—No goldsmiths were to make plate less in fineness than the standard of the kingdom, nor to sell any article until marked as following :—*“ the worker's mark to be expressed by the two first letters of*

*his surname*, to denote the maker." "The lion's head erased and the figure of a woman commonly called Britannia," and *with the arms of such cities* where such plate shall be assayed and marked, to denote the goodness thereof, and the place where the same was assayed and marked; also with a distinct and variable mark or letter, in Roman character, which shall be annually changed, upon the election of new wardens, *to show the year* when such plate was made. The assayers were to be appointed in each city by the Company.

A.D. 1702, 1st Anne, cap. 9.—This Statute, after reciting the last Act, and the powers given to the various cities, states that in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, there is, and hath been time out of mind, an ancient Company of Goldsmiths, who with their families were like to be ruined by the provisions of that statute; also, that by the Statute 2d of Henry VI., it was one of the places appointed to have "Touches" for wrought silver plate; enacts that it shall be a place appointed to have an Assay of wrought silver, and incorporates the goldsmiths thereof in a similar manner, and with similar powers to those conferred on the other cities by the last Act.

A.D. 1719, 6th George I. cap. 2.—By this Act the ancient standard of 11 oz. 2 dwts. for silver plate was restored, by reason of the articles made thereof being, as stated in the preamble, more serviceable and durable than those made of a higher standard. The Act came into operation June 1, 1720, after which day a duty of 6 pence was to be paid to the King for every ounce of silver plate made or imported, the plate to be assayed and marked according to the regulations of the previous Act of 1797.

A.D. 1739, 12 George II. cap. 26.—After reciting the above Acts, in order to prevent the frauds which were then practised, enacts that the standard should be again fixed at 22 carats for gold, and 11 oz. 2 dwts. for silver; that no gold or silver less fine should be worked or sold after 28th June, 1739; after which time no one should work, sell, or export any gold or silver wares below that standard, nor until they should be assayed and marked as followeth, viz.—with the worker's mark, which shall be the *first letters* of his *Christian and Surname*; the *Leopard's head*, the *Lion passant*, and a distinct and variable mark or letter to denote the year in which such plate shall be made; or with the

mark of the worker or maker, and the marks appointed to be used by the assayers at York, Exeter, Bristol, Chester, Norwich, and Newcastle ; or plate of the standard of 11 oz. 10 dwts., with the mark of the worker and the Company's marks, viz.—the Lion's head erased, the figure of Britannia, and the mark or letter to denote the year.

A.D. 1784, 24th George III., cap. 53.—By this act there was imposed from December 1st, 1784, an additional duty of 8 shillings per oz. on gold plate, and 6 pence per oz. on silver plate. It was also enacted that the wardens or their Assay master should mark the pieces with a new mark, viz.—*the King's head*, over and above the several other marks directed by law.

A.D. 1798, 38th George III., cap. 69.—By this act goldsmiths were authorised to work gold of 18 carats fineness, which was to be marked with a crown and the figures 18 instead of the Lion passant.

We now come to the particular consideration of the various marks which are found on British plate.

The earliest notice I have found of any authorised mark on plate is the recorded fact that in 1275 Philip le Hardi, King of France, ordained that each city should have a particular mark of its own for works in silver. The next in order that I find is our statute, passed in 1300, above referred to, with which our own marks begin.

The marks which are found on plate made in London, are in their chronological order as follows :—

1. The Leopard's head crowned.
2. The Worker's or Maker's mark.
3. The Annual letter.
4. The Lion passant.
5. The Lion's head erased.
6. The figure of Britannia.
7. The Sovereign's Head.

