

wa-archaeology.com

DESK BASED ASSESSMENTS  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION  
GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY  
TOPOGRAPHICAL AND LANDSCAPE SURVEY  
HISTORIC BUILDING RECORDING  
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES



**UNITED UTILITIES**

**NETWORK MAINS FROM QUARRY HILL TO STAINBURN AND COCKERMOUTH,  
CUMBRIA**

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESK BASED ASSESSMENT AND WALKOVER SURVEY**

**August 2015**

**DATE ISSUED:** August 2015  
**JOB NUMBER:** CP11437  
**OASIS REFERENCE:** **wardella2-22083**  
**REPORT NUMBER:** V2  
**GRID REFERENCE:** NY 02410 29347 to NY 22008 41202

## UNITED UTILITIES

## NETWORK MAINS FROM QUARRY HILL TO STAINBURN AND COCKERMOUTH, CUMBRIA

### Archaeological Desk Based Assessment and Walkover Survey

**August 2015**

#### PREPARED BY:




---



---

#### APPROVED BY:



---

*This report has been prepared by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of the Contract with the Client. The report is confidential to the Client and Wardell Armstrong Archaeology accepts no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report may be made known.*

*No part of this document may be reproduced without the prior written approval of Wardell Armstrong Archaeology.*



Wardell Armstrong Archaeology is the trading name of Wardell Armstrong LLP, Registered in England No. OC307138.

Registered office: Sir Henry Doulton House, Forge Lane, Etruria, Stoke-on-Trent, ST1 5BD, United Kingdom

UK Offices: Stoke-on-Trent, Cardiff, Carlisle, Edinburgh, Greater Manchester, London, Newcastle upon Tyne, Sheffield, Taunton, Truro, West Bromwich. International Offices: Almaty, Moscow

DESK BASED ASSESSMENTS  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION  
GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY  
TOPOGRAPHIC AND LANDSCAPE SURVEY  
HISTORIC BUILDING RECORDING  
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

## CONTENTS

SUMMARY.....	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	6
1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
1.1 Circumstances of the Project.....	7
1.2 Location, Topography and Geology.....	7
1.3 Statutorily and Non-statutorily Designated Sites.....	10
1.4 Planning Background and Legislative Framework.....	11
2 METHODOLOGY.....	13
2.1 Desk Based Research.....	13
2.2 Walkover Survey.....	15
3 BACKGROUND.....	18
3.1 Historical Background.....	18
3.2 Map Regression Analysis.....	25
3.3 Aerial Photographs and LiDAR.....	28
3.4 Previous Archaeological Work.....	29
3.5 Ground Investigations.....	31
4 WALKOVER SURVEY RESULTS.....	32
4.1 Introduction.....	32
4.2 Walkover Survey Results.....	32
5 ASSESSMENT OF RESULTS.....	34
5.1 Introduction.....	34
5.2 Significance.....	34
5.3 The Lake District National Park.....	35
5.4 Roman Roads and the Relationship to the Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site..	36
5.5 Late Prehistoric and Roman Environs of Papcastle.....	38
5.6 Important Hedgerows.....	38
5.7 Medieval Field Systems.....	38
5.8 The Mills South of Cockermouth.....	39
5.9 Individual Heritage Assets of Local Significance.....	39
5.10 Risk of Encountering as yet Unknown Remains.....	42
6 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	43
6.1 Introduction.....	43
6.2 Further Archaeological Evaluation.....	43
7 BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	45

7.1	<b>Primary Sources</b> .....	45
7.2	<b>Secondary Sources</b> .....	45
APPENDIX 1: FIGURES .....		49
APPENDIX 2: GAZETTEER OF ASSETS .....		67

## **FIGURES (APPENDIX 1)**

Figure 1: Route of Pipeline.

Figure 2: Route of walkover survey and geophysical surveys.

Figure 3: Location of heritage assets in the south west area of the pipeline route.

Figure 4: Location of heritage assets in the central area of the pipeline route.

Figure 5: Location of heritage assets in the north east area of the pipeline route.

Figure 6: Historic places along pipeline route.

Figure 7: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the south west area of the pipeline route.

Figure 8: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the central area of the pipeline route.

Figure 9: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the north east area of the pipeline route.

Figure 10: Donald’s Map of Cumberland, 1770.

Figure 11: Turnpike roads and the Cockermouth to Workington railway.

Figure 12: First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping of 1867, south west area of pipeline route.

Figure 13: First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping of 1867, central area of pipeline route.

Figure 14: First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping of 1867, north east area of pipeline route.

Figure 15: Results of geophysical surveys conducted around Papcastle.

Figure 16: Blindcrake medieval field system.

## **PLATES**

Plate 1: Assets 23, 24 and 25, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping.....39

Plate 2: Asset 30, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping .....40

Plate 3: Asset 204, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping .....40

Plate 4: Asset 343, Google Earth imagery.....41

Plate 5: Asset 375, Google Earth imagery.....41

## SUMMARY

Wardell Armstrong Archaeology was commissioned by United Utilities, to undertake an archaeological desk based assessment and walkover survey across land due to be impacted upon by the installation of a new pipeline in west Cumbria. The land affected was predominantly along a linear route beginning at the reservoir east of Stainburn (NGR NY 02410 29347) and heading north-eastwards to the reservoir west of Boltongate (NGR NY 22008 41202). Additional areas of land to the south and west of Cockermouth were also affected by the proposed scheme, and covered by this project. Other work on the scheme is being undertaken by Oxford Archaeology North and CFA Archaeology Ltd.

This work was undertaken to achieve a full understanding of the nature of the existing resource regarding the geographical, topographical, archaeological and historical context of the 100m wide corridor of the proposed approximate 25km pipeline route (the study area), in order to provide an assessment of the archaeological potential of the route.

In total, 515 heritage assets have been identified from within the study area. Recommendations are provided for further evaluatory work.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Wardell Armstrong Archaeology (WAA) thanks United Utilities for commissioning the project, especially Anna Smith. Additionally WAA are grateful to Peter Bascombe and Neal Saloman for arranging access to the land for the walkover survey.

Thanks are also extended to Mark Brennand and Jeremy Parsons for supplying the HER data, and to staff at the Cumbria Archive Centres of Whitehaven and Carlisle for all help with the research. Additionally, WAA thank Caron Newman for guidance on the historical research.

The desk-based research was undertaken by Cat Peters and Ed Johnson.

The walkover survey was undertaken by Ed Johnson and Helen Phillips.

The report was written by Dave Jackson, Cat Peters, Richard Newman and Ed Johnson, and the figures were produced by Adrian Bailey and Helen Phillips. The project was managed by Richard Newman, WAA Post Excavation Manager, who also edited the report.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Circumstances of the Project

1.1.1 The archaeological work was undertaken in response to United Utilities' proposed pipeline route in West Cumbria. The route discussed in this report forms one of a wider network of routes, with other routes being studied by Oxford Archaeology North and CFA Archaeology Ltd.

1.1.2 The land affected was predominantly along a linear route of approximately 25km, beginning at the reservoir east of Stainburn (NGR NY 02410 29347), and heading north-eastwards to the reservoir west of Boltongate (NGR NY 22008 41202). Additional areas of land to the south and west of Cockermouth were also affected by the proposed scheme, and covered by this project. The route is predominantly outside the Lake District National Park and thus come under Cumbria County Council as the historic environment curatorial authority. The route briefly crosses into the Lake District National Park near Blindcrake (Figure 1).

### 1.2 Location, Topography and Geology

1.2.1 **Location:** the study area comprises a 100m corridor, extending from the reservoir to the east of Stainburn in West Cumbria, north-eastwards to the reservoir west of Boltongate to the north-east of Bothel. Cockermouth is the main settlement in the vicinity, located on the main A66 route between Penrith and Workington, and parts of the route also extend through parts of Cockermouth and its immediate hinterland (Figure 1).

1.2.2 **Topography:** the western part of the pipeline route extends from the reservoir to the east of Stainburn and follows the road to Clifton, north of the A66 and south of the River Cocker, where it bifurcates. Both routes head north of the road at Clifton and pass through a modern housing estate to the west of Clifton. Passing by the church before merging, they then separate again more widely, the southernmost part running to the south of the A66 and heading north of Little Clifton to Bridgefoot, and the northernmost heading through fields east of Clifton and merging with the southern route at Bridgefoot. From Bridgefoot, the route separates once more, the northern one following the course of the road to Brigham, and the southern one running across fields to the south, before joining together again at the junction with the A66 to the

north of Greysouthern. Here again, the route diverts the northern following a route to the south of the A66, and the southern one crossing fields to the south, before joining again at Broughton Cross. To the east of Broughton Cross, the route diverts into three, traversing fields to the north of Brigham and south of the A66, before merging once more to the north of East House Farm and south-west of Papcastle Bridge. Three shorter routes then extend south-eastwards, the eastern one cutting through Cockermouth, though south of the historic medieval centre, the central one passing through fields to the west of the A66 before crossing it and ending on the A10586 where it meets the A66 at the roundabout. The westernmost one cutting through fields to the west of the A66 and finishing at Simonscales Beck, west of the A5086, and to the south of the A66.

1.2.3 The main route, from Papcastle Bridge crosses the River Cocker and heads north-east, north and north-west of Papcastle and the A595 through fields. It bisects the A594 and heading through fields again, to the west and north of Bridekirk, before heading east-north-east to the line of the A595 road. It then the A594, first to its west, then crosses to the east, just within the Lake District Park, crossing to the west again after the junction with the B5301. At this point, the route deviates from the road, heading north-north-eastwards through fields north of Simpson Close to Threapland Lees, where it crosses a minor road and passes through several more fields, before crossing the road between Bothel and Plumbland. From there the route heads north-eastwards, traversing land between Kirkland Guards and Overgates and the A595 and passing through fields to the north of High Woodnook, thence heading north of Low Mill before crossing the River Ellen. East of the River Ellen, the route heads eastwards through fields again to the north of Newbiggin Wood and finishes at reservoirs to the south of the B5299, west of Boltongate.

1.2.4 **Geology:** between the Stainburn and Cockermouth part of the route, the solid geology comprises rocks of the Pennine Upper Coal Measures formation and Yoredale Group consisting of limestone, sandstone, siltstone and mudstone and Dination Rocks (limestone with subordinate sandstone and argillaceous rocks). The area to the south of Cockermouth comprises predominantly interbedded siltstone and mudstone of the Bitter Beck formation. Between Papcastle Bridge and Bothel, the solid geology comprises Dination Rocks, with



the area to the north of Bothel being Yoredale Group rocks (British Geological Survey, <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html>). The drift geology of the area comprises predominantly alluvium in the valleys, and glacial till elsewhere. At Stainburn there is alluvium (clay, silt and sand) with some areas of till, with alluvium occurring around the Little Clifton to Cockermouth area, where till dominates. North of Papcastle Bridge, the area is largely comprised of till (diamiction), with some peat to the south-west of Redmain and around Bothel (British Geological Survey: <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html>).

- 1.2.5 **Historic Landscape Character:** the proposed pipeline route passes through a region of west Cumbria which can be defined into two historic landscape character areas, character area 18: Ellen and Marron Valleys and character area 47: West Cumberland Plain (Cumbria County Council 2009).
- 1.2.6 The Ellen and Marron Valleys area is dominated by a mix of large and small nucleations, mostly medieval in origin, retaining medieval plan forms. This pattern has been exaggerated to some extent by 19th century industrial-related development. The fieldscape is dominated by former arable commonfields (ibid, 62). In this character area, hedgerows dominate the field boundaries. Important hedges are protected under the Hedgerow Regulations (1997). The legacy rating of both character areas is '*a mixed pattern of modern and older enclosures but with a more traditional settlement pattern, strong legibility of landscape elements of medieval origin*' (Cumbria County Council 2009, 45).
- 1.2.7 The West Cumberland Plain area character is dominated by large urban and industrial developments, especially around Workington, Whitehaven, Cleator Moor and Egremont. Much of the field pattern has been disrupted by modern development, however, where historic patterns are still visible, former common fields and areas of ancient enclosure can be recognised (Cumbria County Council 2009, 105).

### 1.3 Statutorily and Non-statutorily Designated Sites

- 1.3.1 The study area contains 11 heritage assets that are afforded statutory protection, such as scheduled monuments and listed buildings (Table 1). In this instance, these are all listed buildings.
- 1.3.2 Development will not have a direct impact on these designated buildings, although their setting will require consideration during the construction phase of the development programme. Indirect impacts on the settings of the listed buildings were taken into account during the walkover assessment.
- 1.3.3 In addition, the study area partially lies within the boundary of the Lake District National Park, a statutorily protected landscape. As a result all extant non-designated heritage assets within the National Park are considered to have an enhanced significance, as indicated by section 115 of the National Planning Policy Framework. The area covered by the Lake District National Park is being proposed for inscription as a World Heritage Site in 2017 and thus the significance of assets has been considered in relation to the stated Outstanding Universal Values (OUV) for the proposed World Heritage Site (see below 1.3.4).
- 1.3.4 The proposed study area does not contain any heritage assets that are afforded protection through non-statutory designation, such as Registered Battlefields or Registered Parks and Gardens. Section 139 of the National Planning Policy Framework, however, indicates that where a heritage asset is pending assessment for designation it should be regarded as being of the same significance as designated heritage asset. The Lake District National Park, under the banner of the English Lake District, has been put forward as a World Heritage Site by the UK government and is to be considered for designating as such by UNESCO in 2017 (LDWHPP 2013, 2). The significance of any heritage asset with regard to World Heritage Site status is dependent on that asset's contribution to the Outstanding Universal Values (OUV) that define the particular World Heritage Site within which they are situated. The OUV for the

Lake District is defined within the Technical Evaluation of the Future World Heritage Nomination for the English Lake District (LDWHPP 2013).

#### 1.4 **Planning Background and Legislative Framework**

1.4.1 National planning policies on the conservation of the historic environment are set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which was published by the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) in March 2012. Sites of archaeological or cultural heritage significance that are valued components of the historic environment and merit consideration in planning decisions are grouped as ‘heritage assets’; ‘heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource’, the conservation of which can bring ‘wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits...’ (DCLG 2012, Section 12.126). The policy framework states that the ‘significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting’ should be understood in order to assess the potential impact (DCLG 2012, Section 12.128). In addition to standing remains, heritage assets of archaeological interest can comprise sub-surface remains and, therefore, assessments should be undertaken for a site that ‘includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest’ (DCLG 2012, Section 12.128).

1.4.2 NPPF draws a distinction between designated heritage assets and other remains considered to be of lesser significance; ‘great weight should be given to the asset’s conservation. The more important the asset, the greater the weight should be; substantial harm to or loss of a grade II listed building, park or garden should be exceptional. Substantial harm to or loss of designated heritage assets of the highest significance, including scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, battlefields, grade I and II\* listed buildings and grade I and II\* registered parks and gardens and World Heritage Sites, should be wholly exceptional’ (DCLG 2012, Section 12.132). Therefore, preservation in-situ is the preferred course in relation to such sites unless exception circumstances exist.

1.4.3 It is normally accepted that non-designated sites will be preserved by record, in accordance with their significance and the magnitude of the harm to or loss of the site as a result of the proposals, to ‘avoid or minimise conflict between

the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposals' (DCLG 2012, Section 12.129). Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest will also be subject to the policies reserved for designated heritage assets if they are of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments (DCLG 2012; Section 12.132).

- 1.4.4 In addition to the provisions of the NPPF the historic environment is protected within the *Hedgerow Regulations 1997*. In these regulations, hedgerows can be considered 'important' for archaeological or historical purposes if they contain or are part of an archaeological site or formed a boundary of an estate that pre-dates 1600. Additionally they can also be defined as 'important' if they are; "recorded in a document held at the relevant date at a Record Office as an integral part of a field system pre-dating the Inclosure Acts" or are "part of, or visibly related to, any building or other feature associated with such a system, and that system" or are part "of a pattern which is recorded in a document prepared before the relevant date by a local planning authority, within the meaning of the 1990 Act, for the purposes of development control within the authority's area, as a key landscape characteristic".
- 1.4.5 The implication of the *Hedgerow Regulations* is that any hedgerow that can be shown on a document held at the local archives to be part of a field system pre-dating 1850 can be regarded as an important hedgerow for archaeological and historical purposes.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Desk Based Research

2.1.1 The archaeological assessment has focused on the study area as defined in the project brief, although information for the immediate environs has been considered in order to provide an essential contextual background. The assessment was carried out in accordance with the relevant ClfA guidelines (ClfA 2014, *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Desk-based Assessments*; ClfA 2014 *Code of Conduct*). The principal sources of information consulted were historical and modern maps, although published and unpublished secondary sources were also reviewed. The following repositories were consulted during the data-gathering process:

- ***Cumbria County Council Historic Environment Record (CCC HER)***: the CCC HER holds data on the historic environment for Cumbria outside of the Lake District and Yorkshire Dales national parks. It includes listed buildings, all known archaeological sites, along with the location and results of previous archaeological interventions in a linked GIS and database format. It includes an extensive collection of aerial photographs. The CCC HER was consulted to establish the extent of sites of archaeological and historic interest within the study area, outside of the Lake District National Park.
- ***Lake District National Park Historic Environment Record (LDNP HER)***: the LDNP HER holds data on the historic environment within the Lake District National Park
- ***Cumbria Record Office, Carlisle (CRO(C))***: holds an extensive series of mapping for Carlisle and Allerdale Districts, as well as a collection of secondary sources about the area.
- ***Cumbria Record Office, Whitehaven (CRO(W))***: holds an extensive series of mapping for Copeland District, as well as a collection of secondary sources about the area.
- ***Local Studies, Carlisle Library (CL)***: the local studies catalogue of Carlisle Library was searched for information relating to the study area and some secondary sources were consulted.

- **English Heritage on-line databases:** the following English Heritage on-line databases were consulted which provide information on both designated and non-designated heritage assets, PastScape, Heritage Gateway, National Heritage List for England and Images of England.
- **Other on-line resources:** the following on-line resources were consulted with regard to comprehensive aerial photographic coverage and mapped designation extents: Google Earth, the Environment Agency's Lidar dataset, the Portable Antiquities Scheme and MAGIC.
- **Wardell Armstrong Archaeology:** WA Archaeology has an extensive archive of secondary sources relevant to the study area, incorporating both published work and unpublished client reports. In particular it holds unpublished records of geophysical surveys undertaken in the vicinity of Papcastle.

2.1.2 Modern aerial photographs were consulted through the Google Earth images dating to 2003 to 2011. Recent and more historic air photographs (both oblique and vertical) were consulted in the Cumbria County Council collection. Provision was not allowed within this rapid desk based assessment for direct consultation of the air photographs held in the National Monuments Record, but this is not considered to be a deficiency, as the National Monuments Record air photograph collection for the vicinity has been analysed systematically and extensively by Historic England. The results of such work are provided in PastScape (<http://www.pastscape.org.uk>), Historic England's on-line database for the National Monuments Record. These results include the RCHME's 1990s air photographic coverage and analysis of parishes in the north of the study area, the 2001 Skiddaw Massif Project which covered Blindcrake parish and more recent National Monument Mapping Programme work which has covered much of the route and combines the analysis of both LiDAR and air photographic imagery. The Skiddaw Massif Project included coverage of air photographs from the Cambridge University Collection of Aerial Photography. The other online resource for aerial photographic coverage which was consulted was 'Britain from Above' (<http://www.britainfromabove.org.uk>).

