

Scientific Dating

The Great Tythe Barn, Bolton Abbey, North Yorkshire

Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

Discovery, Innovation and Science in the Historic Environment



Research Report Series no. 48-2015

This report has been prepared for the internet and the images within it have been down-sampled to optimise downloading and printing speeds.

Please note that as a result of this down-sampling the images are not of the highest quality and some of the fine detail may be lost. Any person wishing to obtain a high-resolution copy of this report should refer to the ordering information on the following page. Research Report Series 48-2015

THE GREAT TYTHE BARN, BOLTON ABBEY, NORTH YORKSHIRE

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

NGR: SE 07253 53838

© Historic England

ISSN 2059-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by the expert teams within the Investigation & Analysis Division of the Heritage Protection Department of Historic England, alongside contributions from other parts of the organisation. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

For more information write to Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk

or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

SUMMARY

Dendrochronological analysis was undertaken on 42 of the 43 samples obtained from the northern and southern parts of the Great Tythe Barn at Bolton Abbey. This analysis produced a single site chronology comprising 37 samples, being 169 rings long, and dated as spanning AD 1350–1518. Interpretation of the sapwood on the dated samples indicates that the entire barn is the product of a single programme of felling starting in the spring of AD 1518 and finishing in the dormant period during the winter of AD 1518/19. As such, it is clear that the two parts of the barn, in spite of showing some differences in construction, are coeval. Five measured samples remain ungrouped and undated.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Will Kemp, Planning and Development Manager for the Chatsworth Settlement Trustees, is thanked for his efforts in arranging access for sampling and for providing much useful background information on the building. We would also like to thank the Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group for the information from their survey used in the introduction below, and for the plans and drawings used in this report. Ian Tyers (Dendrochronological Consultancy Ltd) is thanked for the photographs of the cores used in this report. Finally we would like to thank Shahina Farid (Historic England Scientific Dating Team) for commissioning this programme of tree-ring dating.

ARCHIVE LOCATION

North Yorkshire Historic Environment Record Historic Environment Team North Yorkshire County Council County Hall Northallerton North Yorkshire DL7 8AH

DATE OF INVESTIGATION

2014-5

CONTACT DETAILS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 IFT 0115 960 3833 roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk alisonamold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

Cathy Tyers Historic England I Waterhouse Square I 38–142 Holborn London ECIN 2ST 0207 973 3000 cathy.tyers@historicengland.org.uk

CONTENTS

Introdu	iction	I
Samplir	ng	I
Analysi	s and Results	2
Interpr	etation	3
North	ern trusses 1–6	3
South	ern trusses 1–4	4
Discus	sion	4
Conclu	sion	5
Bibliog	raphy	7
Tables		8
Figures		11
Data o	f Measured Samples	24
Append	dix: Tree-Ring Dating	34
The P	rinciples of Tree-Ring Dating	34
The P	ractice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory	34
١.	Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers	34
2.	Measuring Ring Widths	
3.	Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples	
4.	Estimating the Felling Date	40
5.	Estimating the Date of Construction.	41
6.	Master Chronological Sequences	42
7.	Ring-Width Indices	42
Refere	ences	46

INTRODUCTION

The Great Tythe Barn, a Grade II* listed building, is part of the Scheduled Monument of Bolton Priory, the remains of which lie some 350 metres to the north-north-east of the barn (Figs 1a/b/c). The barn has been the subject of preliminary survey and recording undertaken by the Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group (YVBSG 2004) and is currently the subject of further research. The barn is a substantial, approximately northsouth, double-aisled structure, just over 47 metres long (Figs 2 and 3), formed of kingpost trusses, and is hipped at either end. The trusses support double purlins to each pitch of the roof. The upper principal rafters, above the tiebeams, tend to have a noticeable 'knee' in them near their bases, and there are slightly curved braces between arcade posts and tiebeams, between arcade posts and arcade plates, and between wall posts and aisle ties. There are also wind braces springing from the upper parts of the principal rafters to the ridge beam. Along the length of the barn, mid-way between each king-post truss, the roof is supported by intermediate trusses which consist of pairs of principal rafters linked at their upper ends by a saddle on which stands a stubby upper king-post supporting the ridge. These principals are carried by the arcade plates, with further principals supporting the aisle roof (Figs 4a/b).

The six trusses of the northern end of the barn have been numbered following the original carpenters marks I–VI, from north to south, while the four trusses of the southern end are numbered I–IIII, again from north to south. Although all of the trusses are of the same overall design and construction, there are noticeable differences between the northern six trusses and the four trusses to the southern end of the barn. The four southern trusses tend to utilise timber of larger scantling, with the posts widening towards the top from a cross-section of approximately 458mm \times 305mm near ground level, to 660mm \times 305mm near the top. In the northern part of the barn the posts widen less markedly, with the maximum cross-section being 610mm \times 305mm. The braces are up to 400mm broad on the southern trusses, but only 280mm broad on the northern trusses. In addition, the joints in the wider or larger timbers of the southern end all appear to require three pegs to secure them, while the joints in the northern timbers only require two pegs.

Whilst it had been generally accepted that the barn was of sixteenth-century origin, these differences had previously led to speculation as to whether the barn comprised two completely separate phases of construction of different date or whether the two ends were basically coeval but perhaps constructed by two different teams of carpenters.

SAMPLING

An initial programme of dendrochronological analysis, instigated by Arnold Pacey of the YVBSG, was undertaken on the Great Tythe Barn in 2005 (Howard 2007) with the aim of ascertaining the date of construction of the northern and southern sections of the barn. It was hoped that this earlier analysis would resolve the chronological relationship

between the two sections of the barn and establish whether either section was of pre- or post-Dissolution date.

This first programme of analysis produced a total of 17 samples, nine from the northern end and eight from the southern. However, due to limited access at that time, sampling was less than optimal for such a large structure and, whilst the results hinted at a possible break of construction, albeit by only a single year, between the two halves of the barn, the issue was not adequately resolved. In 2014 English Heritage commissioned a programme of additional sampling to inform decisions relating to the future use of the barn. It was hoped that it would be possible to enhance the previous findings and provide further information relating to the extent of the survival of timber associated with the primary construction. Access issues to individual timbers had significantly improved and it was intended that this new programme would target previously inaccessible timbers, particularly those with surviving bark edge. In addition, it was hoped to ascertain when the internal partition wall (on the line of truss 1 south) was inserted.

Thus, having first reassessed the timbers throughout the barn as to their suitability for tree-ring analysis, and in compliance with the limits set by the Scheduled Monument Consent, an additional 26 samples were obtained from the most appropriate timbers by coring, this giving an overall total for the site of 43 samples. Each sample was given the code BLT-A (for Bolton Abbey, site 'A') and numbered 01–43 (Table 1), those from the earlier programme of analysis being renumbered as appropriate. Nineteen samples (BLT-A01–A19) have been obtained from the six trusses of the northern end of the barn (trusses I-6 north), 22 samples (BLT-A20-A37 and BLT-A40-43) from the timbers of the four trusses of the southern end (trusses I-4 south), and two samples (BLT-A38 and A39) from the only extant timbers of the internal partition wall. It should be noted that a number of timbers selected for sampling had complete sapwood present but that it was in a highly friable state and, unfortunately, could not be kept intact on the core during sampling. In respect of the sampling, it might be noted that while there were many other timbers which could potentially have been sampled (to the intermediate trusses for example), these were often less suitable in either having lower ring numbers, or perhaps not having sapwood or the heartwood/sapwood boundary

Where possible (samples BLT-A38 and BLT-A39 not being shown), the locations of the cores were recorded at the time of sampling on drawings taken from the YVBSG survey, these being shown here as Figure 5a-k. The samples have been located following the schema of these drawings, with individual timbers being then further identified on a north-south or east-west basis as appropriate.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Each of the 43 samples obtained from the Great Tythe Barn was prepared by sanding and polishing. It was seen at this time that one sample, BLT-A38, had less than the 40 rings deemed necessary for possible dating here and it was rejected from this programme of

analysis. The annual growth ring widths of the remaining 42 samples were measured, the data of these measurements being given at the end of this report.

The data of the 42 measured samples were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see Appendix). This comparative process resulted in the production of a single group comprising 37 samples being formed, the samples cross-matching with each other as shown in Figure 6. The 37 samples were combined at their indicated offset positions to form site chronology BLTASQ01, this having an overall length of 169 rings.

Site chronology BLTASQ01 was then compared to an extensive corpus of reference material for oak, this indicating a consistent and repeated match with a series of these when the date of its first ring is AD 1350 and the date of its last measured ring is AD 1518. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2.

Site chronology BLTASQ01 was also compared to the five remaining measured but ungrouped samples, but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching. These five ungrouped samples were then compared individually to the full corpus of reference data, but again there was no satisfactory cross-matching and they must all, therefore, remain undated.

INTERPRETATION

Northern trusses 1–6

Of the 17 dated samples from the northern part of the barn, five retain complete sapwood, this meaning that they each have the last growth produced by the tree represented before it was felled. In each case this last growth ring is dated AD 1518. In one instance this outermost growth ring appears to be complete, comprising spring wood and summer wood (Fig 7a), and would thus appear to indicate that the timber represented was felled during the dormant period in the winter of AD 1518/19. The remaining four samples all have spring wood but very little, if any, summer wood (Figs 7b-f) suggesting that the timbers represented were felled during the summer of AD 1518 before the dormant season set in. It should, however, be noted that the outermost rings on sample BLT-A08 are all very narrow and thus it is possible that its outermost ring is complete and hence it could also have been felled during the dormant period in winter AD 1518/19,

The amount of sapwood, including the known sapwood amounts lost during coring, and the relative position the heartwood/sapwood boundary on the other nine samples from the northern end of the barn, makes it very likely that the trees they represent were felled in, or around, AD 1518 as well. As, may be seen, on Table 1 and Figure 6, the heartwood/sapwood boundary of this entire group of dated timbers from the northern section varies by only 12 years, from relative position 133 (AD 1482) on sample BLT-

A03, to relative position 145 (AD 1494) on samples BLT-A07, BLT-A10, and BLT-A11. Such a small variation is indicative of a group of timbers representing a single felling programme. The remaining three samples have no trace of sapwood but the level of cross-matching within this group of timbers from the northern end of the barn, as well as possible same-tree matches between BLT-A02 and BLT-A19 (t = 10.3) and BLT-A17 and BLT-A18 (t = 12.2), strongly suggests that they are coeval and hence part of the same programme of felling.

Southern trusses I-4

Of the 20 dated samples from the southern part of the barn, three also retain complete sapwood. In two instances, the outermost complete and measured ring dates to AD 1517 but partial spring wood is present for the following year (Figs 7f and 7g) indicating that the timbers represented were felled during the spring of AD 1518. The outermost growth ring of the remaining sample appears to be complete (Fig 7h) and dates to AD 1518 and would thus appear to indicate that the timber represented was felled during the dormant period in winter AD 1518/19.

The amount of sapwood, including the known sapwood amounts lost during coring, and the relative position of the heartwood/sapwood boundary of the other 17 samples from the southern section, again, makes it likely that the timbers they represent were also part of the same felling programme. As may again be seen on Table I and Figure 6, the heartwood/sapwood boundary on this entire group of dated timbers from the southern section varies, with one exception, by 17 years from relative position 139 (AD 1488) on sample BLT-A36, to relative position 156 (AD 1505) on sample BLT-A33. Such a variation is again indicative of a group of timbers representing a single programme of felling. The exception is BLT-A41 which has a slightly earlier heartwood/sapwood boundary dating to AD 1481, but this is one of the three dated timbers with bark edge.

DISCUSSION

The timbers from the northern and southern section of the barn are clearly coeval and represent a single programme of felling but there are differences between the timbers in each section which suggests that they were derived from different, albeit relatively local, woodland sources.

The 17 dated samples from the northern section cross-match with each other very well, with a number of values in excess of t=5.0, t=6.0, and t=7.0 being seen. Such values would suggest that the source trees were growing close to each other in a discrete area of woodland. Indeed the cross-matching between some samples, as indicated above, would suggest that some pairs of timbers may be derived from single trees. The 20 dated samples from the southern section, on the other hand, appear more disparate and cross-match with each other slightly less well, with fewer values in excess of t=5.0 being seen and no potential same-tree matches. The cross-matching between the dated samples

from each section is generally relatively lower suggesting different sources were being used for timbers from either end of the barn. In respect of the location of the source woodlands, it may be noted from Table 2 that, although site chronology BLTASQ01 and its two sub-sequences, representing the two sections of the barn, have been compared to reference chronologies from all parts of England, the highest levels of similarity are found with other sites in northern England, particularly those elsewhere in Yorkshire. This suggests that the dated timbers used throughout the Great Tythe Barn are from relatively local woodland sources.

The rate of growth and ages of the trees used in the two sections of the barn show some overall differences (Fig 8). Although the number of rings present in the cores is underrepresentative of the age of the tree at felling it can be seen that the trees used in the southern section tend to be younger and faster grown when compared to the more variable ages and growth rates of the trees used in the northern section. This again points to the use of different woodland sources.

Also notable is the difference in date of the average heartwood/sapwood boundary for the two sections of the barn with timbers in the northern section tending to have earlier heartwood/sapwood boundary dates than those from the southern section (Fig 9; Table I). The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring of the northern samples is dated AD 1489, while on the southern samples the average heartwood/sapwood boundary is dated to AD 1497. In the absence of any complete sapwood this could have led to the suggestion that the northern section potentially pre-dated the southern section by a few years which is clearly not the case as the timbers used in both sections appear to represent a single programme of felling.

CONCLUSION

Tree-ring analysis of 42 measured samples from the Great Tythe Barn at Bolton Abbey has produced a single site chronology, BLTASQ01, comprising 37 samples and being 169 rings long. These rings are dated as spanning the years AD 1350–1518. The dated samples all represent timbers associated with the main primary construction of the barn; unfortunately it was not possible to date the only measured sample from the internal partition.

The analysis undertaken shows that those dated timbers with complete sapwood were felled over a period spanning a maximum of just under a year but potentially a minimum of around six months. The earliest definite felling identified is in spring AD 1518 with the latest felling identified being in the winter of AD 1518/19. The southern section of the barn has timbers felled in spring AD 1518 and winter AD 1518/19, whereas the northern section of the barn has timbers felled during summer AD 1518 and winter AD 1518/19. As such, it is clear is that the two parts of the barn are coeval. The results also indicate that all of the timbers were derived from local woodlands but that the source of timber for the two sections of the barn appears to be different.

The presence of undated samples is, however, a frequent feature of tree-ring analysis, and in this respect the Great Tythe Barn is slightly unusual in having such a high number of its measured samples, 88%, successfully dated, particularly when considering that some parts of North Yorkshire are problematic. Despite having sufficient rings for reliable dating, five measured samples, BLT-A09, BLT-A15, BLT-A34, BLT-A35, and BLT-A39, remain ungrouped and undated, although none of them show any distortion or disturbance to their growth which might make cross-matching and dating difficult.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2013 unpubl Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Red Gables Cottage, Boyne Hill, Chapelthorpe, Crigglestone, West Yorkshire, Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory unpubl computer file *CRGASQ01*

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2015 *Whalley Abbey, Whalley, Lancashire; Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the Great Hall and North Range,* English Heritage Res Rep Ser, **3/2015**

Hillam, J, 1984 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Elland Old Hall, West Yorkshire,* Anc Mon Lab Rep, **4165**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1991 List 39 nos 2a, 10, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, general list, *Vernacular Architect*, **22**, 40–3

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992 List 44 no 23, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, results, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 51–6

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, Morrison A, Sewell, J, and Hook, R, 1993 List 49 no 9a, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, Derbyshire, Peak Park and RCHME dendrochronological Survey 199–92, *Vernacular Architect*, **24**, 43–4

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1994 List 57 no 4a, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, results, *Vernacular Architect*, **25**, 36–40

Howard, R, 2007 (unpublished), *Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from the Great Tythe Barn, Bolton Abbey, North Yorkshire*,

Tyers, I, 2001 *Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Headlands Hall, Liversedge, West Yorkshire,* ARCUS Rep, 574c

Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group (YVBSG) 2004,The Great Tythe Barn, Bolton Abbey, North Yorkshire

Sample	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood	Last measured
number			rings*	ring date AD	ring date AD	ring date AD
	North barn, trusses 1–6					
BLT-A01	Brace to tiebeam from east arcade post, truss I	107	no h/s	378		1484
BLT-A02	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss I	99	no h/s	37		1469
BLT-A03	East arcade post, truss 2	124	36C	1395	1482	1518
BLT-A04	Brace to tiebeam from east arcade post, truss 2	87	h/s	1403	1489	1489
BLT-A05	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss 2	105	25C	4 4	1493	1518
BLT-A06	East arcade post, truss 3	68	h/s	1420	1487	1487
BLT-A07	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss 3	115	24C	1404	1494	1518
BLT-A08	Tiebeam, truss 4	136	30C	1383	1488	1518
BLT-A09	West arcade post, truss 5	88	h/s			
BLT-AI0	Tiebeam, truss 3	112	24C	1407	1494	1518
BLT-AII	West principal rafter, truss 3	90	3	1408	1494	1497
BLT-AI2	East arcade post, truss 4	136	21+5mm ?c	1374	1488	1509
BLT-AI3	East principal rafter, truss 4	67	h/s+30mm c	4 8	1484	1484
BLT-AI4	West arcade post, truss 4	113	9	1383	1486	1495
BLT-A15	Brace to tiebeam from east arcade post, truss 4	73	h/s			
BLT-AI6	Brace to tiebeam from east arcade post, truss 5	58	h/s	1433	1490	1490
BLT-A17	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss 5	60	no h/s	4 3		1472
BLT-A18	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss 6	89	h/s	397	1485	1485
BLT-A19	South brace to west arcade plate from truss 6	91	h/s	397	1487	1487

Table I: Details of tree-ring samples from the Great Tythe Barn, Bolton Abbey, North Yorkshire

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

Table I: continued

Sample	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood	Last measured
number			rings*	ring date AD	ring date AD	ring date AD
	South barn trusses 1–4					
BLT-A20	South brace to east arcade plate, truss I	82	h/s	1421	1502	1502
BLT-A21	King post, truss 2	97	2	1408	1502	1504
BLT-A22	Tiebeam, truss 2	69	h/s	1436	1504	1504
BLT-A23	East aisle tie, truss 3	127	h/s	1364	1490	1490
BLT-A24	West lower purlin, truss 3–4	62	17	1445	1489	1506
BLT-A25	North brace, to east arcade plate, truss 4	83	19C	1435	1498	1517
BLT-A26	East arcade post, truss 4	91	h/s	1403	1493	1493
BLT-A27	West arcade post, truss 4	83	h/s	1415	497	1497
BLT-A28	West arcade post, truss I	69	8	1439	1499	1507
BLT-A29	East arcade post, truss 2	82	h/s	1422	1503	1503
BLT-A30	West arcade post, truss 2	96	12+10mm	4 7	1500	1512
BLT-A31	East arcade post, truss 3	86	20+2mm	43	1496	1516
BLT-A32	West arcade post, truss 3	86	4+20mm	1420	1501	1505
BLT-A33	Brace to tiebeam from west arcade post, truss 3	77	2	1431	1505	1507
BLT-A34	South brace to west arcade plate, truss 3	48	7+10mm			
BLT-A35	East rafter, intermediate truss 3A	72	h/s			
BLT-A36	East lower purlin, truss 3–4	53	4	1440	1488	1492
BLT-A37	South hip purlin	53	h/s+20mm	1437	1489	1489
BLT-A40	East principal rafter, truss 2	93	17C	1425	1500	1517
BLT-A41	King post, truss 3	168	36C	1350	48	1517
BLT-A42	Tiebeam, truss 3	89	h/s+15mm c	1416	1504	1504
BLT-A43	West plate, aisle post truss 4 to south wall	84	h/s+20mm c	1420	1503	1503
	Partition wall timbers					
BLT-A38	Cross wall, units 5–7	nm				
BLT-A39	Aisle wall, units 3–5	83	9			

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample; C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample; c = complete sapwood on timber, but all or part lost from sample in coring; nm = rings not measured: mm = millimetres of core lost during sampling

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Reference chronology	Span of chronology				Reference
		BLTASQ01	BLTA-N	BLTA-S	
Headlands Hall, Liversedge, West Yorkshire	AD 1388-1487	11.4	8.7	11.4	(Tyers 2001)
Elland Old Hall, West Yorkshire	AD 1372–1574	10.0	9.7	7.8	(Hillam 1984)
Nether Levens Hall, Kendal, Cumbria	AD 1395-1541	9.8	8.5	8.1	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1991)
Red Gables Cottage, Crigglestone, West Yorkshire	AD 1384-1590	9.8	8.5	7.3	(Arnold and Howard 2013 unpubl)
Horbury Hall, Wakefield, West Yorkshire	AD 1368-1473	9.2	8.2	7.5	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1992)
Whalley Abbey, Whalley, Lancashire	AD 1362-1559	9.0	6.2	8.1	(Arnold and Howard 2015)
Ordsall Hall, Salford, Greater Manchester	AD 1385-1512	8.7	7.3	7.4	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1994)
Hall Broom Farm, Dungworth, Derbyshire	AD 1382-1495	8.7	7.5	7.8	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1993)

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence BLTASQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when the first-ring date is AD 1350 and the last-ring date is AD 1518

FIGURES



Figure 1*a*/*b*: Maps to show the location of Bolton Abbey (top) and the Barn and Priory (bottom). © Crown Copyright and database right 2015. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 1c: Map to show the detailed location of the Barn. © Crown Copyright and database right 2015. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Exterior elevation of the Great Tythe Barn from the front or west (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)



Figure 3: Plan of the Great Tythe Barn (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)



Figure 4a/b: Views of the trusses (photographs Robert Howard)



Figure 5a-c: Sections through the trusses to help locate sampled timbers (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)



Figure 5d-f: Sections through the trusses to help locate sampled timbers (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)





Figure 5g/h: Sections through the trusses to help locate sampled timbers (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)





Figure 5i-k: Sections through the trusses to help locate sampled timbers (after Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group)

Intermediate truss 3a south



Figure 6: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology BLTASQ01 sorted by sample location



Figure 7a-f: Cores with sapwood complete to bark edge (photographs lan Tyers)





g) BLT-A40

h) BLT-A41

Figure 7g-h: Cores with sapwood complete to bark edge (photographs lan Tyers)



Figure 8: Diagram illustrating the differences in average ring width and ring sequence length between the northern and southern sections of the barn



Figure 9: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology BLTASQ01 sorted by heartwood/sapwood boundary date with the northern section samples in blue and the southern section samples in red

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

Measurements in 0.01 mm units

BLT-A12A 136

86 | 4 |

APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1998). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost randomlike, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique

position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.



Figure A1: A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976



Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical 2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et a/ 1988; Howard et a/ 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-CO4, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of CO8 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site

sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It

also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton *et a*/2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or 6. a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to crossmatch it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

7. **Ring-Width Indices.** Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.

t-value/offset Matrix



Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.









Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 *Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates*, London

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, 15–26

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992 List 44 no 17 -Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: tree-ring dates for buildings in the East Midlands, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 51–6.

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, PA C T, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series **III**

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber: Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral,* Engl Heritage Res Trans, **7**

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, *J Archaeol Sci*, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London



Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside Historic England up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Reports' database replaces the former:

Ancient Monuments Laboratory (AML) Reports Series The Centre for Archaeology (CfA) Reports Series The Archaeological Investigation Report Series and The Architectural Investigation Reports Series.