The Provincial, together with the Scotch and Irish marks, will be noticed hereafter,—and first of

#### THE LEOPARD'S HEAD.

This mark, as we have seen, was first established by statute in 1300, and in the statute of 1363, it is called the King's Mark. In the translation of the original Norman-



French given in the Statutes at Large, the words used are "*the Leopard's head*," as if it was some long known and recognised symbol ; but in the original, the words are "*une Teste de Leopart*," and from the use of the article "*une*" I am inclined to infer that it was a new mark, invented and established for that express purpose. Some confusion and error seems to have existed with regard to the term "*Leopard's head*," it being, in fact, a Lion's head. The error has arisen from the fact not being known or understood, that in the heraldic language of old French (the language of our early statutes), the term "*Leopart*" means a Lion passant gardant. The arms of England from the time of Henry III. have been three lions passant gardant, and in the old French heraldic works are described as three "*Leoparts*," or Lions Leopardies. The leopard's head therefore is properly the head of a Lion passant gardant, which, in fact, is a lion's front face, as is this mark, and it was most probably taken from the arms of the sovereign, and the crown added as indicative of its being the King's mark.

All the early examples of this mark show a fine bold lion's face, with mane and beard, having on the head, a ducal crown. In the reign of George III., however, the size of the head was diminished ; and about the year 1823, from the fact, as I am informed, that in some document the simple "*Leopard's head*" was found mentioned, without being followed by the word crowned, and the parties employed, probably not being aware of the circumstances above related, the form of the stamp was altogether changed when the new punches were engraved ; the lion's head was deprived of his crown, and shorn of his mane and beard ; and it has ever since then presented an object far more resembling the head of a cat than the fine bold lion of former days ; and I must confess that I should like to see the King's mark restored to its pristine form.

#### THE WORKER'S OR MAKER'S MARK.

The next that we have to consider in the chronological series, is the Maker's Mark, which was first instituted in England by statute in 1363, which orders that every master goldsmith should have a mark of his own, known to those who should be appointed by the King to survey the works, which marks, for which the goldsmiths should answer, should

be set on the works after they had been assayed. This was enforced in almost every subsequent statute, in which it is often styled the "Mark or *Sign*" of the worker. These marks were at first emblems or symbols, as a bird, or other animal, a cross, a rose, heart, or flower; probably often selected in allusion to the name of the maker. In early times most shops had signs by which they were known, and some retain the custom even to the present day, especially on the Continent. This probably arose from the fact, that as few persons could read, the writing of the name would be of little use, whereas the setting up of some sign, such, for instance, as the golden ball, which was easily understood, gave a convenient name to the shop; it is, therefore, not improbable that the goldsmiths in some cases took for their mark, the sign of their shop. Sometimes, however, letters were used as the worker's mark. The earliest piece of plate which I have seen is the spoon of Henry VI., of which I shall speak presently more at length; it has the figure of a heart ♡ stamped on it, and most of the earlier pieces of plate have similar symbols. At Goldsmiths' Hall is preserved in the Assay Office, a large copper plate stamped in columns with a vast variety of these marks, some large and some of smaller, for pieces of plate of different sizes. These consist chiefly of emblems or symbols, as birds, flowers, &c. It seems to have been a plate on which the makers were obliged to strike their marks, but there is no reference to any book, nor is anything certain known respecting its age, but there is a tradition that it was preserved from the great fire of London, in 1666. It would be very desirable that this plate should be copied, and lithographed or engraved, and by thus having ready access to the marks thereon, some might be found on pieces of ancient plate, and thus its age determined. It is probable that some confusion had arisen from several persons adopting the same symbol, for we find that in 1696-7 it was enacted that the worker's mark should consist of the two first letters of his surname; and in 1739, by the 12th George II., this was changed to the initial letters of his Christian and surname. Were a large collection of these marks made, it might be possible, by the examination of ancient inventories, where the names of workers and artists are mentioned, as well as some peculiar marks on the plate, to identify some of the marks with the workers who used them.

## THE ANNUAL LETTER.

The next mark in our series is the Annual Letter, and this is perhaps the most interesting, for it enables us to ascertain the precise year in which any piece of plate was made.