2.1.3 The Environment Agency's LiDAR (light detecting and ranging airborne survey) coverage of the route was consulted.

2.1.4 In addition to PastScape, Historic England's other digital databases were consulted including the National Heritage List (<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list>).

2.1.5 Only heritage assets within the study area have been included in the Gazetteer (Appendix 2; Figures 3-5).

## 2.2 Walkover Survey

2.2.1 The survey consisted of a level 1 survey as set out in the English Heritage guidelines for landscape survey (English Heritage 2007). A level one survey will typically consist of a core monument record, a written account of any findings and any survey drawings which contribute to the survey. A level one survey is the least complex of surveys and will be typically undertaken when the '*aim is to provide essential core information to agreed standards*' (English Heritage 2007).

## 2.3 The Archive

2.3.1 A digital copy of the report will be deposited at Cumbria County Council's Historic Environment Record, Kendal, where viewing will be available on request.

2.3.2 Wardell Armstrong Archaeology Ltd and Cumbria County Council support the **Online Access to the Index of archaeological investigations (OASIS)** project. This project aims to provide an online index and access to the extensive and expanding body of grey literature created as a result of developer-funded archaeological fieldwork. As a result, details of the results of this study will be made available by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology, as a part of this national project. This project has the unique identifier of wardella2-22083.

## 2.4 Assessment Methodology

2.4.1 The results of the assessment have identified the significance of the heritage assets within the study area, against a set of definable and qualitative criteria. These are shown and explained in Table 1.

<b>Significance</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Asset types and justification</b>	<b>Preferred response to negative impact</b>
International	Non-statutorily designated heritage assets	World Heritage Site (NPPF s132)	Avoid negative impact where asset contributes to the WHS's defined outstanding universal values (NPPF s 138)
National	Statutorily designated heritage assets.	Scheduled monuments, grade I and II* listed buildings (NPPF s132).	Avoid negative impact.
National	Non-statutorily designated heritage assets.	Registered battlefields, grade I and II* Registered Parks and Gardens (NPPF s132).	Avoid negative impact.
National	Non-designated heritage assets of demonstrable equivalence to a scheduled monument (NPPF s138).	Assets where assessment for designation is pending, assets that have been assessed as being capable of designation but have not been designated at the SoS discretion, assets worthy of designation but which are outside the scope of the 1979 Act (NPPF s139).	Avoid negative impact.
District or County (higher)	Statutorily designated heritage assets.	Grade II listed buildings (NPPF s132).	Limit negative impact (avoid substantial harm) and mitigate.
District or County (higher)	Non-statutorily designated heritage assets.	Conservation area (NPPF s127), grade II registered park and garden (NPPF s132).	Limit negative impact (avoid substantial harm) and mitigate.
District or County (lesser)	Non-designated heritage assets within a national park or AONB.	Any extant heritage assets (NPPF s115) that are not otherwise given a higher significance.	Limit negative impact and mitigate.
District or County (lesser)	Non-designated heritage assets.	Heritage assets placed on a local planning authority list (NPPG).	Limit negative impact and mitigate.
District or County (lesser)	Non-designated heritage assets.	Any area of potential listed in a local plan (NPPG).	Limit negative impact and mitigate.
District or County (lesser)	Non-designated heritage assets.	Any hedgerow that can be considered, for historic reasons, important, under the provision of the Hedgerow Regulations Act (Secretary of State 1997).	Limit negative impact and mitigate.



Significance	Designation	Asset types and justification	Preferred response to negative impact
Local	Non-designated heritage assets.	Any extant heritage assets outside of a national park or AONB.	Mitigate.
Negligible	Non-designated heritage assets.	Heritage assets recorded in the HER that are no longer extant, individual find spots.	No action.

*Table 1: Definition of Heritage Asset Significance*

2.4.2 The identification of asset significance allows areas of particular archaeological concern to be identified.

2.4.3 The assessment of significance and the nature of the historic environment resource are used to inform the need for further evaluatory works to clarify the presence, character and state of preservation of those heritage assets that are believed to survive only as buried remains or earthworks. Recommendations with regard to these further evaluatory works are made in section 6.2.

### 3 BACKGROUND

#### 3.1 Historical Background

3.1.1 The following section provides an historical context to the present study, and is considered by period as detailed in Table 3 below. Mentioned heritage assets, have numbers given in brackets, these relate to the Gazetteer where the assets are summarised (Appendix 2), and are mapped in Figures 3-5.

Period	Date Range
Prehistoric	Pre AD 43
Romano-British	AD 43 – AD 410
Early Medieval	AD 410 – AD 1066
Late Medieval	AD 1066 – AD 1540
Post-medieval	AD 1540 – c 1750
Industrial Period	c. AD1750 – 1914
Modern	Post 1914

*Table 3: Summary of British archaeological periods and date ranges*

3.1.2 This historical background is compiled mostly from secondary sources, and the records consulted during the desk-based assessment. It is intended only as a summary of historical developments around the study area.

3.1.3 ***The Pipeline Route/ general historical overview:*** the route traverses a landscape for which the basic structure had been established in the medieval period. It crosses a series of townships that had been established by the Middle Ages. The western part of the route passes through the township of Stainburn within the parish of Workington, which is likely to have Anglo Saxon origins. The township of Clifton, also within the parish of Workington, was enclosed prior to 1770, as was the area around the township of the adjacent township of Greysouthern, within the parish of Brigham. The route then passes through the township of Brigham, before extending into the medieval planned town of Cockermouth, first referenced in 1150 (Armstrong et al 1950, 361). North of the Cocker, and west of Cockermouth, the main part of the route crosses into the township of Papcastle, in the parish of Bridekirk, before passing through the historic township of Bridekirk, itself a nucleated settlement first mentioned in documents in 1210 (Armstrong et al 1950, 272), though the association with St Bridget suggests the church foundation may be much earlier. The route continues north-eastwards through the township of Dovenby, also in Bridekirk parish,

before it passes into the parish of Isel. To the north-east, the route passes briefly through the township and parish of Plumbland, before traversing the townships of Bothel and Threapland within the parish of Torpenhow. North of Bothel, the route passes through the township of Blennerhasset and Kirkland, first referenced in 1386 and into the township of Torpenhow itself. The far north-eastern extent of the route, historically, lies within the parish of Bolton, and the township of Low Bolton, first referenced in 1296 (Armstrong 1950).

- 3.1.4 The medieval landscape structure so clearly visible, especially to the north of Cockermouth (Cumbria County Council 2009, 45), overlies earlier landscapes, elements of which still survive. Air photographic survey and geophysics reveal traces of earlier Roman and late prehistoric landscape organisation and settlement, the structure of which is only occasionally discernible within the later landscape. The most striking surviving element of these earlier landscapes is the Roman road which later became the A595.
- 3.1.5 **Prehistoric Period:** known Mesolithic activity in Cumbria is focused on coastal, estuarine, riverine and lacustrine locations (Hodgson and Brennand 2006, 25) and the Derwent valley may be an area which will produce evidence of Mesolithic occupation. The earliest evidence for cultivation in Cumbria, at around 4000BC, lies close to the coast and in the Eden Valley. Evidence from inland is more limited, but points towards habitual use of valleys as important routeways. The valley of the River Derwent may have been one such routeway. Upland areas were exploited for their summer pasture, with both wild and domesticated animals retreating to more sheltered areas in the autumn months. The ripening of crops and fruits probably influenced the timing of these movements (Barrowclough 2010, 222-223).
- 3.1.6 Evidence for local Bronze Age activity in the area comes primarily in the form of metalwork. A small cast copper alloy unlooped palstave axe with a very short, flared blade, dating from the Middle Bronze Age (1500-1150 BC) was found in the Bridekirk area in 2010 (LANCUM-D724A4). In addition other finds include a twisted gold armlet from Eaglesfield near Cockermouth and a looped spearhead from Blindbothel, both of supposed Irish tradition (Barrowclough 2010, 230). Further evidence includes a stone axe-hammer, found south-west of Cockermouth (Barrowclough 2010, 146). These stray finds may indicate greater links between Bronze Age communities across the Irish Sea, rather than with communities on the mainland to the east, as crossing the sea rather than the land was easier at this time. Although not much is known about

the later prehistoric period in the area of the proposed pipeline, research around Papcastle has revealed probable pre-Roman structures in the vicinity of the later Roman fort and attached civilian settlement of *Derventio* (Figure 15).

- 3.1.7 **Roman period:** one of the key tasks of the Roman occupying forces was to provide safe routes for their troops to travel across. Roads were an important part of the early infrastructure. Forts were established in north-western Cumbria at Carlisle, Old Carlisle (near Wigton) and Papcastle, with a road, the modern A595 route, established to link them. Whilst much of the modern A595 follows the exact course of the Roman road, there are significant departures especially where the route was altered in the medieval period to pass through medieval settlements. This is particularly true around Bothel where the route of the Roman road lies to the west of the A595. Part of this route can be clearly seen on Google Earth™ air photographs (Figure 5). It is likely that the pipeline route will bisect the former course of the Roman road to the north of Bothel (Asset 508).
- 3.1.8 It is thought that Papcastle may have been at a crossroads of four main routes, another of which may have run close to the modern A66 east towards Keswick (Shotter 2004, 76). Numerous finds of the Roman period have been discovered in the Papcastle area, many of which were encountered after the floods in July 2010 (Portable Antiquities Scheme online database). The remains found in the vicinity of Papcastle that have been subject to excavation have suggested a considerable Roman civilian settlement associated with the fort of *Derventio*. This settlement has the size and characteristics of a town. Geophysical surveys undertaken to the south of Papcastle and west of Cockermouth have revealed evidence of a wider farmed Romano-British landscape surrounding this civilian settlement. Elsewhere, a cast copper alloy object, probably from the Roman or Medieval period was found in Bothel in 2011 (LANCUM-42DD8).
- 3.1.9 **Medieval Period:** it is likely that the roads, established during the Roman period, were utilised during the proceeding period, though these seem to have gradually been abandoned by the later Middle Ages (Smith 2011, 25). The first generation of Christian sites in the North West which can be securely identified in the modern landscape are the churches founded during the flowering of Christianity in the Kingdom of Northumbria during the 7<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> centuries. Identifying such pre-Viking churches is based primarily on the survival of fragments of stone sculpture. Northumbrian sculpture of this period has been found at 17 churches in Cumbria, including several which were later at the centre of large parishes, such as Brigham. Such churches may

be comparable to the Anglo-Saxon minster churches of southern England (Winchester and Crosby 2006, 169).

- 3.1.10 The earliest settlement along the proposed pipeline route to be referenced is Bothel, as 'Bothle' c. 1125, with several others referred to during the 12<sup>th</sup> century, including Stainburn, as 'Steinburn' in c. 1135, Clifton in c. 1160, , Brigham, as 'Briggham' in c. 1175, and Broughton Cross as 'Broctuna' (Armstrong 1950). More settlements along the proposed pipeline route are first mentioned in the 13<sup>th</sup> century, including Bridekirk, in 1210, Threapland as 'Trepland' in c. 1220, Papcastle as 'Pabecastr' in 1260, Wood Hall as la Wodehall in 1278, the River Ellen as 'Aquam de Alen' in 1278 and the River Marron as 'Aquam de Maran' in 1282, (Armstrong 1950). Simonscales is indicative of the transhumance movement of stock in the medieval period. The term 'scales' comes from the Norse *scali* meaning a dwelling but in north-western England 'scales' had the same meaning as a shieling, meaning a seasonally occupied settlement associated with seasonal stock grazing (Ramm 1970). The combination of the place-name suffix 'scales' with the Norman personal name 'Simon' suggests that Simonscales is a post 11<sup>th</sup> century variant of this type of settlement (See Figure 6 for locations of medieval place-names).
- 3.1.11 Several finds of the medieval to early post-medieval era have been encountered in the Bridekirk area, further indicating that this was a settlement of at least medieval origin (Portable Antiquities Scheme online database). A cast copper alloy damaged medieval mount from AD 1200-1600 was found in the Bothel area in 2011 (LANCUM-42BBB7); as was a silver hammered groat of Henry VII dating from c. AD 1490-1504, minted in London (LANCUM-42D6D7).
- 3.1.12 The remains of former medieval common fields occur throughout the area traversed by the pipeline. These are especially evident along the route north of Cockermouth and are evidenced by enclosed strip fields which have fossilised the strips of the open medieval common fields. Especially good examples occur around Redmain and Blindcrake. Within these areas the preserved earthworks of ridge and furrow are also evidence of this type of arable farming (Figures 7-9). During the medieval period, much of the route would have been within medieval common fields (Caron Newman pers. comm.).
- 3.1.13 The enclosure of open common arable fields is one of the main changes in the landscape that has taken place since the medieval period. Much of the structure of the area through which the pipeline route passes is still substantially medieval in

origin. One area in which this has altered is an area of land marked on the historic Ordnance Survey mapping as the 'Tarnties' (Figure 6). This is an area of fields that contain some ridge and furrow but with no evidence of strip fields fossilising earlier common field arrangements. Additionally, the area is situated well away from any existing settlements. In the 18<sup>th</sup> century the area was referred to as a field called the Trinities (Nicholson and Burn 1777, II, 95). This land was in the township of Redmain which was granted as a manor to Guisborough Priory in Yorkshire in the medieval period, when it seems the Trinities was the demesne land of the manor (*ibid* ii, 97). This may mean that the area was a monastic grange (see Asset 375).

3.1.14 During the Middle Ages a town grew up at Cockermouth, to the south of the former Roman town at Papcastle. Cockermouth was probably a deliberately planted and planned town and it seems to have been founded in the mid-12<sup>th</sup> century (Winchester 2012, 1). Medieval burgage plots line Main Street, though some were abandoned during the mid-14<sup>th</sup> century. The town recovered in the 16<sup>th</sup> century and remained a thriving market centre and textile mill town through into the later 18<sup>th</sup> century (*ibid*). To the immediate west of Cockermouth was the supposed chapel of St Leonard's (HER 43340). This is referenced in one later 13<sup>th</sup> century document. The location appears to be a close called St Leonard's on the site of the later railway station. St Leonard is often associated with hospices or leper hospitals. The location beyond the western edge of the town and the nearby site of Spittal Ings suggests this was the chapel of a hospital. There is a tradition that a hospital was founded here by Dominican friars from Carlisle (Bradbury 1995, 138).

3.1.15 **Post-medieval:** Donald's Map of Cumberland (Figure 10) shows that the area through which the pipeline will pass is broadly similar in structure to the present day landscape, especially to the north of Cockermouth. To the west of Cockermouth, along the Derwent valley towards Workington, the landscape has changed more because of the influence of industry, especially coal mining. The first large scale industry to arrive in Cumbria was the Mines Royal development of silver mines to the north of Keswick in the 1560s. The Mines Royal looked to export their product through the port at Workington and one of their smelters was at Brigham. The costs of road transport for the raw materials involved in mining and smelting as well as the export of the finished product may have contributed to the industry's ultimate demise (Hindle 1984, 128).

3.1.16 Improving heavy goods transport was a clear priority for industrial development to take place. Although the first turnpike act in England was passed in 1663, the real

boom came between 1751 and 1772 when over 400 trusts were established. The Turnpike 'system' was essentially a local affair, organised by local landowners, merchants, manufacturers, town council and anyone else interested in improving roads (Hindle 1984, 138). A few new Cumbrian roads were built in the early 18<sup>th</sup> century, principally by wealthy landowners, to service the developing coal ports (Smith 2011, 29), such as the road to Whitehaven in 1739. Turnpikes were established between Workington and Bridgefoot, and Bridgefoot and Cockermouth in 1753 (Hindle 1984, 139, Figure 11). This turnpike route is followed by the pipeline for much of the proposed pipeline route to the west of Cockermouth. Turnpikes generally took over existing roads and improved the worst parts and were constructed with milestones, mileposts and toll houses. A turnpike house survives at Broughton Cross (Asset 433). The turnpike road between Carlisle and Workington was first established in 1753, but went through Wigton to Allonby and along the coast through Maryport (*ibid*, 63). It took the more direct route via Cockermouth at a later date (along the current A595; Figure 11), and now has the longest stretch of surviving milestones, 17 in total, in the County, though not all in their original positions. Only two milestones survive along the Cockermouth to Workington route, and both lie outside the proposed pipeline route (Smith 2011, 72).

- 3.1.17 Improved roads and tramways led to the development of coal mining, especially in the Derwent valley. In 1761 Sir James Lowther was mining coal at Reelfitz Pit, Greysouthern at a depth of 34 fathoms (Wood 1988, 76). The growth of coal mining aided the expansion of settlement at places such as Clifton and Greysouthern.
- 3.1.18 As well as coal mining, the textiles industry developed during this period especially around Cockermouth. South of the town was a fulling mill in existence by 1478 (Bradbury 1995, 165; Asset 24). It was not mentioned in a list of 1437/8 suggesting a date of construction of between 1438 and 1478. An indenture drawn up between Sir Henry Fletcher and Andrew Green dated 1830, refers to "*all that Fulling and Spinning Mill and premises... at Badgkin... in a certain close... called Leather Mill Field but formerly known by the name of Badgkins Close, which sd. Premises were lately occupied by Mrs Beeby and used by her as a spinning and carding mill*" (*ibid*).
- 3.1.19 **Industrial Period:** The rise of industry meant a rise in population in towns in the area, with major expansions at Workington and Cockermouth. The coal mining industry continued to grow during the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Greysouthern was producing 10,000 waggons of coal annually in 1816 (Wood 1988, 123), run by Joseph Harris & Co, who

opened new workings at Neggill, in 1837 and at John Pit in 1838, which together comprised the Millbanks Colliery situated near Bridgefoot (Wood 1988, 124). In 1853 Messrs. Fletcher & Co were working coal at their Bridgefoot Colliery, near Greysouthern, and in that year raised 1,953 tons (Wood 1988, 174).

3.1.20 Railways, were constructed, initially to assist with the transport of bulky goods like coal. The Cockermouth & Workington railway was incorporated on 21 July 1845 with a capital of £80,000. It passed within 10yd of Brigham Vicarage, the incumbent being John Wordsworth, son of famous poet and conservationist William, who criticised the railway for “*cutting between him and the river through his garden and little pleasure ground*” (Joy 1983, 152). It was incorporated in the Act to build a new vicarage within ¼ mile of the original with stable, offices, outbuilding, fixtures and a garden if not less than ½ an acre. The vicar was to be paid £5 for every month which elapsed between commencement of building the railway and completion of the vicarage and a sum of £50 for moving. The line was opened on 28<sup>th</sup> April 1847, intermediate stations being provided at Brigham, Broughton Cross, Camerton and Workington Bridge (Joy 1983, 152-3). The line closed in the 1960s and is now partly reused as the route of the A66 road.

3.1.21 Various connections were made from mines and quarries, including a tramway from Brigham limestone quarries (Asset 9), and in 1863 a link was opened from Derwent Junction in Workington to the harbour. At the Cockermouth end the line terminated west of the town at what became known as the Low Station or St Leonard’s (Bradbury 1995, 191; Asset 20). On 1<sup>st</sup> August 1861, the Cockermouth, Keswick and Penrith Railway was granted powers to build its line. The existing station was to become a joint holding, but it was finally decided to build a new passenger station nearer the town centre (Asset 22), the old one becoming a goods station. It was primarily a mineral line, though passenger numbers were boosted by special trains for hiring days for workers, school and workhouse outings. The section from Workington to Keswick eventually closed to passengers in April 1966 (Bradbury 1995, 192-4).

