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## Summary

Between August and December 2005 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by English Partnerships, through their agents Pell Frischmann, to undertake a programme of archaeological investigations in advance of construction of affordable housing and associated ancillary works at the former Renny Lodge Hospital site, London Road in Newport Pagnell, Buckinghamshire. The site is situated on the south-eastern edge of Newport Pagnell, and to the north-east of Milton Keynes (OS NGR 488670 243250) and bounded by London Road and North Crawley Road to the west and north respectively, with a combination of residential and light industrial development to the south and east.

On the basis of a previous desk-based assessment carried out by Archaeology \& Planning Solutions Ltd, Wessex Archaeology was commissioned in August and September 2005 to carry out a building survey of the demolished remains of Renny Lodge Hospital, as well as a strip and record excavation of a proposed new access road crossing the site from North Crawley Road to the industrial estate to the south. The access road excavation revealed well-preserved Late Iron Age/ Romano-British, medieval and pre-Renny Lodge post-medieval activity at the site, including a Romano-British enclosure and road bounded by flanking ditches. As a result, an archaeological evaluation of the remainder of the site was requested by the Milton Keynes District Archaeological Officer, and carried out by Wessex Archaeology in October 2005. The evaluation confirmed the extent of the archaeological remains previously identified, and determined the scope and extent of the main phase of archaeological excavation, carried out during November and December 2005.

The excavation identified at least three phases of Late Iron Age/ Romano-British activity on the site, as well as medieval archaeology and pre-Renny Lodge post-medieval features. The first phase of Romano-British activity (dated to the $1^{\text {st }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD) comprised the remains of a field system and/or sub-square enclosure, with limited structural evidence including a shallow curvilinear ditch and an area of cobbling, the latter possibly associated with the ephemeral remains of a putative sub-rectangular enclosure/ structure. Although no evidence was recorded to suggest the roadside ditches that defined the Roman road originated in this phase, it is nevertheless almost certain that the route itself was in use by this period.

Archaeologically, the second phase was the most coherent period of activity at the site, characterised by up to three ditched enclosures, incorporated into the established field system. This is also the earliest recorded phase for the roadside ditches that formalise and define the Roman road. Although few structural remains were recorded from this phase, the nature of the features identified combined with the recovery of a substantial quantity of artefacts, suggest the remains represent a small $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD roadside settlement. The final phase of Romano-British activity on the site comprised recutting of some of the principle ditches, as well as alterations to the layout of features assigned to the previous phase. Although recorded as an independent phase of activity, these remains are perhaps more likely to represent a sub-phase of Phase II, continuing activity at the settlement into the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and possibly even $4^{\text {th }}$ centuries AD.

The medieval phase of activity on the site predominantly comprised field system ditches, including a double-ditched arrangement. In addition, a number of tree-throws are attributable to this phase, all apparently associated with either the medieval ditches, or more significantly, on or close to the alignment of earlier Romano-British ditches. It is probable that the principle ditches of this phase define a track or road, perpendicular to the earlier Roman road.

Although limited evidence for post-medieval activity was recorded that pre-dated the construction of a workhouse at Renny Lodge in c. 1836, the archaeology of this period was dominated by the development and expansion of the workhouse, which admitted its first inmates in 1837. In 1929 workhouses were abolished, and Renny Lodge became a hospital caring for the elderly and chronically sick, initially under the control of local government, and then the National Health Service when created in 1948. The hospital was finally closed in 1992 and demolished two years later in 1994.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project Background

1.1.1 In May 2005 English Partnerships (EP), through their agents Pell Frischmann (PF), commissioned Archaeology \& Planning Solutions Ltd (APS) to produce a desk-based assessment (DBA) of the former Renny Lodge Hospital site at the junction of North Crawley Road and London Road, situated on the south-east edge of Newport Pagnell (Figure 1 inset), centred on Ordnance Survey (OS) national grid reference (NGR) 488670 243250, to the east of Milton Keynes. The site is proposed for redevelopment to provide affordable housing with access to North Crawley Road to the north.
1.1.2 The DBA identified few archaeological remains specifically within the site, with the exception of the conjectured line of a Roman road passing south-south-west to north-northeast through the site. However, the former hospital was constructed as a workhouse in 1836, and the DBA highlighted the local historical significance of the remnant walls and floor levels that were demolished to ground level in 1994 (APS 2005a).
1.1.3 In light of the DBA results the local planning authority, Milton Keynes District Council (MKDC) requested that a programme of archaeological works, comprising building recording of the former infirmary footings, coupled with a strip and record excavation of the proposed access road route, be carried out (Figure 1). The strip and record excavation and building recording were undertaken in accordance with written schemes of investigation (WSI), prepared by Archaeology \& Planning Solutions Limited (APS 2005b and 2005c respectively) and approved by the archaeological officer for MKDC (Mr B Giggins).
1.1.4 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned to undertake the specified archaeological works during August and September 2005. The strip and record of the proposed access road revealed a relatively complex array of archaeological features (WA 2005a), including:

- The north-east corner of a putative Late Iron Age/ Romano-British large ditched enclosure with a single internal post-hole, the enclosure apparently extending south and west across the site;
- The route of a possible Roman road passing to the east of the enclosure on a south-south-west to north-north-east alignment, evidenced by flanking ditches approximately 14-15m apart;
- At least two other ditches perpendicular to the line of the Roman road, broadly contemporaneous with the other Late Iron Age/ Romano-British features, but indicating that the activity from this period is represented by at least two phases of activity;
- Post-medieval features, including a ditch co-aligned with the early medieval road and pre-dating the construction of Renny Lodge itself in 1836; and
- A number of undated discrete features include a pair of intercutting pits and a posthole; these may be contemporaneous with the Late Iron Age/ Romano-British activity.
1.1.5 As a result, the MKDC archaeological officer requested that an archaeological evaluation be carried out throughout the remainder of the site (Figure 1). This was commissioned by English Partnerships and carried out by Wessex Archaeology in October 2005. The evaluation, comprising 16 evaluation trenches investigating approximately five percent of
the site surface area, revealed a central core of archaeological activity, focussed predominantly beneath the footprint of the former hospital. The evaluation appeared to confirm and corroborate the findings from the access road, suggesting the presence of a small Late Iron Age/ Romano-British enclosed settlement alongside the Roman road, and less clearly defined medieval activity also at the site.
1.1.6 A site meeting was conducted on Thursday $27^{\text {th }}$ October 2005 to review the results of the evaluation in the field, attended by Brian Giggins (MKDC), Alan Thomas (APS archaeological consultant), Jonathan Morris and Caroline Madden (English Partnerships), and Susan Clelland and Andrew Crockett (Wessex Archaeology). The meeting confirmed that there would be a requirement for detailed archaeological excavation of part of the site prior to MKDC awarding planning consent. The meeting also agreed the approximate size and extent of the archaeological excavation (c. 0.2-0.3ha; Figure 1), and the required level of contingency attached to such works ( $+20 \%$ ).
1.1.7 In order to expedite all archaeological works at the site, and make best use of available resources within a compressed timeframe, it was also agreed that machine stripping for the excavation would commence as soon as all fieldwork associated with the evaluation had been completed. Although all necessary processing etc. would be carried out on the evaluation archive, any further reporting on the evaluation would be subsumed into the assessment and analysis of the archaeological excavation. The archaeological excavation was carried out during November and December 2005.


### 1.2 Document Scope

1.2.1 This report assesses the results of the archaeological fieldwork at Renny Lodge, collating the results of the access road strip and record excavation, machine trench evaluation and subsequent main phase of excavation. The assessment report will conclude with statements of potential for further analysis and dissemination, including publication proposals.
1.2.2 Although not considered in detail within this assessment report, the results of the Renny Lodge building survey will also be incorporated where relevant, and in particular with regard to any publication proposals.

## 2 RENNY LODGE

### 2.1 Location, Geology and Topography

2.1.1 Renny Lodge is situated on the south-eastern edge of Newport Pagnell and covers a roughly rectangular parcel of land, approximately 1.5 hectares in area, at the junction of London Road and North Crawley Road. It is bounded to the south by a housing estate and to the east by industrial units (Figure 1). The site was formerly most recently occupied by Renny Lodge Hospital, which was demolished in 1994.
2.1.2 The drift geology consists of Valley Gravel overlying Oxford Clay (Geological Map Sheet 203, Bedford, $1: 50,000$ ). Although not mapped by the BGS, the site may also contain more recent alluvial deposits associated with the present course of the River Ouzel or Lovat approximately 0.1 km to the south-west. The site occupies relatively level ground at around 60 m above Ordnance Datum (aOD; Newlyn).

### 2.2 Archaeological Background

2.2.1 The DBA identified the following archaeological remains either within or near to the site at Renny Lodge. Prehistoric remains include findspots of Palaeolithic worked flint found to the east of the site, as well as flint arrowheads of Neolithic or Bronze Age date. A variety of other flint objects of Neolithic date had also been found in close proximity to the site. Two Iron Age sites had also been recorded to the east of the site on Crawley Road along with a prehistoric enclosure to the south of the site.
2.2.2 Romano-British remains including a riverside dwelling, settlement area and burials are all recorded in close proximity to the site, whilst pottery was also recovered during an archaeological evaluation to the south. A Romano-British road (Viatores Road 175) connecting the settlement and fort of Magiovinium (Fenny Stratford) with Irchester, was considered to pass close to or through the site.
2.2.3 The site is located to the south-east of the Saxon settlement of Newport Pagnell, which was probably established in the $8^{\text {th }}$ or $9^{\text {th }}$ century AD. A cemetery of Saxon date was found during gravel extraction at the turn of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, immediately to the east of the site. Several skeletons were noted with associated grave goods. A small group of up to eight burials was found at Tickford Field Farm, probably also part of the cemetery.
2.2.4 During the medieval period the site probably lay within an agricultural landscape. Aerial photographs taken in the 1940s show traces of ridge and furrow earthworks on the site. Medieval pottery has also been found in the vicinity of the site. Although not inspected for the DBA, some of the earliest $18^{\text {th }}$ century maps known of the area appear to indicate a trackway or road passing diagonally through the site, from the present junction of London Road and North Crawley Road to beyond the south-east corner of the site (Giggins pers. comm.).
2.2.5 The original workhouse at Renny Lodge was designed by William Roote, and built at some point during $c$. 1836, when the Newport Pagnell Poor Law Union was formed, with its first inmates admitted on $8^{\text {th }}$ April 1837. The workhouse underwent various alterations during the remainder of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, and in 1929 became a Public Assistance Institution when workhouses were abolished by Government. With the establishment of the National Health Service in 1948, many former workhouses and other care institutions became hospitals, and this is the case for Renny Lodge. The hospital closed in 1992 and was demolished in 1994.

## 3 AIMS AND METHODS

### 3.1 Aims and Objectives

3.1.1 With due regard to the IFA Standard and Guidance for archaeological excavation (IFA 1995 (revised 1999), 2) the generic aim of the project can be defined as;

- To examine the archaeological resource present on the site within a framework of defined research objectives, to seek a better understanding of and compile a lasting record of that resource, to analyse and interpret the results, and disseminate them.
3.1.2 In the absence of a published research agenda for the region, to achieve the project aim as outlined above, the following generic objectives were defined for the evaluation:
- To determine the presence or absence, character, extent, date, integrity, state of preservation and quality of any archaeological remains that survived on the site; and
- To assess the extent of modern truncation that may have previously impacted upon the archaeological resource.
3.1.3 Following this initial evaluation the project objectives were further augmented for excavation as follows:
- To determine the nature, character, extent, date, integrity and relative chronology of the archaeological remains that survived at the site;
- To determine the palaeo-environment and palaeo-economy associated with the archaeological remains on the site; and
- To place the archaeological remains into a local, regional and where relevant national context.


### 3.2 Methods

## Introduction

3.2.1 The fieldwork methods are described in detail within the relevant WSIs (APS 2005b and 2005c; WA 2005b and 2005c) and will not be repeated verbatim here. Brian Giggins, the archaeological officer for Milton Keynes Council, reviewed and approved all WSIs in consultation with English Heritage, and monitored all archaeological fieldwork on behalf of the local planning authority. In summary, the following methods were adhered to.

## Renny Lodge Hospital Building Recording

3.2.2 A wheeled hydraulic excavator equipped with a toothless ditching bucket was used to remove overburden from the visible building footprint to expose the remains of walls, wall footings and floor surfaces, under constant archaeological supervision. The building remains were hand-cleaned, subjected to limited investigation to define, for example, structural relationships, and then digitally planned using a total station theodolite (TST). All remains were recorded, including detailed geo-referenced photographs of features of note. A representative sample of bricks etc. was retained from various structural elements identified in the field.

## Access Road Strip and Record Excavation

3.2.3 The access road footprint area was machine stripped with a toothless bucket working under the direction at all times of an experienced archaeologist, to reveal the uppermost archaeological horizon or, where these were absent, natural deposits. The exposed surface was then cleaned where necessary and planned.
3.2.4 All further investigation sought to establish relative chronology of exposed archaeological features, and obtain where possible diagnostic material for dating purposes, in association with environmental samples to inform a consideration of palaeo-economy and palaeoenvironment. In summary, this comprised the excavation of all feature intersections and at least one other section through each feature, to a minimum of $10 \%$ by length of all linear features and $50 \%$ by area of all discrete features exposed.

## Archaeological Evaluation

3.2.5 The archaeological evaluation comprised the machine excavation of 16 evaluation trenches arrayed at random locations and alignments throughout the site. Each trench measured approximately 15 m by 2 m with the exception of Trenches 22,24 and 25 which were shortened due to the constraints at their specified locations (undergrowth, utilities etc.).
3.2.6 The evaluation trenches were stripped to either the surface of in situ geology or the surface of archaeological remains, using a tracked hydraulic excavator, equipped with a toothless ditching bucket, under constant archaeological supervision. All archaeological remains were hand-cleaned, investigated and recorded in order to address the aims and objectives outlined above. On completion, the evaluation trenches were backfilled for safety reasons and to facilitate machine stripping of the subsequent excavation area.