The earliest notice respecting this mark which I have found in any document, is in 1597, when the Attorney-general filed an information against certain parties for working fraudulent silver, and counterfeiting the marks. It is there styled "the alphabetical mark approved by ordinance amongst the goldsmiths," although I have not been able to discover the ordinance by which it was authorised, nor any earlier mention of it. It had, however, been very long in use, as we shall see. The letter was annually changed on the day of election of the new wardens (that being St. Dunstan's day prior to the Restoration, and the 29th May subsequent to it), when the new punches were delivered to the Assay Master. Nothing is however said of the letter till after some dispute with the officers of the Assay, after which the letters were mentioned. The earliest, however, that I find is that for 1629, and after that date they are sufficiently regular to construct the alphabet. For the earlier letters, therefore, it is only by the examination of a great many pieces of ancient plate, chiefly belonging to public companies, colleges, corporations, and churches, of which the histories are known, that I have been able to collect the information necessary to enable me to construct a table of the various alphabets used, which I hope soon to complete. The principle by which this mark was regulated, seems to have been by cycles of twenty years, a new alphabet having been adopted at every such period. When, therefore, a certain letter is found to belong to a certain year, and that its proper one in the order, the character of the cycle of twenty years is obtained; and I have found all other letters of similar character to tally with and confirm it. The dates, however, which are found engraved on ancient plate, cannot always be relied on for the date of the work. Oftentimes pieces of plate which individuals or their families have had in their possession for many years have afterwards been given or bequeathed by them to public bodies, and then the date of the gift is recorded in the inscription, which will not

agree with the period of the work. Again, plate given to public bodies having been worn out, has been remade at subsequent periods, or exchanged for more useful articles, and the original date has been engraved on the new made piece. I will give one instance in illustration: One of the loving cups of the Goldsmiths' Company goes by the name of "Hanbury's Cup," and bears engraved on it the record of its having been the gift of Richard Hanbury, in 1608. The form and workmanship of the cup is clearly of the period of Charles II., and that was confirmed by the Annual Letter. This perplexed me till, in searching the books of the Company, I found by accident a memorandum stating that "Hanbury's Cup, weight 60 oz., was sold with other plate in 1637, and re-made in 1666," which date agrees exactly with the annual letter. The earliest piece of plate with a mark that I have met with, is the spoon of Henry VI. It was given by the King, together with his boots and gloves, to Sir Ralph Pudsey, of Bolton Hall, after the battle of Hexham, in 1463. These relics have been carefully treasured ever since, and are now preserved by Pudsey Dawson, Esq., at his seat, Hornbey Castle, in Westmoreland. Of the genuineness of this spoon there is no doubt; the head of the handle is octagonal, somewhat resembling the capital of a Gothic shaft, and on the flat top is engraved a single rose, the badge of the King. The spoon is of the usual form of ancient spoons, and the marks thereon are as follows:—inside the bowl is stamped the leopard's head, and all the ancient English spoons previous to the Restoration which I have seen are so marked. On the back of the stem is stamped with a punch a small heart ♥ which I consider to be the worker's mark, and above that is the annual letter *in* also stamped with a punch. This, according to my conjectural calculation, will give the spoon the date 1445, which agrees well with its form, character, and history.

With the exception of two cycles of twenty years I have obtained examples of all the various alphabets used since the year 1438; and, for the reason I am about to give, I am disposed to think that that date was the period of the first adoption of the annual letter. I hope soon to be able to commence the series, and give all the alphabets in a tabular form.

It will be remembered in the extracts from the proceedings

of the Montpellier Goldsmiths, that in consequence of repeated and increased frauds, new securities were invented from time to time to provide against them, till at last, in the year 1427, it was ordained as a fresh security, that, in order to insure the fineness of the articles assayed after that time, the name of the warden of the mystery inscribed on the register of the city, should be followed by one of the letters of the alphabet, which letter should be reproduced beneath the arms of the town on the piece of plate, in order that it might be known under what warden it was made, so that in effect he might be held answerable for having made a fraudulent assay, and suffered bad silver to be sold as good standard. And that this was the object of the annual letter seems to be confirmed by the Statute of Elizabeth in 1576, which ordains that, if any article shall be touched for good by the wardens, and there shall afterwards be found fraud or deceit therein, the warden shall pay forfeit the value of the thing so marked.

