

LAND EAST OF THE CONSTABLE MEDICAL CENTRE, HEATH ROAD, EAST BERGHOLT

DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY



Report Number: 1145 October 2016



LAND EAST OF THE CONSTABLE MEDICAL CENTRE, HEATH ROAD, EAST BERGHOLT

DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

Prepared for: Colchester
Archaeological Trust Roman
Circus House
Circular Road North
Colchester Essex
CO2 7GZ

By:

Matthew J. Baker MA, BA (hons)

Britannia Archaeology Ltd Unit 2,
The Old Wool Warehouse St
Andrews Street South
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk
IP33 3PH

T: 01449 763034

info@britannia-archaeology.com www.britannia-archaeology.com

Registered in England and Wales: 7874460

October 2016

Site Code	EBG056	NGR	TM 083 352
Event Number	ESF24728	NGK	TM 063 332
Planning Ref.	B/16/01092/OUT	OASIS	britanni1-263239
Approved By:	11	Date	October 2016



DISCLAIMER

The material contained within this report was prepared for an individual client and solely for the benefit of that client and the contents should not be relied upon by any third party. The results and interpretation of the report cannot be considered an absolute representation of the archaeological or any other remains. Britannia Archaeology Ltd will not be held liable for any error of fact resulting in loss or damage, direct, indirect or consequential, through misuse of, or actions based on the material contained within by any third party.



CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION
3.0	ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND
4.0	PROJECT AIMS
5.0	METHODOLOGY
6.0	RESULTS
7.0	CONCLUSIONS
8.0	PROJECT ARCHIVE AND DEPOSITION
9.0	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
	BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDIX 1 - OASIS FORM

APPENDIX 2 - WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION

Figure 1	General Location Plan	1:5000
Figure 2	Grid Plan	1:2000
Figure 3	Unprocessed Data	1:2000
Figure 4	XY Data Plot	1:2000
Figure 5	Minimal Processed Data Plan	1:2000
Figure 6	Compressed Data Plan	1:2000
Figure 7	Interpretation	



ABSTRACT

In October 2016 Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) undertook a detailed magnetometer survey on Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt, (NGR TM 083 352).

The geophysical survey has identified several anomalies that could be archaeological in origin. The features present within the survey are identified as low amplitude positive anomalies, which could be infilled ditch type features (1000 – 1002 and 1004), with anomalies 1001 and 1002 possibly representing an enclosure. A series of low amplitude anomalies (1003) on the northern boundary of the site have been identified as ploughing activity, of an unknown date. A discrete high amplitude anomaly (1006) was identified of unknown origin, it is possible that the source of the anomaly is archaeological in origin.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

In October 2016 Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) undertook a detailed magnetometer survey on Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt, (NGR TM 083 352), over 9.2 ha of land for proposed development.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site was located in two agricultural fields to the south of Heath Road in East Bergholt, Suffolk. The fields were bound to the east by Mill Road and agricultural fields, to the south by agricultural fields and to the west by residential properties.

The bedrock geology is Thames Group – Clay, Silty. This sedimentary bedrock was formed approximately 34 to 56 million years ago in the Palaeogene period when the local environment was previously dominated by deep seas (BSG 2016).

Superficial deposits are described as Lowestoft Formation – Sand and Gravel. These superficial deposits were formed up to 2 million years ago in the Quaternary Period when the local environment was previously dominated by ice age conditions (BSG 2016).

3.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

An archaeological desk-based assessment has been undertaken (Parmenter 2016) in summary:

It appears that the proposed development site has never been subject to direct settlement or development of any kind. Historic maps point to the long-term use of the proposed development site being pasture/arable farmland.

There are no Heritage Assets within, or in close proximity to the proposed development site but there are a number within the Search Area, and though none of these will be affected by the proposed development, they may give an indication as to the archaeological potential for the development site.



There is very little evidence for any activity in the search area until the medieval period. However, evidence for the medieval occupation of East Bergholt is fairly limited.

Almost all the Heritage Assets returned by the Suffolk HER were post-medieval in date. The village clearly saw significant growth at this time, with nearly 40 listed buildings being added to the village over the post-medieval period.

4.0 PROJECT AIMS

A non-intrusive geophysical survey was required of the development; this is likely to lead to a programme of trial trenching, subject to a separate WSI, to enable the archaeological resource, both in quality and extent, to be accurately quantified. However, any decision about the need for, and extent of, trial trenching will be taken following the geophysical survey (Brief Section 3.1).

5.0 METHODOLOGY

The survey grid was be set out to the Ordnance Survey OSGB36 datum to an accuracy of ± 0.01 m using a Leica Viva Glonnass Smart Rover GS08.

