

Church of St Mary, Alton Barnes, Wiltshire

Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard and Cathy Tyers

Discovery, Innovation and Science in the Historic Environment



Research Report Series no. 31-2016

CHURCH OF ST MARY, ALTON BARNES, WILTSHIRE

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF OAK TIMBERS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard and Cathy Tyers

NGR: SU 10769 62027

© Historic England

ISSN 2049-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by the expert teams within the Investigation & Analysis Department of the Research Group of Historic England, alongside contributions from other parts of the organisation. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

For more information write to Res.reports@historicengland.org.uk or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

SUMMARY

Analysis was undertaken on a series of samples from timbers in the nave roof, resulting in the construction of a single site sequence. Site sequence ALTBSQ01 contains 14 samples and spans the period AD 1203–1372. Interpretation of surviving heartwood/sapwood boundary ring dates gives a likely felling date for all 14 dated timbers within the range AD 1380–1405.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard and Cathy Tyers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Martin Tutton, R Moulding & Co site foreman, is thanked for facilitating access and ensuring that sampling could be carried out efficiently. Both the architect, Rob Dunton of Donald Insall Associates, and Sarah Ball, Historic England Heritage at Risk Architect, are thanked for their on-site advice and Rob Dunton is specifically thanked for providing plans and sections on which to locate the samples. Finally thanks are due to Shahina Farid, also of the Historic England Scientific Dating Team for commissioning and facilitating this analysis.

ARCHIVE LOCATION Wiltshire & Swindon Historic Environment Record Wiltshire Archaeology Service The Wiltshire and Swindon History Centre Cocklebury Road Chippenham SN15 3QN

DATE OF INVESTIGATION 2016

CONTACT DETAILS Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 1FT 0115 960 3833 roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

Cathy Tyers Historic England 1 Waterhouse Square 138–142 Holborn London EC1N 2ST 0207 973 3000 cathy.tyers@historicengland.org.uk

CONTENTS

| Introduction | I |
|--|----|
| Nave roof | I |
| Sampling | I |
| Analysis and Results | 2 |
| Interpretation | 2 |
| Discussion | 3 |
| Bibliography | 4 |
| Tables | 5 |
| Figures | 7 |
| Data of Measured Samples | 14 |
| Appendix: Tree-Ring Dating | 18 |
| The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating | 18 |
| The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory | 18 |
| 1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. | 18 |
| 2. Measuring Ring Widths | 23 |
| 3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples | 23 |
| 4. Estimating the Felling Date | 24 |
| 5. Estimating the Date of Construction | 26 |
| 6. Master Chronological Sequences | 26 |
| 7. Ring-Width Indices | 26 |
| References | 31 |

INTRODUCTION

The grade I listed Church of St Mary, located in the village of Alton Barnes (Figs 1 and 2), is thought to have its origins in the tenth and eleventh centuries, with other works dating to the fourteenth century and AD 1748. It was altered in AD 1832 and then restored firstly in AD 1875 and then again in 1904 by C E Ponting. The church comprises a nave and chancel (Fig 3). The two-bay nave is rendered on three elevations with late Saxon half-height stone pilaster strips and limestone quoins. The roof is of stone slate and has raised gable walls with limestone copings. The chancel was rebuilt for Nicolas Preston in AD 1748. It has recently been added to the Heritage at Risk Register.

Nave roof

The roof is described in the listing (www.historicengland.org.uk/listing/thelist/list-entry/1364707) as consisting of three quasi-raised cruck trusses. There are chamfered tiebeams, cranked collars, and arch braces. It has a square set ridge and long curved wind braces which rise from the cruck blades to a single set of surviving threaded purlins (Fig 4). Empty mortices in the cruck blades reveal there were originally further purlins. The surviving purlins are a mixture of timbers with an historic appearance and ones of much more modern appearance. The purlins that are of historic appearance are ill-fitting in their mortices and thus potentially also replacements (Fig 5). Previous documentary research had suggested that the roof might be mid-seventeenth century in date but recent visual inspection of the roof has pointed to a somewhat earlier date.

SAMPLING

Dendrochronological analysis was requested by Sarah Ball to provide precise independent dating evidence for the roof. It was hoped that this would inform advice and enhance understanding, and hence inform the programme of urgent repairs to the roofs funded by the Heritage Lottery Fund under the Grants for Places of Worship scheme.

Sixteen core samples were taken from timbers of the nave roof. Each sample was given the code ALT-B and numbered 01–16. Samples ALT-B15 and ALT-B16 are from two purlins, both of which were thought possibly to be later insertions, though not modern insertions. Further details relating to all samples can be found in Table 1. The location of each sample has been marked on Figures 6–9. Trusses were numbered from east to west (Fig 3).

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

One of the samples, ALT-B07, taken from a collar, had too few rings for reliable dating and so was rejected prior to measurement. The remaining 15 samples were prepared by sanding and polishing and their growth ring widths measured; the raw ring-width data are given at the end of the report. These ring-width series were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping programme (see Appendix), resulting in 14 samples matching to form a single group.

The 14 matching samples were combined at their relative offset positions to form ALTBSQ01, a site sequence of 170 rings (Fig 10). This site sequence was compared against a series of relevant reference chronologies where it was found to match consistently and securely at a first-ring date of AD 1203 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1372. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2.

Attempts to date the remaining ungrouped sample, ALT-B10, by comparing it individually against the reference material were unsuccessful and it remains undated.

