

LODGE FARM, KERSEY ROAD, LINDSEY, SUFFOLK

DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY



Report Number: 1141

SEPTEMBER 2016



LODGE FARM, KERSEY ROAD, LINDSEY, SUFFOLK DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

Prepared for: Archaeoserv (Dennis Payne Archaeological Services) Great Heath 351 High Road Trimley St Martin Suffolk IP11 0RS

By: Matthew J. Baker MA, BA (hons)

Britannia Archaeology Ltd

Unit 2, The Old Wool Warehouse St Andrews Street South Bury St Edmunds Suffolk IP33 3PH T: 01449 763034

info@britannia-archaeology.com www.britannia-archaeology.com

Registered in England and Wales: 7874460

September 2016

Site Code Event Number	LSY013 ESF24638	NGR	TL 9781 4444
Planning Ref.	B/16/0055/FUL	OASIS	dennispa1-261731
Approved By:	Dan McConnell	Date	September 2016



DISCLAIMER

The material contained within this report was prepared for an individual client and solely for the benefit of that client and the contents should not be relied upon by any third party. The results and interpretation of the report cannot be considered an absolute representation of the archaeological or any other remains. Britannia Archaeology Ltd will not be held liable for any error of fact resulting in loss or damage, direct, indirect or consequential, through misuse of, or actions based on the material contained within by any third party.



CONTENTS

- **1.0 INTRODUCTION**
- 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION
- 3.0 PLANNING POLICIES
- 4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND
- 5.0 PROJECT AIMS
- 6.0 METHODOLOGY
- 7.0 RESULTS
- 8.0 CONCLUSIONS BIBLIOGRAPHY

Figure 1	General Location Plan	1:5000
Figure 2	Grid Plan	1:500
Figure 3	Non-Processed Data	1:500
Figure 4	XY Trace Plot	1:500
Figure 5	Grayscale Processed	1:500
Figure 6	Interpretation Plan	1:500



ABSTRACT

In September 2016 Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) undertook a detailed magnetometer survey on Lodge Farm, Kersey Road, Lindsey, Suffolk, (NGR TL 978 444) for Archaeoserv. The survey was conducted over the footprint for a proposed eco house on an area of 0.73 ha.

The site is in an area of high archaeological potential, to the north of scheduled monuments St James' Chapel (LSY002) and the manorial bank. The geophysical survey identified several high amplitude magnetic responses. Though these responses most likely represent buried ferrous objects, it is possible that anomalies **1000** and **1001** could represent the remains of buried fired clay structures, possibly showing peripheral activity outside of the manorial bank.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In September 2016 Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) undertook a detailed magnetometer survey on Lodge Farm, Kersey Road, Lindsey, Suffolk, (NGR TL 978 444) for Archaeoserv. The survey was conducted over the footprint for a proposed eco house on an area of 0.73 ha.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located in one agricultural field to the north of Kersey Road in Lindsey, Suffolk. It is bound to the south by Kersey Road, to the north and east by agricultural fields and to the west by farm buildings.

The bedrock geology is London Clay Formation, which is a sedimentary bedrock formed approximately 34 – 56 million years ago in the Palaeogene period when the local environment was previously dominated by deep seas.

Superficial deposits are described as Lowestoft Formation – Diamicton. These superficial deposits were formed up to 2 million years ago in the Quaternary Period. When the local environment was previously dominated by ice age conditions.

3.0 PLANNING POLICIES

The archaeological investigation is to be carried out on the recommendation of the local planning authority, following guidance laid down by the National Planning and Policy Framework (NPPF, DCLD 2012) which replaces Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment (PPS5, DCLG 2010). The relevant local planning policy is the *Mid Suffolk Local Plan; (1998)*.



4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Twelve records are held by the Suffolk County Council Historic Environment Records within a 500m search radius of the site. The immediate monuments of St James' Chapel (LSY002), Manorial Banks and a medieval Motte and Bailey (LSY001). The site lies immediately adjacent to the area enclosed by the partially upstanding manorial banks. The two lengths of manorial bank running south and east of St James' Chapel imply an enclosure to the south around the chapel and castle. Therefore there is a high potential for peripheral activity relating to the manorial complex (SCCAS/CT 2016).