A Bartington Dual Grad 601-2 fluxgate gradiometer was used to undertake the survey, because of its high sensitivity and rapid ground coverage. The soils and underlying geology are receptive to magnetometer survey, but good results are heavily dependent on the contrast between the fills of a feature (with humic and charcoal rich deposits providing the best results) and the relative weakness of the local magnetic background field.

Only minimal processing of the datasets has been undertaken, data processing allows for the correction of errors introduced during the survey and instrument errors. The survey data has been processed using TerraSurveyor software V 3.0.29.3, where the following data processes were applied:



Destripe: Removes striping effects from the raw data caused by discrepancies between different sensors and walking directions caused by alternate zig-zag traverses.

Destagger: Corrects the displacement of anomalies caused by alternate zig-zag traverse.

Clip: The range of the data can be set to specified maximum and minimum values in order to improve the contrast of weaker anomalies within the data.

Compress: Weak anomalies were further enhanced by applying an arctangent weighing to the data.

Grad. Shade: The overall appearance of the data was improved

Two processed greyscale plots have been produced the first processed greyscale shows minimal processing of the data, the second shows further enhancement of weak anomalies present in the data by applying an arctangent compression to the data. The raw and two processed greyscale plots have been produced for comparison. An XY trace plot consisting of the processed data will be used in combination with raw and processed greyscale data. An interpretation plan characterising the anomalies has been produced based on the evidence collated from the greyscales and XY trace plots.

6.0 **RESULTS** (Figs. 3-7)

The geophysical survey has revealed a few anomalies of possible archaeological origin. The following numbered anomalies refer to the numerical labels of the interpretation plot.

6.1 Gradiometer Results

In the north-western corner of the survey area the data revealed a low amplitude positive linear anomaly (**1000**). This linear anomaly has a NW – SE orientation and is visible in the data for c.39m. This anomaly is regular in appearance, however, is of varying signal strength, which is suggestive of the anomaly being disturbed at source. Anomaly **1000**



has a similar alignment to cropmarks recorded in the field immediately to the north west of the survey area SHER 12290.

The central area of the survey has revealed a weak positive linear anomaly (**1001**), that has a NE – SW orientation for c.29m before turning east on a NW – SE orientation for c.24m, to then finally turn to a SW – NE orientation for c.15m before disappearing out of the data. It is possible that this is representing an enclosure c.20m in width. Located to the north-west of anomaly **1001** is positive linear anomaly **1002**. This anomaly is irregular in appearance and can be seen running for c.21m with a N – S orientation. It is unknown if anomalies **1001** and **1002** are related.

On the northern boundary of the site are a series of low amplitude positive linear anomalies (1003), these anomalies run parallel c.3 – 5m apart from one another with NW – SE orientations, visible in the data for c.31m before being masked by magnetic disturbance 1010 from the road on the northern boundary of the site. These anomalies most likely represent previous ploughing activity within the field, their irregular and intermittent appearance is suggestive of the source of the anomalies are disturbed.

Positive anomaly **1004** has been identified in the south-east corner of the survey area. This anomaly measures c.4m in width, the length of this anomaly is unknown as it is only partially present within the survey. The anomaly appears to be regular in appearance and the response given is consistent with those resulting from an infilled feature of archaeological origin. Immediately to the north-west of anomaly **1004** is a low amplitude irregular anomaly **(1005)**, this anomaly has a NW – SE orientation and is c.20m ending with an irregular positive response c.5m in width. These anomalies are most likely natural in origin.

High amplitude anomaly

In the south-east of the survey area, north-west of anomalies **1004** and **1005** is a high amplitude positive sub-rectangular anomaly with associated negative response **1006**. The anomaly measures $c.14m \times c.4m$, with a NW – SE orientation. The interpretation of this anomaly is uncertain. The high amplitude anomaly could be a result of *in situ* burning causing the remnant magnetic material. Therefore this anomaly could be of archaeological origin.



Geological anomalies

Several geomorphological features have been identified in the data (**1007**), these features have a broadly NE – SW orientation, with various associated channels. These anomalies are characterised as low amplitude positive spreads, the signal of which has derived from slightly higher magnetic material being deposited by glacial melt waters.

Modern disturbance

The data has displayed several strong magnetic responses which are described below. A strong positive response with associated negative response located on the western edge with a NE – SW orientation has been produced by modern service **1008**.

A series of strong bipolar responses can be seen running along the western and northern boundaries of the site (**1009** and **1010**). These have resulted from the boundaries of the properties adjacent to the survey area (**1009**), and from close proximity of a road and passing vehicles (**1010**), which have all produced a distortion to the local magnetic field. It is probable that the halo effect produced by these responses could be masking the presence of archaeological anomalies in these areas.