## Main Phase Excavation

3.2.7 The archaeological works comprised machine stripping and excavation of an area extending to approximately 0.25 ha, centrally located within the site, on the west side of the access road.
3.2.8 The overlying topsoil, made-ground (and other building remains associated with Renny Lodge Hospital) and subsoil, were stripped using a tracked hydraulic excavator, equipped with a toothless ditching bucket, under constant archaeological supervision.
3.2.9 All exposed archaeological remains were cleaned where necessary and then digitally surveyed to produce a scale plan of all features, and presented for consideration at a site meeting following completion of the machine stripping. The site meeting identified two small additional areas that required stripping, and confirmed the required level of investigation for feature types, complying with the original Access Road Strip and Record specification (APS 2005b).
3.2.10 All archaeological remains were hand-cleaned, investigated and recorded in order to address the aims and objectives outlined above. On completion the site was left open for hand-over to the construction team.

## 4 STRATIGRAPHY

### 4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 The project archives, including fully cross-referenced site records, are currently held at the offices of Wessex Archaeology, Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP4 6 EB , under the project site codes 60830 (access road excavation and building survey), 60831 (evaluation) and 60833 (main phase excavation).

### 4.2 Results

## Introduction

4.2.1 The archaeological remains for all phases discussed below are shown in plan on Figure 2. A summary of all context numbers, and associated group/ trench numbers where assigned is provided in appendix (Appendix 1).
4.2.2 In general, where separate contexts had been obtained from sample excavation of a single feature, these had been grouped together and are described in text by their feature (F) group number. However, where uncertainty remains, in certain circumstances grouping of possibly associated contexts has not yet been carried out. All potential groupings will be reviewed in detail during post-excavation analysis.
4.2.3 Overall, approximately 0.7 m of material was removed to expose the archaeological remains surviving beneath Renny Lodge. The overburden primarily comprised building foundations, floors etc. associated with Renny Lodge, and undifferentiated homogenous subsoil.
4.2.4 The northern portion of the site had been significantly truncated by post-medieval activity (to a depth of at least 1.2 m below modern ground surface) effectively removing any earlier archaeological remains that may had existed. This truncation was initially observed during the excavation of the access road corridor, and subsequently confirmed during the machine trench evaluation in this area (Trenches 10 and 11).
4.2.5 The correlation between the area of disturbance and the range of buildings, yards etc. mapped to the north of the former Renny Lodge building complex is of note. The truncation perhaps therefore reflects the many and varied alterations to the grounds and outbuildings in this area as mapped during the lifespan of Renny Lodge, whilst the main complex remained relatively constant and unchanged. In order to present a complete account of the site, a summarised version of the building report for the Renny Lodge Workhouse/ Hospital will be included below, with reference to the associated subsurface features encountered during the main phase of excavation where appropriate.
4.2.6 The results of evaluation trenches that contained archaeological remains had been incorporated into the following site narrative. In addition, Trenches 10, 11, 12, 18, 20 and 22 contained no archaeological features, whilst excavation of Trench 13 was suspended due to the discovery of a number of modern services at this location.

## Romano-British

PhASE I ( $1^{\text {ST }}-$ MID $2^{\text {ND }}$ CENTURY AD)
4.2.7 The first phase of Romano-British activity (Figure 3) comprised a series of ditch fragments that had survived significant truncation by later activity. These appeared to form the remains of a field system and/or sub-square enclosure. A shallow curvilinear ditch to the south-west and two central areas of cobbling provided the main structural evidence for the phase, the latter possibly associated with short gully/ ditch segments that may represent the ephemeral
remains of an adjacent sub-rectangular enclosure or possibly even structure. Although no evidence was recorded to suggest the road ditches originate in this phase, it is nevertheless almost certain that the route itself was in use by this period.
4.2.8 Ditch F1306 was potentially the most substantial of the features, measuring 1.47 m wide and 0.5 m deep, and survived as a southern terminal of a south-south-west to north-north-east aligned ditch. Significant re-cutting of this feature throughout the Romano-British period had removed any evidence of the full extent of this ditch, but it is possible that it originally extended beyond the site limit to the north. Associated with this ditch was the northern terminal of co-aligned smaller ditch/ elongated pit 1255, the terminals abutting each other. The southern extent of this feature is unknown, as it was truncated by drainage features associated with Renny Lodge, though it is likely that the feature was not significantly longer than the section exposed.
4.2.9 Perpendicular to the alignment of F1306/ 1255 was ditch 1031/ 1029. Again, significant truncation from later phases of activity had removed any evidence of the precise extent of this ditch, but it had survived re-cutting at the two locations shown. At the west end of this ditch was ditch/ gully F1290, a north to south aligned feature, the southern extent of which had been truncated by the re-cutting of ditch 1031/ 1029. Hence, the relationship between these ditches is unknown, though it is possible that they were actually components of the same feature.
4.2.10 A third feature (1070), partially truncated by later activity, was recorded parallel and to the east of F1306. The feature is likely to have been either a short ditch or elongated pit, and possibly formed part of the east side of a sub-square enclosure also defined by F1306/ 1255 and 1031/ 1029. If so, the enclosure would had been $c .18-19 \mathrm{~m}$ wide (east to west) and at least 20 m long (north to south).
4.2.11 Towards the centre of the site was an area of cobbling (F1304 and F1311), bisected by medieval ditch F1282. Although it is likely that they two patches of cobbling were originally part of the same spread, they are currently grouped as separate spreads to reflect their slight differences in layout. Area F1304 (Figure 9; Plate 3) was roughly rectangular and measured approximately 2.7 m long by 2 m wide whereas area F1311 was more irregular and measured approximately 3.6 m long by 2.4 m wide.
4.2.12 It is likely that the cobbling was used for hard standing, perhaps associated with structures on the site. The surviving extent of the cobbling correlates with softer clayey variations in the underlying geology. It is therefore likely that either the cobbles had been laid specifically to firm up softer ground, or perhaps more likely that the cobbling was originally more extensive and has only survived later truncation where it has compressed into softer ground.
4.2.13 To the north and east of this cobbling were the ephemeral remains of three shallow gullies (F1299, F1305 and F1307) that collectively define an approximately rectangular area measuring 14 m by 8 m . Although it is possible that these features may represent structural remains (i.e. beam slots, eaves drip gullies etc.), no other confirmed structural remains were recorded to corroborate this interpretation. To the south of this possible structure was treethrow 1131.
4.2.14 An undated short section of south-south-west to north-north-east aligned gully (1086) was also recorded in this area, truncated by the Romano-British Phase II enclosure F1291. This feature has therefore been provisionally assigned to the Romano-British Phase I, and may potentially be associated with the possible structure.
4.2.15 Further evidence of structural remains was suggested by curvilinear gully F1298 (Figure 9; Plate 1). The gully measured approximately 3.25 m long by 0.34 m deep, and if projected to form a complete circle would represent a ring-ditch measuring $c .5 \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. Although the feature may have formed part of a round-house, no associated remains such as post-holes, central hearth etc. were found.
4.2.16 To the south-west, Trench 17 revealed the west side of a truncated south-south-west to north-north-east aligned ditch (1709). No further evidence for this ditch was recorded within the main phase excavation, though it is possible that it had been wholly re-cut by enclosure ditch F1293 (see below). In addition, east-south-east to west-north-west aligned ditch F377 within the access road excavation predated the Phase II roadside ditches (see below), and is therefore included within Phase I.

Phase II ( $2^{\text {ND }}$ century AD)
4.2.17 Archaeologically, this was the most coherent period of activity at the site, characterised by up to three ditched enclosures, including the field system established in the previous phase (Figure 4). This is also the earliest recorded phase for the roadside ditches. Although few structural remains were recorded from this phase, the nature of the features identified combined with the recovery of a substantial quantity of artefacts, suggest the remains represent a small roadside settlement.
4.2.18 Ditch F1295 may have formed the western boundary to the site, measuring approximately 0.9 m wide by 0.7 m deep and extending beyond the site to the north, re-cutting phase I ditch F1306 and subsequently re-cut itself by phase III ditch F1296 (Figure 9; Plate 2). The ditch contained a large quantity of late $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ century pottery and appeared to be respected by ditch F1280, also containing a similarly dated substantial pottery assemblage including an almost complete Verulamium region mortarium (see front cover). Although it is tempting to interpret the interval between these two ditches as a small north-facing entrance into the enclosure, it is also possible that the interval represents the location of an upcast bank associated with ditch F1295. The south side of the enclosure is perhaps indicated by the position of short gully F1303, though no other remains could be attributable to this phase on the same alignment.
4.2.19 The east and south side of the enclosure was formed by ditch F1291, measuring approximately 1.9 m wide by 0.4 m deep and combining with ditches F380 and F381 from the access road excavation to form an continually-ditched second enclosure measuring 24.9 m long by 14 m wide, aligned north-east to south-west and therefore parallel to the adjacent road. The northern end of the enclosure (F381) was co-aligned with the adjacent ditch F1280, though the relationship between the two features had been removed by post-medieval drainage features associated with Renny Lodge.
4.2.20 Although no internal features were identified within the main phase of excavation to confirm whether a structure originally stood within the enclosed area, it is considered possible that such did originally exist. Trench 16 revealed an irregularity on the inside southern edge of the enclosure ditch (as feature 1607), the function of which is currently uncertain. In addition, the access road excavation identified a single internal post-hole (364) at the intersection of the east (F380) and north (F381) sides of the enclosure.
4.2.21 To the south-west the north-west corner of a third enclosure was recorded (F1293), which extended into Trench 17 as ditch 1707, re-cutting earlier ditch 1709 in the process (Figure 11; Plate 7). Ditch F1293 measured approximately 1.9 m wide by 0.5 m deep and was of a similar broad relatively shallow profile as F1291. Due to the limits of the excavation area and modern truncation, the full extent of this possible enclosure could not be defined. Again,
no internal features were recorded within the area of the enclosure exposed during the excavation.
4.2.22 As noted above, parallel and approximately 4 m to the east of enclosure F1291 was the western roadside ditch F1309, broadly parallel with slightly sinuous ditch F1313, forming the eastern roadside ditch, the two ditches being approximately $14-15 \mathrm{~m}$ apart. As originally observed during the excavation of the access road, no evidence for a road per se (e.g. metalling, agger, foundations etc.) was recovered. Ditch F1309 appeared to extend into Trench 25 as ditch 2508, though the distance between the two features demands caution with regards to this association. Similarly, ditch F1313 appeared to continue into Trench 24 (as ditch 2406), although again, partly due to the distance and partly due to the sinuous nature of the ditch observed in the access road, the association must be viewed with caution.
4.2.23 Other features attributable to this phase include large waterhole F1308 (Figure 10; Plate 4) opposite the southern end of enclosure F1291, a substantial post-hole (1146) to the west, a short section of ditch (F1281) co-aligned with the east side of enclosure F1291/ F380 and a tree-throw (1129) outside the south-west corner of enclosure F1291. Health and Safety constraints prevented the full excavation of the waterhole.
4.2.24 Ditch F1281 in particular was notable as it contained the coursed remnants of what appeared to be unworked/ rough hewn stone foundations, as well as large pieces of similar stone within the feature backfill. There were no other features associated with these remains to indicate the presence of a building, and it is therefore possible that the feature either represents the remains of a boundary wall or stone-lined drainage feature parallel to the adjacent road. The northern extent of this feature had been removed by a brick-lined chamber associated with Renny Lodge, but it is possible it originally extended as far ditch F1291.

Phase III ( $3^{\text {RD }}$ CENTURY ONWARDS)
4.2.25 The final phase of Romano-British activity on the site is characterised by the re-cutting of the principle ditch along the west edge of the site, as well as alterations to the layout of the associated features from Phase II. Although recorded as an independent phase of activity, these remains are perhaps more likely to represent a sub-phase of Phase II. Therefore, the figure demonstrating the distribution of features includes those from Phase II that are considered relevant to Phase III (Figure 5).
4.2.26 An approximately south-west to north-east aligned ditch F1292 (Figure 10; Plate 5) cut across the north-west corner of the earlier enclosure F1293, suggesting the latter was no longer in use by this phase. The northernmost extent of this slightly curving ditch was coaligned with F1296, forming a 14 m wide interval that was broadly in line with the southern end of Phase II enclosure F1291.
4.2.27 Ditch F1296 comprised the final re-cutting phase for the ditch along the western site boundary, measuring approximately 1.1 m wide by 0.65 m deep and again extending beyond the site to the north. A second broadly parallel ditch (F1285) was recorded to the west of F1296, at the very northern extent of the site. It measured 0.8 m wide by 0.27 m deep (Figure 10; Plate 6) and defined a corridor approximately 3 m wide between the two ditches.
4.2.28 The truncated remains of a broadly east to west aligned second curving ditch (F1302) extended from the intersection of Phase II features F1281 and enclosure F1291, apparently aligned to merge with roadside ditch F1309, though this relationship was not observed. In addition, a narrow approximately north to south aligned 0.4 m wide and 4.4 m long gully (F1297) was recorded, extending south from the south-west corner of enclosure F1291, and cutting across an earlier tree-throw.