3.1.22 **Modern:** the original use of the railways quickly came to be supplemented by passenger transport which helped to develop the region’s tourism industry. Hiking became increasingly popular during the 1930s, especially among the unemployed and working classes, and special trains were run from industrial centres, such as Manchester, to the Lake District (Thompson 2010, 301). In 1936, numerous organisations including the Rambler’s Association, the Youth Hostel’s Association and



the Council for the Preservation of Rural England formed a Standing Committee on National Parks, lobbying for legislation. As part of the post-war reconstruction, a report on National Parks was produced in 1945, eventually leading to the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act in 1949 (*ibid*, 302). The Lake District finally became a national park two years later (*ibid*), predominantly to preserve and protect its landscape.

3.1.23 In recent times the creation of the Lake District National Park has undoubtedly had the greatest impact on the development of the local landscape through which the pipeline will pass. To the north of Cockermouth the A595 marks the western boundary of the Lake District National Park and there is a clear distinction in landscape quality on either side of the boundary (see Cumbria County Council 2007). Within the Park there is a lack of modern development within settlements such as Blindcrake and Redmain, in comparison to nearby Bridekirk and Gilcruix outside the park. Moreover, the field systems appear to have retained more of their boundary integrity and for the most part there is a better survival of earthworks such as ridge and furrow. The quality of the Park's surviving historic landscape is one of the factors in the Lake District being put forward for inscription in 2017 as a World Heritage Site.

### 3.2 Map Regression Analysis

3.2.1 Map regression involves comparing maps drawn up at different dates, to understand changes through time. It allows the identification of features from earlier maps that have vanished from modern maps. Modern and earlier Ordnance Survey map publications, tithe, enclosure and estate maps are all used in map regression analysis with a particular emphasis on earlier maps. No detailed plans earlier than 1811 were found relating to the pipeline route.

3.2.1 **County Plans:** Donald's plan of Cumberland of 1770 (Figure 10) is the earliest county map to outline settlements and illustrate features such as individual mills and buildings. This shows the proposed pipeline route as it starts to the east of Stainburn, following a road to the crossroads at Great Clifton. It divides after Great Clifton, the southern part following the Workington to Cockermouth turnpike, and the northern heading through undeveloped areas to the north, before rejoining east of Little Clifton. From here, eastwards, both sections broadly follow the route of the turnpike to Brigham, with some of the sections heading through Brigham itself, depicted as a small settlement, before heading east towards Cockermouth following the turnpike once more.

- 3.2.2 West of Cockermouth, the route divides into three, the northern one continuing to roughly follow the turnpike towards Cockermouth, before skirting to the south-west of the settlement as existing at that time to the River Cocker, following the eastern bank southwards. Rubbybank Mills is annotated here (Asset 23). The other two routes head through unbuilt up land to the west of the River Cocker.
- 3.2.3 West of Cockermouth, where the route south of the River Derwent splits into three, a further route heads north-eastwards, crossing the Derwent, and heading west of Papcastle and Hames Hill to Bridekirk, depicted as a small settlement with a church, before heading eastwards to the 'Black Cock' and following the Carlisle to Workington Turnpike, as annotated. The land is depicted as either rising, or being less cultivated, or rougher, north-eastwards, west of Bothel and east of Kirklandguards (Asset 190) and Low Woodnook, before crossing the River Ellen and culminating west of 'Bolton Gate'.
- 3.2.4 **Enclosure Awards:** several enclosure awards were consulted during the research, which covered various parts of the proposed pipeline route. These included a plan of Torpenhow and Bothel Commons of 1811 (CRO(C) QRE/1/69), Threapland Commons Enclosure Award of 1813 (CRO(C) QRE/1/51), Great and Little Clifton Common Enclosure Awards of 1817 (CRO(W) D/CU/Estate Plan 16), Enclosure Award for Brigham Commons of 1819 (CRO(W) YPR29/51), Plan of Cockermouth Common, 1832 (CRO(C)QRE/1/37) and Plan of Commons at Dovenby and Papcastle of 1842 (CRO(W) DWM/1/61).
- 3.2.5 Unfortunately, neither the Great and Little Clifton Common Enclosure Awards of 1817 (CRO(W) D/CU/Estate Plan 16), the plan of Torpenhow and Bothel Commons of 1811 (CRO(C) QRE/1/69), the Plan of Cockermouth Common of 1832 (CRO(C)QRE/1/37) nor the Enclosure Award Plan for Brigham Commons of 1819 (CRO(W) YPR29/51) covered the study area.
- 3.2.6 The Threapland Commons Enclosure Award of 1813 (CRO(C) QRE/1/51) revealed a pre Ordnance Survey origin for one of the field boundaries encountered by this research (Asset 461). This is a field boundary still shown on modern mapping, though not noted as of interest by the walkover survey, suggesting that what exists is not of historic interest, though the boundary itself predates 1813. Furthermore, the Plan of Commons at Dovenby and Papcastle of 1842 (CRO(W) DWM/1/61) has revealed a field

boundary, not shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping (Asset 189), but that has early origins.

- 3.2.7 ***Tithe Plans:*** several tithe plans were consulted, covering the proposed pipeline route. These included the Papcastle Tithe Award of 1838 (CRO(C) DRC 8/149), the Cockermouth Tithe Award of 1840 (CRO(C) DRC 8/47), the Bridekirk Tithe Award Plan of 1842-4 (CRO(C) DRC/8/28) and the Redmain Tithe Award of 1837/8 (CRO(C) DRC 8/160). The Redmain Tithe Award of 1837/8 (CRO(C) DRC 8/160) did not cover the study area.
- 3.2.8 The Papcastle Tithe Award Plan of 1838 (CRO(C) DRC 8/149) revealed earlier origins for several field boundaries depicted on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map. The walkover survey revealed that some of these survive as mature hedgerows (Assets 109, 110 and 111). Others are still shown on modern mapping, but not noted as of interest during the walkover survey (Assets 410, 411, 414, 415 and 507). One other was shown on later editions of the Ordnance Survey maps, but not on modern mapping, and no traces of it were visible during the walkover survey (Asset 298). The final former field boundary was noted only on the Papcastle Tithe Award Plan of 1838, and not on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map (Asset 506).
- 3.2.9 The Cockermouth Tithe Award of 1840 (CRO(C) DRC 8/47) revealed earlier origins for three former field boundaries also illustrated on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on subsequent mapping, but not on the Ordnance Survey map of 1961 (Assets 295-7). No evidence for these earlier boundaries was encountered during the walkover survey.
- 3.2.10 The Bridekirk Tithe Award Plan of 1842-4 (CRO(C) DRC/8/28) revealed early origins for several field boundaries shown on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent and modern mapping, though not noted as of interest during the walkover survey (Assets 426, 427, 429, 430, 431, 432, 504 and 505). Other former field boundaries known from the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, are also shown on the Bridekirk Tithe Award Plan of 1842-4 but gone by at least 1961, with no traces visible during the walkover survey (Assets 304, 305 and 306). One further field boundary known from the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and subsequent mapping and modern mapping, and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey is also shown on the Bridekirk Tithe Award Plan of 1842-44 (Asset 233).

**3.2.11 Early Ordnance Survey Mapping:** the First Edition Ordnance Survey series of maps of c. 1860 (Figures 12-14), the Second Edition of 1900, and the Third Edition map of 1925, were studied of the entire pipeline route and associated 100m wide study area. All field boundaries appearing on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map have been included within the gazetteer, and checked against earlier maps, to see if these could be hedgerows of historic interest, and later maps and during the walkover survey to see if they survived. The results are included in the gazetteer (Appendix 2) for each individual asset, which are also illustrated in Figures 3-5. Boundaries shown on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, which could not be checked against earlier mapping, could still be of historic interest and potentially predate 1844. Other features of note from all three editions of the Ordnance Survey maps, such as quarries, buildings and transportation routes have also been noted and included within the gazetteer, after being checked against other datasets to avoid duplication.

### 3.3 Aerial Photographs and LiDAR

3.3.1 Colour vertical composite digital aerial photography was accessed using Google Earth and black and white oblique and vertical aerial print photographs were consulted at Cumbria County Council's Historic Environment Record offices. Other than areas of surviving ridge and furrow, much of which had previously been recorded in the National Monuments Record, only three sites were noted that were not recorded in the HER. The three sites were a possible earthwork enclosure (Asset 343) within the route corridor, possible settlement earthworks (Asset 375) and a linear cropmark/parchmark which lies outside the corridor and is probably the line of the Roman road which is also followed in places by the A595 (Figure 5). The putative Roman road line whilst not within the road corridor suggests by its alignment that the Roman road will be bisected by the route corridor to the north of Bothel (Asset 508).

3.3.2 LiDAR images were accessed from the Environment Agency online dataset. LiDAR measures the height of the ground surface and other features across large areas of landscape. LiDAR operates by using a pulsed laser beam which is scanned from side to side as the aircraft flies over the survey area, measuring between 20,000 to 100,000 points per second to build an accurate, high resolution model of the ground and the features upon it. This allows the detection of otherwise hard to recognise features.

3.3.3 LiDAR images were studied online across the study area, though full coverage of the route was not available (<https://www.geomatics->

[group.co.uk/geomatics/Redirect.aspx](http://group.co.uk/geomatics/Redirect.aspx)). No previously unknown potential heritage assets were noted from the LiDAR imagery.

### 3.4 Previous Archaeological Work

3.4.1 Various places along the proposed pipeline route have been subjected to previous archaeological investigations. These are summarised below:

3.4.2 An archaeological desk based assessment and walkover survey was undertaken on a pipeline route between Workington and Great Clifton in 2012 (Wooler 2012a; NGR 30290 53008 to NGR 30064 52884). This did not discover any new heritage assets within the proposed pipeline route.

3.4.3 An archaeological desk based assessment was undertaken on land off Moor Road, Great Clifton, in 2004 (Walker 2004; NGR 303905 529346). This showed that this area of Great Clifton had been mined, and that workings relating to William Pit (Asset 204), part of Clifton Colliery may extend into the area of the proposed pipeline route.

3.4.4 An archaeological desk based assessment was undertaken on land on Moor Road, Stainburn in 2012 (Wooler 2012b; NGR 3024 5285), confirming that a settlement at Stainburn existed by the medieval period which remained static and agricultural in nature throughout the post-medieval period.

3.4.5 An archaeological evaluation was undertaken on land at Moor Road, Great Clifton in 2012, when twenty two evaluation trenches were excavated across two fields. The trenches excavated in the field that the proposed pipeline route goes through, contained only stone-filled land drains (Bell 2012; NGR 303905 529346).

3.4.6 An archaeological desk based assessment was undertaken on land off Ellerbeck Brow, Brigham in 2012 (Wooler 2012c; NGR 3092 5302). The area studied was on the edge of the known medieval village of Brigham. The desk based assessment revealed a small chance of prehistoric features to be encountered in the area, from find spot evidence, and also Romano-British activity, from the route of a Roman road, and existence of the Roman fort and vicus at nearby Papcastle. No new features within the proposed pipeline route were located.

3.4.7 An archaeological evaluation took place in 1999, undertaken by Carlisle Archaeological Unit at The Fitz. No report could be identified, but no significant remains were identified (CCC HER info; NGR 310717 530616).

- 3.4.8 In 2009, a desk based assessment was undertaken for land at the Fitz, Fitz Park, confirming that the Fitz estate dated from at least 1620, with the present mansion dating to 1834/5 (Cracknell 2009; NGR 310717 530616).
- 3.4.9 A geophysical survey was conducted at two locations at the former Fitz Park, prior to residential development in 2009 (Railton 2009; NGR 310717 530616). Anomalies possibly associated with palaeochannels, modern services and field drainage pipes were identified. Modern magnetic material hindered the possibility of testing whether the adjacent scheduled Romano-British farmstead (Site 69) extended into that survey area.
- 3.4.10 These possible palaeochannels were targeted by an archaeological evaluation in January 2014 which encountered numerous fragments of prehistoric pottery within the palaeochannels. In the summer of 2014, an archaeological excavation was undertaken by Archaeological Research Services Ltd which found the channel to be well defined towards the western extent, though more ephemeral towards the east and it curved south-west to north-east (Williams 2014). Pottery sherds recovered were thought to be from one vessel, a carinated bowl of early Neolithic origin, possibly deposited in standing water (ibid, 4).
- 3.4.11 A further geophysical survey was undertaken in 2014 at the Fitz, across two areas which abutted the road and extend to within the pipeline corridor study area. This encountered traces of three earlier post medieval field boundaries, depicted on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping but gone by 1900, and plough furrows respecting them. Of most significance potentially were a series of soil-filled ditches which appeared to define a curvilinear enclosure with possible internal features and further outlying possible boundary ditches. It is possible that these features represent part of a prehistoric or Romano-British enclosure and field system (Railton 2014, 12-13). These features lie to the immediate south of the study area.
- 3.4.12 An ongoing research project studying the former Roman fort and associated civilian settlement, at Papcastle (Grampus Heritage's Discovering Derwentio project, not yet published) has included a series of geophysical surveys, some of which cover some parts of the proposed pipeline route close to Papcastle Bridge, where the route deviates from main roads (see Figure 15). Several features, thought to represent a possible roundhouse, rectangular timber building, and field systems, have been identified in the area west of the A595 and north of the river Derwent.

### 3.5 Ground Investigations

3.5.1 A test pit was excavated under archaeological supervision as part of the initial engineering test pitting scheme for the pipeline project to the north-west of Blindcrake in the northern corner, south of Asset 125 (Figure 3) in June 2015. This was test pit 428, and the field at the time consisted of undisturbed pasture. The pit was 2m in length, 0.5m in width and excavated to a depth of 3.2m. It encountered a topsoil of a mid-grey/brown silty clay up to 0.2m thick overlying a mid orange-brown sandy clay (Sue Thompson pers. comm.). At a depth of 3m, a firm sandy brown clay with large fragments of mudstone was revealed. No archaeological features or finds were encountered.

## **4 WALKOVER SURVEY RESULTS**

### **4.1 Introduction**

4.1.1 The walkover survey was undertaken across areas of the proposed pipeline as depicted in Figure 2. Areas covered by the geophysical survey, due to be discussed in a separate report, were not targeted by the walkover survey to avoid repetition of effort, so any features encountered in those areas will be outlined in the forthcoming Geophysical Survey report.

4.1.2 Most of the area is now dominated agriculturally by permanent pasture, so in places the survival of earthworks is quite good. Much of the pasture, however, has been improved with the subsequent degrading or loss of earthwork remains.

### **4.2 Walkover Survey Results**

4.2.1 The walkover survey identified remains for new heritage assets on the route from Boltongate to Stainburn Hall Farm. Details of these assets are included in the gazetteer (Appendix 2) and are summarized below.

4.2.2 The majority of new heritage assets identified can be classified as agricultural features or can be linked to agricultural use. These consist of field boundaries and entrances, trackways and remains of ancient field systems. Several former field boundaries and trackways could also be seen across the route.

4.2.3 Several areas of ridge and furrow were noted across the proposed route of the pipeline especially around Blindcrake. Other areas of ridge and furrow were noted close to Bridekirk, Redmain and Bothel and also in areas to the west of Cockermouth close to Great Clifton.

4.2.4 The remains of a medieval field system was noted to the north of Blindcrake and this area has a large cluster of assets. The field system includes many ancient hedged field boundaries, areas of ridge and furrow and shows signs of several removed field boundaries. The field system extends onto both sides of the A595 and using a combination of information gleaned from the walkover, air photographs and old maps, its extent could be reconstructed (Figure 16).

4.2.5 Drystone wall field boundaries are regularly visible across the route of the survey. The diverse geology of the Lake District has led to marked differences in building styles (AC Archaeology 2007) however the walls covered along the route, appeared uniform along the route and were all made of carboniferous limestone. The walls are overall



in good condition. Most have remaining cope stones and show little signs of recent repair or deterioration.

4.2.6 Several of the new heritage sites can be classified as being industrial in origin. The area to the west of Cockermouth is highly marked by previous industrial development, especially coal mining and stone quarrying, with the former route of the Cockermouth to Whitehaven railway running close to the course of the pipeline. Areas of former quarrying and mining are common features along the route of the pipeline to the west of Cockermouth.

4.2.7 A series of earthworks, (Asset 375), could be seen during the walkover close to and seemingly respected by pronounced ridge and furrow in an area called the Tarnties on 19<sup>th</sup> century maps (see 3.1.13). The earthworks form a putative enclosure and may be associated with a possible medieval settlement.

4.2.8 During the walkover survey the settings of the following listed structures were considered:

- St Bridget's church, Brigham, grade I listed with associated grade II sundial in the churchyard
- Parsonage Farmhouse, Brigham, former vicarage grade II\* listed
- Old Vicarage, Brigham wall and gate piers, listed grade II
- Double Mills, Cockermouth, former water mill, grade II listed
- Six milestones all grade II listed

None of the settings of the listed structures will be permanently affected by the development of the pipeline. The settings will only be temporarily affected during the construction programme.

## 5 ASSESSMENT OF RESULTS

### 5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 A total of 515 heritage assets have been identified from the study area. These are summarised by period in the table below:

Likely Period of Origin	Number of Sites	Site
Prehistoric	5	156-157, 159, 161, 209,
Romano-British	6	153, 160, 171-173, 508,
Medieval	9	154-155, 181-182, 190-192, 212, 375
Post-Medieval	436	1-15, 17-39, 44-60, 63-64, 66-73, 75-84, 88-103, 105-114, 117-120, 122-129, 133-149, 151-152, 170, 183-187, 189, 193-195, 201, 203-204, 206, 211, 213-342, 344-379, 381- 412, 414-432, 434-507 and 515
Industrial	25	61-62, 158, 162-169, 174-179, 196-198, 205, 380, 413 and 433
Modern	9	40- 43, 65, 74, 85, 131, 150
Unknown	25	16, 86-87, 90, 104, 115-116, 121, 130, 132, 180, 188, 199-200, 202, 207-208, 210, 343, 509-514

*Table 4: Number of heritage assets by period*

### 5.2 Significance

5.2.1 Based on current knowledge, there are no assets within the proposed pipeline corridor of international significance and there are two of national significance. Other than the proposed English Lake District World Heritage Site (which has not been considered as an individual asset in the gazetteer) the sites of national significance consist of one grade I and one grade II\* listed buildings. There were no other statutory or non-statutory designated heritage assets of national importance (Registered Battlefields, Registered Historic Parks and Gardens) or any other places deemed to be of national cultural heritage importance within the study area.

5.2.2 Based on current knowledge, nine heritage assets are considered to be of county/district (higher) significance. All of these are statutorily designated grade II listed buildings. There are no non-statutorily designated Conservation Areas within the study area. There are 47 heritage assets of county/district (lesser) significance and these 31 heritage assets are considered as such because of their occurrence within a statutorily protected landscape, the Lake District National Park. The other 16 are

surviving mature hedgerows with cartographic evidence placing their origins to before 1850, and thus liable to be considered ‘important hedgerows’ under the provisions of the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. There are no other heritage assets of lesser county/district significance (locally listed assets and areas of archaeological sensitivity defined in a local plan) within the study area. There are 298 heritage assets which based on current knowledge are considered to be of local significance. There are 159 heritage assets which based on current knowledge are considered to be of negligible significance. All assets are summarised in the table below by their level of significance.