The fact of the Montpellier ordinances giving the specific reason for the introduction of a new mark, seems to me very like the origin of it, and I am much inclined to attribute the first invention and adoption of this mark to the authorities of Montpellier in 1427; and when once adopted in one place, it probably soon became a custom in others, as an improved security against fraud; and the date of our first alphabet here, in 1438, very well agrees with the supposition of that being the period of its first introduction into this country. The cycles of twenty years seem to have proceeded regularly from 1438 to 1696, when on the occasion of the new standard being introduced, and the concomitant new marks, a new alphabet was begun. The entries in the Goldsmiths' minutes are as follows:—

A.D. 1696, May 29th.—New puncheons received; the letter for the year being *t* in a scutcheon, [t]

A.D. 1697, March 27th.—The puncheons for the remaining part of this year were received, being according to an Act of Parliament, a Lyon's head erased, a Britannia, and for the letter, the great court A in an escutcheon, [A]

It must be borne in mind that as the new year before the correction of the style did not begin till March, and as the new letters were not fixed till the 29th May, each letter served a portion of two years; this T and A, therefore, were

both letters for the year 1696, *i.e.* for the year beginning 29th of May, 1696, the real letter for 1697-8, court B, not being appointed till May 29th, 1697. Instances, however, of the letter *u* occur for the year 1697 on articles which were probably made but not marked or sold previous to the adoption of the new standard.

Pieces of very early English plate are of great rarity, and therefore seldom met with ; but it is quite possible that some articles may still exist in the possession of public bodies or private persons, which will supply the deficiency in my table ; and now that attention is particularly called to the subject, I hope such may be found, by which it may be completed and made correct ; for as only a few letters of some of the alphabets can be met with on pieces of plate, the remainder must be supplied from other sources, which, till sufficient proof is obtained of their correctness, can only be conjectural.

*Characters of the Alphabets of Assay Office Annual Letters.*

- 1438 to 1458.—Lombardic, simple.
- 1458 to 1478. —Unknown.
- 1478 to 1498.—Lombardic, double cusped.
- 1498 to 1518.—Small black letter without Lion passant.
- 1518 to 1538.—Lombardic, cusps internal, no Lion.
- 1538 to 1558.—Unknown.
- 1558 to 1578.—Black letter small, Lion passant.
- 1578 to 1598.—Roman letters, capitals.
- 1598 to 1618.—Lombardic, cusps external.
- 1618 to 1638.—Italics, small letters.
- 1638 to 1658.—Court hand.
- 1658 to 1678.—Black letter capitals.
- 1678 to 1697.—Black letter, small.
- 1696 to 1716.—Court hand, with Britannia.
- 1716 to 1736.—Roman capitals.
- 1736 to 1756.—Roman letters, small.
- 1756 to 1776.—Old English capitals or black letter.
- 1776 to 1796.—Roman letters small, King's head.
- 1796 to 1816.—Roman capitals, King's head.
- 1816 to 1856.—Old English or black letter capitals.



## THE LION PASSANT.

The next mark to be considered is the Lion Passant. It seems evidently to have been taken from the arms of England, but its origin, intention, and the precise date of its adoption are obscure, for they are not mentioned in any document I have met with, and are, therefore, at present only matters of conjecture; but it is possible that among the many folio volumes of the records of the Goldsmiths' Company, there may be some explanation, although I have failed to find it. The earliest mention of it which I have met with, is in the indictment filed by the Attorney-general in 1597, against certain parties for working and selling fraudulent silver, and "counterfeiting the marks of Her Majesty's lion, the Leopard's head, limited by statute," and the marks of the Goldsmiths' Company. At the Assay Office it has been usually considered the King's mark, as ordered to be set on plate by the Statute of Edward III., in 1363. But this it cannot be, for I have not seen it on any piece of plate earlier than the reign of Elizabeth. I was at one time inclined to think that the lion might have been the assayer's mark mentioned in the Goldsmiths' ordinances of 1507; but in that case it should be found on all plate made after that period, whereas that is not the fact. It is possible that it may have been adopted in the reign of Henry VIII., as a mark of the inferior silver when that monarch caused the standard to be debased; but I am more disposed to consider that it was most probably introduced in the reign of Elizabeth, when in the year 1560 she restored the standard to its original quality, for the purpose of distinguishing the plate made of that silver from that of the debased standard of her father, a practice which was, on a subsequent occasion in the reign of William III., adopted for a similar purpose; and though I find no mention of it in the Goldsmiths' Records, it may have been appointed by warrant or ordinance from the Queen. This hypothesis agrees also with the fact of its being called in the above mentioned indictment, "Her Majesty's Lion," whilst the Leopard's head is described as being "limited by statute." The earliest piece of plate on which I have found this mark is a chalice of the date 1563. It is, however, to the Archæologist an important mark, as its absence or presence

greatly assists in fixing the date of an article ; in addition to which, it also serves now to distinguish English from Scotch or Irish plate, and this may by possibility, have been its original intention, although I have no ground for hazard-ing such a conjecture.