## Medieval

4.2.29 The medieval phase of activity on the site predominantly comprised ditches that appear to represent part of a field system and/or road, including a double-ditched arrangement (Figure 6). In addition, a number of tree-throws are attributable to this phase, all apparently associated with either the medieval ditches, or more significantly, on or close to the alignment of earlier Romano-British ditches.
4.2.30 The principle feature was ditch F1282, aligned approximately east- south-east to west-northwest across the entire site, and also recorded within the access road excavation (as ditch 332). The ditch had cut across the southern end of the former Romano-British enclosure, and also passed through the intersection of ditch F1302 and the westernmost Romano-British roadside ditch (F1309). A shallow undated ditch (2414) on broadly the same alignment was also recorded in Trench 24 (see Figure 8), though as ditch 2414 and ditch F1282/ 332 are not exactly co-aligned, it is by no means certain that they are components of the same feature.
4.2.31 Ditch F1282/ 332 does, however, align with the site boundary in the south-east corner, suggesting that the boundary is a relict component of the medieval landscape. To the west, the ditch appears to be turning slightly to head more due west, towards the former entrance into Renny Lodge, again suggesting that the entrance is also a relict feature of the medieval landscape. Tree-throw 1052 was situated immediately to the south of ditch F1282, perhaps not coincidentally situated over the infilled former Romano-British enclosure ditch.
4.2.32 Ditch F379 was situated to the south of ditch 332 in the access road excavation, and considered to be the south side of a trackway formed by the two ditches, and demonstrated on Figure 6. However, the subsequent main phase of excavation has demonstrated that unlike ditch F1282, this feature did not extend across the site. It is therefore possible that this is a drainage feature associated with the natural alluvium-filled hollow F1312 (Figure 8) in the south-east corner of the site.
4.2.33 Parallel ditches F1294 and 1093, situated within the south-west corner of the excavation, appeared to form the south-west corner of a double-ditched field boundary (they are too close together to represent a trackway). However, significant truncation associated with Renny Lodge has removed any evidence of the full extent of these features within the excavation area.
4.2.34 The other major feature attributed to this phase is north to south aligned ditch F1289, extending into the excavation area from the north towards main ditch F1282. The southern terminal of this ditch had been truncated by drainage features associated with Renny Lodge, though it was apparent that the ditch did not extend beyond the post-medieval truncation, and hence did not significantly extend significantly further south than as recorded.
4.2.35 In addition, an area of cobbling (1228), similar to the Romano-British example(s) within the centre of the site, was recorded overlying the infilled remains of the multi-phase RomanoBritish ditch along the western boundary of the site. The cobbling extended over an area of approximately 5.2 m by 1.2 m , sealing the fill of both the Romano-British Phase II and Phase III ditches along the western site boundary. It is likely that the cobbles were laid to firm up the ground surface, which would undoubtedly have been softer along the line of the backfilled ditches.
4.2.36 The remaining three features were all tree-throws, two (1106 and 1114) situated on the infilled remains of the major Romano-British ditch along the western boundary of the site, and a small tree-throw (1034) similarly located towards the western terminal of RomanoBritish ditch 1280. Although the Romano-British ditches were clearly backfilled by this time, the location of these tree-throws perhaps suggests that some form of extant boundary, such
as a hedge or denuded bank preserved the line of these boundaries into at least the medieval period.

## Post-medieval

AD 1500-1836
4.2.37 Four features had been identified as post-medieval in date (Figure 7) that pre-date the construction of Renny Lodge. These comprise ditches F1314 (recorded in Trench 25 as ditch 2510) and F1286 - the latter re-cutting medieval ditch F1289. Ditch F1314 (formerly numbered ditch 357 during the excavation of the access road) bisects and truncates two other post-medieval features, comprising a small ditch/ gully (383) to the south, and larger subrectangular feature of unknown function to the north (355).

AD 1836-1929
4.2.38 In 1834 the Poor Law Amendment Act was passed with the intention of deterring the able bodied from poor relief and to provide a refuge for the sick and homeless. As a result Newport Pagnell was one of many locations to be formed into a Poor Law Union. A locally elected Board of Guardians managed the Newport Pagnell Poor Law Union, which was formed in 1835. The Board decided upon a workhouse design by William Roote, who had previously designed workhouses in Leighton Buzzard and possibly Newmarket. A site was agreed on the outskirts of Newport Pagnell and the first inmates entered the Newport Pagnell Union Workhouse on the $8^{\text {th }}$ April 1837 (APS 2005a).
4.2.39 The earliest structural phase at Renny Lodge comprised four wings surrounding a central rectangular courtyard. Attached to the rear (east) of the main structure was a further four adjoining blocks, forming a semi-octagonal range of outbuildings around a second courtyard area to the rear of the east wing. It is known from contemporary accounts, photographs, etc. that the entire main complex was two-storey, it is likely the semi-octagonal range to the rear was single storey.
4.2.40 The west wing formed the main access to the building via a central driveway from London Road and hence included the central arched reception area to the building complex, an imposing edifice made up of a square building with a pediment and decorative corner porticos in a semi-classical style. The workhouse had two symmetrically placed pedestrian arched corridors on either side of the main central archway in the western wing; these provided separate access from the outside to each of the wings, facilitating the segregation of male (north side) and female (south side) occupants.
4.2.41 The south wing measured 33.5 m by 5.5 m and appears to have been divided into at least four separate rooms on the ground floor, comprising three rooms of unknown use and a scullery / boiler room. The southern half of the workhouse was reserved for female occupants who would have been employed in domestic duties including work in the scullery.
4.2.42 Although the north wing was a mirror image of the south wing, no evidence survived to confirm the original layout of rooms within this wing. The northern half of the workhouse was reserved for male occupants who would had been employed in agricultural labour and general chores relating to maintenance of the fabric of the building itself.
4.2.43 The east wing incorporated the main administrative block and masters quarters, comprising in plan a subrectangular lozenge shaped structure (174) measuring 15.4 m by 9.2 m and centrally located within the wing. The structure was surrounded on all sides by a wall, probably forming the outer wall of a covered walkway approximately 3 m wide. The rooms forming the remainder of the east wing to either side of the administration block contained evidence of chimney stacks.
4.2.44 The semi-octagonal eastern range comprised four adjoining blocks, each measuring approximately 14.5 m by 5 m . The range would have been accessed from where it joined the eastern wing at either end, as well as via a central walkway that ran from the administrative block across the annex courtyard. The north and south blocks of the range were paved in red brick, whilst the central pair of rooms had wooden floors.
4.2.45 The main courtyard, enclosed by the north, south, east and west wings, measured 40 m by 27.5 m and contained a central path between the reception area and the administration block. The majority of the brick culverts and drains that were recorded during the main phase of excavation were laid down the centre of this walkway, with drains feeding from the north and south side of the administration block, the north side of the main courtyard and drain runs that presumably fed storm water from guttering along the inside of the west wing.
4.2.46 A large circular feature (1279), measuring c. 3.2 m in diameter, was also identified within the main courtyard, truncating earlier medieval and Romano-British features. This feature was not fully excavated, but was almost certainly contemporaneous with Renny Lodge, and contained a variety of post-medieval/ modern building refuse, including the broken remains of a chamber pot. Its location within the main courtyard would suggest it is perhaps likely to have been a well.
4.2.47 The other principle drain run, which would have been laid in advance of the construction of Renny Lodge, drained from the scullery in the south-east corner of the south wing towards the entrance into the site from London Road. A number of subsidiary drains appeared to feed into this drain run, particularly as it passed beneath the west wing. It is of note that this drain run was approximately parallel to and 8 m to the south of the principle ditch F1282, considered to form the north side of a medieval road. Although possibly a coincidence, this may be indicative that the medieval road was still in use, or at least visible, into the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, and that the drain run has been laid along its southern edge.
4.2.48 The maternity ward, a separate rectangular building located to the south-east of the main complex, was also recorded. The structure is visible on maps of the workhouse and is also mentioned in documentary evidence, and measured approximately 22 m by 7 m .

AD 1929-1948
4.2.49 Towards the end of the lifespan of the workhouse, the main alterations were the addition of a group of buildings expanding the southern wing, as well as alterations to the associated ancillary structures. In 1929 the Local Government Act abolished workhouses and transferred responsibilities to local authorities. As a result of this the workhouse became known as Renny Lodge Hospital, primarily caring for the elderly and chronically sick.
4.2.50 At ground level at least, the reception area housing the arched entrance through the west wing was extended out towards London Road, resulting in a rectangular ground plan for the reception area measuring 13 m by 9 m . The precise nature of this alteration is uncertain, and cannot be discerned from available photographic evidence. What is clear is that the pedestrian archways were closed off with blocking and windows, and it is likely that they had been converted to reception rooms either side of the open main arched entrance.
4.2.51 A new block measuring 19.5 m by 4.8 m was constructed against the south wall at the east end of the south wing. The addition approximately doubled the width of the wing at this location to 10.30 m . The extension included internal partitions that appeared to divide that portion of wing into three rooms aligned north to south. Mapping evidence suggests that this block was a separate structure, though the partitions appear to suggest otherwise. The former scullery west wall appears to have been utilised and extended as one of the new partitions.
4.2.52 Possibly associated with the extension to the south wing noted above, a rectangular structure measuring 6.5 m by 3.5 m was built within the south-east corner of the main courtyard, as well as a blue brick pathway. In addition, a brick-lined cellared chamber, measuring 4.8 m by 3.2 m , was constructed towards the middle of the southern half of the main courtyard. This does not correlate with any mapped remains or documentary sources; it is possible that it could be a small air-raid shelter from World War II.

AD 1948-1994
4.2.53 Renny Lodge Hospital was handed over to the National Health Service in 1948, though continued to house the elderly and chronically sick until the hospital was closed in 1992, and demolished in 1994.
4.2.54 The southern wing was extended to join with the maternity ward and closed off from the semi-octagonal range, the southern portion of which was demolished. Various concrete pathways were constructed around the grounds, although only fragments of these survived. A lift shaft was also constructed against the southern wall of the south wing, the sump of which survived.
4.2.55 A square structure was constructed in the north-west corner of the main courtyard. The structure measured 5.5 m by 5.3 m and contained evidence for pipework, perhaps suggesting it was associated with central heating for the infirmary. According to contemporaneous mapping, the walkway that connected the west and east wings was removed during this phase, possibly to create a larger central garden for patients to enjoy. Again, concrete paths were constructed around the perimeter of the area, fragments of which survived.

## Uncertain

4.2.56 Two post-medieval ditches were recorded within the evaluation, comprising south-east to north-west aligned ditch 2105 (Trench 21) and east to west aligned feature 2308/ 2310 (Trench 23). The latter comprised a broad shallow ditch (2308) with a central deeper narrow gully (2310) along its base, containing the remnants of a clay lining. It is likely that this is a drainage feature, and may therefore be associated with the medieval drainage ditch F379 recorded on a similar alignment to the west in the access road excavation.
4.2.57 Ditch 1501 was recorded within evaluation Trench 15 , and was considered at the time to represent the northern edge of an east to west aligned ditch, producing pottery contemporaneous with the Romano-British Phase I activity at the site. However, this feature could not be relocated during the excavation, and it is therefore likely that it related to disturbance associated with the construction of the Renny Lodge drainage features, the pottery therefore being residual.

## Undated / Unphased

4.2.58 With the exception of undated features that have been phased on stratigraphic or morphological/ spatial relationships, a number of undated and/or unphased features remain at Renny Lodge (Figure 8).
4.2.59 Although no remains of prehistoric date were recorded within the site boundary, a number of features have been identified as pre-Roman in date on the basis of stratigraphy, primarily comprising tree-throws 1012, 1161, 1167, 1172 and F1310, but included the truncated remains of a pit (1709) recorded in Trench 17.
4.2.60 A short section of east-south-east to west-north-west aligned ditch (F1283), producing undiagnostic Romano-British pottery, was recorded on the west edge of site. The pottery could not be confidently assigned to any of the Romano-British phases of activity on the site,
though if Phase I curved gully F1298 was originally part of a circular structure, then ditch F1283 is unlikely to be contemporaneous.
4.2.61 Four other tree-throws, shown as natural on Figure 8, were identified as such during fieldwork prior to recording, and were therefore not allocated context numbers. Three of these tree-throws have been truncated by medieval ditch F1282. In addition, feature F1312 was considered to be an alluvium-filled natural hollow towards the south-east corner of the excavation area.
4.2.62 A number of ditches recorded during the evaluation have yet to be assigned to phase, these include:

- Ditches 1711 and 1713 - a pair of north to south aligned parallel ditches passing through Trench 17;
- Ditch 2312 - an east to west aligned ditch passing through Trench 23;
- Ditch 2414 - a south-east to north-west aligned ditch passing through Trench 24, and approximately co-aligned with medieval ditch F1282 (recorded as 332 within the access road excavation); and
- Ditch 2504 (Figure 11; Plate 8) and Gully 2506 - parallel south-west to north-east features passing through Trench 25, and co-aligned with the east side of RomanoBritish Phase II enclosure F1291 (recorded as F380 within the access road excavation).
4.2.63 Two discrete features remain undated, comprising pit 1192 on the southern boundary of the site and tree-throw 1007 immediately to the east of the pit. The pit contained flat stones laid in the base, possibly as a post-pad, and similar to those within ditch F1281. It is therefore possible that the pit is a component of the Romano-British activity on the site.


## 5 ARTEFACT REPORTS

### 5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 This section considers the finds recovered from all stages of archaeological work at Renny Lodge. Finds from the first stage of work (archaeological building recording and access road excavation) have already been reported on (WA 2005a), this information is therefore only summarised below.
5.1.2 The fieldwork has produced a finds assemblage of moderate size, in which pottery and animal bone are the most commonly occurring material types, other types represented by much smaller quantities. The date range of the assemblage, apart from the building materials recovered from the site of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century workhouse, is almost entirely Romano-British, with a very small amount of post-Roman material, and a handful of prehistoric worked flints.
5.1.3 All finds had been quantified by material type within each context and are given in Appendices 2, 3 and 4, and totals by material type for each stage of fieldwork are given in Appendix 5.

## $5.2 \quad$ Pottery

5.2.1 Pottery has provided the primary dating evidence for the site, on which the preliminary phasing is based. The assemblage is almost entirely of Romano-British date, with an emphasis on the early Roman period. There is also a small quantity of post-medieval material.
5.2.2 The whole assemblage has been quantified by ware type within each context; much of the courseware had been broadly grouped (e.g. greyware, shelly ware) with no attempt at this stage to separate individual fabric types or to assign them to specific sources/source areas. Spot dates had been recorded by context, and the presence of diagnostic vessel forms noted. Appendix 6 gives the breakdown of the assemblage by ware type.