Significance	Number of Assets	Asset Numbers
National	2	155 and 192
District or County (Higher)	9	25, 162-163, 193-197 and 198
District or County (Lesser)	47	109-115, 118-130, 138, 223, 231-233, 410-411, 414-415, 426-427, 430- 432, 442 444, 446-448, 450, 453, 505 and 509-512
Local	298	1-24, 26-108, 116-117, 131-137, 139-153, 158-161, 164-165, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177-191, 200-201, 203-208, 210, 212-222, 224-230, 234-238, 343-344, 352-358, 360-372, 374-375, 380-393, 395-402, 407-408, 412-413, 416, 418-425, 433- 441, 449, 454, 457- 459, 464-471, 473-474, 477, 480- 484, 486-489, 492-499, 503, 508 and 513-515
Negligible	159	154, 156-157, 166-168, 199, 202, 209, 211, 239, 240-342, 345-351, 359, 373, 376-379, 394, 403-406, 409, 417, 428-429, 445, 451- 452, 455-456, 460-463, 472, 475-476, 478-479, 485, 490-491, 500-502, 504, and 506-507

*Table 5: Number of heritage assets by level of significance*

### 5.3 The Lake District National Park

5.3.1 All heritage assets considered to be extant or likely to be extant are at least of county or district (lesser) significance by virtue of their location within a protected landscape. Of the 31 heritage assets identified within the Lake District National Park, none could be considered to relate to the OUV defined as part of the definition of the English Lake District proposed world heritage site. Twenty-four of these heritage assets are either field boundaries or tracks (known from First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping), as well as one water management ditch, which all potentially relate to past farming practices within the park, most relate to standard north-western medieval common

field farming and not to “*the continuity of traditional agro-pastoralism*” (Lake District World Heritage Project Partnership 2013, 6). Areas of ridge and furrow identified from near Blindcrake (Assets 115, 121, 130, 509, 510, 511 and 512) relate to field systems that have been identified by the LDNPA HER as being “*an important feature of the area as there are very few landscapes surviving in this form within the national park*” (*ibid*). Such landscapes are far more common outside the park, though the quality of the earthworks is often more degraded.

#### 5.4 Roman Roads and the Relationship to the Hadrian’s Wall World Heritage Site

5.4.1 Potentially the most significant non-designated heritage asset in the study area, outside the Lake District National Park, is the Roman road whose route is partially followed by the modern A595 (Sites 153 and 508). A section to the north, between Pattenfoot and Waverbridge, is scheduled (SM 228), and thus of national significance, though this lies outside the proposed pipeline route. The road is visible to the south-west of the proposed pipeline route, from Google Earth imagery (Figure 5) and is likely to be impacted upon by the proposed pipeline route (Asset 508). Other Roman roads are likely to be impacted upon in the vicinity of Papcastle (Assets 171-3).

5.4.2 As Roman military power extended northwards, incorporating Gaul, Spain and eventually the province of Britannia in AD 43, “*the skills of the legionary road builders improved, reaching their zenith in England, Wales and part of Scotland by about AD 80*” (Bagshaw 1994, 7). During the first century of occupation, it has been estimated that 10,000 miles of road had been constructed, the equivalent of one mile every 3-4 days (*ibid*). Later roads were not so well-made, or direct, leading to suggestions that there may have been an order to economise half way through the period. A fort at Carlisle was in existence in the early 70s (McCarthy 1993, 3). Ongoing work on Derventio, run by Grampus Heritage, at Papcastle fort and *environs*, is suggesting that the fort was an important base, with several roads extending through it, and a possible harbour. It may well be that a road linked the two from an early date.

5.4.3 This is of particular significance given the location of the road in the hinterland of Hadrian’s Wall, a World Heritage Site. The road from Carlisle, a fort on the Wall itself, south-westwards towards Papcastle would have been an important one to move troops around the geologically difficult terrain of Cumbria, and towards the coastal forts at Burrow Walls, Moresby and Ravenglass. In addition it was likely a key route for transporting supplies to the frontier. It has been suggested that the route between Corbridge and Carlisle was established well before Hadrian’s Wall, and “*may have*

*formed a frontier zone based on the contemporary east-west road known as the Stanegate” (de la Bédoyère 2012, 12).*

- 5.4.4 The Hadrian’s Wall Management Plan states: ‘... it is important to have regard to the possible impact of major developments outside the defined buffer zone’. Furthermore Policy 3f states that: ‘Local Authorities should assess developments outside buffer zones for their impact on OUV....Development impacting adversely on OUV will not be permitted’. In terms of archaeological remains outside the Buffer zone, the Management Plan is also clear in stating that ‘Local Authorities will protect or enhance other non-scheduled elements in their areas that relate to Hadrian’s Wall WHS’. The Frontiers of the Roman Empire inscription does not just protect a series of individual archaeological remains: rather it is an attempt to protect an extra-ordinarily extensive defence system that extends around large parts of the Mediterranean, and it is not yet fully understood.
- 5.4.5 Although no traces of the road were found at Wharrels Hill during a watching brief on the A595 in 2005 (NPA 2006), or during an evaluation at Bolton Low Houses near Wigton, Cumbria (Jones 2002), upstanding remains are still visible to the west of the A595 near Papcastle (Frank Giecco *pers comm*). Elsewhere away from the A595, where the modern road departs from the route of the Roman road, there are indications on aerial photographs that the route does survive (see 3.3.1). This allows a postulation to be made that the Roman road will be bisected by the route corridor to the north of Bothel (Asset 508). As an individual heritage asset not only can it not be given a greater than local significance based on current knowledge, its survival and location with respect to the pipeline is not definitively known. The potential significance of encountering a surviving section of the Roman road should be acknowledged, however, as the road is elsewhere a scheduled monument (see above 5.4.1) and is part of the Roman infrastructure associated with the Hadrian’s Wall section of the ‘Frontiers of the Roman Empire’ World Heritage Site.
- 5.4.6 The construction of the A595 itself may have had a detrimental effect on the survival conditions of the pre-existing Roman road, as would its predecessor, the turnpike road. However, the roadside ditches lining the road’s route, and other roadside features, may yet survive sub-surface.
- 5.4.7 The very existence of a Roman Road, with forts at either end heightens the potential for further Roman features, related to the road, to exist within the proposed pipeline route area. These could take the form of mileposts, *mansios*, or inns for travellers, any

stone culverts, or fords where the road crosses water, the roadside ditches either side, or on one side of the road, and, in areas of woodlands where larger tracts were cleared for the road, further outlying markers may survive (Kevin Mounsey *pers comm*). Settlements linked to the road and roadside burials may also be encountered.

## 5.5 Late Prehistoric and Roman Environs of Papcastle

5.5.1 The pipeline routes to the west of Papcastle pass through an area where previous geophysical survey has demonstrated a rich archaeological landscape associated with the Roman and possibly late prehistoric land use of the rural environs of the Roman fort and town of *Derwentio* (Figure 15). Recorded features include enclosures, boundaries and possibly hut circles. The Roman fort and part of its associated civilian settlement is a scheduled monument (National Heritage List 1007760) and this landscape provides the archaeological context for this nationally significant monument.

5.5.2 Further geophysical survey, currently underway and which will form a supplementary report, should add to, clarify and contextualise the existing data. On the basis of current knowledge the heritage assets associated with the late prehistoric/Roman hinterland of Papcastle are defined as of local significance.

## 5.6 Important Hedgerows

5.6.1 The most frequently noted historic environment features during both the desk-based assessment and the walkover survey, were field boundaries, especially hedgerows. Under the *Hedgerow Regulations* hedgerows that pre-date 1850 can be defined as important hedgerows. Where a hedgerow is demonstrably in existence before 1850 it has been accorded a district or county (lesser) significance.

5.6.2 Where these hedgerows are clearly part of field systems that pre-date 1850, such as the fossilised strip fields of the former medieval common fields, the hedgerows should be regarded as 'important' under the definitions of the *Hedgerow Regulations*.

## 5.7 Medieval Field Systems

5.7.1 For much of the pipeline route it passes through former areas of medieval common field systems as clearly shown on Figures 3-5. Most of the route between Stainburn and Cockermouth passes through such areas, though the survival of associated strip

field boundaries and ridge and furrow earthworks is generally poor in that area. North of Cockermouth, under 50% of the pipeline route passes through former medieval common fields, but here the survival of ridge and furrow and fossilised strip fields is much better.

- 5.7.2 Where the fossilised strips are defined by hedgerows that are demonstrably earlier than 1850, these heritage assets are defined as being of district or county (lesser) significance. All aspects of the field systems that are within the Lake District National Park are regarded as being a district or county (lesser) significance. Ridge and furrow in areas outside the Lake District National Park is given a local heritage significance, irrespective as to whether the ridge and furrow is broad curvilinear and associated with medieval common field farming or narrow, straight and of post-medieval origin.

## 5.8 The Mills South of Cockermouth

- 5.8.1 To the south of Cockermouth are three mill sites on the River Cocker that are all within the corridor of the pipeline route (Assets 23-25). The most southerly, Double Mills (Asset 25), is a still standing building and is grade II listed and thus accorded a district or county (higher) heritage significance. It is possibly within the vicinity of the site of a medieval corn mill. To the north are Wood Mill (Asset 24) and Rubbybanks Mill (Asset 23) neither of which are extant. Wood mill appears to have had medieval origins.




*Plate 1: Assets 23, 24 and 25, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping*

- 5.8.2 Wood Mill and Rubbybanks Mill on the basis of current knowledge are defined as of local heritage significance.
- 5.9 **Individual Heritage Assets of Local Significance**
- 5.9.1 There are five heritage assets that have been recorded during this assessment which do not form part of a group or a wider association which may influence their


assessment of heritage significance, but which will require further work to ascertain their full heritage significance and to define a mitigation strategy. These are assets 30, 204, 343 and 375.

- 5.9.2 Bog Hall (Asset 30) is the site of a post-medieval farmstead which probably post-dates 1770. Its location is recorded on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, but little else is known about it. The site of Bog Hall is defined as of local significance.



*Plate 2: Asset 30, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping*

- 5.9.3 William Pit (Asset 204) was a colliery in existence by the later 19<sup>th</sup> century. It is unclear whether remains associated with the colliery will be impacted by the pipeline route. The archaeological remains associated with William Pit are defined as of local significance.



*Plate 3: Asset 204, as shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping*




5.9.4 An earthwork enclosure in the Derwent valley (Asset 343) was noted from Google Earth™ imagery. The earthworks were noted also during the walkover. It is possible that the enclosure is a coincidental product of unconnected features, a natural slope, outcropping geology and field banks, and further investigation will be needed to determine its authenticity. Its use and period are unknown but if an authentic enclosure it may be prehistoric. On the basis of current knowledge it is accorded a local heritage significance.



*Plate 4: Asset 343, Google Earth imagery*

5.9.5 Earthworks at the Tarnties (Asset 375) were noted on both Google Earth™ imagery and during the walkover survey. They appear to be respected by adjacent curvilinear ridge and furrow which might indicate that they are medieval in origin. They occur in an area that during the Middle Ages was known as the Trinities and was held by Guisborough Priory, consequently, the earthworks may relate to the site of a monastic grange. Further investigation will be required to determine the nature and date of this site. On the basis of current knowledge it is considered to be of local significance.



*Plate 5: Asset 375, Google Earth imagery*

5.9.6 The supposed location of St Leonard's chapel and its associated hospital, Cockermouth (Asset 212) lies within the route corridor. Further investigation will be required to determine the location and nature of this site. On the basis of current knowledge it is considered to be of local significance.

#### 5.10 Risk of Encountering as yet Unknown Remains

5.10.1 The risk of encountering unknown heritage assets relates entirely to below ground archaeological remains. The possibility of encountering previously unknown Roman remains, especially in the vicinity of the A595, has been highlighted above (Section 5.4.7). Beyond these, a consideration of the assets noted set within the context of the historic landscape character of the areas for the planned pipeline route suggests that there is a moderate likelihood of encountering previously unsuspected archaeological features relating to prehistory, especially in the Derwent valley.

5.10.2 It is unlikely that any as yet unknown remains, found during the construction process, will be of international or national significance.

5.10.3 It is possible that previously unknown remains found within the Lake District National Park may have some relevance for the OUV of the proposed English Lake District World Heritage Site. It is highly unlikely, however, that the impact of the pipeline proposals would have more than a limited effect on the heritage asset significance for OUV.

## **6 RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **6.1 Introduction**

- 6.1.1 A programme of archaeological mitigation is recommended on the route of the pipeline. This programme should comprise several differing elements of archaeological investigations including and not limited to earthwork restoration and watching briefs.
- 6.1.2 Any of the noted remains which will be directly impacted upon by the excavation of an easement and a pipe trench will require detailed archaeological recording. This should include a photographic record to better understand any importance the sites have in their wider landscape.
- 6.1.3 The potential still exists for other unknown buried remains, which are not visible above ground, to be encountered during construction. Consequently, It is recommended that a full watching brief be undertaken of all excavations linked with the topsoil stripping of the easement and the installation of the pipeline.

### **6.2 Further Archaeological Evaluation**

- 6.2.1 A number of heritage assets and groups of assets have been identified that would benefit from further archaeological investigation in order to clarify their significance and ascertain the likely impact of pipeline development as well as to better define a mitigation strategy.
- 6.2.2 The Roman road north from Papcastle to Old Carlisle will be cut by the pipeline route to the north of Bothel (Asset 508). It is recommended that the route in the vicinity of Asset 508 is subjected to a geophysical survey and that any linear anomalies that are likely to be indicative of the Roman road are immediately trial trenched once they have been identified. One trial trench per anomaly should be sufficient.
- 6.2.3 The putative late prehistoric and Roman landscape to the immediate west of Cockermouth and Papcastle is being investigated through geophysics. Identified linear and other anomalies likely to be indicative of past human activity should be targeted for trial trenching.
- 6.2.4 All identified important hedgerows should be photographically recorded along the stretch likely to be impacted by pipeline construction. Their species composition

should be analysed and on the basis of woody species present, their approximate date of origin should be estimated.

- 6.2.5 Areas of ridge and furrow within the pipeline corridor should be the subject of a level 3 topographical survey.
- 6.2.6 The assumed locations of Wood Mill (Asset 24) and Rubbybanks Mill (Asset 23) should be tested using trial trenches.
- 6.2.7 The assumed location of Bog Hall (Asset 30) should be tested by trial trenching.
- 6.2.8 Coal mining remains likely to be associated with William Pit (Asset 204) should be evaluated using trial trenching.
- 6.2.9 The likely site of the medieval St Leonard's chapel and hospital (Asset 212) should be evaluated through trial trenching. This may prove difficult as the site was later developed as a railway station and there has been further commercial development since the closure of the station.
- 6.2.9 Asset 343, a putative earthwork enclosure in the Derwent valley, should be the subject of a level 3 topographical survey followed by geophysical survey of the interior and test pitting within the interior. Any likely archaeological anomalies revealed through geophysical survey should be targeted with trial trenches.
- 6.2.10 Asset 375, the earthworks at Tarnties and the possible site of a monastic grange, should be subject to a level 3 topographic survey followed by trial trenching.

## 7 BIBLIOGRAPHY

### 7.1 Primary Sources

- Plan of Torpenhow and Bothel Commons of 1811 (CRO(C) QRE/1/69)
- Threapland Commons Enclosure Award of 1813 (CRO(C) QRE/1/51)
- Great and Little Clifton Common Enclosure Awards of 1817 (CRO(W) D/CU/Estate Plan 16)
- Enclosure Award for Brigham Commons of 1819 (CRO(W) YPR29/51)
- Plan of Cockermouth Common, 1832 (CRO(C) QRE/1/37)
- Redmain Tithe Award of 1837/8 (CRO(C) DRC 8/160)
- Papcastle Tithe Award of 1838 (CRO(C) DRC 8/149)
- Cockermouth Tithe Award of 1840 (CRO(C) DRC 8/47)
- Plan of Commons at Dovenby and Papcastle of 1842 (CRO(W) DWM/1/61)
- Bridekirk Tithe Award Plan of 1842-4 (CRO(C) DRC/8/28)

### 7.2 Secondary Sources

- Armstrong, AM, Mawer, A, Stenton, FM, Dickins, B, 1950, *The Place Names of Cumberland, Parts 1-3*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge
- Bagshawe, RW, 1994, *Roman Roads*, Shire Publications Ltd: Buckinghamshire
- Barrowclough, D, 2010, *Prehistoric Cumbria*, The History Press: Stroud
- Bell, M, 2013, 'Moor Road, Great Clifton, Cumbria: archaeological evaluation', *unpublished grey literature report by CFA Archaeology Ltd*
- Bradbury, JB, 1995, *Bradbury's History of Cockermouth*, privately published: Cockermouth
- Butler, A, and Fisher, I, 2010, *Archaeological Geophysical Survey on land north of Strawberry How Road, Cockermouth, Cumbria*, unpublished report by Northamptonshire Archaeology
- CFA Archaeology Ltd, 1997, *Wharrel's Hill Wind Farm and Visitor Facility: desk based assessment as part of an environmental impact assessment*, unpublished report by CFA Archaeology Ltd

CIfA 2014, *Standards and Guidance for Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment*, Chartered Institute for Archaeologists: Reading

Clark, A, 2012, *Land at School Lane, Bothel, Cumbria*, unpublished grey literature report by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology Ltd

Clark, P, 2012, *Camp Farm, Papcastle, Cumbria*, unpublished report by Oxford Archaeology North

Cracknell, P, 2009, *Report on an Archaeological Desk Based Assessment for land at The Fitz, Fitz Park, Cockermouth*, unpublished report by Philip Cracknell Historic Building Surveyor

Cumbria County Council 2009, *A Guide to using the Cumbria Historic Landscape*, CCC: Kendal

Denman, D, 2008, Before and after the Lorton turnpike, *The Journal Lorton & Derwent Fells Local History Society*, **42**, 12-19

Department of Communities and Local Government 2012, *National Planning Policy Framework*, London: [www.communities.gov.uk](http://www.communities.gov.uk)

de la Bédoyère, G, 2002, *Hadrian's Wall: History and Guide*, Amberley Publishing: Stroud

English Heritage, 2007, *Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes. A Guide to Good Recording Practice*, English Heritage: Swindon

Ford, L, 2005, *Melbreak Hotel, Crossbarrow, Little Clifton: archaeological desk based assessment*, unpublished report by Oxford Archaeology North

Hindle BP, 1984, *Roads and Trackways of the Lake District*, Moorland Publishing Company: Ashbourne

Hodgson, J and Brennand, M, 2006, Prehistoric period resource assessment, in Brennand M (ed) *An Archaeological Resource Framework for North West England: Volume 1 Resource Assessment*, ALGAO and CBA North West: Manchester

Jones, L, 2002, 'Field 6341, Bolton Low Houses, Wigton, Cumbria: archaeological evaluation' *unpublished grey literature report by Headland Archaeology*

Joy, D, 1983, *A Regional History of the Railways of Great Britain, Vol 14: The Lake Counties*, David St John Thomas: Newton Abbot

Lake District World Heritage Project Partnership, 2013, *Technical Evaluation of the Future World Heritage Nomination for the English Lake District*, Kendal: LDWHPP

Land Use Consultants with AC Archaeology, 2007, *Defining Stone walls of Historic and Landscape Importance*, DEFRA: London

McCarthy, M R, 1993, *Carlisle: History and Guide*, Gloucester

Newman, CE, 2014, *Mapping the Late Medieval and Post Medieval Landscape of Cumbria*, Volumes 1 and 2, unpublished thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy: Newcastle University

Nicholson, J and Burn, R, 1777, *The History and Antiquities of the Counties of Westmorland and Cumberland*, Vol II, W Strahan and T. Cadell: London

NPA, 2006, *Report on an Archaeological Watching Brief on the A595 Roman Road at Wharrels Hill near Bothel, Cumbria*, unpublished report by North Pennines Archaeology

NPPF 2012, *National Planning Policy Framework: Archaeology and Planning*, Department for Communities and Local Government: London

Ramm, HG, 1970, *Shielings and Bastles*, RCHME: London

Railton, M, 2009, *Geophysical Survey of Land at Fitz Park, Cockermouth*, unpublished report by North Pennines Archaeology Ltd

Railton, M. 2014, *The Fitz, Cockermouth, Cumbria: geophysical survey report*, unpublished report by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology

Shotter, D, 2004, *Romans and Britons in North-West England*, University of Lancaster: Bristol

Smith, C, 2011, *A Guide to the Milestones, Mileposts and Toll Buildings of Cumbria*, Brow Bottom Enterprises: Penrith

Sowerby, M, and Peters, C, 2008, *Archaeological Desk Based Assessment and Watching Brief at Craiginvar, Papcastle, Cockermouth, Cumbria*, unpublished report by North Pennines Archaeology Ltd

Thompson, I, 2010, *The English Lakes. A History*, Bloomsbury: London

Secretary of State 1997, *Hedgerow Regulations*, Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: London

Walker, J, 2004, *Land off Moor Road, Great Clifton, Cumbria: an archaeological desk based assessment*, unpublished report by Jan Walker Archaeological Consultant

Wardell Armstrong Archaeology 2013, *The Watermills of Cockermouth*, Wardell Armstrong Archaeology: Carlisle

Watson, C, 2009, *The Outbuildings of High House, Bothel: historic building report*, unpublished report by AOC Archaeology Group

Williams, S. 2014, *Fitz Park, Cockermouth, Cumbria: archaeological excavation*, unpublished report by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology

Winchester, A. and Crosby, A.G. 2006, *England's Landscape: The North West*, Collins and English Heritage: London

Winchester, A, 2012, Historical Introduction, in Leech RH and Gregory RA, *Cockermouth, Cumbria: Archaeological Investigation of Three Burgage Plots in Main Street*, Cumbria Archaeological Research Reports No.3, CWAAS: Windermere

Wood, O, 1988, *West Cumberland Coal, 1600-1982/3*, Cumberland and Westmorland Antiquarian and Archaeological Society, Extra Series XXIV: Kendal.