#### LION'S HEAD ERASED AND FIGURE OF BRITANNIA.

Of these two marks there is little to be said. They were ordered by the Statute in 1696, which raised the standard for silver plate from 11 oz. 2 dwts. to 11 oz. 10 dwts., to distinguish the plate so made from that which had previously been made of the lower standard, and they were substituted for the Leopard's head and Lion passant. They continued in use till 1719, when the old standard was restored. All plate made of that silver was so marked, and it is possible that some articles may have been so made and marked after that date.

#### THE SOVEREIGN'S HEAD.

Of this mark there is still less to be said. It consists of the head of the reigning sovereign in profile, as on the coins, and is of course changed at the beginning of every reign. Hereafter, therefore, there will be no doubt as to the period when any piece of plate was made. It was first ordered by statute in 1784, when the additional duty was put on plate.

There is, however, one other mark occasionally found on ancient plate, which seems to bear some relation to our English marks, or rather to partake of both French and English ; this is the Leopard's head crowned and Fleur de lis dimidiated and joined together on one shield. This seems to be a mixture of the English and French marks, for the Fleur de lis was the ancient mark or touch of Paris. In the Statute 4th Henry VII., cap. 2., 1488, it was enacted for the amendment of money and plate, that the finers should only sell their gold and silver to the masters of the King's mint at London, *Calice*, and certain other places. Calais was taken by Edward III. in 1347, and remained in possession of the English till 1558. As it was under the crown, and part of the realm of England for so long a time, and as the King had a mint there, I venture to hazard an opinion that there might also have been goldsmiths there subjects of the King of England, and that this stamp,

dimidiating the King's marks of England and France (for the King bore the arms and title of King of France), might have been the mark used at Calais, for the same purpose as the Leopard's head in England, to distinguish the plate made there.

I have now brought to a close this account of the English Assay marks for plate used in London. As the paper has extended itself far beyond the reasonable limits of such a communication, I shall reserve the Provincial, Scotch, and Irish marks for consideration on a future occasion. My chief difficulty, however, has been in this case to condense, into the form of a paper, matter that might with greater fairness have been amplified into a volume.

AN ACCOUNT OF THE ALIEN PRIORY OF ANDWELL, OR  
ENEDEWELL, IN HAMPSHIRE, A CELL OF THE ABBEY OF  
TYRONE; WITH SOME REMARKS ON THE FAMILY OF DE  
PORT OF BASING, ITS FOUNDERS.

NOT far from the town of Basingstoke, and old Basing, so famous for its sieges in the wars of Charles and the Parliament, is the ancient manor of Andwell, or Enedewell.<sup>1</sup> It still retains some traces of its antiquity,<sup>2</sup> and the inquiring eye of the archæologist may observe indications of its original destination. It was in truth an ancient Priory, a dependency of the great Cistercian Abbey of Tyrone in France. "This house and St. Cross (Isle of Wight)" says Tanner, "are reckoned among the houses of the Benedictine Order, "but should rather be Cistercian, if cells to Tyrone."<sup>3</sup> This seeming difficulty will vanish, if it be remembered that the

<sup>1</sup> The name seems equivalent to Ducks' well, from A. Sax. *Ened.*, Lat. *Anas*. See Mr. Way's note, *Prompt. Parv.*, voce *Ende*.

<sup>2</sup> The buildings seem to have enclosed a small quadrangle: on the western side is a portion of an ancient wall, in which is a doorway which opened probably into the refectory, now the kitchen of the farmhouse. The chapel stood on the north side of the quadrangle. It was of small dimensions, and had an entrance both on its south and north sides. The

eastern end has been demolished. The original windows were mere loopholes splayed internally: but there is one of larger dimensions on the south side, an insertion of later date, of the period of Adam de Orlton, Bishop of Hereford and afterwards of Winchester, who, A.D. 1325, granted an indulgence of forty days to all who should visit the church of Andwell. This would seem to imply that some work was then in progress there.