5.0 PROJECT AIMS

A non-intrusive geophysical survey is required of the development; this is likely to lead to a programme of trial trenching to enable the archaeological resource, both in quality and extent, to be accurately quantified. However, any decision about the need for, and extent of, trial trenching will be taken following the geophysical survey.

6.0 METHODOLOGY

The survey grid was be set out to the Ordnance Survey OSGB36 datum to an accuracy of ± 0.01 m using a Leica Viva Glonnass Smart Rover GS08.

A Bartington Dual Grad 601-2 fluxgate gradiometer was used to undertake the survey, because of its high sensitivity and rapid ground coverage. The soils and underlying geology are receptive to magnetometer survey, but good results are heavily dependent on the contrast between the fills of a feature (with humic and charcoal rich deposits providing the best results) and the relative weakness of the local magnetic background field.

Only minimal processing of the datasets has been undertaken, data processing allows for the correction of errors introduced during the survey and instrument errors. The survey data has been produced using TerraSurveyor software V 3.0.29.3, where the following data processes were applied:

Destripe: Removes striping effects from the raw data caused by discrepancies between different sensors and walking directions caused by alternate zig-zag traverses.

Clip: The range of data can be set to specified maximum and minimum values in order to improve the contrast of weaker anomalies within the data.

Grad. Shade: The overall appearance of the data was improved.

The raw and processed greyscale plots have been produced for comparison. An XY trace plot consisting of the processed data will be used in combination with raw and processed greyscale data. An interpretation plan characterising the anomalies has been produced based on the evidence collated from the greyscale and XY trace plots.



7.0 RESULTS (Figs. 3-6)

The data displayed five discrete high amplitude magnetic anomalies (**1000 – 1004**), the anomalies are concentrated within the centre of the survey area. The following numbered anomalies refer to the numerical labels of the interpretation plot (FIG 7).

7.1 Gradiometer Results

Two of the high amplitude anomalies (**1000** and **1001**), consist of a high amplitude positive anomaly with a negative response and no separation between the polarities. Due to their high magnetic response these anomalies most likely represent buried ferrous objects. However, such responses can be produced by fired clay structures such as the remains of hearths and kilns. These features may therefore be of archaeological significance.

The remaining high amplitude anomalies **1002**, **1003** and **1004**, are discrete high amplitude bipolar anomalies. These discrete anomalies consist of a positive response with associated negative response, and most likely represent the presence of ferrous debris in the ploughsoil.

Modern disturbance

The data displayed several strong magnetic responses which are described below. Located on the southern boundary for the site, running E-W for *c*.71m is an area of increased magnetic noise **1005**. This area of magnetic noise consists of a spread of high amplitude positive and negative responses, represents an area of disturbed ground. This is probably related to successive recutting for the drainage ditch along the southern boundary of the site.

Along the westernmost edge of the survey area, the data has shown a particularly large bipolar response **1006**, which is visible running from the northwest corner of the survey towards the southwestern corner of the survey. This has most likely been generated by a modern pipe for a septic tank located in the southwest of the survey area.

Another strong bipolar response **1007** is visible in the south-eastern corner of the survey. This response has been created from a water pipe on the eastern boundary of the site. The 'halo' effect produced by these modern disturbances may mask the visibility of archaeological anomalies in this area.

Two bonfire piles within the survey area, though they have not generated any magnetic response, have created a physical obstruction to data collection.

8.0 CONCLUSION

The geophysical survey has identified several high amplitude magnetic responses **1000** – **1004**. Though these responses most likely represent buried ferrous objects, it could be



possible that **1000** and **1001** could represent the remains of buried fired clay structures, possibly showing peripheral activity outside of the manorial bank.

No cut archaeological features have been identified within the survey area. This could be because of the reduced natural magnetic enhancement of topsoils developing over London clay formation, leading in turn to reduced feature contrasts. It is also possible that ploughing and removal of trees from the orchard previously on site has decreased the overall magnetic response. Therefore it is likely that weak magnetic anomalies have not been recognised in the geophysical data.

9.0 **PROJECT ARCHIVE AND DEPOSITION**

A full archive will be prepared for all the work undertaken in accordance with the *Selection, Retention and Dispersion of Archaeological Collections,* Archaeological Society for Museum Archaeologists 1993. Arrangements will be made for the archive to be deposited with the relevant museum/HER office.