## Imports

5.2.3 Imports are restricted to a single sherd of amphora (Spanish Dressel 20), and a small quantity of samian. Forms identified amongst the samian include $18,18 / 31,18 / 31 \mathrm{R}$ and 31 platters, 33 and 35 cups and one 36 bowl. There are no pre-Flavian forms and indeed, apart from two possible form 18 platters, nothing which need be earlier than the $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD.

## British Finewares

5.2.4 Other finewares are scarce, comprising a handful of colour coated sherds, probably all from the Nene Valley production centre, and two joining sherds of British glazed ware. The latter (from ditch F1296) falls within Arthur's South-East English group, with a date range of AD 70-120 and one possible source at Staines (Arthur 1978); these sherds derive from a globular beaker with an angular shoulder and vertical white barbotine decoration (ibid., fig. 8.2, type 2).
5.2.5 One other vessel falls within the fineware category - a hemispherical bowl of 'London ware' type (ditch F1280). This vessel, of which about half survives, is in a very fine, silty grey fabric with incised (including compass-drawn) decoration. 'London ware' types had a wide distribution and variations in fabric suggest several different sources, including Kent, London, Oxfordshire and the Nene Valley (Tyers 1996, 170); the hemispherical bowls (copying samian form 37) with compass-drawn decoration are amongst the most common vessel forms (Marsh 1978, 176, fig. 6.18, type 42). The date range is mid $1^{\text {st }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$
century AD . At least one other such bowl, in a similar fabric, is known from Milton Keynes, from a mid to late $2^{\text {nd }}$ century pit (Marney 1989, 137-8, fig. 12, 35).

## Coarsewares

5.2.6 Three broad groups comprise the bulk of the coarseware assemblage: shelly wares, grogtempered wares and reduced sandy wares. All three groups are likely to be largely of local manufacture, and had their origins in the local Late Iron Age 'Belgic' ceramic traditions. All three occur in lid seated bead rim jar forms. For grog-tempered and shelly wares, these are the most common vessel forms represented, and show the development from deeply lidseated examples of the later $1^{\text {st }}$ and early $2^{\text {nd }}$ century $A D$ to those of the mid to late $2^{\text {nd }}$ century with a mere skeuomorphic groove (Marney 1989, 58). Sherds making up a large part of one of the later variants (in shelly ware) came from ditch F1296. Another lid-seated shelly ware vessel (context 1402; ditch F1296) has two post-firing repair holes below the rim, and another post-firing perforation in the centre of the base.
5.2.7 The grog-tempered wares also include a few cordoned jars, and are likely to fall largely into a date range of mid $1^{\text {st }}$ to mid $2^{\text {nd }}$ century (there is no evidence here of any pre-conquest groups), although at least one storage jar (again from ditch F1296) is more comparable to the 'soft pink grogged wares' which had a longer currency in the area, dominating assemblages in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century (ibid., 64-9, fig. 27, 1-2).
5.2.8 Shelly wares likewise had a lengthy currency, spanning the Roman period. The nearest known kiln source was at Harrold in Bedfordshire. In this instance there are a few everted rim jar forms (ditches F1285, F1296) which could be $2^{\text {nd }}$ or $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD, but no identifiable instances of the characteristic 'hooked rim' jars, frequently with horizontal rilled decoration, of the $4^{\text {th }}$ century.
5.2.9 The reduced sandy wares include similar everted rim jars, one cordoned jar, one lipped bowl, and an imitation Gallo-Belgic platter (the latter from cobbled areas F1304/ F1311). The only example of a late Roman form came from ditch 1296 - a dropped flange bowl of later $3^{\text {rd }}$ or $4^{\text {th }}$ century type.
5.2.10 Oxidised and whitewares make up a small component of the coarseware assemblage. Both have been broadly classified here, and are likely to include the products of more than one source, although identifiable examples of Verulamium region and Oxfordshire whitewares had been separately quantified. A large part of one Verulamium region mortarium came from ditch 1280, a deeply flanged form of later $1^{\text {st }}$ or early $2^{\text {nd }}$ century type with rim stamp FECIT (although not, unfortunately, the maker's name). A large flagon rim in the same ware came from waterhole F1308, again of later $1^{\text {st }}$ or early $2^{\text {nd }}$ century date. Oxfordshire whitewares are represented by a single mortarium base (ditch F1295), although further sherds (and those of Verulamium region products) are likely to be present amongst the miscellaneous whitewares. The Nene Valley is another potential source of whitewares.

## Medieval

5.2.11 Three sherds have been dated as medieval. These are all from one context (341; ditch F379) and derive from two rims, both from relatively straight-sided jars, one in a shelly fabric and one in a sandy/flint-tempered fabric. On the grounds of fabric and form these sherds have been dated as early medieval ( $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ century).

## Post-Medieval

5.2.12 The remaining 14 sherds are post-medieval, 11 from pit F1279 (ten sherds from a $19^{\text {th }}$ century yellow ware chamber pot and one coarse white earthenware), two as intrusive finds within fill 354 of ditch F380 (coarse redware and creamware), and one from ditch F1286 (coarse redware).

### 5.3 Ceramic Building Material

## Excavated artefacts

5.3.1 A few fragments of ceramic building material can be identified as Romano-British. These include three tile fragments, one a possible tegula, in shelly and grog-tempered fabrics (posthole 1146, ditches F1302 and F1296). One brick fragment in a poorly wedged fabric could also be of similar date, recovered from the surface of natural depression 1085. Other fragments are either undiagnostic (ditch F1293), or definitely post-Roman, including brick, roof tile and field drain (354, 1202, 2309, 2313, ditch F1291 (fills 1041, 1150), ditch F1286 (fill 1268), pit 1279), some recovered as intrusive finds in earlier features.

## Workhouse structure

5.3.2 During the recording of the $19^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ century workhouse building, a number of bricks were collected on site, taken as samples from specific structural elements, as well as a small number of other ceramic building material types (floor or paving tiles). This assemblage, which comprised 43 bricks, three 'specials', four paviors, and one quarry tile, has already been reported on (WA 2005a). The bricks were almost exclusively of a simple, hand-made type which would be consistent with the construction of the workhouse in the late 1830s but which could date anywhere from the mid $18^{\text {th }}$ century onwards. Overall, the impression gained is of relatively poor quality bricks, including some which could be described as 'seconds', which could indicate a use in footings or in garden walls - in other words, in places where their appearance was not so important. There are only a handful of specials to give an idea of more decorative detail.

## $5.4 \quad$ Fired Clay

5.4.1 Alongside small, abraded and featureless fragments of uncertain date were fragments of several objects. One of these could be a triangular loomweight of Iron Age/Romano-British type (ditch F1293), while others appear to derive from slabs or blocks in organic-tempered fabrics, possibly briquetage or kiln furniture (ditches F378, F1280 and F1293). One small fragment, also in an organic-tempered fabric, appears to be a briquetage vessel rim (ditch F1296).

### 5.5 Stone

5.5.1 Three pieces of stone were recovered, all from context 353 (ditch F380). Two are of limestone and the third is a large rounded piece of fine-grained sandstone. All are apparently unworked and unutilised.

### 5.6 Metalwork

5.6.1 Metalwork comprised objects of copper alloy and iron. The single copper alloy object is a brooch pin, from a Romano-British brooch of unknown type (ditch F1291; see rear cover). Iron objects are either nails, or remain unidentified at this stage; none are closely datable although associated finds suggest a Romano-British date.

### 5.7 Other Finds

5.7.1 Other finds comprise a small number of struck flints, all broken flakes or broken blades of uncertain prehistoric date (all residual in later contexts); a fragment of post-medieval roofing slate; post-medieval bottle glass; a small amount of light, vesicular slag, deriving from an unknown industrial process; and one oyster shell.

### 5.8 Animal Bone

## Methods

5.8.1 Only the animal bone from the excavation fieldwork is assessed here. The potential of the assemblage to provide information about husbandry patterns, population structures and consumption practices was ascertained from the number of bones that could give information on the age and sex of animals, butchery, burning and breakage patterns. The number of bones that could provide metrical information was also counted.
5.8.2 Conjoining fragments that were demonstrably from the same bone were counted as one bone in order to minimise distortion. No fragments were recorded as 'medium mammal' or 'large mammal'; these were instead consigned to the unidentified category. No attempt was made to identify ribs or vertebrae (except the atlas and axis) to species.
5.8.3 The extent of mechanical or chemical attrition to the bone surface was recorded, with 1 indicating very poor condition, 2 poor, 3 fair, 4 good and 5 very good. The numbers of gnawed bone were also noted. Marks from chopping, sawing, knife cuts and fractures made when the bone was fresh were recorded as butchery marks.

## Results

5.8.4 177 bones were hand-recovered and no sieving was carried out. All bones derive from mammals or birds. No bones from fish or amphibians were present. All contexts are assumed to be Romano-British ( $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ century AD).

## Condition and preservation

5.8.5 Most of the bone fragments were moderately well preserved, with $26 \%$ in poor condition. As a result of the poor preservative conditions, it is likely that the bones of large mammals are overrepresented. $54 \%$ of the material was not identified to species (Appendix 7). Loose teeth were abundant in the material, attesting to the poor preservation and the high proportion of mammal jaws present. Gnawing was not seen and this indicates that scavenger destruction was not a significant biasing factor.

## Animal husbandry

5.8.6 Of the domestic mammals, cattle dominate with low proportions of sheep/goat and horse. No pig bones were identified (Appendix 8). The metatarsus II of a dog or fox was fox-sized with a GL of 46.1 mm . No bird, amphibian or fish bones were present in the material. It is highly likely that the material is biased because of the poor preservative conditions and the non-sieving of contexts. A fair number of bones could be aged (23), and included cattle of 56 month, $<20-24$ month, 24-28 month, $2>36$ month and $2>36-42$ month of age at death. Furthermore, the remains of at least one adult horse, one adult dog/fox and a lamb were found.
5.8.7 A significant proportion of bones could be measured (21), and included a cattle metatarsus (GL 204 mm ) with an estimated height at the withers of 108-114 cm (Driesch and Boessneck 1974). The metatarsus of a horse recovered measured 275 mm which leads to an estimated height at the withers of 144 cm and makes it an average large horse (Vitt 1952; May 1985). Context 117 contained a piece of skull with attached horn core of a large cattle, probably oxen (M44: $246 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{M} 45: 92 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{M} 46: 55 \mathrm{~mm}$; after Driesch 1976).

## Consumption and deposition

5.8.8 Butchery marks were only seen on one bone; a cattle pelvis had chopping marks on the shaft. These chopping marks occur when the meat is removed from the bone. No bones with traces of burning were found.

## 6 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS

### 6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 Thirty-four bulk samples were taken during the main phase of excavation at Renny Lodge. The samples were processed for the recovery of charred plant remains and charcoals with summary quantification provided in Appendix 9.

### 6.2 Charred Plant Remains

6.2.1 The flots were generally small with very little charred material. The presence of modern rooty material was relatively high overall, indicating the possibility of contamination by intrusive material.
6.2.2 Most of the samples contained cereal remains, comprised predominately of spelt wheat (Triticum spelta) glume bases. None of the samples were exceptionally rich with most containing less than twenty of such items. On a few occasions spikelet forks and glume bases of emmer (Triticum dicoccum) were present. Spelt wheat is known from Roman Milton Keynes, Heelands and Windmill Hill and Bierton (Jones 1987, 1988), although emmer wheat is not recorded from any of these sites. Grains of free-threshing wheat were present in a few samples, as at Bierton (Jones 1988), but as with the latter, given the degree of rooting they may be more recent intrusions (cf. van der Veen and O'Connor 1998). The sample from ditch 1233 (F1296) was quite rich with high levels of poorly preserved small chaff fragments present indicating that some processing was taking place on site.
6.2.3 Weed seeds were scarce in most of the samples, and dominated by larger seeded species, vetches/wild pea (Vicia/ Lathyrus sp.), oats (Avena sp.), brome grass (Bromus sp.), cleavers (Galium aparine), docks (Rumex sp.) and black bindweed (Fallopia convolvulus). The sample from ditch 1009 (F1291) yielded seeds of blinks (Montia fontana ssp. chondrosperma) and buttercup (Ranunculus cf. repens), both associated with the cultivation of wetter soils.
6.2.4 The three samples from ditches 1400, 1104 (both F1296) and 1118 (F1293) contained seeds of field penny-cress (Thlaspi arvense) and corn spurry (Spergula arvensis) both common weeds of drier, sandier conditions, in conjunction with seeds of flax (Linum usitatissimum). Flax can be grown on drier sandier soils, and it would seem probable that these species were associated with the cultivation and harvesting of this crop. The sample from ditch 1195 (F1302) contained high numbers of flax seeds in conjunction with tubers, stems and rootlets of false-oat grass (Arrhenatherum elatius ssp. bulbosum) which can also be associated with the harvesting of flax.

### 6.3 Charcoal

6.3.1 Charcoal was noted from the flots of the bulk samples and is recorded in Appendix 9. Generally very little charcoal was recovered from the features, with just odd fragments present.

### 6.4 Summary

6.4.1 From the evidence recovered, it would appear that spelt wheat was the predominant cereal crop associated with Romano-British activity at the site. Evidence was also recovered to suggest that emmer and free-threshing wheat were also cultivated. The cereal remains appeared to had been stored on site and dehusked prior to use and consumption.

## 7 STATEMENTS OF POTENTIAL

### 7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 The investigations at Renny Lodge have revealed a relatively complex archaeological sequence, representative of periods that are perhaps poorly understood (or often overlooked in the case of the workhouse/ hospital) in the area.