The survey has revealed numerous high amplitude magnetic spikes (**1011**). Each of these discrete magnetic spikes consists of a well-defined dipolar response. Their high amplitudes suggest the presence of ferrous debris in the ploughsoil.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The geophysical survey has identified several anomalies that could be archaeological in origin. The features present within the survey are identified as low amplitude positive anomalies, which could be infilled ditch type features (1000 – 1002 and 1004), with anomalies 1001 and 1002 possibly representing an enclosure. A series of low amplitude anomalies 1003 on the northern boundary of the site have been identified as ploughing activity, of an unknown date. A discrete high amplitude anomaly (1006) was identified of unknown origin, it is possible that the source of the anomaly is archaeological in origin.

The overall signal strength of the features is reduced, this could be due to the reduced natural magnetic enhancement of topsoils developing over the Thames group clay formations, leading to the reduced feature contrasts.



8.0 PROJECT ARCHIVE AND DEPOSITION

A full archive will be prepared for all the work undertaken in accordance with the *Selection, Retention and Dispersion of Archaeological Collections,* Archaeological Society for Museum Archaeologists 1993. Arrangements will be made for the archive to be deposited with the relevant museum/HER office.

9.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Britannia Archaeology Ltd would like to thank Mr Chris Lister of the Colchester Archaeological Trust for commissioning the project.

We would also like to thank Rachael Abrahams at the Suffolk County Council Archaeology Service / Conservation Team (SCCAS/CT) for her input and advice.

The survey was undertaken by Matthew J. Baker and Adam Leigh of Britannia Archaeology Ltd



Bibliography

Abraham, R. 2016. Brief for a Geophysical Survey at Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt

Ayala. G. et al. 2004. Geoarchaeology; Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record. English Heritage.

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. 2014. Standard and Guidance for Geophysical Survey

Clark. A. J. 1996. Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting Methods in Archaeology. BT Batsford Ltd, London.

David. A. et al. 2008. Geophysical Survey In Archaeological Field Evaluation, Second Edition. English Heritage.

Department for Communities and Local Government, 2012. *National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)*

Gaffney. C, Gater. J. and Ovenden. S. 2002. *The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations*. IFA Technical Paper No. 6.

Gaffney. C. and Gater. J. 2003. *Revealing the Buried Past, Geophysics for Archaeologists*. Tempus Publishing Ltd.

Gurney, D. 2003. Standards for Archaeology in the East of England, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper 14.

Historic England, 2008. *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*. Research and Professional Service Guideline No 1, 2nd edition.

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. 2015. Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey.

Project Number: 1160

Linford. N. 2006. Notes from an English Heritage Seminar.



Parmenter, P. 2016. A desk-based assessment of the archaeological remains on and around land at Heath Road, East Bergholt. CAT Report 966.

Schmidt. A. 2001. *Geophysical Data in Archaeology: A Guide to Good Practice*. Archaeology Data Service. Oxbow Books.

Witten. A.J. 2006. *Handbook of Geophysics and Archaeology*. Equinox Publishing Ltd. London.

Websites

The British Geological Survey, 2016, (Natural Environment Research Council) – Geology of Britain Viewer - www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accordion2=1#maps



APPENDIX 1 - OASIS FORM

5/12/2017 OASIS FORM - Print view

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

List of Projects | Manage Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

Printable version

OASIS ID: britanni1-263239

Project details

Project name Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt, Suffolk

Short description of the project

Geophysical Survey: In October 2016 Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) undertook a detailed magnetometer survey on Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt, (NGR TM 083 352). The geophysical survey has identified several anomalies that could be archaeological in origin. The features present within the survey are identified as low amplitude positive anomalies, which could be infilled ditch type features (1000 - 1002 and 1004), with anomalies 1001 and 1002 possibly representing an enclosure. A series of low amplitude anomalies (1003) on the northern boundary of the site have been identified as ploughing activity, of an unknown date. A discrete high amplitude anomaly (1006) was identified of unknown origin, it is possible that the source

of the anomaly is archaeological in origin.

Cultivated Land 4 - Character Undetermined

Project dates Start: 21-09-2016 End: 07-10-2016

Previous/future work

No / Yes

Any associated project reference codes

EBG056 - Sitecode

Type of project

Current Land use

Field evaluation

Site status None

N/A None Monument type

Significant Finds N/A None

Methods & techniques "Geophysical Survey"

Development type Housing estate

Prompt National Planning Policy Framework - NPPF Position in the After full determination (eg. As a condition)

planning process

Solid geology LONDON CLAY

GLACIAL SAND AND GRAVEL Drift geology

Techniques Magnetometry

Project location

Country England

SUFFOLK BABERGH EAST BERGHOLT Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Site location

Heath Road, East Bergholt, Suffolk

CO7 6RT Postcode

http://oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm



5/12/2017 OASIS FORM - Print view

Study area 9.2 Hectares

Site coordinates TM 608300 235200 51.848528679863 1.78766168867 51 50 54 N 001 47 15 E Point

Height OD /

Depth

Min: 0m Max: 0m

Project creators

Name of Organisation Britannia Archaeology Ltd

Project brief originator

Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body

Project design originator

Matthew Baker

Project

Martin Brook

director/manager

Project supervisor Matt Baker

Type of

Archaeological Contractor

sponsor/funding

body

Name of sponsor/funding

body

Colchest Archaeological Trust

Project archives

Physical Archive Exists?