Wooler, F, 2012a, *Proposed Pipeline Route between Workington and Great Clifton, Cumbria* unpublished report by NP Archaeology Ltd

Wooler, F, 2012b, *Land on Moor Road, Stainburn, Workington, Cumbria*, unpublished report by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology Ltd

Wooler, F, 2012c, *Land off Ellerbeck Brow, Brigham, Cumbria*, unpublished report by Wardell Armstrong Archaeology Ltd

### 7.3 Websites

British Geological Survey, 2015, <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html>

English Heritage PastScape, 2015: <http://www.pastscape.org.uk>

Environment Agency Lidar Dataset, 2015: <https://www.geomatics-group.co.uk/geomatics/Redirect.aspx>

Land Information Service, 2015, <http://www.landis.org.uk/services/soilscapes.cfm>

Magic, 2015: <http://www.magic.gov.uk>

National Heritage List, 2015, <https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/>

Portable Antiquities Scheme, 2015: <http://finds.org.uk>


The Woodland Trust, 2015: <http://www.ancient-tree-hunt.org.uk>

UK Grid Reference Finder, 2015, <http://www.gridreferencefinder.com/>



---

## APPENDIX 1: FIGURES



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:75,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
— Route of pipeline  
- - - Lake District National Park  
 Boundary




Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
1



Figure 1: Route of pipeline.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:75,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
— Route of walkover survey  
— Route of geophysical survey  
- - - Lake District National Park Boundary



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
2

Figure 2: Route of walkover survey and geophysical survey.

PROJECT:

Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:






United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

KEY:

-  Route of pipeline
-  DBA corridor
-  Heritage assets (designated)
-  Heritage assets (non-designated)
-  Areas through medieval commonfields (C. Newman pers. comm.)



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:

CP11437

FIGURE:

3





Figure 3: Location of heritage assets in the south west area of the pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015







PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

- KEY:
-  Route of pipeline
  -  DBA corridor
  -  Heritage assets (designated)
  -  Heritage assets (non-designated)
  -  Areas through medieval commonfields (C. Newman pers. comm.)
  -  Lake District National Park Boundary




Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
4

Figure 4: Location of heritage assets in the central area of the pipeline route.



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

- KEY:
- Route of pipeline
  - DBA corridor
  - Heritage assets (designated)
  - Heritage assets (non-designated)
  - Areas through medieval commonfields (C. Newman pers. comm.)
  - Lake District National Park Boundary
  - Possible route of Roman road




Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
5

Figure 5: Location of heritage assets in the north east area of the pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:75,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
— Route of pipeline  
- - - Lake District National Park  
 Boundary



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
6

Figure 6: Historic places along pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth




CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:

-  Route of pipeline
-  DBA corridor
-  Observed ridge and furrow



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
7

Figure 7: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the south west area of the pipeline route.





Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth




CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:

-  Route of pipeline
-  DBA corridor
-  Observed ridge and furrow



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
8

Figure 8: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the central area of the pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth




CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:

-  Route of pipeline
-  DBA corridor
-  Observed ridge and furrow



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
9

Figure 9: Location of observed ridge and furrow in the north east area of the pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: c.1:75,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015


KEY:  
 Route of pipeline  
 DBA corridor



REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
10

Figure 10: Donald's Map of Cumberland, 1770.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockerthorpe

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:75,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
— Turnpike roads  
— Cockerthorpe to Workington railway




Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
11

Figure 11: Turnpike roads and the Cockerthorpe to Workington railway.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015


KEY:  
 Route of pipeline  
 DBA corridor



REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
12

Figure 12: First Edition Ordnance Survey Mapping of 1867, south west area of pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015


KEY:  
 Route of pipeline  
 DBA corridor



REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
13

Figure 13: First Edition Ordnance Survey Mapping of 1867, central area of pipeline route.



Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:25,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: AB

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
 Route of pipeline  
 DBA corridor



REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
14

Figure 14: First Edition Ordnance Survey Mapping of 1867, north east area of pipeline route.



PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:4,000 at A3

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

KEY:  
 Route of pipeline  
 DBA corridor



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf  
of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.  
© Crown copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence number 100019512.

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
15





Figure 15: Results of geophysical surveys conducted around Papcastle.





Wardell Armstrong  
Archaeology  
2015

PROJECT:  
Network Mains from Quarry Hill  
to Stainburn and Cockermouth

CLIENT:  
United Utilities

SCALE: 1:12,500 at A4

DRAWN BY: HP

DATE: August 2015

- KEY:
- Route of pipeline
  - DBA corridor
  - Medieval field system
  - Lake District National Park Boundary



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey  
on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's  
Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.  
All rights reserved. Licence number 100019512

REPORT No:  
CP11437

FIGURE:  
16

Figure 16: Blindcrake medieval field system.

## APPENDIX 2: GAZETTEER OF HERITAGE ASSETS

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	New Hall
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Building and associated features
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	302696,529398
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	New Hall, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to north-east of Stainburn on north side of road. Still on modern mapping. The walkover survey noted that this was a working farm, known as Stainburn Hall Farm, with a mix of post-medieval and modern buildings
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	St Luke's Church and Graveyard
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	1056
<b>Site Type</b>	Church Building and associated graveyard
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	305410,529118
<b>Source</b>	Hodkinson and Donald 1770 map; First Ed OS map; Lewis 1831; CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	'Church' and 'Grave Yard' shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to the west of Bridgefoot and east of Chapel Brow. Lewis' topographical history refers to this chapel in the township of Little Clifton and states that an additional piece of ground was consecrated for a cemetery in 1821, the former being disused since 1736 (Lewis 1831, 476). A 'Chapel' is also marked in the vicinity on Hodkinson and Donald's plan of 1770 (Figure 5). It is labelled on the 1961 Ordnance Survey Map as 'St Luke's Church (C of E)', with squared off corners and the graveyard no longer marked. Cumbria County Council's HER listing states that the existing church was rebuilt in

1900 on the fabric of a 12<sup>th</sup> century church, and there is a debased Norman arch in the south face. A 10<sup>th</sup> century cross shaft was found during the rebuilding (now located in the vestry)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 3

**Site Name** Corn Mill

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Building

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 305620,529204

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Corn Mill shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on later maps, but marked as 'Mill House' on 1961 Ordnance Survey map

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 4

**Site Name** Site of Railway Station

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Railway Station

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 305735,529334

**Source** First Ed OS map; NMR 499809

**Description** 'Station' shown to the north-east of Bridgefoot on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. It was a railway station on the Whitehaven, Cleator and Egremont Railway which opened in 1847 (NMR 499809). It is marked on the Third Edition Ordnance Survey map of 1925, but no longer marked on the 1961 map

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Reelfitz Forge (Spade Manufactory)
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Forge
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	305988,529455
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Forge, first shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to north-east of Bridgefoot as 'Reelfitz Forge (Spade Manufactory)', and by 1900 as 'Bridgefoot Saw Mill' and 'The Forge' on 1961 Ordnance Survey map
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Saw Mill
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Mill
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	306109,529526
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Saw Mill, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to north-east of Bridgefoot and gone by 1900
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Hardy Gate
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval

<b>NGR</b>	306159,529520
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Building, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping as 'Hardy Gate', to north-east of Bridgefoot and gone by 1900
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number 8</b>	
<b>Site Name</b>	Railway Station
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Railway Station
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	307623,530336
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	'Station' shown to the north of Broughton Cross on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. By 1961 it is marked as 'Disused Station'. Buildings are still marked at this location
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number 9</b>	
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Tramway
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Tramway
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308545,530874
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; NMR 1574908
<b>Description</b>	Tramway, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to north of Brigham and shown on 1900 Ordnance Survey map but gone by 1925. This linked the Brigham quarries to the Cockermouth and Workington Railway (NMR 1574908)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	St Bridget's Church Graveyard
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Graveyard
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308545,530874
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Graveyard for St Bridget's Church, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to north of Brigham and shown and labelled on 1925 map but no longer labelled as a graveyard by 1960. St Bridget's Church (Asset 192) is Grade I listed and dates to the late 11 <sup>th</sup> century
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Track to Vicarage
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Trackway
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308851,530873
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Joy 1983, 152-3
<b>Description</b>	Trackway to former vicarage, east of St Bridget's Church, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and still in existence. This vicarage was a replacement vicarage for the one to the west of the church after the construction of the railway line which opened in 1847 (Joy 1983, 152-3). The wall and gate piers at the entrance are listed grade II (Asset 194)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Brigham Quarries
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	11770
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Quarry
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308618,530727
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	The site of 'Brigham Quarries' shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and shown with a 'Colliery Rescue Station' in 1925, but the whole area 'disused' by 1967, and largely built over within the pipeline corridor. Only the quarry is marked on the 1980 map
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Bread & Beer House
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	11776
<b>Site Type</b>	Bread & Beer House
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	309090,530928
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	'Bread & Beer House' shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to the north-east of Brigham and still shown as a 'Bread & Beer House' in 1925. The 1960 Ordnance Survey map annotates the building with 'Elleridge Cottage', suggesting it had been converted to a dwelling by this date
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Square Building
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building  
**Period** POrdnance Surveyt-medieval  
**NGR** 309282,530979  
**Source** First Ed ORDNANCE SURVEY map  
**Description** Square building north-east of Brigham on north side of road, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on 1900 Ordnance Survey map but gone by 1925  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 15  
**Site Name** Site of Square Building  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Building  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 309642,531043  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Square building north of East House on south side of road, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on mapping of 1960, but no longer in existence  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 16  
**Site Name** Possible Earthwork  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Possible Earthwork  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 309425,531095  
**Source** Google Earth imagery; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Possible earthwork located on Google Earth imagery. No such feature is marked on historic mapping. The walkover survey found it to be a circular-



shaped earthwork, possibly linked to the former railway or a related to quarrying/ mining activity

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 17

**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 309508,531085

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Rectangular building north-west of East House on north side of road, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on mapping of 1960, but no longer in existence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 18

**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 310943,532273

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Rectangular building north-west of Papcastle on east side of road, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on mapping of 1960, and on modern mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Evening Hill
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	311393,530139
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Probable farmstead shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on map of 1925 and preserved in housing development in the vicinity undertaken by 1967, but the site had been built over by 1988
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Goods Station/ early Cockermouth Station
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	10987
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	311324,530788
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	Site of Goods Station marked on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to the north of Fitz Park as 'St Leonards Cockermouth Station', on Second Edition as 'Goods Station', and incorporated as part of a 'Saw Mill' by 1967. Building no longer shown on modern mapping
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Workhouse
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building

**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311852,530400  
**Source** First Ed OS map; NMR 1402772  
**Description** Site of workhouse, labelled as 'Cockermouth Union Workhouse' on First and Second Ordnance Survey maps, but as 'Poor Law Institution' on 1925 Third Edition map. It was built between 1840 and 1843. A fever hospital was added in 1847. It was demolished in 1949 (NMR 1402772). The site had been built over with semi-detached dwellings by 1967  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 22  
**Site Name** Site of Cockermouth Station  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 11054  
**Site Type** Site of Railway Station  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311999,530355  
**Source** First Ed OS map; CCC HER  
**Description** Site of railway station marked on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping as 'Cockermouth Station', and on Second Edition as 'Station' with cattle pens shown to the east. The 1968 map marks the site as 'Station (disused)', the pens also having disappeared, and the station buildings are not shown on the 1983 Ordnance Survey map  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 23  
**Site Name** Site of Rubbybanks Mill  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 19877  
**Site Type** Site of Mill  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 312170,530113

<b>Source</b>	Hodkinson and Donald 1770; First Ed OS map; CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	Site of Rubbybanks Mill. There is a record of 'Ribbey Banck Milnes' being leased by the Earl of Northumberland to Thomas Fletcher, a Cockermouth merchant, in 1596, the lease to run for three lives at an annual rent of £7. When Thomas Dodgson leased it in 1714 he erected a corn mill 9 yards square using £5 of timber. (Bradbury 1995, 165-167). Mill is labelled on Hodkinson and Donald's map of 1770 (Figure 5), and shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map as 'Rubbybanks Mill (Hat Manufactory and Fulling Mill)', and on 1900 map as 'Rubbybanks Mill (Woollen)', and on 1925 map 'Rubbybanks Mill (Wood Turning)', and by 1960, 'Rubbybanks Mill (disused)'. This mill consisted of two 3 storey mills, one of which is likely to have been constructed at the site around 1844, when it is referred to as a "newly erected mill called Rubby Banks lately a flax mill with a store or drying house" (Bradbury 1995, 168). Tenter fields are shown nearby on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. One waterwheel was apparently still in situ in the 1970s. The last of the buildings were demolished in 1971, being unused and dangerous, the weir having been breached earlier (WAA 2013, 20)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	24
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Mill
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	11889
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Mill
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	311949,529898
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Site of Mill, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map as 'Wood Mill (Bobbin)', but gone by 1900. Wood mill is probably the same as a fulling mill identified at 'Moor Closes' listed in a 1578 survey. The fulling mill can be traced back to the mid 15 <sup>th</sup> century, in 1478 described as 'newly situated opposite the corn mill' (Asset 25) and in 1453 as 'a new fulling mill on the

river cocker'. It is not mentioned in a 1437/8 account. It seems likely to have been demolished by 1900 (WAA 2013, 23). Some remains were uncovered during a flood in 2009

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 25

**Site Name** Double Mills

**Designation** Grade II Listed

**HER Number** 11888

**Site Type** Site of Mill

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 311905,529881

**Source** NHL 1144766; CCC HER; First Ed OS map

**Description** Double Mills, probably dating to the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map as 'Double Mills (Corn)', but as 'Double Mills (Disused)' on 1900 map. Some of the original buildings do not survive, although the site is annotated with 'Double Mills (Youth Hostel)' on 1960 Ordnance Survey map. It may also have been the site of the 15<sup>th</sup> century corn mill, and perhaps also, a 13<sup>th</sup> century one.

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 26

**Site Name** Site of Quarry

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Quarry

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 312971,534337

**Source** Second Ed OS map

**Description** 'Quarry' depicted on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and marked as 'Old Quarry' on Second Edition Map. Shown but not labelled on 1925 and

1968 maps, and no longer depicted on modern mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 27

**Site Name** Moota House

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Public House

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 314897,535745

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Public house, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on subsequent mapping, still shown as a public house on 1971 Ordnance Survey map. Building still shown at this location on modern map

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 28

**Site Name** Features associated with Threapland Lees

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Features associated with Threapland Lees

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 316336,538043

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** 'Threapland Lees' farmstead is shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and had developed over the 20<sup>th</sup> century. It is not marked on Hodkinson and Donald's map of 1770. The pipeline route passes to the south of the farmstead buildings but may encounter earlier boundary features

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Outbuildings south of Kirkland Gate
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Buildings
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	318939,539964
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Buildings, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map on south side of the road, south of Kirkland Gate and north of Bog Hall, presumably associated with Kirkland Gate farmstead. Buildings shown at this location on modern mapping
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Bog Hall
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	10931
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	318957,539927
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	Site of Bog Hall and grounds, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map on south side of the road, south of Kirkland Gate, and all of it gone by 1900
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	School
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval

**NGR** 307935,530327  
**Source** Second Ed OS map  
**Description** 'School' first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map and called 'Brigham St Bridget's School' on 1967 map. Building still shown on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 32  
**Site Name** Terraced Housing  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Buildings  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 308013,530324  
**Source** Second Ed OS map  
**Description** Small terrace of housing first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map and labelled 'Lady Wath' on 1967 map. Buildings still shown on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 33  
**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Building  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 308392,530599  
**Source** Second Ed OS map  
**Description** Rectangular building first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map and on 1967 map but not shown on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage



asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 34  
**Site Name** Holme Wood and associated gardens  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Building  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311584,530020  
**Source** Second Ed OS map  
**Description** 'Holme Wood' with associated grounds is first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on 1988 map it is annotated with 'Holmewood (Council Offices)'. The buildings are still marked on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 35  
**Site Name** Oakhurst and associated gardens  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Building  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311623,529657  
**Source** Second Ed OS map  
**Description** 'Oakhurst' with associated grounds is first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map in Simonscales Wood south of Cockermouth, and on the 1925 Ordnance Survey map it is shown with a glasshouse to the north of the main building. It is still marked on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 36  
**Site Name** Site of a Golf Course with associated Pavilion  
**Designation** Non-designated

<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of a Golf Course
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	312018,529692
<b>Source</b>	Second Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	A golf course with a pavilion is shown on the Second Edition Ordnance Survey map, but no longer shown on the 1925 Third Edition Ordnance Survey map
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Terraced housing
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Buildings
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	312175,530242
<b>Source</b>	Second Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	'Railway Terrace', a row of terraced housing, first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map, and still marked on modern mapping
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Small Rectangular Building
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Building
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	311118,532621
<b>Source</b>	Second Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Small rectangular building first shown on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map and shown on 1925 and 1960 map but not on modern mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 39

**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 312616,534117

**Source** Second Ed OS map

**Description** Rectangular building, seen on Second Edition Ordnance Survey map and on 1968 Ordnance Survey map but not on modern mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 40

**Site Name** Site of L-shaped Building

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** 20th century

**NGR** 303504,529633

**Source** Third Ed OS map

**Description** Site of L-shaped building shown on Third Edition Ordnance Survey map of 1925, but built over by a housing development by 1961