### 7.2 Stratigraphy

7.2.1 There is clear potential for the remains to contribute to a wider understanding of the Romano-British occupation of the area. The confirmed presence of a hitherto only suspected Roman road passing through the site is not only an important discovery in the context of Renny Lodge, but may contribute to a wider understanding of the archaeological landscape of Newport Pagnell (e.g. the nearby Saxon cemetery for instance).
7.2.2 From a structural perspective, the results are limited, and it is unlikely that a clearer impression of the precise nature of the remains will be gleaned from detailed analysis, though comparing and contrasting with similar sites in the vicinity may suggest appropriate interpretations.
7.2.3 Of note, however, is the apparent longevity of some of the landscape features, not just within the most coherent Romano-British period, but through until at least the medieval and potentially even modern day landscapes. This may have implications for future archaeological investigations in the region.

### 7.3 Artefacts

7.3.1 The potential of the artefact assemblage to contribute to the site narrative and to a wider understanding of the Romano-British period at Renny Lodge and beyond may be limited by the quantities recovered. Whilst the finds assemblage is of moderate size, it is dominated by pottery, with animal bone the only other material type represented in any significant quantity. The faunal assemblage is probably biased by the preservative conditions, and further analysis is unlikely to reveal new information. Other material types occurred in insufficient quantities to warrant further analysis.
7.3.2 The pottery assemblage has already provided the chronological framework for the site, and further analysis is unlikely to refine that dating significantly, although re-examination within targeted stratigraphic groups could elucidate some relative phasing. A more detailed fabric and form analysis would provide a basic minimum archive for the site, and help to set the site within its local and regional context in terms of sources of supply.

### 7.4 Environmental remains

7.4.1 No further work on the majority of the charred remains is proposed, with the exception of Romano-British Phase II samples 70, 75 and 82 and Romano-British phase III sample 57. The analysis will inform a consideration of the palaeo-economy and palaeo-environment of the site during these phases.
7.4.2 In addition, it is proposed that charcoal analysis is carried out on Romano-British Phase II sample 82 .

## $7.5 \quad$ Overall

7.5.1 It is perhaps valid to suggest for Renny Lodge that from a holistic perspective, the overall potential is greater than the sum of its parts. The various investigations at Renny Lodge, including the preliminary desk-based assessment, have demonstrated sustained periods of activity at the site throughout the Romano-British, medieval and post-medieval periods, all of which will make a significant contribution to the understanding of the archaeology of those periods in the region.
7.5.2 It is particularly appropriate that the archaeology of the site culminates in the remains of Renny Lodge itself, demolished in 1994. Publication therefore offers the opportunity to provide a narrative of the history of an important element of the development of postmedieval/ modern Newport Pagnell.
7.5.3 From a development control perspective, these investigations are also a fine example of how client, contractor, consultant and curator can work with pragmatism and accord to allow complex archaeological works without undue hindrance to development. The site, as a phased programme of archaeological works, therefore has the potential to contribute to a wider debate regarding archaeology and planning.

## 8 PROPOSALS

8.1.1 The results of the project can make a significant contribution to the understanding of the archaeology of the area. Investigations had revealed relatively complex multi-phase activity at the site from the Romano-British period through to the $19^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ century, including the development of Renny Lodge itself.
8.1.2 It is therefore recommended that a report detailing the archaeology of the site, and including account of the development and history of Renny Lodge, should be compiled and published, preferably in the Records of Buckinghamshire. The article will present the site history in its local/ regional context, and will also address the artefact and environmental potential demonstrated from the assessment.
8.1.3 A costed Post-Excavation Project Design for analysis and publication based on this assessment report will be presented in due course. The Post-Excavation Project Design will detail the task list, resourcing and programme of works considered necessary to complete the analysis and dissemination of results.
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1. Wessex Archaeology

| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 353 | Access Road | Fill | F380 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 352 |  |  | 0.60 |
| 354 | Access Road | Fill | F380 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 355 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 355 | Access Road | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | 4.6+ | 1.72 | 0.15 |
| 356 | Access Road | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 357 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 357 | Access Road | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | 10+ | 0.55 | 0.25 |
| 358 | Access Road | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 352 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 359 | Access Road | Cut | F381 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.40 | 0.20 |
| 360 | Access Road | Fill | F381 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 359 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 361 | Access Road | Cut | F380 | RBII | Ditch - part of main enclosure Ditch |  | 0.68 | 0.52 |
| 362 | Access Road | Fill | F380 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 361 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 363 | Access Road | Fill |  | RBII | Fill of Post-hole 361 |  |  | 0.38 |
| 364 | Access Road | Cut |  | RBII | Post-hole | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.38 |
| 365 | Access Road | Fill | F379 | Med | Fill of Ditch 364 |  |  | 0.11 |
| 366 | Access Road | Cut | F379 | Med | Ditch - part of south side of medieval roadside Ditch |  | 0.60 | 0.15 |
| 367 | Access Road | Fill | F378 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 366 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 368 | Access Road | Fill | F378 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 370 |  |  | 0.21 |
| 369 | Access Road | Fill | F378 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 370 |  |  | 0.02 |
| 370 | Access Road | Cut | F378 | RBII | Ditch - part of east side of Romano-British roadside Ditch |  | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| 371 | Access Road | Fill | F377 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 372 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 372 | Access Road | Cut | F377 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.70 | 0.13 |
| 373 | Access Road | Cut | F378 | RBII | Ditch - part of east side of Romano-British roadside Ditch |  | 0.86 | 0.21 |
| 374 | Access Road | Fill | F378 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 373 |  |  | 0.21 |
| 375 | Access Road | Cut | F377 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.74 | 0.15 |
| 376 | Access Road | Fill | F377 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 375 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 383 | Access Road | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | $1.6+$ | 0.60 | not excavated |
| F377 | Access Road | Group |  | RBI | ESE-WNW aligned LIA/RB ditch | 6+ | 0.74 | 0.20 |
| F378 | Access Road | Group |  | RBII | SSW-NNE aligned LIA/RB ditch, eastern roadside ditch for Roman road | 15.6+ | 0.86 | 0.21 |
| F379 | Access Road | Group |  | Med | ESE-WNW aligned medieval ditch | $8.2+$ | 0.80 | 0.21 |
| F380 | Access Road | Group |  | RBII | SSW-NNE aligned large enclosure ditch, contemporaneous with F381 | 14+ | 3.20 | 0.79 |
| F381 | Access Road | Group |  | RBII | WNW-ESE aligned enclosure ditch, contemporaneous with F380 | $6.8+$ | 1.40 | 0.50 |
| F382 | Access Road | Group |  | RBII | SSW-NNE aligned LIA/RB ditch, western roadside ditch for Roman road | 11+ | 0.75 | 0.41 |
| 1000 | TR10 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1001 | TR10 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1002 | TR10 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.70 |
| 1003 | TR10 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil with re-deposited gravel |  |  | 0.70 |
| 1004 | TR10 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravel |  |  | not excavated |
| 1101 | TR11 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1102 | TR11 | Deposit |  | Modern | Buried topsoil |  |  | 0.16 |
| 1103 | TR11 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.34 |
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| $\square \text { Wes }$ | Ar | eology |  |  |  | Archaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes $\begin{array}{r}\text { English Partnerships }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 1104 | TR11 | Deposit |  | Natural | Course gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 1105 | TR11 | Deposit |  | Natural | Fine gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 1106 | TR11 | Cut |  | Modern | Pipe trench | 0.86 | 0.60 | not excavated |
| 1107 | TR11 | Fill |  | Modern | Fill of Pipe trench 1106 |  |  | not excavated |
| 1108 | TR11 | Cut |  | Modern | Pipe trench | 0.72 | 0.15 | not excavated |
| 1109 | TR11 | Fill |  | Modern | Fill of Pipe trench 1108 |  |  | not excavated |
| 1200 | TR12 | Deposit |  | Modern | Topsoil |  |  | 0.35 |
| 1201 | TR12 | Cut |  | Modern | Land Drain |  |  | not excavated |
| 1202 | TR12 | Fill |  | Modern | Fill of Land Drain 1201 |  |  | not excavated |
| 1203 | TR12 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1204 | TR12 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Interface layer |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1205 | TR12 | Deposit |  | Natural | Gravel |  |  | not excavated |
| 1400 | TR14 | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch | 2.60 | 0.70 | 0.58 |
| 1401 | TR14 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1402 | TR14 | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1400 |  |  | 0.58+ |
| 1403 | TR14 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravel |  |  | not excavated |
| 1500 | TR15 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern Tarmac |  |  | 0.06 |
| 1501 | TR15 | Cut |  | RBI | Ditch | 4.60 | 0.56 | 0.50 |
| 1502 | TR15 | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1501 |  |  | 0.50 |
| 1503 | TR15 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.52 |
| 1504 | TR15 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.29 |
| 1505 | TR15 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern construction debris |  |  | 0.03 |
| 1601 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1602 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1603 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Sand deposit |  |  | 0.02 |
| 1604 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Sandy clay deposit |  |  | 0.58 |
| 1605 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Sandy clay deposit |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1606 | TR16 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural blue - grey clay |  |  | not excavated |
| 1607 | TR16 | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch | 1.24 | 0.83 | 0.30 |
| 1608 | TR16 | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Grey clay deposit fill of Ditch 1607 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1701 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Modern | Topsoil |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1702 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.40 |
| 1703 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1704 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1705 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 1706 | TR17 | Fill |  | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1707 |  |  | 0.26 |
| 1707 | TR17 | Cut |  | RBII | Ditch | 3.00 | 0.80 | 0.35 |
| 1708 | TR17 | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1709 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1709 | TR17 | Cut |  | RBI | Ditch, truncated | 2.70 | 0.30 | 0.25 |

I Wessex Archaeology

| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1710 | TR17 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Ditch 1711 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1711 | TR17 | Cut |  | Undated | Ditch | 2.50 | 0.60 | 0.15 |
| 1712 | TR17 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Ditch 1713 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1713 | TR17 | Cut |  | Undated | Ditch | 2.40 | 0.50 | 0.20 |
| 1714 | TR17 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Re-deposited clay |  |  | not excavated |
| 1800 | TR18 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern Tarmac |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1801 | TR18 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.29 |
| 1802 | TR18 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | not excavated |
| 1803 | TR18 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | not excavated |
| 1900 | TR19 | Deposit |  | Modern | Tarmac and modern overburden |  |  | 0.50 |
| 1901 | TR19 | Cut | F1309 | RBII | Ditch | 1.96 | 1.95 | not excavated |
| 1902 | TR19 | Deposit | F1309 | RBII | Grey sandy clay - fill of Ditch 1901 |  |  | not excavated |
| 1903 | TR19 | Cut |  | Post-med | Cut for Drain shaft |  |  | 0.5+ |
| 1904 | TR19 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Drain shaft 1903 |  |  | $0.5+$ |
| 1905 | TR19 | Cut | F1312 | Pre RB | Sub-circular feature |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1906 | TR19 | Fill | F1312 | Pre RB | Charcoal rich clay fill of 1905 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1907 | TR19 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Sandy clay |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1908 | TR19 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Brown - grey clay |  |  | 0.40 |
| 1909 | TR19 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural sandy clay gravel |  |  | not excavated |
| 2000 | TR20 | Deposit |  | Modern | Topsoil |  |  | 0.36 |
| 2001 | TR20 | Cut |  | Post-med | Pipe trench |  |  | not excavated |
| 2002 | TR20 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Pipe trench 2001 |  |  | not excavated |
| 2003 | TR20 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil |  |  | 0.10 |
| 2004 | TR20 | Deposit |  | Natural | Gravel deposit |  |  | 0.39 |
| 2005 | TR20 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 2100 | TR21 | Deposit |  | Modern | Topsoil |  |  | 0.10 |
| 2101 | TR21 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Land Drain 2102 |  |  | 0.50 |
| 2102 | TR21 | Cut |  | Post-med | Land Drain |  |  | 0.50 |
| 2103 | TR21 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2105 |  |  | 0.38 |
| 2104 | TR21 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2105 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 2105 | TR21 | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | 1.97 | 0.76 | 1.05 |
| 2106 | TR21 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2105 |  |  | 0.37 |
| 2107 | TR21 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural clay |  |  | not excavated |
| 2108 | TR21 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Land Drain |  |  | not excavated |
| 2109 | TR21 | Cut |  | Post-med | Land Drain |  |  | not excavated |
| 2200 | TR22 | Deposit |  | Modern | Topsoil |  |  | 0.40 |
| 2201 | TR22 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.50 |
| 2202 | TR22 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural sandy gravels with clay lenses |  |  | not excavated |
| 2203 | TR22 | Cut |  | Post-med | Wall |  |  | not excavated |


| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2204 | TR22 | Structure |  | Post-med | Wall |  |  | not excavated |
| 2205 | TR22 | Structure |  | Post-med | Concrete plinth |  |  | not excavated |
| 2301 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.44 |
| 2302 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.18 |
| 2303 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural clay |  |  | not excavated |
| 2304 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.27 |
| 2305 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.37 |
| 2306 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.10 |
| 2307 | TR23 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.08 |
| 2308 | TR23 | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | 2.00 | 1.75 | 0.19 |
| 2309 | TR23 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2308 |  |  | 0.19 |
| 2310 | TR23 | Cut |  | Post-med | Ditch | 2.00 | 0.30 | 0.39 |
| 2311 | TR23 | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2310 |  |  | 0.39 |
| 2312 | TR23 | Cut |  | Undated | Ditch | 2.05 | 1.20 | 0.10 |
| 2313 | TR23 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Ditch 2312 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 2401 | TR24 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.67 |
| 2402 | TR24 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Dark grey clay deposit |  |  | 0.19 |
| 2403 | TR24 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Light grey clay deposit |  |  | 0.14 |
| 2404 | TR24 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 2406 | TR24 | Cut | F1313 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.47 | 0.14 |
| 2407 | TR24 | Fill | F1313 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 2406 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 2408 | TR24 | Cut |  | Undated | Cut assigned to animal burrow | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| 2409 | TR24 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of animal burrow 2408 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 2410 | TR24 | Cut |  | Undated | Cut assigned to animal burrow | 2.00 | 0.14 | 0.08 |
| 2411 | TR24 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of animal burrow 2410 |  | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| 2412 | TR24 | Cut |  | Undated | Cut assigned to animal burrow | 2.00 | 0.14 | 0.09 |
| 2413 | TR24 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of animal burrow 2412 |  | 0.14 | 0.09 |
| 2414 | TR24 | Cut |  | Undated | Ditch | 2.10 | 0.50 | 0.30 |
| 2415 | TR24 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Ditch 2414 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 2501 | TR25 | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.16 |
| 2502 | TR25 | Deposit |  | Post-med | Cultivation layer |  |  | 0.48 |
| 2503 | TR25 | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural gravels |  |  | not excavated |
| 2504 | TR25 | Cut |  | Undated | Ditch | 1.84 | 0.86 | 0.28 |
| 2505 | TR25 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Ditch 2504 |  |  | 0.28 |
| 2506 | TR25 | Cut |  | Undated | Gully | 1.60 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| 2507 | TR25 | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Gully 2506 |  |  | 0.17 |
| 2508 | TR25 | Cut | F1309/F382 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.70 | 0.20 |
| 2509 | TR25 | Fill | F1309/F382 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 2508 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 2510 | TR25 | Cut | F1314 | Post-med | Ditch |  | 0.34 | 0.10 |