Digital Archive

Suffolk HER

recipient

Digital Archive ID EBG056 Digital Contents "none"

Digital Media

"GIS", "Geophysics", "Images raster / digital photography", "Survey", "Text"

available Paper Archive

Suffolk HER

recipient

Paper Archive ID EBG056

Paper Contents

"none"

Paper Media available

"Map", "Photograph", "Report", "Survey "

Project bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

LAND EAST OF THE CONSTABLE MEDICAL CENTRE, HEATH ROAD, EAST Title

BERGHOLT: DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

Author(s)/Editor(s) Baker, M. J.

Other

Report Number 1145

bibliographic details

Date 2016

Issuer or publisher Britannia Archaeology Ltd

Place of issue or Bury St Edmunds

publication

2/3 http://oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm



5/12/2017 OASIS FORM - Print view

Description A4 Report with A3 pullout figures
URL http://www.britannia-archaeology.com/

Entered by Matthew Baker (m.baker@brit-arch.com)

Entered on 12 May 2017

OASIS:

Please e-mail Historic England for OASIS help and advice
© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012
Cite only: http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm for this page



APPENDIX 2 - WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION

LAND EAST OF THE CONSTABLE MEDICAL CENTRE, HEATH ROAD, EAST BERGHOLT

WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION DETAILED MAGNETOMETER SURVEY



Project Number: 1160 September 2016



LAND EAST OF THE CONSTABLE MEDICAL CENTRE, HEATH ROAD, EAST BERGHOLT

Written Scheme of Investigation Detailed Magnetometer Survey

Prepared for: Colchester
Archaeological Trust Roman
Circus House
Circular Road North
Colchester Essex
CO2 7GZ

By:

Matthew J. Baker MA, BA (Hons)

Britannia Archaeology Ltd Unit 2,
The Old Wool Warehouse St
Andrews Street South
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk
IP33 3PH

T: 01449 763034

<u>info@britannia-archaeology.com</u> www.britannia-archaeology.com

Registered in England and Wales: 7874460

September 2016

Site Code	EBG056	NGR	TM 083 352
Event Number	ESF24728		
Planning Ref.	B/16/01092/OUT	OASIS	britanni1-263239
Approved By:	Dan McConnell	Date	September 2016



CONTENTS

10.0 Introduction

11.0 Site Description

12.0 Planning Policies

13.0 Archaeological Background

14.0 Project Aims

15.0 Methodology

16.0 Presentation of Results

17.0 Project Archive and Deposition

18.0 Health and Safety

19.0 Resources

20.0 Timetable and Programme of Work Bibliography

Appendix 1 Technical Details
Appendix 2 Insurance Details

Appendix 3 Staff

Appendix 4 Specialists

Figure 1 Site Location Plan 1:5000

Figure 2 Proposed Survey Grid Location Plan 1:2000



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) has been prepared by Britannia Archaeology Ltd (BA) on behalf of the Colchester Archaeological Trust, Roman Circus House, Circular Road North, Colchester, Essex in response to a brief (Abraham, R. 12th September 2016) for a geophysical survey over land for proposed development (9.2 ha) on Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt (NGR TM 083 352).

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located in two agricultural fields to the south of Heath Road in East Bergholt, Suffolk. The fields are bound to the east by Mill Road and agricultural fields, to the south by agricultural fields and to the west by residential properties.

The bedrock geology is Thames Group – Clay, Silty. This sedimentary bedrock was formed approximately 34 to 56 million years ago in the Palaeogene period when the local environment was previously dominated by deep seas (BSG 2016).

Superficial deposits are described as Lowestoft Formation – Sand and Gravel. These superficial deposits were formed up to 2 million years ago in the Quaternary Period when the local environment was previously dominated by ice age conditions (BSG 2016).

3.0 PLANNING POLICIES

The archaeological investigation is to be carried out on the recommendation of the local planning authority, following guidance laid down by the National Planning and Policy Framework (NPPF, DCLD 2012) which replaces Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment (PPS5, DCLG 2010). The relevant local planning policy is the *Mid Suffolk Local Plan;* (1998).