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 41

**Site Name** Church Rooms

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Building

**Period** 20th century  
**NGR** 304428,529296  
**Source** Third Ed OS map  
**Description** 'Church Rooms', first shown on Third Edition Ordnance Survey map and building still shown at this location on modern mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 42  
**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Building  
**Period** 20th century  
**NGR** 308174,530622  
**Source** Third Ed OS map  
**Description** Rectangular building first shown on Third Edition Ordnance Survey map and gone by 1967  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 43  
**Site Name** Site of Rectangular Building  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Building  
**Period** 20th century  
**NGR** 310480,530166  
**Source** Third Ed OS map  
**Description** Rectangular building first shown on Third Edition Ordnance Survey map and shown on 1967 map, but gone by 1988  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 44  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 302662,529371  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 45  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 302760,529395  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 46  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 302699,529378  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 47  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 302915,529461  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 48  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 303118,529541  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 49

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 303339,529623

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with a mature hedgerow along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 50

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304428,529450

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 51

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304563,529586  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 52  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304626,529603  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 53  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304674,529620  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and



observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 54

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304955,529747

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 55

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305168,529741

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 56

**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305253,529826  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 57  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305343,529776  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 58  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304560,529115

<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established field boundary with several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304984,529076
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an area of mature trees close to the route of the A66
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	305163,529051
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a small stretch of unmaintained drystone wall, within a modern enclosed field
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Road Bridge
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Bridge
<b>Period</b>	Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	305647,529220
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey; First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	A possibly modern road bridge noted at the time of the walkover survey, constructed to carry the main road through Bridgefoot across the river Marron. A bridge is shown at this location as 'Marron Bridge' on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Road Bridge
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Bridge
<b>Period</b>	Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	305733,529297
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey; First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	A road bridge noted at the time of the walkover survey, constructed to carry the main road through Bridgefoot across the former route of the Bridgefoot branch line railway. A bridge is shown at this location on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Features relating to Former Railway Line
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Railway Line  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 305796,529437  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** The route of the former Bridgefoot branch line, once connected to the Cockermouth to Whitehaven railway, visible during the walkover survey in this area as upstanding remains of the embankment  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 64  
**Site Name** Footings for Former Railway Bridge  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Footings for Former Railway Bridge  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 305810,529480  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** Footings for a former railway bridge to carry the Bridgefoot branch line, once connected to the Cockermouth to Whitehaven railway, visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 65  
**Site Name** Bridge  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Bridge  
**Period** Modern  
**NGR** 305944,529459  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** A bridge noted at the time of the walkover survey, over the River Marron

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 66

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305936,529387

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 67

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 306493,529528

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to the north of a trackway, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing field boundary with several mature trees and areas of hedge along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 68

**Site Name** Field Boundary

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	306555,529696
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	306679,529797
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	306807,529811
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 71

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307079,530011

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping on west side of a lane, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 72

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307085,530312

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping on east side of a lane, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge



**Site Number** 73  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306920,529958  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 74  
**Site Name** Road Bridge  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Road Bridge  
**Period** Modern  
**NGR** 306912,529989  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** A road bridge noted at the time of the walkover survey, carrying the road between Broughton Cross and Bridgefoot  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 75  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307658,530228

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 76

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307856,530323

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a stretch of drystone wall

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 77

**Site Name** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307854,530360

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Site of a field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, visible as earthworks within a field

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 78  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307898,530475  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 79  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307950,530435  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 80  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307942,530491  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 81  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308003,530499  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 82  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308003,530499  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 83

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308214,530693

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an unmaintained stretch of drystone wall with several mature trees and areas of hedgerow along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 84

**Site Name** Area of Mature Trees

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Area of Mature Trees

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307856,530323

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Several mature trees observed during the walkover survey and seen to form a trackway, similar to a tree-lined trackway shown on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 85

**Site Name** Former Brick Building

**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Building  
**Period** Modern  
**NGR** 308414,530832  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** The remains of a small brick built building, observed during the walkover survey and thought to be of modern origin  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 86  
**Site Name** Earthworks  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Earthworks  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 308384,530932  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** The walkover survey noted earthworks close to the former route of the railway, and A66  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 87  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 308483,530951  
**Source** Walkover Survey; First Ed OS Mapping  
**Description** Field boundary, surviving as a stretch of drystone wall. It may appear on earlier Ordnance Survey maps and is located in the vicinity of a former

tramway (Asset 9)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 88

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** c.1845

**NGR** 308782,531026

**Source** Walkover Survey; Joy 1983, 152-3

**Description** Field boundary, close to the former vicarage, consisting of a stretch of low drystone wall with several large mature trees. The original vicarage is thought to date to the medieval period (Asset 155), and was replaced around 1847 with this new vicarage, now also a former vicarage (Joy 1983, 152-3)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 89

**Site Name** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309300,531039

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on Second Edition, but gone by the publication of the Third in 1925. At the time of the walkover survey, it was visible as an earthwork in the field

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Earthworks
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Earthworks
<b>Period</b>	Unknown
<b>NGR</b>	309395,531070
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	The walkover survey noted earthworks within the field, north of the former route of the Cockermouth to Workington Railway and the A66. A former field boundary is known to have existed at this location from the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, still shown on 1960 Ordnance Survey mapping, but no longer surviving
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	309494,531053
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an existing established hedgerow with several mature trees along its length, running on the north side of the road
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Remains of Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated



<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Remains of Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	309489,531149
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, still shown on Second Edition, but gone by Third Edition of 1925. At the time of the walkover survey, it was visible as earthworks in the north-east corner of the field
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Gateway
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Gateway
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	309472,531145
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A gate stoop was noted during the walkover survey, which must have once formed part of a gateway in a former field boundary (Asset 92)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	309876,531016
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and

observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a well maintained drystone wall

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 95

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310008,531024

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping on the south side of the road, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 96

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310071,530947

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on subsequent Ordnance Survey mapping until 1967, when it is shown as a dashed line, and therefore presumably disused. At the time of the walkover survey, mature trees were noted surviving along the former boundary

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 97  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309980,531080  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on later editions running along the west side of a track, the boundary later truncated by the A66. At the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 98  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310115,530740  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a disused drystone wall built on top of a raised earthen bank, which has more recently been replaced with two modern fencelines  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 99  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A

<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310165,530694
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a raised earthen bank with modern fenceline and several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310331,530582
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an unmaintained drystone wall with associated group of mature trees and hedgerow forming the field boundary
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310346,530475
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature

hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 102

**Site Name** Remains of Rectangular Building

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310516,530372

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Rectangular building, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, straddling a former field boundary and to the immediate east of a lane. The land and field boundary are not shown on 1988 Ordnance Survey mapping, though the building is, but none of these features are shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, a ruinous barn was noted at this location, consisting of two fully standing walls and additional rubble was noted in the vicinity

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 103

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310681,530379

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, visible as a modern fenceline with several mature trees and remains of a possible hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 104

**Site Name** Earthworks

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Earthworks

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 310844,530282

**Source** Walkover Survey

**Description** The walkover survey noted earthworks within the field, south of the A66. No features are shown in the vicinity on old Ordnance Survey mapping, although this could relate to the construction of the A66

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 105

**Site Name** Area of Mature Trees

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Area of Mature Trees

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310182,531288

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Several mature trees observed during the walkover survey situated along an existing fenceline boundary. This boundary is shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 106

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

---

<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310287,531454
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping to the south of a road, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow on top of an established earthen bank with layers of stone visible within its construction
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310472,531505
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with associated fenceline
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310498,531588
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow on a raised earthen bank with several layers of stone visible within its construction

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 109

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310566,531680

**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 110

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310735,531792

**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and on the



earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow on a raised earthen bank with several layers of stone visible within its construction

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 111

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310853,532098

**Source** Tithe Award Map for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC8/149; First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 112

**Site Name** Trackway

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Trackway

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314092,534762

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Trackway, shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, it was found to be overgrown

and disused

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 113

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314286,534979

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, consisting of mature trees

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 114

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314357,535054

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow on an earthen bank, with associated fenceline

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Period</b>	Unknown
<b>NGR</b>	314391,535074
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north of Blindcrake during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Earthwork
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Earthwork
<b>Period</b>	Unknown
<b>NGR</b>	314427,535144
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	An earthwork was observed in a field to the north of Blindcrake. No features are shown at this location on old Ordnance Survey maps
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860

**NGR** 314440,535178  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, seemed to be unmaintained and consisting of mature trees  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 118  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary Remains  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary Remains  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314453,535189  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping but gone by the publication of the Second Edition in 1900. At the time of the walkover survey, earthwork remains were visible  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 119  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314469,535213  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a possibly disused

drystone wall with some mature trees and a neighbouring modern fenceline

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 120

**Site Name** Field Boundary and Former Trackway

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary and Former Trackway

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314497,535280

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Drystone wall and possible former trackway shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey. The modern field boundary also consisted of a fenceline and some mature trees at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 121

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 314522,535295

**Source** Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north of Blindcrake during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 122  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314437,535307  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established drystone wall with associated modern fenceline. Some areas of the field boundary are unmaintained and in a state of disrepair  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 123  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314611,535390  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established drystone wall with associated modern fenceline. Some areas of the field boundary are unmaintained and in a state of disrepair  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 124

**Site Name** Water Management Ditch  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ditch  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314497,535484  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** Water management ditch, allowing water to run under the A595, noted during the walkover survey, and likely to be modern in origin  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 125  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314676,535464  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with associated fenceline and possible remains of previous drystone wall boundary  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 126  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314775,535529  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow on top of a raised earthen bank and with a modern fenceline associated with it  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 127  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314848,535636  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow including several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 128  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314937,535740



<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow including several mature trees along its length. The hedgerow was growing on an earthen bank with some possible lining stones visible within it
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314963,535788
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established drystone wall which includes several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Period</b>	Unknown
<b>NGR</b>	314998,535842
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	An area of possible ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of the village of Bothel during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 131

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Modern

**NGR** 315267,536193

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping which at the time of the walkover survey, survived as a modern drystone wall

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 132

**Site Name** Earthworks

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Earthworks

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 315666,536796

**Source** Walkover Survey

**Description** Possible earthworks were observed in a field close to the A595 and a back road to Plumbland. No features are shown at this location on old Ordnance Survey maps, though a field boundary is shown on old Ordnance Survey maps from the First Edition to as recently as 1971 not far to the north of this location

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 133

**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315705,536970  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping which at the time of the walkover survey, survived as a maintained drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 134  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315755,537193  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping. Observed at the time of the walkover survey surviving as an established mature hedgerow. Earthworks noted to the west may relate to an earlier northeast - southwest aligned field boundary shown on old Ordnance Survey maps from the First Edition through to 1971, adjoining the surviving field boundary  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 135  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316503,538497  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 136  
**Site Name** Former Gateway  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Gateway  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316777,538806  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A former gateway was noted during the walkover survey close to a modern hedgerow, which must have once formed part of a gateway in a former field boundary at this location shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 137  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317260,539224  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length. A ditch was noted that

has been excavated close to the boundary and forms part of it

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 138

**Site Name** Trackway

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Trackway

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314497,533916

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Trackway shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving, and bounded by mature trees

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 139

**Site Name** Trackway and Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Trackway and Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 317797,539441

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Trackway shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving, and bounded by mature hedgerows

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 140

**Site Name** Field Boundary

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	318141,539714
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	318278,539848
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow set on a raised embankment with some possible lining stone visible in the bank
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	318323,539809

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as an established mature hedgerow set on a raised embankment lined with stone

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 143

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318410,539838

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a disused field boundary consisting of several mature trees and bushes sparsely spread along its length, at a higher elevation to the field to the south, raised up by a large earthen bank (same as Asset 144)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 144

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318474,539856

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a well-established mature hedgerow with several mature along its length, at a

higher elevation to the field to the south, raised up 1.5m by a large earthen bank (same as Asset 143)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 145

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318696,539870

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with several mature trees along its length

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 146

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 319100,539993

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow on top of a raised earthen bank

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 147



**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319322,540301  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow between two areas of willow tree plantation  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 148  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319585,540415  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey and subsequent mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a disused field boundary consisting of several mature trees with a slightly raised base spread along its length, probably the remains of a dry-stone wall or stone lined bank  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 149  
**Site Name** Area of Mature Trees  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Area of Mature Trees

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320224,540781  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** An area of well-established mature trees observed during the walkover survey situated within a small valley leading to the River Ellen. Trees are shown at this location on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 150  
**Site Name** Area of Mature Trees  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Area of Mature Trees  
**Period** Early 20th century  
**NGR** 320224,540781  
**Source** Third Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A plantation of well-established mature trees observed during the walkover survey situated. Trees are not shown at this location on the Second Edition Ordnance Survey map of 1900, but are on the Third Edition map of 1926  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 151  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321513,541180  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as established brush and mature trees on a raised earthen bank

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 152

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 321655,541225

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** Field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and observed at the time of the walkover survey, surviving as a mature hedgerow with some mature trees on top of a raised earthen bank. The earthen bank contained some visible stone, possibly for a former drystone wall or stone lined bank

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 153

**Site Name** A595 course of Roman Road

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 10864

**Site Type** Site of Roman Road

**Period** Roman

**NGR** 316700,537720 to 313450,533950

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Roman road (now the A595) which continues onto NY13SW and NY13NE, shown on the Ordnance Survey 1:10000 map sheet NY13NW 1973

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 154

**Site Name** Nun's Well

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	848
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of well
<b>Period</b>	Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308720,531040
<b>Source</b>	CCC HER; NMR 9028
<b>Description</b>	Site of Nun's Well, listed as a Holy Well (NMR 9028). Known from documentary evidence. No surface traces. Possibly destroyed by roadworks
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Parsonage Farmhouse
<b>Designation</b>	Grade II* Listed
<b>HER Number</b>	4208
<b>Site Type</b>	Extant building
<b>Period</b>	Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	308584,530987
<b>Source</b>	CCC HER; NHL 1145197; NMR 9034; Joy 1983, 152-3
<b>Description</b>	Vicarage, now Farmhouse. An altered 13 <sup>th</sup> or 14 <sup>th</sup> century medieval two storey building with 19 <sup>th</sup> and 20 <sup>th</sup> century alterations, a slate roof and central chimney. It may have been originally constructed as a manor house. It became the vicarage for the medieval church of St Bridget (Asset 192), replaced by a more modern vicarage after the construction of the railway in 1847 (Joy 1983, 152-3). Wordsworth's son lived there while it was still a vicarage in the mid 19 <sup>th</sup> century ( <i>ibid</i> )
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of national significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Urn Find
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated

**HER Number** 4271  
**Site Type** Find Spot  
**Period** Bronze Age  
**NGR** 310000,531000  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** A Bronze Age urn found at Papcastle prior to 1870. Now in the British Museum. Probably found in association with Asset 157  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 157  
**Site Name** Knife Find  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 4272  
**Site Type** Find Spot  
**Period** Bronze Age  
**NGR** 310000,531000  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** A bronze knife blade (now in the British Museum) possibly associated with the urn (Asset 156)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 158  
**Site Name** Maryport and Carlisle Railway, Derwent Branch  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 11773  
**Site Type** Course of railway  
**Period** Industrial  
**NGR** 307900,535200  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Course of a now dismantled railway first shown on the Second Edition

Ordnance Survey map of 1900

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 159

**Site Name** Papcastle Ring Ditch Cropmark

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 13560

**Site Type** Geophysical Anomaly

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 310100,531200

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Cropmarks investigated by geophysical survey and interpreted as an enclosure, hut circle and postholes for a large timber building. Possibly associated with the extramural settlement around Papcastle Roman Fort

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 160

**Site Name** Dress fastener find

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 19500

**Site Type** Find Spot

**Period** Roman

**NGR** 310000,531000

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Cast bronze disc headed fastener with enamel decoration found by metal detector in a spoil heap during the building of a by-pass on the A595 road

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 161

**Site Name** Axe Find

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	16927
<b>Site Type</b>	Find Spot
<b>Period</b>	Neolithic
<b>NGR</b>	319030,540020
<b>Source</b>	CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	Axe found in 1958 conforming to the "Cumbrian Type"
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Milestone opposite Moota Inn
<b>Designation</b>	Grade II Listed
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Extant structure
<b>Period</b>	Late 18 <sup>th</sup> or early 19 <sup>th</sup> century
<b>NGR</b>	315091,535892
<b>Source</b>	NHL 1312023
<b>Description</b>	Milestone for the Carlisle to Cockermouth turnpike
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge. It also lies within the Lake District National Park
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Milestone south-west of Kirkland Green
<b>Designation</b>	Grade II Listed
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Extant structure
<b>Period</b>	Late 18 <sup>th</sup> or early 19 <sup>th</sup> century
<b>NGR</b>	319064,540098
<b>Source</b>	NHL 1144497
<b>Description</b>	Milestone for the Carlisle to Cockermouth turnpike dating to the late 18 <sup>th</sup> or early 19 <sup>th</sup> century

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 164

**Site Name** Railway Line

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 11531

**Site Type** Site of a railway line

**Period** Industrial

**NGR** 307135,530244 to 310074,531071

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Site of a railway line marked on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping as the Cockermouth and Workington Railway line. The railway was built as the Cockermouth Keswick and Penrith Railway between 1862 and 1864. It was constructed as a mineral line to link Workington in the west to Durham in the east, connecting at Penrith with the railway route across the Pennines to the Darlington area. At its western end, it linked to the Cockermouth and Workington Railway at Cockermouth. The line initially carried goods traffic, but in 1865, it opened to passenger traffic. The link with the West Coast Main Line at Penrith, which had opened in 1844, provided access to the Lake District for the growing numbers of tourists. The Cockermouth Keswick and Penrith railway was not an operating company; goods were transported by the Stockton and Darlington Railway, and passengers by the London and North Western Railway. The line was first recommended for closure in the Beeching Report of 1963, and in 1964, all goods services were withdrawn. Passenger services west of Keswick Station were withdrawn in 1966, and by 1968, all remaining stations along the surviving stretch between Keswick and Penrith became unstaffed halts. The last passenger train ran in March 1972

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 165



<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Railway Station, Brigham
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of a railway station
<b>Period</b>	Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	308450,530977
<b>Source</b>	First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping
<b>Description</b>	Site of a railway station marked on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping on the Cockermouth and Workington Railway line (Asset 163)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Guide Post
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Guide Post
<b>Period</b>	Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	315184,536055
<b>Source</b>	First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping
<b>Description</b>	Guidepost depicted on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, on A595 Roman route
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge. It lies within the Lake District National Park
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Site of Guide Post
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of Guide Post
<b>Period</b>	Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	315310,536213
<b>Source</b>	First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Guidepost depicted on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, on A595 Roman route

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge. It lies within the Lake District National Park

**Site Number** 168

**Site Name** Site of Milestone

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Milestone

**Period** Industrial

**NGR** 303382,529608

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Milestone depicted on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, 'Workington 2, Cockermouth 6'. Not listed like surviving milestones so unlikely to still exist

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 169

**Site Name** Site of Sewage Works

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of Sewage Works

**Period** Industrial

**NGR** 310352,530878

**Source** Second Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Site of Sewage Works and Tanks for 'Cockermouth U.D. Council', depicted on Second Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and not on modern Ordnance Survey mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 170  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316387,538004  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 171  
**Site Name** Papcastle to Moresby Roman Road  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 11073  
**Site Type** Remains of a Roman Road  
**Period** Roman  
**NGR** 310800,531473 to 310303,531936  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Length of Roman road, continues to the north as HER 10721. Frank Giecco (*pers. comm.*) ascertains that this is visible above ground, to the west of the proposed pipeline route  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 172  
**Site Name** Papcastle to Lamplugh Woodmoor Roman Road  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 1036  
**Site Type** Site of a Roman Road  
**Period** Roman

**NGR** 310458,531123 to 309585,530893  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Site of a former 7 mile Roman road between Papcastle fort (CCC HER 872) and Lamplugh Woodmoor  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 173  
**Site Name** Roman Road  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of a Roman Road  
**Period** Roman  
**NGR** 310458,531123 to 309585,530893  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** A road was found during geophysical survey, and a part excavated during the 2010 season as part of the ongoing research project at Papcastle (Grampus Heritage's Discovering Derventio project, not yet published). The excavated part showed it to be 10m wide with two roadside ditches either side of the road  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 174  
**Site Name** Building in Great Clifton  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Extant Building  
**Period** At least Industrial  
**NGR** 304201,529413  
**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping  
**Description** Building to the south-east of the crossroads at Great Clifton, to the east of 'Ship Inn', marked on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. On the Second Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, this building is annotated 'smithy'.

