

SE. 634 635.



A63 SELBY BYPASS. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY LOCATIONS

<p>SURVEY BY</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; color: red; font-weight: bold;">GeoQuest</p> <p style="font-size: 0.8em;">ASSOCIATES</p>	<p>FOR</p> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> <p style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; color: blue;">BHWB</p> <p style="font-size: 0.7em;">ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN AND PLANNING</p> </div>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;"> <div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Geomagnetic Survey</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;"> <div style="border-bottom: 2px dashed blue; width: 20px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Proposed Bypass</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: none;"> <div style="background: repeating-linear-gradient(45deg, transparent, transparent 2px, red 2px, red 4px); width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Electromagnetic Survey</td> <td style="border: none;"> <div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ep ●</div> Elect. Pole</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: none;"></td> <td style="border: none;"> <div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ic ■</div> Insp. Cover</td> </tr> </table>	<div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Geomagnetic Survey	<div style="border-bottom: 2px dashed blue; width: 20px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Proposed Bypass	<div style="background: repeating-linear-gradient(45deg, transparent, transparent 2px, red 2px, red 4px); width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Electromagnetic Survey	<div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ep ●</div> Elect. Pole		<div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ic ■</div> Insp. Cover
<div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Geomagnetic Survey	<div style="border-bottom: 2px dashed blue; width: 20px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Proposed Bypass							
<div style="background: repeating-linear-gradient(45deg, transparent, transparent 2px, red 2px, red 4px); width: 20px; height: 10px; display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Electromagnetic Survey	<div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ep ●</div> Elect. Pole							
	<div style="display: inline-block; margin-right: 5px;">ic ■</div> Insp. Cover							






FIGURE 1

SNY507

Fig 2,3 &4 not scanned

Please see Parish File for originals



A63 SELBY BYPASS. GEOPHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

<p>SURVEY BY</p> <p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: red; font-weight: bold;">GeoQuest</p> <p style="font-size: 0.8em;">ASSOCIATES</p>	<p>FOR</p> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> <p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: blue; font-weight: bold;">BHWB</p> <p style="font-size: 0.7em;">ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN AND PLANNING</p> </div>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: brown; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: blue; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: orange; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: red; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Magnetic Dipole </div> <div style="width: 45%;"></div> </div> </td></tr></table>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: brown; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: blue; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: orange; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: red; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Magnetic Dipole </div> <div style="width: 45%;"></div> </div>
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: green; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: brown; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> High Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: blue; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Susceptibility </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: orange; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Low Resistivity </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <div style="background-color: red; width: 20px; height: 10px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Magnetic Dipole </div> <div style="width: 45%;"></div> </div>			





FIGURE 5






A63 SELBY BYPASS. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION



SURVEY BY

GeoQuest
ASSOCIATES

FOR

BHWB
ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN AND PLANNING

- | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|
|  | Pit, Ditch or Palaeochannel |  | In Situ Burning |
|  | Ferrous/Brick Debris |  | Stoney Area |
|  | Metal Pipe | | |



1:2500




FIGURE 6

A63 SELBY BYPASS. SETTING OUT DETAILS

SURVEY BY



FOR








FIGURE 7

APPENDIX A

PRINCIPLES OF GEOMAGNETIC SURVEYING


Geomagnetic prospecting detects subsurface features in terms of the perturbations or 'anomalies' that they induce in the Earth's magnetic field. In contrast to resistivity, seismic or electromagnetic surveying, no energy is injected into the subsoil and hence this is one of a class of *passive* geophysical techniques that includes gravity and thermal surveying. In an archaeological setting two types of magnetic anomalies can be distinguished:

- 1 Anomalies arising from variations in *magnetic susceptibility* which will modulate the component of magnetisation *induced* in the subsurface by the Earth's magnetic field. For most archaeological sites, this is the dominant factor giving rise to geomagnetic anomalies. In general, susceptibility is relatively weak in sediments, such as sandstones and enhanced in igneous rocks and soils, especially those which have been burnt or stratified with organic material.
- 2 Anomalies due to large, *permanently magnetised* structures. Such permanent magnetisation or 'remanence' arises when earth materials are heated to above $\sim 600^{\circ}\text{C}$ and cooled in the geomagnetic field. Thus kilns and hearths are often detected as strong permanent magnets causing highly localised anomalies that dominate effects due to background susceptibility variations. Remanence can result from other physical and chemical processes but these give rise to anomalies that are usually unimportant for geophysical prospecting.

There are several approaches towards the practical measurement of geomagnetic anomalies. In this study measurements were made using a Geoscan FM36 fluxgate gradiometer which records the change with height in the vertical component of the Earth's magnetic field, as shown overleaf. This method has the advantage of being insensitive to diurnal variations while the Geoscan instrument also benefits from an integrated data logger. Note that in mid northern latitudes the magnetic anomaly will be asymmetric with the main peak displaced to the south of the archaeological feature. Thus, a ditch filled with a soil of enhanced susceptibility, for example, will generate a positive anomaly to the south, mirrored by a weak negative anomaly north of the feature. When portrayed as an area map of grey tones this gives rise to a 'shadowing' or pseudo relief effect which must be borne in mind when making an archaeological interpretation.