<sup>3</sup> *Mon. Angl.*, vol. vi. p. 1047.

## The Archaeological Journal.

---

DECEMBER, 1852.

---

### ON THE ASSAY MARKS ON GOLD AND SILVER PLATE.<sup>1</sup>

#### ON THE ASSAY MARKS OF THE PROVINCIAL TOWNS.

WE now come to the concluding portion of our subject, viz., the consideration of the Provincial Assay Marks on Plate. On this head, however, there is less to be said than might be supposed, and much has been anticipated in a work recently published, intituled "The Assay of Gold and Silver Wares," by Arthur Ryland, Esq. To complete the subject, however, it will be desirable to give a brief account of them.

In 1423, by statute 2nd Henry VI., the cities of York, Newcastle, Lincoln, Norwich, Bristol, Salisbury, and Coventry, were appointed to have "divers Touches." But with the exception of Norwich, I find no trace of any of them having exercised the authority thus conferred upon them, notwithstanding most, if not all, had guilds or fraternities of goldsmiths established in them. Indeed, it is very likely that they did not, else it would hardly have been necessary to reappoint them by statute in 1700, to have the assay of plate. These cities were most probably selected for Touch towns on account of mints existing in some or all of them, as that was the reason for their selection in 1700.

In Norwich, plate was made, assayed, and marked, at an early period, and specimens among the plate belonging to the corporation exist of the date 1567. The distinguishing mark is an escutcheon with the arms of the city of Norwich, viz., a castle in chief above a lion passant in base. An annual letter seems also to have been used, for on two pieces of plate of 1567, a Roman C is found, and on one of 1568, there is

<sup>1</sup> Continued from p. 246.

a Roman D. On these there are other marks, probably those of the maker, such as a sun with rays, a trefoil, or some other emblem. I have in my possession a spoon with the Norwich mark, which has been stamped in the bowl with a rose surmounted by a crown, just in the place where the leopard's head is usually found, from which it may be supposed that that was used as the standard mark. There is also on the stem a lion rampant. A similar rose and crown is found on a piece of plate at Norwich of the date 1631, which is about the date of my spoon; in 1634, a crown without the rose was used, and seems to have continued for some time, as it is found on a piece of plate of 1684. A rose sprig, with stalk and leaves, is also found on the piece of plate of 1634. In the court books of the Corporation of Norwich, is an entry dated "1624, ult., July," which states, that by the authority of the Mayor a mark, viz. the castle and lion, was delivered to the wardens and searcher of the trade of goldsmiths; and in 1702, July 1, Mr. Robert Hartstonge was sworn assayer of gold and silver to the company of goldsmiths of the city. The assaying of plate in Norwich, seems, however, to have been long discontinued, as there is now neither Goldsmiths' Company nor Hall, nor has there been within the recollection of any one now living. A hall, however, is mentioned by Bloomfield; but no part of it remains.

In 1700, York, Exeter, Bristol, Chester, and Norwich, where mints had lately been erected for recoinage the silver monies of the realm, were by statute appointed for the assaying and marking of wrought plate. Goldsmiths' Companies were incorporated in each; no plate was to be made less in fineness than the standard of the kingdom, and the following marks were appointed—the worker's mark to be expressed by the two first letters of his surname, the lion's head erased, the figure of Britannia, and the arms of such city where such plate shall be assayed, and a distinct and variable letter in Roman character, which shall be annually changed upon the election of new wardens, to show the year when such plate was made. In 1701, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, having been omitted in the Act of the previous year, was added, the Goldsmiths' Company there having existed "time out of mind." Of these cities, Bristol alone appears never to have exercised the power of assaying plate, though Norwich seems soon to have abandoned the privilege. The other

cities all carried the provisions of the Act into effect by establishing assay offices, which still continue in active operation. The early plate of these cities bears the lion's head erased and Britannia, but when the standard was reduced in 1719 these marks were abandoned, and the old leopard's head and lion passant restored. In York the annual letter seems to have been regularly changed; but I have been unable to learn the order of the alphabets. The distinguishing mark is the arms of the City of York, which are five lions passant on a cross.