Buildings marked on modern Ordnance Survey mapping at this location

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 175

**Site Name** Site of 'Cat Bank' Lane

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of a track

**Period** At least Industrial

**NGR** 305472,529483

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Track, labelled 'Cat Bank' heading north-westwards, to the west of Bridgefoot, on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. On the Second Edition Ordnance Survey map, this track is shown leading to 'Lowther Brickworks', which on the Third Edition Ordnance Survey map are labelled 'Lowther Brickworks (disused)'. The track is not shown on modern Ordnance Survey mapping

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 176

**Site Name** Site of Building marked 'Colin Grove'

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of building

**Period** At least Industrial

**NGR** 307255,530255

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Building to the north of the Cockermouth and Workington Railway (Site 67), marked as 'Colin Grove' on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. Later mapping appears to show it having been subsumed by the railway, though the name is still depicted. No building is marked on modern Ordnance Survey mapping at this location

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 177

**Site Name** Site of Building marked 'Seaur Close'

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Site of building

**Period** At least Industrial

**NGR** 307393,530274

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Building to the north of the Cockermouth and Workington Railway (Site 67), and east of 'Colin Grove' (Site 101) marked as 'Seaur Close' on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. Later mapping appears to show it having been subsumed by the railway, though the name is still depicted. No building is marked on modern Ordnance Survey mapping at this location

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 178

**Site Name** Building at Stony Beck

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Extant Building

**Period** At least Industrial

**NGR** 307774,530522

**Source** First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping

**Description** Building annotated with Stony Beck on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping. Second Edition Ordnance Survey mapping labels it with 'Stony Beck Cottages'. A building is marked on modern Ordnance Survey mapping at this location

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	East/west orientated tracks south of Cockermouth
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Site of tracks
<b>Period</b>	At least Industrial
<b>NGR</b>	311177,529728
<b>Source</b>	First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping
<b>Description</b>	Two east-west orientated tracks, associated with neat though irregular sized rectangular fields to the south of Cockermouth. Also seen on Second and Third Edition mapping, and on earlier mapping, including the plan of Cockermouth Common, 1832 and the Tithe Map and Tithe Award of Brigham Parish, 1840. No longer in existence, beneath modern housing on modern Ordnance Survey mapping.
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Bridekirk Enclosure Field System
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	3723
<b>Site Type</b>	Field System
<b>Period</b>	Unknown
<b>NGR</b>	312600,534200
<b>Source</b>	CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	Garth, field system. Field subjected to infrequent ploughing. Pronounced ridge and furrow
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Stainburn Hall Grange and Deer Park
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

<b>Site Type</b>	Medieval Grange and Deer Park
<b>Period</b>	Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	302889,529362
<b>Source</b>	Caron Newman pers. comm.
<b>Description</b>	Stainburn Hall Deer Park, first documented in 1220 (St Bees Register 330, p.334- Caron Newman pers. comm.). <i>"Here was formerly an oratory, subordinate to the priory of St Bees"</i> (Lewis 1831, 153). The original old Hall was situated to the west of the present hall (Asset 1)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Settlement of Great Clifton
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Small Nucleated Settlement
<b>Period</b>	Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	303819,529713
<b>Source</b>	Caron Newman pers. comm.; Armstrong et al 1950, 359
<b>Description</b>	Small nucleated settlement of Great Clifton, first documented in 1160 (Armstrong et al 1950, 359)
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Settlement of Little Clifton
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Small Nucleated Settlement
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	305542,529147
<b>Source</b>	Caron Newman pers. comm.; Lewis 1831, 476
<b>Description</b>	Settlement of Little Clifton, includes the site of a former graveyard disused by 1736, where there are <i>"the remains of an ancient cross, where, according</i>



*to tradition, a market was formerly held” (Lewis 1831, 476)*

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 184

**Site Name** Turnpike between Workington and Bridgefoot

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Turnpike Road

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 304037,529655

**Source** Hindle 1984, 138-9

**Description** Turnpike road established between Workington and Bridgefoot in 1753. Turnpikes generally took over existing roads and improved the worst parts, so the route is likely to predate 1753

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 185

**Site Name** Turnpike between Bridgefoot and Cockermouth

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Turnpike Road

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 307832,530743

**Source** Hindle 1984, 138-9

**Description** Turnpike road established between Bridgefoot and Cockermouth in 1753. Turnpikes generally took over existing roads and improved the worst parts, so the route is likely to predate 1753

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 186

**Site Name** Site of Possible Quarry Sites

**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Possible Quarry Sites  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311672,533752  
**Source** Lewis 1831, 247  
**Description** Lewis writes of Bridekirk, that there are “*quarries of limestone and white freestone within the parish*” (Lewis 1831, 247)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 187  
**Site Name** Site of Settlement of Williamsgate  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Site of Discrete Settlement  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 313613,534421  
**Source** Caron Newman pers. comm.; Hodkinson and Donald 1770  
**Description** Williamsgate was a discrete settlement by the late 18<sup>th</sup> century, and seems to have been in the vicinity, and served by, the Black Cock public house, the building is still in existence to the south of the route. The Black Cock is marked on Hodkinson and Donald’s plan of 1770. It could be that it was once a larger settlement that spread into the proposed pipeline route  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 188  
**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 305580,529950

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north of Bridgefoot and Little Clifton and south of the River Derwent during the walkover survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 189

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1842

**NGR** 311021,532762

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Plan of Commons at Dovenby and Papcastle in 1842 CRO(W) DWM/1/61

**Description** A former field boundary, visible on the plan of the commons at Dovenby and Papcastle of 1842, but gone by the publication of the First Edition Ordnance Survey map. Nothing was noted at this location during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 190

**Site Name** Settlement of Kirkland Guards

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Small Nucleated Settlement

**Period** Medieval/ Post-medieval

**NGR** 318676,539935

**Source** Caron Newman pers. comm.; Armstrong et al 1950, 266; Hodkinson and Donald 1770

**Description** Settlement of Kirkland Guards, first documented in 1165 (Armstrong et al

1950, 266). It was possibly a medieval grange owned by the church and may have early origins, or there may have been no settlement here prior to the 17<sup>th</sup> century (Caron Newman pers. comm.). Buildings and 'Kirklandguards' are depicted on Hodkinson and Donald's Plan of 1770 (Figure 5)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 191

**Site Name** Settlement and Lands of Aldersceugh

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Individual Farmstead

**Period** Medieval

**NGR** 320234,540837

**Source** Caron Newman pers. comm.; Armstrong et al 1950, 266

**Description** Settlement and lands associated with Aldersceugh, a place first referenced in 1304 (Armstrong et al 1950, 266) and the associated lands likely to extend into the route of the proposed pipeline (Caron Newman pers. comm.)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 192

**Site Name** Church of St Bridget

**Designation** Grade I

**HER Number** 809

**Site Type** Church

**Period** Medieval

**NGR** 308582,530921

**Source** NHL 1145196; CCC HER

**Description** Parish Church, originating in the late 11<sup>th</sup> century with 12<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> century additions and alterations. It was restored between 1864 and 76. The son of Wordsworth was the incumbent in the mid 19<sup>th</sup> century (Joy 1983, 152-3). There are earthworks surrounding the church which may be a round cemetery, and an Anglo-Danish cross socket with beasts heads was found

(now at Vicrage) along with other cross fragments. A bronze ring-headed pin of Viking type has also been found in the foundations of the tower (now at Tullie House). The church was by far the most important church in the area, serving some 39000 acres in the later Middle Ages

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of national significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 193

**Site Name** Sundial south of Church of St Bridget

**Designation** Grade II

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Church

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 308586,530886

**Source** NHL 1311963

**Description** Sundial, south of Parish Church (Asset 192), dating to 1684

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 194

**Site Name** Wall and Gate Piers at Entrance to Old Vicarage

**Designation** Grade II

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Wall and Gate Piers

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 308855,530860

**Source** NHL 1138198

**Description** Wall and gate piers at entrance to Old Vicarage, at start of trackway (Asset 11). The Old Vicarage was constructed after the construction of the railway c. 1847 (Joy 1983, 152-3)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 195  
**Site Name** Milestone  
**Designation** Grade II  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Extant Structure  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 306272,529577  
**Source** NHL 1144508  
**Description** Milestone, thought to date to the late 18<sup>th</sup>/ early 19<sup>th</sup> century. The Turnpike was established in 1753 (Hindle 1984, 139)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 196  
**Site Name** Milestone  
**Designation** Grade II  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Extant Structure  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 307706,530343  
**Source** NHL 1138156  
**Description** Milestone, thought to date to the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, for the Cockermouth to Workington Turnpike. The Turnpike was established in 1753 (Hindle 1984, 139)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 197  
**Site Name** Milestone

**Designation** Grade II  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Extant Structure  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 309096,530934  
**Source** NHL 1145202  
**Description** Milestone by Eller Ridge Cottage, thought to date to the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, for the Cockermouth to Workington Turnpike. The Turnpike was established in 1753 (Hindle 1984, 139)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 198  
**Site Name** Milestone  
**Designation** Grade II  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Extant Structure  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 310634,530824  
**Source** NHL 1131167  
**Description** Milestone west of Cockermouth, thought to date to the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, for the Cockermouth to Workington Turnpike. The Turnpike was established in 1753 (Hindle 1984, 139)  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (higher) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 199  
**Site Name** Quern Find  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 4493  
**Site Type** Find Spot  
**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 312000,530000  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** A quern reportedly found at Cockermouth. No precise location, or further details, are known  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 200  
**Site Name** Bridgefoot Settlement and Field System  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 4714  
**Site Type** Cropmark  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 306450,529700  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Cropmark of a settlement site, field system. According to T. Clare, a principal feature of this sub-rectangular field enclosure- presumably a settlement- is the way its entrance matches a break in the larger enclosure which is best seen as part of a field system. The existence of this corresponding break shows that the field system was contemporary, if not earlier, than the settlement, a relationship which is not always certain. A faint line across the left hand edge of the main entrance may represent a marker trench or beam slot for the gateway  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 201  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860



**NGR** 316313,537941  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 202  
**Site Name** Gallow Barrow Place Name, Cockermouth  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 4714  
**Site Type** Site of Gallows  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 311900,530390  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Site of gallows. Between 1898 and 1983 the street name has changed position  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 203  
**Site Name** Cockermouth Gas Light and Coke Company  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 10960  
**Site Type** Site of Gasworks  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 311680,530490  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** This area appears to have remained as agricultural land until the first half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. In 1834, a gas works was built on part of the site, run by a private company, the Cockermouth Gas Light and Coke Company. Foundations could still be seen in 1936, after which time the land seemed to have remained open

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 204

**Site Name** William Pit

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 11497

**Site Type** Site of Colliery

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 303767,529921

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Site of William Pit Colliery. By 1900 the Second Edition Ordnance Survey map shows that the pit appears to have substantially grown in operation, with a new mineral railway built linking it to the Cockermouth, Keswick and Penrith Railway to the west. In 1901, it employed about 350 people. It closed in February 1959

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 205

**Site Name** L & NW & Furness Joint Railway, Whitehaven, Cleator & Egremont Branch

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 11612

**Site Type** Course of railway

**Period** Industrial

**NGR** 300700,524100

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Course of a now dismantled railway. Remains of an associated sandstone bridge (providing access below for farmers) was identified in 2003. The line was constructed in 1877 and opened in 1879, built in response to local iron mine owners to increased freight charges on existing lines. It has been resurfaced and is in-use as a cycle path

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 206  
**Site Name** Site of Kirk Cross Quarry  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 11497  
**Site Type** Site of Quarry  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 308350,530700  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Site of a quarry. On the 1980 map, the site appears to be filled with water  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 207  
**Site Name** Papcastle Unclassified Earthworks  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 13557  
**Site Type** Earthworks  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 310300,531250  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Unclassified earthworks  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 208  
**Site Name** Brigham Unclassified Earthworks  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** 13557  
**Site Type** Cropmarks  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 309750,530950  
**Source** CCC HER  
**Description** Unclassified cropmarks

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 209

**Site Name** Bronze Wing-Flanged Axe Find

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 13873

**Site Type** Find Spot

**Period** Bronze Age

**NGR** 312000,530000

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Axe found in 1958 conforming to the "Cumbrian Type". A wing-flanged axe with uncertain provenance, possibly Cockermouth. Much of one flange is broken off and a piece is missing from the butt end. The axe is in good condition, its black colour suggests deposition in some anaerobic environment. It is dull brown-gold where rubbed. Dimensions: max length 12.4 cm, max width across cutting edge 4.9 cm. Weight 340 gms. The axe may be of Irish type. It is characteristic of the Taunton-Bishopsland phases of the MBA -late C14-13 BC, surviving perhaps to C12-11 BC locally

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 210

**Site Name** Papcastle Ditch, Papcastle Sewerage Works

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 16741

**Site Type** Field Boundary; Find Spot

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 310240,531260

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** Unclassified earthworks features in the direct line of the Papcastle by-pass. Previous geophysical survey suggested a double alignment of pits. No pit alignments were found during excavation, but there was a single ditch

which followed approximately the same line. The ditch had an average width of about one metre and contained some sherds of Romano-British pottery including a piece of an East Gaulish cup. Iron nails were also found in the ditch-fill at regular intervals of little over a metre; these presumably represent a timber fence in or alongside the ditch. The ditch has been interpreted by P Turnbull as a field boundary used in the agricultural exploitation of the land in the third century AD

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 211

**Site Name** Sculptured Head Find

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 19182

**Site Type** Find Spot

**Period** Post-medieval

**NGR** 312000,530000

**Source** CCC HER

**Description** A female head carved in the round from red sandstone and found on the site of a rubbish tip at Cockermouth in 1985. The head is broken off at the neck, where there are traces of white bonding material or filler indicating the point of attachment to a torso or structure. It is probably of late 18<sup>th</sup> or 19<sup>th</sup> century date

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 212

**Site Name** Site of St Leonard's Chapel

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** 43340

**Site Type** Site of Building

**Period** Medieval

**NGR** 311300,530800

**Source** CCC HER

<b>Description</b>	Supposed site of St Leonard's chapel. The chapel recorded in c. 1270 and c. 1280, lay at the west end of Cockermouth in the vicinity of a triangular field known as St Leonard's Close, between the road and the River Derwent. The field was described as the 'toft' of St Leonard's in 1547. This may suggest that the field was merely a property that belonged to St Leonards. St Leonard's chapel is unlikely to have been in a different location to St Leonard's hospital for which it was the chapel. St Leonard's hospital is located on the other side of the river in Goat. The most likely scenario is that St Leonard's hospital and chapel were in Goat and that the site considered to be the site of the chapel may simply be a property of St Leonards. The name St Leonards toft would then have transferred to the station which was built on the site (see Asset 20).
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	The Fitz Estate, Cockermouth
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	43382
<b>Site Type</b>	Landscape Park
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	311110,530520
<b>Source</b>	CCC HER
<b>Description</b>	The mansion house, the Fitz is listed Grade II, but the park is not. The Fitz estate dates from at least 1620, when Edward Savile sold "the capital messuage called Le Fitt or Le Fittes to Cuthbert Orfeur of Arkleby" It was sold again in 1627, before eventually passing to the Senhouse family. The present mansion dates to 1834-5 and replaced a c.1665 house. The park dates to the early 19 <sup>th</sup> century, or possibly the mid 17 <sup>th</sup> century and has been managed as parkland since, though houses have been built on the western side in 1999 and 2002. The south-western side is expected to contain c.660,000 cubic metres of spoil spread from the construction of the A66 trunk road

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 214

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 373700,534471

**Source** First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A field boundary shown on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and at the time of the walkover survey existed as an established hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 215

**Site Name** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Remains of Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313762,534542

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A field boundary shown on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and by the time of the walkover survey, had been replaced with two modern fence lines. The remains of the previous field boundary however, can be seen as an earthen bank with several tree stumps and areas of drystone walling remaining

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 216

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow

**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Earthworks  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 313832,534602  
**Source** Walkover survey  
**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed within a field close to the village of Blindcrake  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 217  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 313877,534682  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 218  
**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 313937,534762  
**Source** Walkover Survey  
**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed within a field close to the village of Blindcrake



**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 219

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313986,534794

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover survey

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 220

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314015,534838

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 221

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314122,534951  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 222  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314194,535009  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall, in poor condition, during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 223  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314294,535094  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and noted as an established hedgerow including several mature trees, during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to

be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 224  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314342,535200  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall, in poor condition, during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 225  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314380,535241  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall, in poor condition, during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 226  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314433,535296
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted during the walkover survey as an established hedgerow on a small earthen kest bank
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314468,535333
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted during the walkover survey as an established hedgerow with an attached modern fence line
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Earthwork
<b>Period</b>	Post-Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	314627,535539
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	An area of ridge and furrow observed within a field close to the village of Blindcrake
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 229  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311844,533929  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as a drystone wall, in poor condition, during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 230  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311823,533912  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted during the walkover survey as an established hedgerow on top of a drystone wall on a raised earthen kest bank  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 231  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311568,533840  
**Source** Walkover Survey; First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk CAC(C) DRC/8/28  
**Description** An existing field boundary consisting of an established hedgerow and several mature trees. This, along with Asset 232, forms a boundary alongside a road. This road is shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on the earlier Bridekirk Tithe Award Map and Award of 1842-4 (CAC(C) DRC/8/28), though it is not certain if the road was bounded by a hedgerow from these  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 232  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311565,533831  
**Source** Walkover Survey; First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk CAC(C) DRC/8/28  
**Description** An existing field boundary consisting of an established hedgerow and several mature trees. This, along with Asset 231, forms a boundary alongside a road. This road is shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on the earlier Bridekirk Tithe Award Map and Award of 1842-4 CAC (C) DRC/8/28, though it is not certain if the road was bounded by a hedgerow from these  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1842
<b>NGR</b>	311382,533558
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, and on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4, and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	313518,534455
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary

<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	313238,534374
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted during the walkover survey as an established hedgerow on top of a drystone wall
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>236</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Ridge and furrow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Period</b>	Post-medieval
<b>NGR</b>	312699,534231
<b>Source</b>	Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	An area of ridge and furrow observed within a field close to the village of Bridekirk
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	321965,541097
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge



**Site Number** 238  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316301,537939  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping and noted as an established hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 239  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 303541,529585  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and gone by the Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 240  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304370,529314  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900. Possibly removed when 'Church Rooms' (Asset 41) were constructed. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 241  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304661 529108  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping. Probably removed when the A66 road was constructed. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 242  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304695,529102  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and

gone by 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 243

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305038,529050

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping. Probably removed when the A66 road was constructed. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 244

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304516,529581

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and gone by the Third Edition map of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304439,529613
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and gone by the Third Edition map of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304584,529272
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and gone by the Third Edition map of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>247</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304778,529809  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 248  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304771,529717  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 249  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304819,529716

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 250

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304891,529722

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 251

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304890,529743

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 252

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305040,529764

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 253

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305118,529779

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>254</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	305428,529812
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. Probably removed at the time of the construction of the A66 road. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	305500,529810
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. Probably removed at the time of the construction of the A66 road. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>256</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated



**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305550,529829  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. Probably removed at the time of the construction of the A66 road. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 257  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 30568,529738  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping to at least 1961, but not on modern mapping. Probably removed at the time of the construction of the A66 road. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 258  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306160,529574  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 259  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306311,529529  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 260  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306359,529565  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on

subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 261

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 306447,529764

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 262

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 306724,529933

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 263

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307026,530104

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 264

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308016,530430

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	308067,530440
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>266</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	308158,530470
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308437,530692  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and affected by 1900, and then removed by 1925, by late 20<sup>th</sup> and early 21<sup>st</sup> century quarrying activity. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 268  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308946,530856  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 269  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308974,530876  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 270

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307928,530576

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 271

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308112,530576

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 272

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308166,530598

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 273

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308432,530947

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge



---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>274</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	308050,530818
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>275</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	308190,530714
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>276</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308200,530771  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 277  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308286,530818  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 278  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308952,530858  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 279

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 308998,530896

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 280

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309597,531092

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 281

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309759,531091

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 282

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309794,531084

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>283</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310012,530928
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but on 1961 map it is shown as dotted, so perhaps disused. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>284</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary and Trackway
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary and Trackway
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310016,530820
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary and trackway shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>285</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Avenue of Trees
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Avenue of Trees  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309794,531084  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former row of trees shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 286  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310363,530409  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1988. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 287  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310607,530380  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1988. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 288

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310517,530129

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1988. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 289

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310803,530791

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 290

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311018,530816

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 291

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311048,530809

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge



---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310980,530897
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>293</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310012,530928
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but on 1961 map it is shown as dotted, so perhaps disused. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>294</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311197,530859  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 295  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1840  
**NGR** 311851,529737  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Cockermouth CAC(C) DRC/8/47  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map, earlier tithe award plan of 1840, and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 296  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1840  
**NGR** 311882,529776  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Cockermouth CAC(C) DRC/8/47

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map, earlier tithe award plan of 1840, and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 297

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1840

**NGR** 311874,529683

**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Cockermouth CAC(C) DRC/8/47

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map, earlier tithe award plan of 1840, and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 298

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310241,531366

**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 299

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310733,532004

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 300

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311000,532436

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>301</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	311021,532714
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>302</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	311053,532728
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311207,533355  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 304  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311305,533483  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on earlier to the award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4, though not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 305  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311435,533636  
**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1961. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 306

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1842

**NGR** 311509,533717

**Source** First Ed OS map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map, on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4, and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 307

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 312584,534153

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 308

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313461,534394

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 309

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313896,534579

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge



---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>310</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314156,534866
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>311</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314519,535208
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>312</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314567,535456  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 313  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314670,535567  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 314  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315030,535808  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge. It lies within the Lake District National Park

**Site Number** 315

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315099,535965

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge. It lies within the Lake District National Park

**Site Number** 316

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315678,536905

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 317

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315747,537014

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 318

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315828,5367057

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>319</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	316084,537796
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>320</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	316349,537970
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316452,538167  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 322  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316483,538292  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 323  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316598,538577  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 324

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 316596,538649

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 325

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 316741,538755

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 326

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 316743,538812

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 327

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 316934,538898

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge



---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>328</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	317191,539184
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	317231,539196
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>330</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317367,539251  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 331  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317716,539377  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 332  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317767,539371  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 333

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 317768,539452

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 334

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318588,539899

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 335

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318703,539913

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1971. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 336

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318848,539934

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>337</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	319211,540083
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>338</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	319319,540193
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS map
<b>Description</b>	Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>339</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Former Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320164,540680  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 340  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320099,540692  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 341  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320255,540825  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 342

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 320388,540806

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 343

**Site Name** Possible Enclosure

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Possible Enclosure

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 309395, 531076

**Source** Google Earth imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** Possible enclosure site visible on Google Earth imagery and noted during the walkover survey consisting of a built up area of ground. The site is closely associated with site 16 a possible quarry/mining site which is sunk into the possible enclosure and also sites 90, 92 and 93; former field boundaries

which surround the possible enclosure

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 344

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 302669, 529307

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey mapping, subsequent mapping and modern mapping, and noted during the walkover survey as being a mature hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 345

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 320548,540830

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 346



**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320896,540915  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 347  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321135,540915  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and not on Second Edition map of 1900. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 348  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321253,541098  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 349  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321282,541101  
**Source** First Ed OS map  
**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on Second Edition map of 1900 but gone by Third Edition of 1925. No traces were visible during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 350  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321496,541021  
**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 351

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 321733,541082

**Source** First Ed OS map

**Description** Former field boundary shown on First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on subsequent mapping, but not on modern mapping. No traces were visible during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 352

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 302827,529344

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still noted on modern mapping and noted during the walkover survey as a mature hedgerow

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	303216,529496
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>354</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	303395,529559
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>355</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 303791,529675  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 356  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 303813,529722  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 357  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 303850,529696  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 358

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304269,529500

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 359

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304162,529553

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still noted on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 360

**Site Name** Field Boundary

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304352,529255
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>361</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304535,529143
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>362</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	304596,529114
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 363

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304453,529663

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 364

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 304806,529836

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge



**Site Number** 365  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 304631,529786  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 366  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305033,529860  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 367  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305513,529801  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 368  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305663,529829  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 369  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305869,529524  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 370

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305891,529559

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 371

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 305906,529530

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 372

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306393,529713  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 373  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307373,530199  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still noted on modern mapping, though only surviving as a post and wire fence at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 374  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 306618,529896  
**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 375

**Site Name** Possible Medieval Settlement

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Settlement

**Period** Possibly Medieval

**NGR** 312605,534127

**Source** Walkover; Google Earth Imagery

**Description** A possible medieval settlement or enclosure close to an area of pronounced broad ridge and furrow. Noticed during the walkover survey as small hummocks/rises in ground level and thought to be natural however, after studying Google earth imagery an alternative interpretation as settlement earthworks is possible. It may relate to the possible grange of Guisborough Priory (*confer* 3.1.15)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 376

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1847

**NGR** 306407,529888

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; First Ed OS map

**Description** A former field boundary visible on Google Earth Imagery, and not shown on early Ordnance Survey maps, so likely to predate the railway of 1847

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 377  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1847  
**NGR** 306436,529905  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; First Ed OS map  
**Description** A former field boundary visible on Google Earth Imagery, and not shown on early Ordnance Survey maps, so likely to predate the railway of 1847  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 378  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1847  
**NGR** 306462,529917  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; First Ed OS map  
**Description** A former field boundary visible on Google Earth Imagery, and not shown on early Ordnance Survey maps, so likely to predate the railway of 1847  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 379  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1847  
**NGR** 306493,529949  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; First Ed OS map  
**Description** A former field boundary visible on Google Earth Imagery, and not shown on early Ordnance Survey maps, so likely to predate the railway of 1847  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 380  
**Site Name** Possible Industrial Site  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Industrial  
**Period** Post-medieval  
**NGR** 306955,530055  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A large mound, possibly industrial in origin, another possible interpretation could be that it is the site of former quarrying activity, after studying Google Earth images. The early Ordnance Survey maps show that the road at this point was widened and embanked between 1900 and 1920, and this mound may also relate to this  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 381  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307692,530281

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 382

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307681,530363

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 383

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 307847,530623

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge



**Site Number** 384  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308471,530637  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 385  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308625,530887  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, but surviving as a modern post and wire fence at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 386  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 308916,530977  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** **387**  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309139,531010  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** **388**  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309193,531910  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 389

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309268,531028

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, but surviving as a modern post and wire fence at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 390

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309356,531007

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 391

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309362,530970  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 392  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309471,531004  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 393  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 309643,530986  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still

shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 394

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 309676,531098

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 395

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310435,530258

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>396</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310684,529983
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>397</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	310734,529837
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>398</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860

**NGR** 310845,529766  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 399  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311012,529606  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 400  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310961,529566  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 401  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311043,529475  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 402  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311012,529279  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 403  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A



**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311116,529200  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 404  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311117,529161  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 405  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310909,530157  
**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 406

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311162,530158

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 407

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310718,530778

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 408  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310892,530801  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 409  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311301,530719  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving as a fenceline with trees dispersed across the length during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 410  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1838  
**NGR** 310163,531303  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and still shown on modern mapping, and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 411  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1838  
**NGR** 310223,531290  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and still shown on modern mapping, and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey. May be associated with Asset 105, an area of mature trees that was noted during the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 412  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860; Post 1839  
**NGR** 310329,531425  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, though not on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and still shown on modern mapping, and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 413  
**Site Name** Broughton Cross Turnpike Toll Cottage  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Building  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 307640,530298  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A turnpike toll cottage, marked 'Broughton Cross T.P.' is marked on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and the same building is still shown on modern mapping. It was observed as still surviving at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 414  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1838  
**NGR** 310768,531894

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, still shown on modern mapping, and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of county or district (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 415

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310692,531902

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle CAC(C) DRC/8/149

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838/9, and still shown on modern mapping, and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 416

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 310970,532284

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still

shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 417

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311080,532511

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as sporadic tree line at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 418

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 311172,532622

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>419</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	311078,532828
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>420</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	311080,532862
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>421</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860



**NGR** 310993,532895  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 422  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 310959,533073  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 423  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311005,533161  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 424  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311057,533278  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 425  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 311147,533287  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 426  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311195,533505  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4 and still shown on modern mapping. It was noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 427  
**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow  
**Period** Pre 1842  
**NGR** 311347,533620  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4 and still shown on modern mapping. It was noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 428  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860; Post 1844

<b>NGR</b>	311505,533752
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, but not on tithe award plan for Blindcrake of 1842-4, though still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>429</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1842
<b>NGR</b>	311791,533905
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on earlier tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4. It is still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>430</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1842
<b>NGR</b>	312072,533990
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4. It was noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 431

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1842

**NGR** 312131,534008

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4. It was noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 432

**Site Name** Mature Hedgerow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Mature Hedgerow

**Period** Pre 1842

**NGR** 312288,534039

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4. It was noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 433  
**Site Name** School  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Building  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 305256,529082  
**Source** First Ed OS Map; Walkover Survey  
**Description** A 'National School', is marked on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map at Bridgefoot, and on subsequent mapping, and still survived at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 434  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 313290,534408  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 435  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 313411,534349  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 436  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 313411,534415  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 437  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 313658,534516  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still

shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 438

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313681,534459

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 439

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 313674,534546

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 440



**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314070,534893  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 441  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314245,535069  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall with modern post and wire fence  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 442  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314201,534925  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 443  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314235,534966  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 444  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314304,535050  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at

the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 445

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314315,535179

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping up to 1970, though surviving only as the track with no boundary to the west at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 446

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 314367,535124

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>447</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314546,535301
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>448</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	314527,535316
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>449</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314503,535389  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 450  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314706,535504  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 451  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 314771,535580  
**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 452

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315043,535885

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge. It lies within the Lake District National Park

**Site Number** 453

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 315394,536328

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a partially surviving mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>454</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	315350,536335
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>455</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	315443,536469
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as sporadic trees and a modern fenceline at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>456</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315532,536473  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park. It is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 457  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315602,536661  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 458  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860



**NGR** 315860,537122  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 459  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315927,537457  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 460  
**Site Name** Former Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Former Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 315945,537598  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though not surviving at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>461</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1813
<b>NGR</b>	315987,537652
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Threapland Commons Enclosure Award CAC(W) QRE/1/51
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, and on earlier Threapland commons enclosure award of 1813, and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>462</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	316008,537826
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 463

<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	316507,538165
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>464</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	316543,538438
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>465</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860

**NGR** 316497,538422  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 466  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316532,538484  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 467  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316680,538677  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 468  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316702,538641  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 469  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316858,538890  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 470  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316978,538899  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 471  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 316996,538984  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 472  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317009,539145  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the

boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 473

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 317157,539168

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 474

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 317523,539290

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 475

---

<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	317593,539318
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>476</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	317669,539339
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>477</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary



**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317961,539553  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 478  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317927,539613  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as a sporadic hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 479  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 317996,539696  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as a sporadic hedgerow

at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 480

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318113,539754

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 481

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318209,539788

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 482

**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 318371,539853  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving as a drystone wall and hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 483  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 318574,539963  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 484  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 318759,539905  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 485  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319016,539957  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence, with some shrubs and trees interspersed across its length  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 486  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319147,540059  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at

the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 487

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 319249,540145

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 488

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 319249,540323

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 489

**Site Name** Field Boundary

<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	319461,540296
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>490</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	319511,540356
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as a sporadic hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>491</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	319533,540363

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, though only surviving as a sporadic hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 492

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 319700,540459

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 493

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 319730,540432

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage

asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 494  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319755,540490  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 495  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 319876,540576  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 496  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A



**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320779,540903  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping, and surviving at the time of the walkover survey, in the form of a drystone wall  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 497  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 320991,540997  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 498  
**Site Name** Field Boundary  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Field Boundary  
**Period** Pre 1860  
**NGR** 321146,541032  
**Source** First Ed OS Map  
**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at

the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 499

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 321152,541043

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 500

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1860

**NGR** 321317,541029

**Source** First Ed OS Map

**Description** A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 501

<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	321435,541020
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>502</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	321606,541028
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and still shown on modern mapping. At the time of the walkover survey, the boundary was formed by a modern post and wire fence
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>503</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary

<b>Period</b>	Pre 1860
<b>NGR</b>	321822,541073
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map, still shown on modern mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>504</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Field Boundary
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1842
<b>NGR</b>	311297,533536
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4, and on subsequent mapping, but gone by 1960
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge
<b>Site Number</b>	<b>505</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Mature Hedgerow
<b>Period</b>	Pre 1842
<b>NGR</b>	312098,533936
<b>Source</b>	First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Bridekirk, CAC(C) DRC8/28
<b>Description</b>	A field boundary visible on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on the tithe award plan for Bridekirk of 1842-4, , still shown on modern

mapping and noted as surviving as a mature hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 506

**Site Name** Former Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Former Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310809,532026

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle, CAC(C) DRC8/149

**Description** A field boundary visible on the tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838-9, but not on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map. Nothing was noted at this location during the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 507

**Site Name** Field Boundary

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Field Boundary

**Period** Pre 1838

**NGR** 310757,532116

**Source** First Ed OS Map; Tithe Award Plan for Papcastle, CAC(C) DRC8/149

**Description** A field boundary visible on the tithe award plan for Papcastle of 1838-9, and on the First Edition Ordnance Survey map and on modern mapping. It was only surviving as a sporadic hedgerow at the time of the walkover survey

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of negligible significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 508

**Site Name** Projected line of Roman Road

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A, though relates to HER 10627

**Site Type** Projected line of Roman Road

**Period** Roman

**NGR** 309192,530916

**Source** Google Earth Imagery

**Description** The route of a Roman road can be seen on Google Earth imagery to the south-east of Bothel, and must eventually meet the known Papcastle to Carlisle Road, which lies to the north east (HER 10627), which survives as an earthwork and areas of which are scheduled (Scheduled Monument 228)

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 509

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 314654,535239

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of Blindcrake during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 510

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 314566,535162  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey  
**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of Blindcrake during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 511  
**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 314515,535127  
**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey  
**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of Blindcrake during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery  
**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 512  
**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow  
**Designation** Non-designated  
**HER Number** N/A  
**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow  
**Period** Unknown  
**NGR** 314472,535072

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of Blindcrake during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is within the Lake District National Park, and therefore considered to be of district or county (lesser) significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 513

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 314380,535349

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the north-west of Blindcrake on the northern side of the A595 during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

**Site Number** 514

**Site Name** Ridge and Furrow

**Designation** Non-designated

**HER Number** N/A

**Site Type** Ridge and Furrow

**Period** Unknown

**NGR** 314025,534886

**Source** Google Earth Imagery; Walkover Survey

**Description** An area of ridge and furrow observed to the west of Blindcrake across the A595 during the walk over survey and on aerial images from Google Earth Imagery

**Assessment** The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge



---

<b>Site Number</b>	<b>515</b>
<b>Site Name</b>	Ridge and Furrow
<b>Designation</b>	Non-designated
<b>HER Number</b>	N/A
<b>Site Type</b>	Earthwork
<b>Period</b>	Post-Medieval
<b>NGR</b>	316140,537622
<b>Source</b>	NMR 1574423
<b>Description</b>	Blocks of post-medieval narrow ridge and furrow visible as cropmarks and earthworks on historic aerial photographs. Some appear to survive on modern aerial photographs
<b>Assessment</b>	The heritage asset lies within the boundary of the study area. The heritage asset is considered to be of local significance based on current knowledge

STOKE-ON-TRENT  
Sir Henry Doulton House  
Forge Lane  
Etruria  
Stoke-on-Trent  
ST1 5BD  
Tel: +44 (0)845 111 7777

CARDIFF  
22 Windsor Place  
Cardiff  
CF10 3BY  
Tel: +44 (0)29 2072 9191

EDINBURGH  
Suite 2/3, Great Michael House  
14 Links Place  
Edinburgh  
EH6 7EZ  
Tel: +44 (0)131 555 3311

GREATER MANCHESTER  
2 The Avenue  
Leigh  
Greater Manchester  
WN7 1ES  
Tel: +44 (0)1942 260101

LONDON  
Third Floor  
46 Chancery Lane  
London  
WC2A 1JE  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7242 3243

NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE  
City Quadrant  
11 Waterloo Square  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
NE1 4DP  
Tel: +44 (0)191 232 0943

PENRYN  
Tremough Innovation Centre  
Tremough Campus  
Penryn  
Cornwall  
TR10 9TA  
Tel: +44 (0)1872 560738

SHEFFIELD  
Unit 5  
Newton Business Centre  
Newton Chambers Road  
Thorncliffe Park  
Chapelton  
Sheffield  
S35 2PH  
Tel: +44 (0)114 245 6244

TRURO  
Wheal Jane  
Baldhu  
Truro  
Cornwall  
TR3 6EH  
Tel: +44 (0)1872 560738

WEST BROMWICH  
Thynne Court  
Thynne Street  
West Bromwich  
West Midlands  
B70 6PH  
Tel: +44 (0)121 580 0909

International offices:

ALMATY  
29/6 Satpaev Avenue  
Rakhat Palace Hotel  
Office Tower, 7th Floor  
Almaty  
050040  
Kazakhstan  
Tel : +7-727-3341310

MOSCOW  
Suite 2, Block 10,  
Letnikovskaya St.  
Moscow, Russia  
115114  
Tel: +7(495) 980 07 67

Wardell Armstrong Archaeology:

CUMBRIA  
Cocklakes Yard  
Carlisle  
Cumbria  
CA4 0BQ  
Tel: +44 (0)1228 564820