$\square$ Wessex Archaeology

| $\square$ Wes | x Arch | ology |  |  |  | English Partnerships Archaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 2511 | TR25 | Fill | F1314 | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 2510 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1000 | Main site | Deposit |  | Modern | Modern overburden |  |  | 0.35 |
| 1001 | Main site | Deposit |  | Post-med | Subsoil/ Cultivation layer |  |  | 0.45 |
| 1002 | Main site | Deposit |  | Natural | Natural geology |  |  | not excavated |
| 1003 | Main site | Cut | F1286 | Post-med | Ditch |  | 1.01 | 0.22 |
| 1004 | Main site | Fill | F1286 | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 1003 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1005 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1006 |  |  | 0.33 |
| 1006 | Main site | Cut | F1280 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.68 | 0.33 |
| 1007 | Main site | Cut |  | Undated | Tree-throw | 3.04 | 1.48 | 0.24 |
| 1008 | Main site | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Tree-throw 1007 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1009 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.50 | 0.40 |
| 1010 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1009 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1011 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1009 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1012 | Main site | Cut |  | Pre RB | Tree-throw | 1.12 | 0.49 | 0.13 |
| 1013 | Main site | Fill |  | Pre RB | Fill of Tree-throw 1012 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1014 | Main site | Cut | F1308 | RBII | Waterhole | 4.18 | 0.68 | 0.25 |
| 1015 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1014 |  | 0.68 | 0.25 |
| 1016 | Main site | Cut | F1280 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.45 | 0.32 |
| 1017 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1016 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1018 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1016 |  |  | 0.17 |
| 1019 | Main site | Cut | F1286 | Post-med | Ditch |  | 0.54 | 0.11 |
| 1020 | Main site | Fill | F1286 | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 1019 |  |  | 0.11 |
| 1021 | Main site | Cut | F1305 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.35 | 0.10 |
| 1022 | Main site | Fill | F1305 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1021 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1023 | Main site | Fill | F1309 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1024 |  |  | 0.42 |
| 1024 | Main site | Cut | F1309 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.45 | 0.42 |
| 1025 | Main site | Cut | F1283 | Unphased RB | Ditch |  | 0.96 | 0.53 |
| 1026 | Main site | Fill | F1283 | Unphased RB | Fill of Ditch 1025 |  |  | 0.53 |
| 1027 | Main site | Cut | F1283 | Unphased RB | Ditch terminus |  | 0.25 | 0.10 |
| 1028 | Main site | Fill | F1283 | Unphased RB | Fill of Ditch terminus 1027 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1029 | Main site | Cut |  | RBI | Ditch segment, truncated | 1.94 | 0.58 | 0.31 |
| 1030 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch segment 1029 |  | 0.58 | 0.31 |
| 1031 | Main site | Cut |  | RBI | pit / Ditch segment, truncated | 2.70 | 0.36 | 0.19 |
| 1032 | Main site | Cut | F1280 | RBII | Ditch terminus |  | 0.38 | 0.12 |
| 1033 | Main site | Cut | F1290 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| 1034 | Main site | Cut |  | Med | Tree-throw | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.18 |
| 1035 | Main site | Fill |  | Med | Fill of Tree-throw 1034 |  |  | 0.18 |
| 1036 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Pit / Ditch segment 1031 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1037 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch terminus 1032 |  |  | 0.12 |

I Wessex Archaeology

| $\pm$ We | x Arch | OlO |  |  |  | English PartnershipsArchaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 1038 | Main site | Fill | F1290 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1033 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1039 | Main site | Fill | F1283 | Unphased RB | Fill of Ditch 1025 |  |  | 0.28 |
| 1040 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.80 | 0.25 |
| 1041 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1040 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1042 | Main site | Cut | F1305 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.35 | 0.09 |
| 1043 | Main site | Fill | F1305 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1042 |  |  | 0.09 |
| 1044 | Main site | Fill | F1295 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1045 |  |  | 0.70 |
| 1045 | Main site | Cut | F1295 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| 1046 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch |  |  | 0.65 |
| 1047 | Main site | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.90 | 0.65 |
| 1048 | Main site | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of modern Drain 1049 |  | 0.29 | 0.11 |
| 1049 | Main site | Cut |  | Post-med | modern Drain | 2.00 | 0.29 | 0.11 |
| 1050 | Main site | Fill |  | Med | Fill of Tree-throw 1055 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1051 | Main site | Fill |  | Med | Fill of Tree-throw 1052 |  |  | 0.23 |
| 1052 | Main site | Cut |  | Med | Tree-throw | 1.99 | 1.09 | 0.23 |
| 1053 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1055 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1054 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1055 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1055 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.98 | 0.49 |
| 1056 | Main site | Cut | F1292 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| 1057 | Main site | Fill | F1292 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1056 |  |  | 0.35 |
| 1058 | Main site | Fill | F1292 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1056 |  |  | 0.26 |
| 1059 | Main site | Cut | F1293 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 1060 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1059 |  |  | 0.36 |
| 1061 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1059 |  |  | 0.18 |
| 1062 | Main site | Fill | F1312 | Pre RB | Fill of puddled feature 1063 |  |  | not excavated |
| 1063 | Main site | Cut | F1312 | Pre RB | Puddled feature |  |  | not excavated |
| 1064 | Main site | Fill | F1290 | RBI | Fill of Gully 1065 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1065 | Main site | Cut | F1290 | RBI | Gully |  | 0.57 | 0.10 |
| 1066 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Gully |  | 2.40 | 0.30 |
| 1067 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1066 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1068 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1066 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1069 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Pit 1070 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1070 | Main site | Cut |  | RBI | Pit, truncated | 4.35 | 1.59 | 0.20 |
| 1071 | Main site | Cut | F1280 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.87 | 0.43 |
| 1072 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1071 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1073 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (pottery) 1071 |  |  | n/a |
| 1074 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (over pottery 1073) |  |  | n/a |
| 1075 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (pottery) 1071 |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1076 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (over pottery 1075) |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

$\square$ Wessex Archaeology

| $\square \text { Wes }$ | x Arch | OlO |  |  |  | English PartnershipsArchaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 1077 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (pottery) 1071 |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1078 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch (over pottery 1077) |  |  | n/a |
| 1079 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1081 |  |  | 0.36 |
| 1080 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1081 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1081 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.60 | 0.36 |
| 1082 | Main site | Fill | F1307 | RBI | Fill of Gully 1083 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1083 | Main site | Cut | F1307 | RBI | Gully |  | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 1084 | Main site | Fill |  | Natural | Fill of depression 1085 |  | 0.75 | 0.12 |
| 1085 | Main site | Cut |  | Natural | Natural Depression | 2.17 | 1.22 | 0.20 |
| 1086 | Main site | Cut |  | Unphased RB | Gully | 1.31 | 0.18 | 0.10 |
| 1087 | Main site | Fill |  | Unphased RB | Fill of Gully 1086 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1088 | Main site | Cut | F1293 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.65 | 0.75 |
| 1089 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1088 |  |  | 0.45 |
| 1090 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1088 |  |  | 0.62 |
| 1091 | Main site | Cut | F1294 | Med | Gully |  | 0.80 | 0.24 |
| 1092 | Main site | Fill | F1294 | Med | Fill of Gully 1091 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1093 | Main site | Cut |  | Med | Gully | 4.44 | 0.58 | 0.31 |
| 1094 | Main site | Fill |  | Med | Fill of Gully 1093 |  |  | 0.31 |
| 1097 | Main site | Cut | F1285 | RBIII | Ditch terminus |  | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| 1098 | Main site | Fill | F1285 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch terminus 1097 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1099 | Main site | Cut | F1285 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.56 | 0.27 |
| 1100 | Main site | Fill | F1285 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1099 |  |  | 0.27 |
| 1101 | Main site | Fill | F1295 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1102 |  |  | 0.65 |
| 1102 | Main site | Cut | F1295 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.65 | 0.95 |
| 1103 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1104 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1104 | Main site | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 1.12 | 0.68 |
| 1105 | Main site | Fill |  | Post RB (Med?) | Fill of Tree-throw 1106 |  | 1.09 | 0.19 |
| 1106 | Main site | Cut |  | Post RB (Med?) | Tree-throw | 2.96 | 1.09 | 0.19 |
| 1107 | Main site | Cut | F1297 | Post RBII (RBIII?) | Gully |  | 0.38 | 0.08 |
| 1108 | Main site | Fill | F1297 | Post RBII (RBIII?) | Fill of Gully 1107 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1109 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1047 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1110 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1103 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1111 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1112 |  |  | 0.33 |
| 1112 | Main site | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.44 | 0.58 |
| 1113 | Main site | Fill |  | Post RB (Med?) | Fill of Tree-throw 1114 |  |  | 0.02 |
| 1114 | Main site | Cut |  | Post RB (Med?) | Tree-throw | 2.10 | 1.08 | 0.02 |
| 1115 | Main site | Fill |  | Post-med | Fill of modern Ditch 1116 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1116 | Main site | Cut |  | Post-med | modern Ditch |  | 0.40 | 0.08 |
| 1117 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1118 |  |  | 0.48 |

English Partnerships nell, Milton Keynes

| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1118 | Main site | Cut | F1293 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.65 | 0.63 |
| 1119 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1121 |  |  | 0.63 |
| 1120 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1121 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1121 | Main site | Cut | F1293 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.65 | 0.63 |
| 1124 | Main site | Fill | F1280 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1071 |  |  | 0.28 |
| 1125 | Main site | Cut | F1282 | Med | Ditch |  | 0.69 | 0.25 |
| 1126 | Main site | Fill | F1282 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1125 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1127 | Main site | Cut | F1297 | Post RBII (RBIII?) | Gully |  | 0.10 | 0.08 |
| 1128 | Main site | Fill | F1297 | Post RBII (RBIII?) | Fill of Gully 1127 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1129 | Main site | Cut |  | RBII | Tree-throw | 1.42 | 1.09 | 0.21 |
| 1130 | Main site | Fill |  | RBII | Fill of Tree-throw 1129 |  |  | 0.21 |
| 1131 | Main site | Cut |  | RBI | Tree-throw | 2.33 | 1.87 | 0.20 |
| 1132 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Tree-throw 1131 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1133 | Main site | Cut | F1308 | RBII | Waterhole |  |  | $1.2+$ |
| 1134 | Main site | Deposit | F1308 | RBII | Wood in Waterhole 1133 |  |  | n/a |
| 1135 | Main site | Fill | F1295 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1102 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1136 | Main site | Fill | F1295 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1102 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1137 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1104 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1138 | Main site | Cut | F1294 | Med | Ditch |  | 0.30 | 0.20 |
| 1139 | Main site | Fill | F1294 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1138 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1140 | Main site | Cut | F1293 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.55 | 0.47 |
| 1141 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1140 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1142 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1140 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1143 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1140 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1144 | Main site | Fill | F1292 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch terminus |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1145 | Main site | Cut | F1292 | RBIII | Ditch terminus |  | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 1146 | Main site | Cut |  | RBII | Post-hole | 0.97 | 1.06 | 0.07 |
| 1147 | Main site | Fill |  | RBII | Fill of Post-hole 1146 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1148 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 2.00 | 0.50 |
| 1149 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1148 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1150 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1148 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1151 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1148 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1152 | Main site | Cut | F1298 | RBI | Gully |  | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 1153 | Main site | Fill | F1298 | RBI | Fill of Gully 1152 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1154 | Main site | Cut | F1298 | RBI | Gully |  | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| 1155 | Main site | Fill | F1298 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1154 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1156 | Main site | Cut | F1304 | RBI | Cobbled spread |  | 2.00 | 0.12 |
| 1157 | Main site | Deposit | F1304 | RBI | Overlying silt upon cobbled spread 1156 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1158 | Main site | Deposit | F1304 | RBI | Cobbled spread |  | 2.00 | 0.05 |