In Chester no records or particulars of the assay office are to be found, till within the last twelve years, they having been either not kept or destroyed. The arms of Chester, viz., three gerbes, two in chief, having a sword between them, and one in base, are the mark which distinguishes the office of this city. There is, however, at least one evidence that plate was made and marked at Chester at a period earlier than 1701. For on examination of the large silver-gilt mace belonging to the Mayor and Corporation, which was given by the Earl of Derby, when he was Mayor in 1668, I find it stamped with the Goldsmiths' mark, and the arms of the City of Chester, as they were then borne, viz., three lions rampant dimidiated, impaled with three gerbes dimidiated. There is, however, neither leopard's head, lion passant, nor annual letter to be found, and the marks which are there have been nearly obliterated by the burnisher when the mace was regilt. It may be as well to mention here, that when ancient plate is repaired or regilt, silver-smiths should be careful not to deface the marks, as is often done; for considerably more interest, and therefore value, is attached to plate of which the precise age and date can be ascertained. The mace in question, is very handsome, and in style and size resembles those of the House of Commons and Royal Society. Nothing certain relative to this early assay of plate at Chester seems to be known, but it is said to have been under the authority of some charter supposed to have been granted by Edward I., long since lost, and of which no authentic record seems to remain.

In Exeter the records have been carefully preserved, the provisions of the Act immediately put in force, and wardens and assayers appointed. The series of annual letters is as follows :—



1701 to 1724	Roman capital A.
1725 „ 1741	— small letters a.
1749 „ 1772	Roman capitals.
1773 „ 1796	Ditto ditto.
1797 „ 1816	Ditto ditto.
1817 „ 1836	Roman small letters.
1837 „	Old English capitals.

The arms of Exeter are a castle.

At Newcastle-upon-Tyne, the annual letter appears to have been in use from 1700, but the Roman capital is the only character employed till about thirty years ago, when a small letter was adopted. The arms of Newcastle, the distinguishing mark, are three castles.

The assay offices of Birmingham and Sheffield being of very recent origin, it will not be necessary to notice them here further than to say, that an anchor is the mark of Birmingham, and a crown that of Sheffield.

In Scotland attention was paid at an early period to the fineness of wrought gold and silver, and steps were taken by the Legislature to prevent frauds in the working those metals. For in the reign of King James II., A.D. 1457, a statute was enacted by the Parliament of Scotland, for “the reformation of gold and silver wrought by goldsmiths, and to eschew the deceiving done to the king’s lieges, there shall be ordained in each burgh where goldsmiths work, one understanding and cunning man of good conscience, who shall be deacon of the craft ; and when work is brought to the goldsmith, and it be gold, he shall give it forth again in work, no worse than eleven grains, and he shall take his work to the deacon of the craft that he may examine that it be as fine as above written, and the said deacon shall set his mark and token thereto, together with the said goldsmith : and if fault be found therein afterwards, the deacon aforesaid and the goldsmith’s goods, shall be in escheat to the king, and their lives at the king’s will ; and the said deacon shall have to his fee of each ounce weight one penny, and where there is no goldsmith but one in the town, he shall show that work, tokened with his own mark, to the head officers of the town, which shall have a mark in like manner ordained therefore, and shall be set to the said work.”

In the reign of James III., 24th Feb., 1483, the following

statute was ordained by the Parliament :—" Also it is advised and concluded by the Lords of the articles, that for the eschewing of great damage and scathes that our Sovereign Lord the King's lieges sustain by the goldsmiths in the minishing the fineness of the silver work, that henceforth there be in each burgh of the realm where goldsmiths are, one deacon, and one searcher of the craft, and that each goldsmith's work be marked with his own mark, the deacon's mark, and the mark of the town, of the fineness of twelve penny fine, and when there is any such work within the fineness, the work to be broken, the workman to make up the availe of the fineness required, and to be punished at the King's will."