Two techniques can be used to survey gridded areas using the fluxgate magnetometer. In the parallel method the instrument is used to scan the area along traverses which are always in the same direction. This method minimises 'heading errors' due to operator and instrument magnetisation but is time consuming. The alternative zig-zag method is significantly faster and suitable for areas where anomalies are large compared to these and other sources of error.


MAGNETIC SURVEYING



SURVEY SCHEMES

PARALLEL METHOD


*slower but
minimises most
errors in the data*



●○ points of measurement

ZIG-ZAG METHOD

*suitable for
rapid surveys in
strong anomaly areas*



APPENDIX B

DATA PROCESSING

PROCESSING THE SURVEY DATA

The geophysical images contained in this report were prepared within Microsoft Windows® using the InSite® program published by GeoQuest Associates. Geophysical images were then placed onto a map which was digitised from the Ordnance Survey, edited and then plotted using a computer aided drafting (CAD) system and colour inkjet printer.

Data were downloaded from the meter to a portable computer in the field for storage, visualisation and quality control (QC) assessment. These data were then transferred to a laboratory computer for final processing, printing and archiving.

A number of process steps have been applied to the geophysical data obtained during the survey and those which have been used are linked to the main flow path by arrows. Steps were applied in the order shown and are designed to reduce artifacts in the data and enhance geophysical features of archaeological interest. The following sections describe each step in more detail.

REMOVE STRIPING

Reduces a data artifact comprising alternating changes in level in readings logged along zig-zag traverses. This artifact is common in fluxgate magnetometer data. InSite uses a proprietary algorithm to reduce this error.

INFILL SMALL BLANK AREAS

Fills isolated blank data cells with the mean of near-neighbours or a suitable approximation entered manually. Small blank areas will have been logged if it was not possible to obtain a geophysical reading over, for example, a manhole cover in the case of a resistivity survey.

REMOVE SPIKES

Replaces isolated, anomalously high or low values with the mean of near neighbours or a suitable approximation entered manually. 'Spike' readings are commonly associated with ferrous litter or poor electrical contact in the case of geomagnetic and resistivity data, respectively.

REDUCE WALK HARMONICS

Reduces a regular oscillation in traverse data caused by walking movements of the operator during a geomagnetic survey. InSite employs a fast Fourier transform to determine the optimum amplitude and phase of the walk-induced harmonic which is then subtracted from each traverse.

REDUCE SHEAR ARTIFACTS

Corrects for apparent shear in geomagnetic anomalies surveyed by zig-zag traversing in a geomagnetic survey. The shearing effect arises from the interaction of the operator+magnetometer with the geomagnetic field and also from the lag in the instrument response to changes in the field. InSite uses a proprietary algorithm to reduce this error.

CORRECT FOR METER DRIFT

Corrects for a linear drift in the meter calibration with time. Such drift is a common problem with fluxgate magnetometers, particularly during periods of rapid air temperature change. InSite uses least-squares regression on the mean of data along each traverse to estimate the change in calibration level across each grid. This gradient is then removed from the data.

ADJUST GRID MEAN LEVELS

Adjusts for differences in the mean level in data grids due to changes in instrument calibration (fluxgate magnetometer survey) or alteration in remote electrode spacing (resistivity survey).

INTERPOLATE AND COMBINE

Combines grids to form an array of regularly-spaced data on a square mesh. InSite uses bilinear interpolation to accomplish this.

LOW PASS FILTER

If this process task is indicated then a 3x3 or 5x5 boxcar filter has been used to smooth the data and reduce noise or 'speckle' seen in the original image.

HIGH PASS FILTER

If this process task is indicated then a 3x3 or 5x5 filter, with appropriate coefficients, has been used to pass short-wavelength information into the resulting image.

EDGE DETECT FILTER


Signifies that a Sobel, Laplace or other specialised filter has been applied to enhance significant lateral transitions in the geophysical image.

DIRECTIONAL FILTER

This filter is equivalent to illuminating the data from one direction to produce a pseudo-relief image. Directional filtering is usually employed to aid the identification of subtle anomalies in resistivity data. This filter highlights features trending at right angles to the direction of illumination.

NOTE

GeoQuest Associates can supply the geophysical images presented in this report in a variety of digital formats for visualisation on microcomputers running Microsoft Windows. These formats include the TIF, BMP and PCX standards. Please complete the request form at the rear of this report if you would like to receive such image files.



NOTES

GeoQuest Associates
The Old Vicarage
Castleside
Co. Durham
DH8 9AP

Tel: 01207 583576, Fax: 01207 583577, Email: post@geofizz.demon.co.uk