4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

An archaeological desk-based assessment has been undertaken (Parmenter 2016) in summary:

It appears that the proposed development site has never been subject to direct settlement or development of any kind. Historic maps point to the long-term use of the proposed development site being pasture/arable farmland.

There are no Heritage Assets within, or in close proximity to the proposed development site but there are a number within the Search Area, and though none of these will be affected by the proposed development, they may give an indication as to the archaeological potential for the development site.



There is very little evidence for any activity in the search area until the medieval period. However, evidence for the medieval occupation of East Bergholt is fairly limited.

Almost all the Heritage Assets returned by the Suffolk HER were post-medieval in date. The village clearly saw significant growth at this time, with nearly 40 listed buildings being added to the village over the post-medieval period.

5.0 PROJECT AIMS

A non-intrusive geophysical survey is required of the development; this is likely to lead to a programme of trial trenching, subject to a separate WSI, to enable the archaeological resource, both in quality and extent, to be accurately quantified. However, any decision about the need for, and extent of, trial trenching will be taken following the geophysical survey (Brief Section 3.1).

6.0 METHODOLOGY

6.1 Fieldwork

A detailed fluxgate gradiometer survey is required over *c.*9.2 Hectares, scheduled to be undertaken in September 2016.

6.2 Instrument Type Justification

Britannia Archaeology Ltd will employ a Bartington Dual Grad 601-2 fluxgate gradiometer to undertake the survey, because of its high sensitivity and rapid ground coverage. The soils and underlying geology are receptive to magnetometer survey, but good results are heavily dependent on the contrast between the fills of a feature (with humic and charcoal rich deposits providing the best results) and the relative weakness of the local magnetic background field.

6.3 Instrument Calibration

The Magnetometer will be left on for a minimum of 20 minutes in the morning for the sensors to settle before any recorded survey takes place. Sensor heights will be measured and equalised at the start of the first day so that a consistent height above the ground is maintained during the survey. Each sensor shall be positioned on the same side of the instrument throughout the survey. A calibration point will be located with a magnetic variation no greater than 1 nano tesla (nT) within a 6m² area. This area of low magnetic susceptibility will be used to calibrate the instruments sensors during the survey. Sensor calibration will be undertaken after every 6 full grids, however, sudden changes in weather or knocking the sensors will require recalibration.



6.4 Sampling Interval and Grid Size

The sampling interval shall be 0.25m along 1m traverse intervals, within $30 \times 30m$ grids. Where a 30m grid cannot be recorded in full, as much of the partial grid will be recorded as possible.

6.5 Survey Grid Location

The survey grid shall be set out to the Ordnance Survey OSGB36 datum to an accuracy of ±0.01m employing a Leica Viva Glonnass Smart Rover GS08. Data will be converted to the National Grid Transformation OSTN02, and the instrument will be regularly tested using stations with known ETRS89 coordinates. The grid will be located parallel to the long axis of the proposed development to allow for ease of survey.

6.6 Data Capture

The grid order will be recorded on a BA pro-forma so that the composite plan can be inputted at the close of the day. Instrument readings will be recorded on an internal data logger, downloaded to a laptop at midday and in the evening. Data will be filed in job specific folders and backed up onto an external storage device and finally a remote server.

6.7 Data Presentation and Processing

Only minimal processing of the datasets shall be undertaken, data processing allows for the correction of errors introduced during the survey and instrument errors. The survey data will be processed using TerraSurveyor software v3.0.29.3. These processes will be entirely dependent on the data collected from the survey.

The raw and processed greyscale plots will be produced for comparison. An XY trace plot consisting of the processed data will be used in combination with raw and processed greyscale data. An interpretation plan characterising the anomalies will be produced based on the evidence collated from the greyscale and XY trace plots.

6.8 Software

The software used to process the data and produce the composites will be DW Consulting's Terrasurveyor v3.0.29.3. Datasets will be exported into AutoCAD and placed onto their corresponding grid positions. An interpretation plot will then be produced using AutoCAD.

7.0 PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The prepared client/archive report will be commensurate with the results of the fieldwork, and will be consistent with the principles of the *Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE)*, English Heritage, Edmund Lee, 2006 (minor revisions 2009), *Geophysical Survey In Field Evaluation*, English Heritage, Andrew David *et al*, 2008,



and the *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey*, Institute for Archaeologists, 2011, containing the following:

- Summary. A concise summary of the work undertaken and the results.
- *Introduction*. Introduction to the project including the reasons for work, funding, planning background.
- Background. The history, layout and development of the site.
- Aims and Objectives.
- *Methodology*. Survey strategy and techniques used.
- Results. Detailed description of findings outlining the nature, location and extent of the anomalies.
- *Discussion and Conclusions.* A synopsis interpreting the anomalies, impact assessment, site potential, possible locations of subsequent trial trenches.
- Bibliography.
- Appendices. Technical Details, Geo-referencing Information, Metadata Sheet, HER/OASIS Summary Sheet.
- *Illustrative Material.* Raw Data Plots, Processed Data Plots, XY Trace Plots, Interpretation Plots, Photographs.