In 1489, another statute to the same effect was ordained : by this, each goldsmith was to have one special mark, his works were to be of the fineness of the new works of silver of Bruges, and there was to be a deacon of the craft, who was to examine and mark the works.

Again, in 1555, " Forasmuch as there is great fraud and hurt done unto the lieges of the realm by goldsmiths that make silver and gold of no certain fineness, but at their pleasure, by which there is some silver work set forth of such baseness of alloy, viz., of six and seven penny fine, against the public weal of the realm, it is ordained that no goldsmith make in work, nor set forth either his own or other men's silver, under the just fineness of eleven penny fine, under the pain of death and confiscation of all their goods and moveables ; and that every goldsmith mark the silver work with his own mark, and with the town's mark : Also, that no goldsmith set forth either his own or other men's gold under the just fineness of 22 carats fine, under the pain aforesaid."

By these statutes it will be seen that there were three marks, the goldsmith's, the deacon's, and the town's mark ; but nothing to indicate the years. What these marks were is not any where indicated, and they most probably were numerous. It will, therefore, be a good object for some Scottish antiquary to work out the marks of his own country, by an examination of ancient pieces of Scotch plate, as well as the records of the various burghs. There is, however, one mark which I have occasionally met with on ancient plate, resembling a letter X or a St. Andrew's cross, surmounted by a crown exactly resembling the Scottish crown in shape.

This I have been inclined to consider a Scotch mark ; it is usually accompanied by some other mark, an emblem, which is repeated three times. For a very long period plate has not been marked anywhere but at Edinburgh. Glasgow was, however, also made an assay town by the 59th George III., by which the assay offices are now regulated. Scotch plate is now indicated by the mark of a thistle. A castle distinguishes that made at Edinburgh, and the arms of Glasgow, a tree on a mount with a salmon in fess over the trunk, mark the plate made there.

With regard to the marks on Irish plate, a full account of these, together with a copy of the charter of the Goldsmiths' Company of Dublin, is given in the work before alluded to, called "The Assay of Gold and Silver Wares ;" a brief notice here will therefore suffice. The Goldsmiths' Company of Dublin was incorporated by a charter from Charles I., dated 1638 ; it gives the Company the power to assay gold and silver wares, and appoints for a mark, a harp crowned, to be stamped upon them. In 1729, 3rd George II., the Irish Parliament enacted that all articles of gold and silver should be assayed at Dublin, by the Assay Master appointed by the Company of Goldsmiths, fixed the standard of gold at 22 carats, and silver at 11 oz. 2 dwts., and ordered that the articles should be marked with the marks then used.

In 1783, the 23rd and 24th George III. repealed that statute as far as gold was concerned, and fixed three standards for gold, of 22, 20, and 18 carats. All articles of 22 carat gold, were to be marked at the Assay Office, Dublin, with the maker's mark ; consisting of the first letter of his christian and surname, and the harp crowned : and at the Assay Office at New Geneva, just then established, with the harp crowned, having a bar across its strings : 20 carat gold at Dublin with the maker's mark and a plume of three feathers ; and at New Geneva, with a plume of two feathers ; and 18 carat gold in Dublin, with a unicorn's head ; and at New Geneva, with a unicorn's head, with a collar round his neck. It further ordered, that the punches were so constructed that the impression should be indented, instead of being in relief, so as to prevent its being defaced.

New Geneva is a village near Waterford, where in 1783, a colony of foreign protestants was established after some persecution on the Continent. Many Swiss were among them, especially Genevese, whence the name ; they exercised

various trades, especially working in silver and jewellery, and hence the establishment of an Assay Office and particular marks. After a few years, and the expenditure of 30,000*l.*, the settlement was abandoned; the Genevese became discontented at not having obtained as much as they wanted, and quitted the country, and the place has dwindled to a small obscure village without any trade; it is, therefore, probable that very few, if any, articles were assayed or marked there.

I have now brought to a conclusion the history of British plate marks, giving all the information I have been able to collect. With regard to the marks on ancient foreign plate, I must leave them to some Archaeologist who has opportunities of visiting the cities on the Continent, and investigating the history of the marks used there.

OCTAVIUS MORGAN.