10.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Britannia Archaeology Ltd would like to thank Dennis Payne of Archaeoserv for commissioning the work and thanks to Mr S. Stroud for funding the survey.

The survey was undertaken by Matthew Baker and Adam Leigh.



Bibliography

Ayala. G. et al. 2004. Geoarchaeology; Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record. English Heritage.

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. 2014. *Standard and Guidance for Geophysical Survey*

Clark. A. J. 1996. *Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting Methods in Archaeology*. BT Batsford Ltd, London.

David. A. 1995. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation: Research and Professional Services Guidelines*. No.1. English Heritage.

David. A. *et al.* 2008. *Geophysical Survey In Archaeological Field Evaluation,* Second Edition. English Heritage.

Department for Communities and Local Government, 2012. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

English Heritage, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*. Research and Professional Service Guideline No 1, 2nd edition.

Gaffney. C, Gater. J. and Ovenden. S. 2002. *The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations*. IFA Technical Paper No. 6.

Gaffney. C. and Gater. J. 2003. *Revealing the Buried Past, Geophysics for Archaeologists*. Tempus Publishing Ltd.

Gurney, D. 2003. *Standards for Archaeology in the East of England*, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper 14.

Institute for Archaeologists. 2011. *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*.

Linford. N. 2006. Notes from an English Heritage Seminar.

SCCA/CT. 2016 Brief for Lodge Farm, Kersey Road, Lindsey, Suffolk.

Schmidt. A. 2001. *Geophysical Data in Archaeology: A Guide to Good Practice*. Archaeology Data Service. Oxbow Books.

Whitten. D.G.A. 1978. *The Penguin Dictionary of Geology*. Penguin Books Ltd. London.

Witten. A.J. 2006. *Handbook of Geophysics and Archaeology*. Equinox Publishing Ltd. London.



Websites

The British Geological Survey, 2013, (Natural Environment Research Council) – Geology of Britain Viewer - <u>www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion2=1#maps</u>





N
STATION EASTING NORTHING 01 597775.0978 244447.7921 01 597835.0978 244417.7921
Site Boundary
(NGR: 597830 244430) (REF: 01150)
PROJECT: LODGE FARM, KERSEY ROAD, LINDSEY,
DESCRIPTION:
CLIENT:
UNIT 2 THE OLD WOOL WAREHOUSE ST ANDREWS STREET SOUTH BURY ST EDMUNDS SUFFOLK IP33 3PH
T: 01449 763034 E: Info@britannia-archaeology.com W: www.britannia-archaeology.com © Copyright Britannia Archaeology Ltd 2016
DATE: SEP 2016 PLOT: A3 VERSION: 1
AUTHOR: MJB FIGURE: 2







N
15.83 nT
Site Boundary 10nT/cm Scale Interval
(NGR: 597830 244430) (REF: P1159)
LODGE FARM, KERSEY ROAD, LINDSEY, SUFFOLK
DESCRIPTION: XY TRACE PLOT
CLIENT: ARCHAEOSERV
BRITANNIA ARCHAEOLOGY LTD
UNIT 2 THE OLD WOOL WAREHOUSE ST ANDREWS STREET SOUTH BURY ST EDMUNDS SUFFOLK IP33 3PH T; 01449 763034 E: info@britannia-archaeology.com W: www.britannia-archaeology.com
© Copyright Britannia Archaeology Ltd 2016 OATE: SEP 2016 PLOT: A3 VERSION: 1
AUTHOR: MJB FIGURE:
DPM







N
Isolated Dipolar Responses Negative & Positive Spread, Disturbed Ground
Site Boundary
(NGR: 597830 244430) (REF: P1159) (PROJECT: LODGE FARM, KERSEY ROAD, LINDSEY, SUFFOLK (DESCRIPTION: INTERPRETATION PLOT (CLIENT:
ARCHAEOSEKV BRITANNIA ARCHAEOLOGY LTD UNIT 2 THE OLD WOOL WAREHOUSE ST ANDREWS STREET SOUTH BURY ST EDMUNDS SUFFOLK IP33 3PH T: 01449 763034 E: Info@britannia-archaeology.com W: www.britannia-archaeology.com @ Copyright Britannia-Archaeology Ltd 2016
DATE: SEP 2016 PLOT: A3 VERSION: 1
APPROVED: DDM FIGURE: