

Geophysics

Castle Ring, Cannock Wood, Staffordshire Report on Geophysical Survey, April 2017

Andrew Payne and Cara Pearce

Discovery, Innovation and Science in the Historic Environment



Research Report Series no. 53-2017

Research Report Series 53-2017

CASTLE RING, CANNOCK WOOD, STAFFORDSHIRE

REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY, APRIL 2017

Andrew Payne and Cara Pearce

NGR: SK 0441 1284

© Historic England

ISSN 2059-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by Historic England's expert teams and other researchers. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication.

For more information write to Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

53-2017

SUMMARY

Fluxgate magnetometer and earth resistance surveys were conducted for the Cannock Chase Through Time project within the Iron Age hillfort at Castle Ring, Cannock Wood, Staffordshire, to enable the project volunteers to receive practical training in geophysical survey methods in the process of investigating the internal character of the monument. The magnetometer survey (0.82ha) was of limited effectiveness, recording only a weak and indistinct response over the hillfort interior revealing few obvious anomalies of archaeological significance. Earth resistance survey (0.3ha) was of more value, resulting in clear definition of a previously identified medieval building in the north-west of the hillfort, together with internal detail of this structure and evidence for possible further associated activity in its surrounds. The earth resistance data also suggests sub-surface remains of a second possible building that may represent a previously unrecorded element of the medieval complex, or a later structure perhaps related to temporary use of the site during the Second World War.

CONTRIBUTORS

The geophysical fieldwork was conducted by Andrew Payne, Cara Pearce and Dan Hunt from Historic England together with volunteers from the Chase Through Time project including: Simon Bristow, Samantha Mead, Jim Andrews, Anne Andrews, Colin Evans, Nigel Maus, Brian Cooper, Mary Cartwright, Amie Smith, Ivan Poole and Ian Jones.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the owners of the site: Cannock Chase District Council and the staff of their Countryside Service team Steve Barnes and Peter Scholes who facilitated access for the survey. Our colleague Rebecca Pullen in the Historic England, Historic Places Investigation Team North helpfully provided useful information on the previous investigation history of the hillfort in advance of the survey and Gary Ball (Staffordshire County Council) coordinated the involvement of the volunteers.

ARCHIVE LOCATION

Fort Cumberland, Portsmouth.

DATE OF SURVEY

The fieldwork was conducted during the 6th and 7th April 2017 and the report completed on 30th August 2017. The cover image shows an aerial view looking across the hillfort from the north-west with the extensive bracken vegetation cover clearly visible. The medieval building platform is visible in the foreground of the image with the village of Cannock Wood in the background (NMR_20829/52, © Historic England Archive).

CONTACT DETAILS

Andrew Payne, Geophysics Team, Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD. Tel: 02392 856769. Email: <u>andy.payne@historicengland.org.uk</u>

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Method	2
Magnetometer survey	2
Earth resistance survey	2
Results	3
Magnetometer survey	3
Resistance survey	3
Conclusions	5
List of Enclosed Figures	6
References	7

INTRODUCTION

Magnetometer and earth resistance surveys were undertaken to investigate a sample of the interior of the Iron Age hillfort at Castle Ring, Cannock Wood, Staffordshire, situated at the south eastern edge of the Cannock Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The surveys were conducted as part of the Historic England (HE) contribution to the Staffordshire County Council Heritage Lottery Fund project 'The Chase Through Time' (RASMIS 7472), devised to support the commemoration of the Great War: Home Front Legacy by improving understanding of the extensive and well preserved First World War landscapes of local, national and international significance on Cannock Chase. While there is a strong focus on the Great War, the project will explore how the entirety of the Chase has changed over time (Went and Winton 2016).

Using a range of ground based survey methods including geophysics, Historic England, with the help of local volunteers, are mapping and exploring the remains of the First World War camps (as well as other traces of how the landscape was managed from prehistory to the present) identified from airborne laser scanning (lidar) and analysis of historic air photographs. The aim of the geophysical survey component was primarily to provide the volunteers with training and experience in using these techniques for the future investigation of sites of interest on the Chase, and to explore the internal character of the hillfort to enhance the existing earthwork investigation. This supplemented previous training by HE in support of the project in analytical earthwork survey and recording techniques, and interpretation of lidar data.

Castle Ring is a multivallate Iron Age hillfort of irregular pentagonal plan enclosing 3.6ha (Figure 1). It was selected as a suitable site for training due to ease of access and its status as a public open space offering scope for improved visitor interpretation. Castle Ring is one of the few currently scheduled ancient monuments (NHLE 1014687) in the Cannock Chase AONB and has a limited history of previous research including an earthwork survey of the form and development of the hillfort and its later land use history as part of the Beaudesert estate (NHRE uid 304764; RCHME 1987) and a poorly documented 19th-century excavation of the site of a medieval hall building located in the northern sector of the site (NHRE uid 304769; Molyneux 1863; RCHME 1988).

The site is situated on well drained sandy and coarse loamy soils of the Bridgnorth association (Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983) developed over superficial Devensian boulder clay (glacial till) deposits above Carboniferous Pennine Middle Coal Measures formation mudstone, siltstone and sandstone (Geological Survey of Great Britain 1964). Due to the vegetation within the hillfort interior, survey was limited to more open areas of grassland. Weather conditions during the field work were mostly warm, dry and sunny.

METHOD

Magnetometer survey

The magnetometer survey was conducted using a Geoscan FM36 fluxgate gradiometer over a transect of 30m grid squares running across the centre of the hillfort (Figure1) established with a Trimble R8 series Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) using a base station receiver and the Ordnance Survey VRS Now correction service. The magnetometer transect included the area of the suspected medieval building remains and sampled different zones of the hillfort where concentrations of occupation activity might be expected (cf Payne 2005, 25-26; Payne *et al.* 2006, 103-7). Readings were recorded on the 0.1 nanotesla (nT) resolution setting at 0.25m intervals along successive parallel traverses spaced 1.0m apart.

A linear greyscale image of the magnetometer data is presented in Figure 2 in relation to the Ordnance Survey (OS) base map after minimal post acquisition processing including the suppression of any effects due to directional sensitivity and instrumental drift, by the setting of each traverse to a zero mean, and truncation of extreme values outside the range of ± 50 nT. Minimally processed versions of the magnetic data are shown as a trace plot in Figure 4(A) and as a greyscale image in Figure 4(B).

Earth resistance survey

Earth resistance data was recorded over a series of 30m grid squares (Figure 1) to investigate the building remains partially visible on the surface as exposed masonry and earthwork features atop a well-formed rectangular platform in the north-west corner of the hillfort interior. The earth resistance survey was carried out using a Geoscan RM15 resistance meter and a PA5 electrode frame in the 0.5m twin electrode configuration with readings collected at 1.0m intervals along traverses spaced 1.0m apart.

The earth resistance data is presented as a linear greyscale image superimposed over the OS mapping in Figure 3 after minimal post acquisition processing including the application of a 2m radius threshold median filter to remove occasional extreme readings caused by poor probe contact (Scollar *et al.* 1990, 492). A trace plot and a greyscale image of the data are presented with the magnetic survey data for comparison in Figures 4(C) and 4(D) respectively.

RESULTS

Magnetometer survey

A graphical summary of significant magnetic anomalies [**m1-14**] discussed in the following text superimposed on base OS map data is provided in Figure 5.

The magnetic response is in general weak and indistinct with a series of vague positive linear trends [**m1-5**] which are probably related to ground topography, but may possibly be associated with the outline of the exposed walls and excavation trench around the medieval building at [**m1**]. A possible enclosure to the east may be indicated by the tentative positive linear trends at [**m3**].

A sparse scatter of localised positive anomalies [**m6**] may relate to pits and hearths typically associated with the former occupation of a hillfort (Bowden 2011), but if this is the case only a low level of activity is suggested across the interior. A single circular ring gully is suggested at [**m7**] but this is highly tentative due to the extreme weakness of the response.

Areas of ferrous magnetic disturbance [**m8-12**] may be related to temporary activity on the site in the Second World War, when the elevated position of the site may have been taken advantage of for observation post purposes. The ferrous disturbance at [**m9**] may be related to a sill-beam foundation for a probable short-lived building recorded at "j" on the RCHME plan, but the magnetic results do not add significantly to the previous interpretation other than suggesting that the causative features here are likely to be of fairly modern origin. A weakly defined rectilinear pattern of negative anomalies at [**m13**] may also be related to the sill-beam or perhaps the high resistance anomalies mapped at [**r3**] that may indicate further building remains of medieval or later origin. There is also a slight, but broad, north-south aligned scarp slope indicated on the RCHME survey plan running across [**m13**] and [**r3**] that may be of significance in relation to the geophysical anomalies here.

Further intense ferrous responses at [**m14**] occur near a group of rectangular pit-type features visible on the surface close to the ramparts on the south-east side of the hillfort indicated by "m" on the RCHME survey plan, and would be compatible with the interpretation of short-term 20th-century military use suggested by the RCHME survey.

Resistance survey

A graphical summary of significant earth resistance anomalies [**r1-6**] discussed in the following text superimposed on base OS map data is provided in Figure 6.

The building interpreted as a 14th-century medieval lodge (RCHME 1988) sits atop a pronounced earthwork platform in the highest corner of the hillfort's interior and is clearly visible on the ground as a level rectangular area with exposed masonry suggestive of one or more internal divisions. The area inside the exposed remains of the medieval building produces a much lower resistance response [**r1**] compared to the surrounding region, possibly due to the base of the building acting as a moisture trap or the result of very near surface masonry fabric causing a paradoxical anomaly (Scollar et al. 1990, 350-1; Fig 6.32). The outer walls or the earthwork banks around the perimeter of the building, that are thought to represent spoil heaps of formerly excavated material, are indicated by linear high resistance anomalies [r2] in the range 540-640 Ohms defining the rectangular foot-print of the structure with dimensions of approximately 24m by12.5m. It is probable that the resistance survey has detected the edge of the former excavation trench around the building footprint, rather than the walls themselves, dimensions given as 20.6 by 11.5m for the extent of the building recorded from the earthworks, together with some evidence for building partitions referred to in the RCHME record (RCHME 1988).

Further rectilinear high resistance anomalies [**r3**] and [**r4**] may also represent additional previously unrecorded elements of a building complex, unrelated later structures of relatively modern origin, perhaps associated with WW2 activity, or modern footpaths that traverse this area. The surrounding background resistance is very variable with a mixed pattern of bands of lower resistance and moderately high resistance [**r5**], which may relate to variable geology or further elements of the building complex, such as paths and enclosure boundaries (as suggested by slight linear scarps mapped elsewhere within the hillfort in the RCHME survey). Extended survey coverage would be required to fully assess the wider background variation due to geological influences.

Despite the dry weather at the time of the fieldwork, surface water was visible at [**r6**] where the resistance data shows a very sharp but localised decrease due to damp ground associated with a pronounced area of scrub vegetation, possibly indicating the presence of a spring or other former water feature such as dewpond. This area also appears to be associated with a strong ferrous response [**m11**].

CONCLUSIONS

While the magnetic survey results correlate with the RCHME earthwork plan, particularly ferrous disturbance probably associated with 20th-century military use, they provide limited additional detail and very little evidence of potential Iron Age activity, beyond an extremely sparse distribution of pit-type anomalies and other highly tentative linear trends. This may, in part, be due to the geological and soil conditions at the site. Although limited in extent, the resistance survey has revealed additional detail, including traces of a possible east-west aligned rectangular masonry building of unknown date with a number of internal partitions, which partially coincides with weak negative linear anomalies in the magnetic data. Other less clearly defined linear resistance anomalies may represent enclosure boundaries, again complementing the RCHME survey that shows fragmentary evidence for the hillfort interior being divided up into small fields or paddocks most likely in the medieval or post-medieval periods.

LIST OF ENCLOSED FIGURES

- *Figure 1* Location of the geophysical surveys superimposed on the base OS mapping (1:2500).
- *Figure 2* Linear greyscale image of the fluxgate magnetometer data superimposed over base OS mapping (1:2500).
- *Figure 3* Linear greyscale image of the earth resistance data superimposed over base OS mapping (1:2500).
- *Figure 4* Fluxgate magnetometer data shown as (A) a trace plot and (B) a linear greyscale image. The earth resistance data is also shown as (C) a trace plot and linear greyscale (D) for comparison (1:1000).
- *Figure 5* Graphical summary of significant magnetic anomalies superimposed over the base OS mapping (1:2500).
- *Figure 6* Graphical summary of significant earth resistance anomalies superimposed over the base OS mapping (1:2500).

REFERENCES

- Bowden, M 2011. "Introductions to Heritage Assets: Hillforts." Retrieved 25th August 2017, from https://historicengland.org.uk/imagesbooks/publications/iha-hillforts/.
- Geological Survey of Great Britain 1964 One inch geology map, Sheet 154, Lichfield, Solid edition: Ordnance Survey, Chessington, Surrey.
- Payne, A 2005 'The magnetometer surveys, 1993-6' *in* Lock, G, Gosden, C and Daly, P (eds), *Segsbury Camp, Excavations in 1996 and 1997 at an Iron Age hillfort on the Oxfordshire Ridgeway*: Oxford University School of Archaeology, Monograph Number 61.
- Payne, A, Corney, M and Cunliffe, B 2006 *The Wessex Hillforts Project: Extensive survey of hillfort interiors in Central Southern England*. London, English Heritage.
- RCHME 1987 Castle Ring Hillfort, archaeological survey report: NMR no. SK 01 SW 1. Unpublished internal report prepared by Marcus Jecock, Historic England Archive reference no. 909039.
- RCHME 1988 Medieval Lodge [within Castle Ring Hillfort], archaeological survey report: NMR no. SK 01 SW 2. Unpublished internal report prepared by Wayne D Cocroft. Historic England Archive reference no. 909077.
- Scollar, I, Tabbagh, A, Hesse, A and Herzog, I 1990 *Archaeological Prospecting and Remote Sensing*. Topics in Remote Sensing. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983 Soils of England and Wales, Sheet 6 -South East England, 1:250,000 scale soil map: Lawes Agricultural Trust, Harpenden.
- Went, D and Winton, H 2016 Project Design: Cannock Chase Through Time -Historic England contribution.









Geophysics Team 2017







Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside English Heritage up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Reports' database replaces the former:

Ancient Monuments Laboratory (AML) Reports Series The Centre for Archaeology (CfA) Reports Series The Archaeological Investigation Report Series and The Architectural Investigation Reports Series.