Prior to the release of the final report, a draft report will be submitted for comment and approval to the Suffolk Historic Environment Record. On approval digital copies will be supplied to the client and both a the digital version and hard copy of the final report will be submitted to the Suffolk Historic Environment Record in due course (including a vector plan and AutoCAD .dxf file) and the National Monuments Record (NMR). A .pdf version will be uploaded to the ADS website and an OASIS form will be completed online and sent to the HER.

8.0 PROJECT ARCHIVE AND DEPOSITION

A full archive will be prepared for all work undertaken in accordance with guidance from the *Selection, Retention and Dispersion of Archaeological Collections,* Archaeological Society for Museum Archaeologists, 1993. Arrangements will be made for the archive to be deposited with the relevant museum/HER Office, in this case will be the Suffolk County Council HER Store.



9.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY

BA operates a comprehensive Health and Safety Policy in accordance with the Health and Safety Executive. BA operates under the Federation of Archaeological Managers and Employers (FAME) *Health and Safety Field Manual*, which is regularly updated by supplements.

BA are covered by employer's liability, public liability and professional indemnity insurance arranged through Towergate Insurance (see Appendix 2).

9.1 Code of Practice, Risk Assessment and Site Induction

BA's Code of Practice covers all aspects of survey work and ensures all risks are adequately controlled. A site visit will be undertaken and an assessment of the potential risks highlighted, a full site risk assessment will be produced based on this information. The assessment of risk is continually monitored and this document can be updated if any change in risk occurs. A copy of the Risk Assessment is kept on site, read and countersigned by all staff and visitors during the BA site induction.

BA will liaise with the contractor or client on arrival and will follow any additional Health and Safety instructions given.

A qualified First Aider will be present on every site.

All BA staff members are CSCS registered.

10.0 RESOURCES

All archaeological projects are undertaken by a team of professional qualified archaeologists, a synopsis can be found at Appendix 3. Full CV's are available on request.

All site work will be undertaken by a Project Officer with a qualified member of staff in close communication with a Project Manager. This project officer will also be responsible for post-survey publication.

11.0 TIMETABLE AND PROGRAMME OF WORK

The geophysical survey is scheduled to be undertaken in late September 2016 and report production will commence thereafter. Preliminary greyscale and interpretation plots shall be issued at the end of the survey. It is understood that the client is aware of the working methods and provision has been made to allow access to undertake the survey as required.



Bibliography

Abraham, R. 2016. Brief for a Geophysical Survey at Land East of the Constable Medical Centre, Heath Road, East Bergholt

Ayala. G. et al. 2004. Geoarchaeology; Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record. English Heritage.

Clark. A. J. 1996. Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting Methods in Archaeology. BT Batsford Ltd, London.

David. A. 1995. Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation: Research and Professional Services Guidelines. No.1. English Heritage.

David. A. et al. 2008. Geophysical Survey In Archaeological Field Evaluation, Second Edition. English Heritage.

Department for Communities and Local Government, 2012. *National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)*

Gaffney. C, Gater. J. and Ovenden. S. 2002. *The Use of Geophysical Techniques in Archaeological Evaluations*. IFA Technical Paper No. 6.

Gaffney. C. and Gater. J. 2003. *Revealing the Buried Past, Geophysics for Archaeologists*. Tempus Publishing Ltd.

Gurney, D. 2003. Standards for Archaeology in the East of England, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper 14.

Institute for Archaeologists. 2011. Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Geophysical Survey.

Linford. N. 2006. Notes from an English Heritage Seminar.

Parmenter, P. 2016. A desk-based assessment of the archaeological remains on and around land at Heath Road, East Bergholt. CAT Report 966.

Schmidt. A. 2001. *Geophysical Data in Archaeology: A Guide to Good Practice*. Archaeology Data Service. Oxbow Books.

Whitten. D.G.A. 1978. The Penguin Dictionary of Geology. Penguin Books Ltd. London.

Witten. A.J. 2006. *Handbook of Geophysics and Archaeology*. Equinox Publishing Ltd. London.