English Partnerships gell, Milton Keynes

| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1159 | Main site | Cut | F1282 | Med | Ditch |  | 1.50 | 0.24 |
| 1160 | Main site | Fill | F1282 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1159 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1161 | Main site | Cut |  | Pre RB | Tree-throw | 0.78 | 0.67 | 0.06 |
| 1162 | Main site | Fill |  | Pre RB | Fill of Tree-throw 1161 |  |  | 0.06 |
| 1163 | Main site | Cut | F1311 | RBI | possible cobbled area |  | 0.95 | 0.08 |
| 1164 | Main site | Fill | F1311 | RBI | Fill of possible cobbled area 1163 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1165 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1112 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1166 | Main site | Fill |  | Pre RB | Fill of root disturbance 1167 | 1.25 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| 1167 | Main site | Cut |  | Pre RB | root disturbance |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1168 | Main site | Fill | F1293 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1118 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1169 | Main site | Fill | F1299 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1170 |  |  | 0.18 |
| 1170 | Main site | Cut | F1299 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| 1171 | Main site | Fill |  | Pre RB | Fill of Pit 1172 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1172 | Main site | Cut |  | Pre RB | Pit | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| 1173 | Main site | Fill | F1310 | Pre RB | Fill of Tree-throw 1174 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1174 | Main site | Cut | F1310 | Pre RB | Tree-throw |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1177 | Main site | Cut | F1302 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.60 | 0.25 |
| 1178 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1177 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1179 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1177 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1180 | Main site | Cut | F1291 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.00 | 0.40 |
| 1181 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1180 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1182 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1180 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1183 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1180 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1184 | Main site | Cut | F1303 | RBII | Ditch terminus |  | 0.30 | 0.12 |
| 1185 | Main site | Fill | F1303 | RBII | Fill of Ditch terminus 1184 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1186 | Main site | Cut | F1310 | Pre RBII | Tree-throw |  | 1.14 | 0.30 |
| 1187 | Main site | Fill | F1310 | Pre RBII | Fill of Tree-throw 1186 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1188 | Main site | Cut | F1303 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.40 | 0.08 |
| 1189 | Main site | Fill | F1303 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1188 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1190 | Main site | Cut | F1299 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.35 | 0.12 |
| 1191 | Main site | Fill | F1299 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1190 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1192 | Main site | Cut |  | Undated | Pit / natural hollow | 1.38 | 0.98 | 0.27 |
| 1193 | Main site | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Pit / natural hollow 1192 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1194 | Main site | Fill |  | Undated | Fill of Pit 1192 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1195 | Main site | Cut | F1302 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 0.97 | 0.30 |
| 1196 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1195 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1197 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1195 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1198 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1195 |  |  | 0.04 |
| 1199 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1180 |  |  | 0.10 |


| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1200 | Main site | Fill | F1311 | RBI | Fill of mettled area 1201 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1201 | Main site | Cut | F1311 | RBI | mettled area |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1202 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.25 |
| 1203 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1204 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.23 |
| 1205 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.09 |
| 1206 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1207 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1208 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1209 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1210 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1211 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1212 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1213 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1214 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1215 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.42 |
| 1216 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1217 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1218 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.18 |
| 1219 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1220 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.08 |
| 1221 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1222 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.11 |
| 1223 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.16 |
| 1224 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1225 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.09 |
| 1226 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1227 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1233 |  |  | 0.12 |
| 1228 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1233 |  |  | 0.21 |
| 1229 | Main site | Fill | F1302 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1177 |  |  | 0.02 |
| 1230 | Main site | Fill | F1291 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1180 |  |  | 0.02 |
| 1231 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1233 |  |  | 0.23 |
| 1232 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1233 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1233 | Main site | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 1.75 | 0.60 |
| 1234 | Main site | Fill | F1295 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1235 |  |  | 0.73 |
| 1235 | Main site | Cut | F1295 | RBII | Ditch |  | 0.35 | 0.73 |
| 1236 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.11 |
| 1237 | Main site | Cut | F1299 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.60 | 0.05 |
| 1238 | Main site | Fill | F1299 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1237 |  |  | 0.05 |

$\square$ Wessex Archaeology

| $\pm$ We | ex Arch | eolog |  |  |  | English PartnershipsArchaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 1239 | Main site | Cut | F1304 | RBI | spread |  | 2.00 | 0.12 |
| 1240 | Main site | Fill | F1304 | RBI | Fill of spread 1239 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1241 | Main site | Cut | F1296 | RBIII | Ditch |  | 1.00 | 0.45 |
| 1242 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1241 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1243 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1241 |  |  | 0.17 |
| 1244 | Main site | Fill | F1296 | RBIII | Fill of Ditch 1241 |  |  | 0.13 |
| 1245 | Main site | Cut | F1306 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.43 | 0.47 |
| 1246 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1245 |  |  | 0.14 |
| 1247 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1245 |  |  | 0.17 |
| 1248 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1245 |  |  | 0.16 |
| 1249 | Main site | Cut | F1282 | Med | Ditch |  | 0.72 | 0.24 |
| 1250 | Main site | Fill | F1282 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1249 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1251 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1255 |  |  | 0.16 |
| 1252 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1255 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1253 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1255 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1254 | Main site | Fill |  | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1255 |  |  | 0.07 |
| 1255 | Main site | Cut |  | RBI | Ditch | 1.76 | 0.80 | 0.47 |
| 1256 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1260 |  |  | 0.11 |
| 1257 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1260 |  |  | 0.05 |
| 1258 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1260 |  |  | 0.22 |
| 1259 | Main site | Fill | F1306 | RBI | Fill of Ditch 1260 |  |  | 0.15 |
| 1260 | Main site | Cut | F1306 | RBI | Ditch |  | 0.40 | 0.35 |
| 1261 | Main site | Cut | F1286 | Post-med | Ditch |  | 0.95 | 0.20 |
| 1262 | Main site | Fill | F1286 | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 1261 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1263 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1266 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1264 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1266 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1265 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1266 |  |  | 0.06 |
| 1266 | Main site | Cut | F1281 | RBII | Ditch |  |  | 0.40 |
| 1267 | Main site | Cut | F1286 | Post-med | Ditch |  | 0.70 | 0.18 |
| 1268 | Main site | Fill | F1286 | Post-med | Fill of Ditch 1267 |  |  | 0.18 |
| 1269 | Main site | Cut | F1289 | Med | Ditch |  | 0.50 | 0.24 |
| 1270 | Main site | Fill | F1289 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1269 |  |  | 0.24 |
| 1271 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1274 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1272 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1274 |  |  | 0.10 |
| 1273 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1274 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1274 | Main site | Cut | F1281 | RBII | Ditch |  | 1.10 | 0.40 |
| 1275 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch 1266 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1276 | Main site | Cut | F1281 | RBII | Ditch terminus |  | 0.96 | 0.37 |
| 1277 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch terminus 1276 |  |  | 0.08 |

$\square$ Wessex Archaeology

| English Partnerships Archaeological Works at Renny Lodge, Newport Pagnell, Milton Keynes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Area | Type | Group no. | Phase | Description | Length | Width | Depth |
| 1278 | Main site | Fill | F1281 | RBII | Fill of Ditch terminus 1276 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 1279 | Main site | Cut |  | Post-med | Pit | 3.20 | 3.20 | 0.2+ |
| 1287 | Main site | Cut | F1289 | Med | Ditch |  | 0.28 | 0.20 |
| 1288 | Main site | Fill | F1289 | Med | Fill of Ditch 1287 |  |  | 0.20 |
| 1300 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.34 |
| 1301 | Main site | Fill | F1308 | RBII | Fill of Waterhole 1133 |  |  | 0.14 |
| F1280 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to RBII phase linear Ditch | 18.00 | 1.12 | 0.33 |
| F1281 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to ditch fragment containing possible Wall footings | 4.50 | 0.99 | 0.40 |
| F1282 | Various | Group |  | Med | Group number given to probable Medieval Ditch | 35.00 | 0.79 | 0.24 |
| F1283 | Various | Group |  | RB I | Group number given to short linear Ditch | 4.14 | 0.50 | 0.53 |
| F1285 | Various | Group |  | RBIII | Group number given to short length of linear Ditch | 2.75 | 0.76 | 0.27 |
| F1286 | Various | Group |  | Post-med | Group number given to Post-Medieval ditch | 20.85 | 0.62 | 0.18 |
| F1289 | Various | Group |  | Med | Group number given to possible hedge line of tree-throws | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| F1290 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to a shallow Gully (maybe due to root action), truncated | 5.58 | 0.45 | 0.10 |
| F1291 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to rectangular enclosure Ditch, truncated | 39.64 | 2.50 | 0.40 |
| F1292 | Various | Group |  | RBIII | Group number given to probable RB III phase Ditch | 14.64 | 0.88 | 0.40 |
| F1293 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to curving enclosure Ditch, truncated | 22.59 | 1.90 | 0.50 |
| F1294 | Various | Group |  | Med | Group number given to possible Medieval Gully | 7.00 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
| F1295 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to enclosure Ditch, truncated | 25.13 | 1.33 | 0.73 |
| F1296 | Various | Group |  | RBIII | Group number given to linear Ditch | 27.68 | 1.20 | 0.65 |
| F1297 | Various | Group |  | Post RBII (RBIII?) | Group number given to linear Gully | 4.37 | 0.50 | 0.08 |
| F1298 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to shallow curvilinear Gully | 3.07 | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| F1299 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to linear Gully | 4.58 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| F1302 | Various | Group |  | RBIII | Group number given to linear Ditch | 6.25 | 1.04 | 0.30 |
| F1303 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to linear Gully | 3.08 | 0.40 | 0.08 |
| F1304 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to possible mettled surface/occupation layer | 2.05 | 2.48 | 0.12 |
| F1305 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to a small linear Gully, truncated | 3.41 | 0.35 | 0.09 |
| F1306 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to linear Ditch, truncated | 1.19+ | 1.14 | 0.47 |
| F1307 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Group number given to linear Ditch, truncated | 4.88 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| F1308 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to Waterhole complex | 4.22 | 2.72 | $1.20+$ |
| F1309 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group number given to enclosure Ditch | 14.76 | 1.43 | 0.42 |
| F1310 | Various | Group |  | Pre RB | Group number given to two interventions through the same Tree-throw | 2.40 | 2.52 | 0.10 |
| F1311 | Various | Group |  | RBI | Possible cobbled spread | 4.07 | 2.13 | $0.1+$ |
| F1312 | Various | Group |  | Pre RB | Group given to area associated with natural pooling water | 6.15 | 5.00 | $1.25+$ |
| F1313 | Various | Group |  | RBII | Group given to sinuous road corridor Ditch | 27.48 | 0.41 | 0.14 |
| F1314 | Various | Group |  | Post-med | Group given to post med Ditch in road corridor and evaluation | 28.00 | 1.30 | 0.10 |

## Appendix 2: Access Road finds by context

| Context | Animal Bone | Fired <br> Clay | LIA / RB Pottery | Post-RB pottery | Stone | Other <br> Finds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 320 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 glass bottles |
| 334 |  |  | $3 / 16$ |  |  |  |
| 341 |  |  |  | $3 / 129$ |  |  |
| 345 | 17 / 91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 |  |  | 6/178 |  |  |  |
| 351 | 10/115 |  | $3 / 187$ |  |  | 1 worked flint |
| 353 |  |  | $2 / 36$ |  | $3 / 6286$ |  |
| 354 |  | $1 / 11$ |  | $2 / 4$ |  | 1 oyster shell; 1 roof tile |
| 356 | $4 / 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 358 |  |  | 2 / 25 |  |  |  |
| 360 |  |  | 8/299 |  |  |  |
| 363 |  |  | $1 / 25$ |  |  | 1 worked flint |
| 374 |  | $1 / 80$ | $3 / 11$ |  |  |  |
| 376 | $2 / 5$ |  | 1/18 |  |  |  |
| Totals | $33 / 231$ | 2/91 | $29 / 795$ | 5/133 | $3 / 6286$ |  |

## Appendix 3: Evaluation finds by context

Weight in grams

| Context | Count | Weight | Period | Material | Description | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1202 | 3 | 6139 | Post- <br> Medieval | uncertain | BRICK/TILE | 1 field drain (horseshoe-shaped, stamped DRAIN); <br> 2 unfrogged bricks (215x110x70mm) |
| 1402 | 8 | 57 | Uncertain | bone, animal | BONE, <br> ANIMAL |  |
| 1402 | 1 | 20 | Uncertain | slag | UNCERTAIN | light, vesicular slag |
| 1402 | 4 | 296 | Uncertain | stone | STONE | burnt, unworked |
| 1402 | 58 | 1428 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1502 | 3 | 17 | Uncertain | bone, animal | BONE, <br> ANIMAL |  |
| 1502 | 14 | 149 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1608 | 1 | 3 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1706 | 5 | 48 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1706 | 7 | 29 | Uncertain | bone, animal | BONE, <br> ANIMAL |  |
| 1714 | 1 | 3 | Uncertain | flint | FLINT FLAKE |  |
| 1714 | 1 | 10 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 13 | Uncertain | glass | VESSEL |  |
| 2309 | 1 | 6 | Uncertain | iron | NAIL |  |
| 2309 | 1 | 1 | Post- <br> Medieval | glass | VESSEL |  |
| 2309 | 2 | 6 | Post- <br> Medieval | uncertain | BRICK/TILE |  |
| 2313 | 2 | 36 | Uncertain | tile, roof, <br> ceramic | BRICK/TILE | medieval/post-medieval |
| 2406 | 4 | 47 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 2515 | 1 | 35 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |

## Appendix 4: Excavation finds by context

Weight in grams

| Context | Count | Weight | Period | Material | Description | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | 1 | 229 | Uncertain | stone | SLATE |  |
| 1004 | 3 | 15 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1005 | 21 | 302 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1005 | 68 | 644 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1010 | 28 | 459 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1010 | 3 | 16 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1017 | 37 | 258 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1017 | 65 | 543 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1018 | 26 | 511 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | organic-tempered, ? ${ }^{\text {briquetage }}$ |
| 1018 | 23 | 131 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1020 | 7 | 59 | Uncertain | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1021 | 2 | 8 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | featureless |
| 1021 | 2 | 17 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1023 | 3 | 8 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1023 | 6 | 7 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1026 | 1 | 117 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1026 | 1 | 2 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1030 | 5 | 4 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1030 | 6 | 121 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1036 | 6 | 17 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1041 | 1 | 72 | Post Medieval | brick | BRICK | reused (mortared) |
| 1041 | 2 | 16 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1042 | 1 | 4 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1044 | 1 | 204 | Roman | slag | SLAG | light, vesicular |
| 1044 | 1 | 1 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1046 | 2 | 56 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1046 | 8 | 51 | Roman | slag | SLAG | light, vesicular |
| 1046 | 2 | 7 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | organic-tempered, briquetage, ?vessel rim |
| 1046 | 10 | 352 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1054 | 32 | 288 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1054 | 4 | 42 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1058 | 15 | 262 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1058 | 1 | 10 | Uncertain | iron | NAIL |  |
| 1058 | 4 | 25 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1060 | 2 | 83 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1060 | 1 | 6 | Uncertain | iron | UNCERTAIN | Possibly pyrites |
| 1060 | 20 | 220 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1061 | 10 | 186 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1061 | 33 | 429 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1068 | 1 | 42 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | featureless |
| 1068 | 3 | 22 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1069 | 2 | 6 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1072 | 1 | 3 | Uncertain | flint | BLADE | broken blade |
| 1072 | 1 | 94 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | organic-tempered, corner frag. from ?'Belgic brick' |
| 1072 | 82 | 1036 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1073 | 20 | 132 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1075 | 7 | 2665 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL | Mortaria |
| 1077 | 4 | 51 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1079 | 1 | 34 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | shell-tempered; tile? |
| 1079 | 2 | 7 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1084 | 1 | 9 | Post Medieval | glass | BOTTLE | post-med green bottle glass |
| 1084 | 3 | 207 | Uncertain | brick | BRICK | poorly wedged fabric - Roman? |
| 1089 | 5 | 80 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1089 | 8 | 80 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |


| Context | Count | Weight | Period | Material | Description | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1090 | 5 | 9 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1090 | 26 | 243 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1098 | 3 | 17 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1100 | 8 | 47 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1100 | 10 | 162 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1101 | 1 | 8 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1101 | 33 | 458 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1103 | 1 | 5 | Uncertain | flint | BLADE |  |
| 1103 | 6 | 238 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1103 | 106 | 2006 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL | Inc. obj. no. 100 ( $25 \times 866 \mathrm{~g}$ ) |
| 1109 | 20 | 387 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1109 | 1 | 50 | Uncertain | iron | UNCERTAIN | Obj. no. 103, sample no. 83 |
| 1109 | 1 | 4 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1110 | 1 | 14 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1111 | 3 | 67 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1111 | 28 | 445 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1117 | 49 | 1706 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1117 | 1 | 17 | Uncertain | iron | NAIL |  |
| 1117 | 1 | 3 | Uncertain | brick | UNCERTAIN | undiagnostic |
| 1117 | 82 | 1454 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1120 | 3 | 70 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1123 | 1 | 3 | Uncertain | iron | NAIL |  |
| 1123 | 16 | 111 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1124 | 2 | 17 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1130 | 4 | 77 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1132 | 1 | 38 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1135 | 16 | 385 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL | Inc. obj. no. 101 ( $15 \times 381 \mathrm{~g}$ ) |
| 1141 | 4 | 178 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1141 | 21 | 128 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1142 | 2 | 12 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1142 | 2 | 93 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | 1 ?loomweight fragment (oblique perforation); 1 corner frag. from ?'Belgic brick' |
| 1142 | 1 | 3 | Uncertain | flint | UNCERTAIN |  |
| 1142 | 36 | 237 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1144 | 1 | 7 | Uncertain | flint | BLADE | broken blade |
| 1144 | 3 | 36 | Uncertain | iron | NAIL | Nail, + 2 frags. |
| 1144 | 26 | 212 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1147 | 21 | 205 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1147 | 2 | 75 | Roman | tile, roof, ceramic | TILE | shelly |
| 1147 | 15 | 115 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1149 | 11 | 38 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1150 | 3 | 46 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1150 | 2 | 37 | Post Medieval | brick | BRICK |  |
| 1150 | 3 | 27 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1151 | 2 | 68 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1151 | 1 | 5 | Roman | copper alloy | BROOCH | Object no. 102: brooch pin |
| 1151 | 4 | 54 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1153 | 2 | 4 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1153 | 1 | 2 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1155 | 2 | 4 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1157 | 39 | 218 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1157 | 1 | 6 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | featureless |
| 1157 | 32 | 172 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1164 | 1 | 1 | Uncertain | flint | UNCERTAIN | broken flake |
| 1164 | 7 | 37 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1168 | 10 | 72 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1169 | 3 | 5 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1169 | 1 | 16 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | featureless |
| 1169 | 5 | 62 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |


| Context | Count | Weight | Period | Material | Description | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1173 | 6 | 51 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1178 | 1 | 8 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1182 | 6 | 13 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1185 | 2 | 10 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1185 | 3 | 14 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1189 | 5 | 18 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1191 | 5 | 12 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1196 | 8 | 154 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1198 | 1 | 373 | Roman | tile, roof, ceramic | TILE | shelly; ?tegula |
| 1200 | 1 | 35 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1202 | 22 | 650 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1202 | 1 | 15 | Roman | clay, burnt/fired | UNCERTAIN | featureless |
| 1202 | 11 | 279 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1215 | 1 | 1 | Uncertain | flint | BLADE | broken blade |
| 1215 | 12 | 18 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1215 | 1 | 1 | Uncertain | slag | SLAG | light, vesicular |
| 1215 | 7 | 18 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1222 | 7 | 118 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1224 | 5 | 48 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1225 | 2 | 6 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1227 | 18 | 549 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1227 | 2 | 135 | Roman | tile, roof, ceramic | TILE | grog-tempered |
| 1227 | 17 | 377 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1228 | 2 | 296 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1228 | 2 | 18 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1232 | 5 | 132 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1232 | 1 | 44 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1234 | 6 | 100 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1238 | 3 | 32 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1238 | 27 | 371 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1242 | 3 | 13 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1242 | 6 | 56 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1244 | 1 | 22 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1244 | 2 | 16 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1246 | 7 | 113 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1247 | 1 | 10 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1247 | 6 | 61 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1248 | 1 | 3 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1248 | 4 | 25 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1250 | 4 | 12 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1251 | 4 | 19 | Roman | bone, animal | BONE, ANIMAL |  |
| 1251 | 10 | 88 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1252 | 1 | 15 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1262 | 4 | 13 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1268 | 1 | 98 | Medieval | tile, roof, ceramic | TILE |  |
| 1270 | 1 | 3 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1278 | 2 | 8 | Roman | pottery, RB | VESSEL |  |
| 1279 | 1 | 81 | Post Medieval | tile, floor, ceramic | TILE | field drain |
| 1279 | 11 | 404 | Post Medieval | pottery, Post med | VESSEL |  |

## Appendix 5: Finds totals by material type for all investigations

| Material | Access Road | Evaluation | Excavation | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pottery | 34 / 928 | 84 / 1,720 | 1050 / 15,405 | 1168 / 18,053 |
| Romano-British | $29 / 795$ | 84 / 1,720 | 1039 / 15,001 | 1152 / 17,516 |
| Post-Roman | 5/133 | - | 11/404 | 16/537 |
| CBM | 1/131* | 7 / 6,181 | 14/1,081 | 22 / 7,393 |
| Fired Clay | 2/91 | - | $38 / 826$ | 40/917 |
| Worked Flint | $2 / 18$ | $1 / 3$ | 6 / 20 | 9 / 41 |
| Stone | $3 / 6,286$ | $4 / 296$ | $1 / 229$ | 8 / 6,811 |
| Glass | 2 / 1,044 | $2 / 14$ | $1 / 9$ | 5 / 1,067 |
| Slag | - | $1 / 20$ | 10 / 256 | 11 / 276 |
| Metalwork (no. objects) | - | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| Copper alloy | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Iron | - | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| Animal Bone | $33 / 231$ | 18/103 | $411 \dagger / 7,209$ | 462 / 7,543 |
| Marine shell | $1 / 2$ | - | - | $1 / 2$ |

(number / weight in grams)

* does not include CBM recovered during building recording
$\dagger$ note that this is the number of fragments; bone tables (see below) give the number of bones

Appendix 6: Pottery totals by ware type

| Period | Ware Type | No. | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Romano-British | Samian | 35 | 449 |
|  | Amphora | 1 | 66 |
|  | Nene Valley colour coat | 7 | 28 |
|  | Oxfordshire colour coat | 1 | 3 |
|  | British glazed ware | 2 | 15 |
|  | 'London ware' type | 20 | 132 |
|  | Shelly ware | 444 | 5866 |
|  | Grog-tempered ware | 240 | 3442 |
|  | Reduced sandy wares | 314 | 3466 |
|  | Oxidised ware | 24 | 206 |
|  | Verulamium region whiteware | 12 | 2885 |
|  | Oxon whiteware | 1 | 64 |
|  | Misc. whitewares | 51 | 894 |
|  | sub-total RB | 1152 | 17,516 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Medieval | Coarsewares | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Post-medieval | Redware | 2 | 24 |
|  | Coarse whiteware | 1 | 14 |
|  | Creamware | 1 | 1 |
|  | Yellow ware | 10 | 390 |
|  | sub-total post-med | 14 | 429 |
|  | Totals | 1051 | 15,426 |

## Appendix 7: Animal Bone condition as a percentage of total fragments

| Classification | Percentage of assemblage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unidentified | $54 \%$ |
| Gnawed | - |
| Loose teeth | $14 \%$ |
| Burnt | - |
| Measurable | $12 \%$ |
| Age-able | $13 \%$ |
| Butchered | $1 \%$ |
| Total no. of fragments | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ |

## Appendix 8: Species present as a percentage of identified fragments

| Classification | Percentage of assemblage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Horse | $5 \%$ |
| Cattle | $85 \%$ |
| Sheep/ Goat | $9 \%$ |
| Pig | - |
| Dog/ Fox | $1 \%$ |
| Identified fragments | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |

## Appendix 9: Ecofact quantification

| Feature | Context | Sample | Vol. (litres) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flot } \\ & (\mathrm{ml}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Root } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Grain | Chaff | Weed seeds | Charcoal | Other | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Romano-British Phase I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1255 | 1252 | 78 | 8 | 40 | 90 | B | A | C | - | - | - |
| F1299 | 1169 | 73 | 18 | 60 | 50 | B | B | - | - | - | - |
| F1304 | 1157 | 83 | 10 | 50 | 80 | C | C | C | - | - | - |
| Romano-British Phase II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1280 | 1005 | 50 | 18 | 60 | 80 | C | C | C | C | - | - |
| F1280 | 1072 | 55 | 20 | 175 | 95 | C | B | - | - | - |  |
| F1280 | 1074 | 51 | 1 | 10 | 99 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| F1280 | 1076 | 52 | 0.8 | 40 | 90 | C | - | - | - | - | - |
| F1280 | 1078 | 53 | 3 | 40 | 90 | C | - | - | - | - | - |
| F1280 | 1124 | 56 | 20 | 250 | 98 | C | - | C | C | - | - |
| F1291 | 1010 | 69 | 20 | 175 | 99 | C | C | - | - | - | - |
| F1291 | 1011 | 70 | 20 | 200 | 98 | C | A | C | - | - | P |
| F1291 | 1053 | 61 | 7 | 2 | 90 | C | - | - | - | - | - |
| F1291 | 1054 | 62 | 9 | 5 | 3 | C | - | - | C | - | - |
| F1291 | 1149 | 68 | 10 | 15 | 5 | C | B | - | C | - | - |
| F1291 | 1150 | 67 | 17 | 10 | 60 | C | B | - | - | - | - |
| F1291 | 1151 | 66 | 9 | 15 | 80 | C | C | - | - | - | - |
| F1293 | 1117 | 75 | 18 | 30 | 4 | C | B | A | C | - | P |
| F1295 | 1135 | 58 | 10 | 25 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| F1295 | 1234 | 82 | 20 | 50 | 60 | B | B | B | B | - | P C |
| F1303 | 1185 | 74 | 8 | 50 | 90 | B | A | - | - | - | - |
| F1308 | 1202 | 59 | 20 | 20 | 90 | C | C | - | - | - | - |
| Romano-British Phase III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1292 | 1057 | 77 | 7 | 40 | 80 | - | - | C | - | - | - |
| F1292 | 1058 | 76 | 10 | 40 | 60 | - | - | - | C | - | - |
| F1296 | 1103 | 57 | 9 | 25 | 60 | A | A | A | - | - | P |
| F1296 | 1228 | 79 | 20 | 20 | 3 | B | B | A | C |  |  |
| F1296 | 1231 | 80 | 20 | 15 | 3 | A | A | C | C | - | - |
| F1296 | 1232 | 81 | 20 | 30 | 3 | A | A* | C | C | moll-t(C) | - |
| F1296 | 1402 | 1 | 40 | 60 | 70 | C | C | C | C | moll-t(C) | - |
| F1302 | 1196 | 65 | 10 | 25 | 95 | - | C | - | - | - | - |
| F1302 | 1197 | 64 | 7 | 10 | 80 | C | B | - | - | - | - |
| F1302 | 1198 | 63 | 7 | 5 | 80 | - | A | C | - | - | - |
| Medieval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1282 | 1250 | 60 | 20 | 175 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Undated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1192 | 1193 | 72 | 20 | 30 | 80 | - | C | - | C | - | - |
| 1192 | 1194 | 71 | 9 | 15 | 85 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

KEY: $\quad \mathrm{A}^{* *}=$ exceptional, $\mathrm{A}^{*}=30+$ items, $\mathrm{A}=\geq 10$ items, $\mathrm{B}=9-5$ items, $\mathrm{C}=<5$ items; Moll- $\mathrm{t}=$ terrestrial molluscs; (Analysis) $\mathrm{C}=$ charcoal, $\mathrm{P}=$ plant
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Plate 6: South facing view of Ditch F1285
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Plate 8: North east facing view of Ditch 2504
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