Websites

The British Geological Survey, 2016, (Natural Environment Research Council) – Geology of Britain Viewer - www.bgs.ac.uk/opengeoscience/home.html?Accord.ion2 = 1#maps



APPENDIX 1 TECHNICAL DETAILS

MAGNETOMETER

The magnetometer differs from the 'active' magnetic susceptibility meter by being a 'passive' instrument. Rather than injecting a signal into the ground it detects slight variations in the Earth's magnetic field caused by cultural and natural disturbance (Clark).

Thermoremanent magnetism is produced when a material containing iron oxides is strongly heated. Clay for example has a high iron oxide content that in a natural state is weakly magnetic, when heated these weakly magnetic compounds become highly magnetic oxides that a magnetometer can detect.

The demagnetisation of iron oxides occurs above a temperature known as the Curie point; for example haematite has a Curie point of 675 Celsius and magnetite 565C. At the time of cooling the iron oxides become permanently re-magnetised with their magnetic properties re-aligned in the direction of the Earth's magnetic field (Gaffney and Gater). The direction of the Earth's magnetic field shifts over time and these subtle alignment differences can be recorded. Kilns, hearths, baked clay and ovens can reach Curie point temperatures, and are the strongest responses apart from large iron objects that can be detected. Other cultural anomalies that can be prospected include occupation areas, pits, ditches, furnaces, sunken feature buildings, ridge and furrow field systems and ritual activity (David, 2011). Commonly recorded anomalies include modern ferrous service pipes, field drainage pipes, removed field boundaries, perimeter fences and field boundaries.

Fluxgate Gradiometers

Fluxgate gradiometers are sensitive instruments that utilise two sensors placed in a vertical plane, spaced 1 metre apart. The sensor above reads the Earth's magnetic (background) response while the sensor below records the local magnetic field. Both sensors are carefully adjusted to read zero before survey commences at a 'zeroing' point, selected for its relatively 'quiet' magnetic background reading. When differences in the magnetic field strength occur between the two sensors a positive or negative reading is logged. Positive anomalies have a positive magnetic value and conversely negative anomalies have a negative magnetic value relative to the site's magnetic background. Examples of positive magnetic anomalies include hearths, kilns, baked clay, areas of burning, ferrous material, ditches, sunken feature buildings, furrows, ferrous service pipes, perimeter fences and field boundaries. Negative magnetic anomalies include earthwork embankments, plastic water pipes and geological features.

The instruments are usually held approximately 0.30m to 0.50m above the ground surface and can detect to a depth of between 1-2metres. Best practice dictates that the optimal direction of traverse in Britain is east to west.



Magnetic Anomalies

Linear trends

Linear trends can be both positive and negative magnetic responses. If they are broad, relatively weak or negative in nature they may be of agricultural or geological origin, for example periglacial channels, land drains or ploughing furrows. If the responses are strong positive trends they are more likely to be of archaeological origin. Archaeological settlement ditches tend to be rich in highly magnetic iron oxides that accumulate in them via anthropogenic activity and humic backfills. Conversely surviving banks will be negative in nature, the material is derived from subsoil deposits that is less likely to be positively magnetic. Curvilinear trends can also be recorded and are indicative of archaeological structures such as drip-gullies.

Discrete anomalies

Discrete anomalies appear as increased positive responses present within a localised area. They are caused by a general increase in the amount of magnetic iron oxides present within the humic back-fill of for example a rubbish pit.

'Iron spike' anomalies

These strong isolated dipolar responses are usually caused by ferrous material present in the topsoil horizon. They can have an archaeological origin but are usually introduced into the topsoil during manuring.

Areas of magnetic disturbance

An area of magnetic disturbance is usually associated with material that has been fired. For example areas of burning, demolition (brick) rubble or slag waste spreads. They can also be caused by ferrous material, e.g. close proximity to barbwire or metal fences and field boundaries, buried services, pylons and modern rubbish deposits.



APPENDIX 2 INSURANCE DETAILS

	Employers Liability Insurance	Public Liability	Professional Indemnity
Insurer	Towergate	Towergate	Towergate
	Insurance	Insurance	Insurance
Extent of Cover	£10,000,000	£5,000,000	£5,000,000
Policy Number	000436	000436	201101352/1236



APPENDIX 3 STAFF

The following members of staff have the skills and experience necessary to undertake the supervision of archaeological work as required in the brief. All have a wide range of experience on a variety of site types.

Archaeologist Adam Leigh BA (Hons)

Qualifications: University of Reading, BA (Hons) History (2008-2011)

Experience: Adam joined Britannia Archaeology in early 2015 as an Archaeologist and has four years experience within commercial archaeology. After graduating from Reading with First Class Honours, Adam began his career in archaeology processing finds recovered from sites across East Anglia. In 2012 he became responsible for supervising the processing of finds and working with specialists to produce post excavation assessments. Adam has also worked closely with archivists and has experience in preparing archives for deposition across the region. In his time within commercial archaeology he has learned a wide range of fieldwork skills on numerous sites within and beyond the East Anglia. Adam's main research interests lie in the archaeology and history of the medieval period that stemmed from his higher education studies.

Project Officer Matthew Baker MA, BA (Hons)

Qualifications: Cardiff University, MA Archaeology (2011–2013)

Cardiff University, BA (Hons) Archaeology (2008–2011)

Experience: Matthew joined Britannia Archaeology in 2016 as a Project Officer and has 3 years commercial archaeological experience. Matthew has been involved with numerous projects across the United Kingdom, including assisting in geophysical surveys for the Exmoor Mire Project, and the Damerham Archaeological Project. Since 2013 Matthew has been working in East Anglia where he has developed his skills in both Archaeological excavation and geophysics, undertaking numerous small to large scale projects; including monitoring, trial trenching, full excavation and gradiometer surveys across East Anglia and beyond. Matthews's research interests involve metal production technology with a focus on the Late Bronze Age – Early Iron Age transition.

Director Dan McConnell BSc (Hons)

Qualifications: University of Bournemouth, BSc (Hons) Archaeology (1995-1998)

Experience: Dan is a Director at Britannia Archaeology and has seventeen years commercial archaeological experience. He took part in several archaeological projects in the north of England from the late 1980's onwards, including the Wharram Percy Research Project and Mount Grace Priory excavations. Within commercial archaeology he has been involved with many small to large scale archaeological projects in the United Kingdom and



Ireland including major infrastructure schemes. Since relocating to East Anglia in 2004 he has carried out and managed several small to large scale excavations across the south and east of England. In 2008 Dan became a County Archaeologist for the Cambridgeshire County Council Historic Environment Team before joining Britannia in 2014. His main research interests focus on the early pre-historic period (in particular the Neolithic) of the British-Isles and late post-medieval archaeology.

Director Martin Brook BA (Hons) PCIfA

Qualifications: University of Leicester, BA (Hons) Archaeology (2003 – 2006)

Experience: Martin is a Director at Britannia Archaeology and has ten years commercial archaeological experience. He specialises in logistical project management, archiving and fieldwork. He has carried out numerous excavations and evaluations throughout East Anglia and the Midlands, and works closely with local and national museums when archiving sites. His research interests are focused on the British Iron age specifically funerary traditions in the south of England and in East Yorkshire. Martin specialises in metalwork finds from the period, specifically those associated with grave goods and personal adornment.

Director Matthew Adams BA (Hons) ACIfA

Qualifications: University of Durham, BA (Hons) Classical Studies (1997- 2000)

Experience: Matt is a Director of Britannia Archaeology and has ten years commercial archaeology experience. He was involved in several archaeological projects in the midlands from the mid 1990's onwards and in the North East of England as an undergraduate. Since 2007 he has been based in East Anglia where he has specialised in all areas of practical field work, running numerous projects both large and small. He is also an experienced surveyor, GIS and AutoCAD operator. Matt was an occasional contributor to the popular TV series Time Team and is experienced at presenting talks and seminars to interested organisations. His main research interests focus on transitional periods and include the late Iron Age and early Romano-British period, and the late Roman and early Anglo-Saxon period in Britain and the late Aegean Bronze Age in Crete.



APPENDIX 4 - SPECIALISTS

Prehistoric Pottery:	Ms Sarah Percival
Roman Pottery:	Ms Cathy Tester
Saxon and Medieval Pottery:	Ms Richenda Goffin
Post Medieval Pottery:	Ms Richenda Goffin

Flint: Miss Justine Biddle

Animal Bone: Dr Jim Morris and Dr Julia Cussans

Human Bone: Dr Steph Leach

Environmental: Ms Anne West
Pollen and Seeds: Dr Steve Boreham
Charcoal and Wood: Dr Roderick Bale
Soil Micromorphology: Dr Steve Boreham

Carbon-14 Dating: Archaeological Research Services Ltd

Conservation: University of Leicester Archaeological

Services (ULAS)

Metalwork and Leather: University of Leicester Archaeological

Services (ULAS)

Glass: University of Leicester Archaeological

Services (ULAS)

Small Finds: University of Leicester Archaeological

Services (ULAS)

Illustration: Mr Dave Watt, Miss Charlotte Davies

Slag: Ms Jane Cowgill

Geophysical Surveyors: Dr Dave Bescoby
Air Photographic Assessments: Alison Deegan (BSc)
Topographic Survey: Mr Matt Adams (BA)

CAD: Mr Dan McConnell & Mr Matt Adams (BA)

Coins & Medals: British Museum, Department of Coins &

Medals or Norfolk Museum Identification and Recording Service for Archaeological

Finds