INTERPRETATION

Analysis has resulted in the successful dating of 14 timbers. Unfortunately, none of these samples have complete sapwood and so a precise felling date cannot be given. However, eight of them do have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring. In all cases this is broadly contemporary, ranging from AD 1356 (ALT-B09) to AD 1372 (ALT-B04), and suggestive of a single period of felling. The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date is AD 1365, allowing an estimated felling date to be calculated for the eight timbers represented to within the range AD 1380–1405.

The other six dated timbers do not have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring and so estimated felling date ranges cannot be calculated for them. They are, however, clearly broadly coeval and the overall level of cross-matching between all 14 dated sequences suggests that these six timbers are also likely to have been felled in the range AD 1380–1405. This interpretation is supported by the fact that the heartwood/sapwood boundary was present on some of these timbers, notably the purlins and the north cruck blade of truss 3. However, the location of mortices and other timbers constrained sampling and it was not possible to access this heartwood/sapwood boundary ring, but it is known that the outermost ring on the sample is likely to have been within a few rings of the heartwood/sapwood boundary.

Felling date ranges have been calculated using the estimate that 95% of mature oak trees in this region have 15–40 sapwood rings.

DISCUSSION

This analysis has demonstrated that the nave roof utilises timber felled in AD 1380–1405, with construction likely to have followed shortly after felling. The late fourteenth/early fifteenth century date obtained for this roof is substantially earlier than the previously assumed mid-seventeenth century date but clearly supports the recent reappraisal of the roof made possible by the repair works.

The analysis also demonstrates that the two sampled purlins that were thought to potentially be later replacements due their ill-fit within the mortices are in fact coeval in date with the rest of the dated timbers from the roof. Thus it may be that they have simply been reset at some point.

Samples ALT-B11 (outermost measured ring at AD 1349) and ALT-B12 (outermost measured ring at AD 1298) match each other at t = 10.6, a level high enough to suggest that both timbers may have been cut from the same tree and hence felled at the same time. The timber represented by ALT-B12 has clearly been much more heavily trimmed at the point of coring than the timber represented by ALT-B11.

The overall intra-site cross-matching between the 14 dated ring series is sufficient to suggest that the timbers were all derived from a single woodland source. Site sequence ALTBSQ01 can be seen to cross-match very well against some references chronologies from other Wiltshire sites, especially Bremhill Court approximately 21km to the north-west and Dauntsey House some 36km to the south-east. However, it can also be seen to have a high level of similarity with references from Devon and the Midlands (Table 2), suggesting that the trees utilised at Alton Barnes church are responding to a generic climatic signal rather than a strong regional one but are nevertheless likely to have come from a relatively local woodland source.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E 2007 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from Polesworth Abbey Gatehouse,* Centre for Archaeol Rep, **6/2007**

Arnold, A, Howard, R E, Laxton R R, and Litton, C D, 2003 *Tree-ring Analysis* of *Timbers from Exeter Cathedral, Exeter, Devon: Part 3* (*Western Roofs, Bays* 1–4), Centre for Archaeol Rep, **49/2003**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2008 *Ulverscroft Priory, Ulverscroft, Charnwood Forest, Leicestershire, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **48/2008**

Groves, C, Hillam, J, and Pelling-Fulford, F, 1997 *Dendrochronology in Excavations on Reading Waterfront sites* 1979–1988 (eds J W Hawkes and P J Fasham), Wessex Archaeol Rep, **5**, 64–70

Hurford, M, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2010 *Bremhill Court, Bremhill, Wiltshire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **77/2010**

Mills, C M, 1988 *Dendrochronology of Exeter and its application,* unpubl PhD thesis, Sheffield University

Tyers, C, Hurford, M, Arnold, A, and Howard, R E, 2015 *Dendrochronological Research in Devon: Phase II,* Historic England Res Rep Ser, **56/2015**

Tyers, C, Hurford, M, and Bridge, M, 2014 *Dauntsey House, Dauntsey, Wiltshire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Rep Ser, **62/2014**

| Sample | Sample location | Total rings* | Sapwood rings** | First measured | Last heartwood | Last measured ring |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| number | | U | | ring date (AD) | ring date (AD) | date (AD) |
| ALT-B01 | Tiebeam, truss 1 | 80 | h/s | 1285 | 1364 | 1364 |
| ALT-B02 | North blade, truss 1 | 115 | h/s | 1256 | 1370 | 1370 |
| ALT-B03 | South blade, truss 1 | 73 | h/s | 1292 | 1364 | 1364 |
| ALT-B04 | West brace, truss 1, south | 53 | h/s | 1320 | 1372 | 1372 |
| | side | | | | | |
| ALT-B05 | North blade, truss 2 | 142 | h/s | 1225 | 1366 | 1366 |
| ALT-B06 | South blade, truss 2 | 164 | h/s | 1203 | 1366 | 1366 |
| ALT-B07 | Collar, truss 2 | NM | | | | |
| ALT-B08 | North brace, truss 2 | 121 | | 1229 | | 1349 |
| ALT-B09 | South brace, truss 2 | 131 | h/s | 1226 | 1356 | 1356 |
| ALT-B10 | Tiebeam, truss 2 | 77 | h/s | | | |
| ALT-B11 | North blade, truss 3 | 109 | | 1241 | | 1349 |
| ALT-B12 | South blade, truss 3 | 88 | | 1211 | | 1298 |
| ALT-B13 | Collar, truss 3 | 80 | | 1262 | | 1341 |
| ALT-B14 | South wall plate, truss 1–2 | 129 | h/s | 1235 | 1363 | 1363 |
| ALT-B15 | North purlin, truss 1–2 | 65 | | 1291 | | 1355 |
| ALT-B16 | South purlin, truss 1–2 | 48 | | 1308 | | 1355 |

Table 1: Details of samples taken from the Church of St Mary, Alton Barnes, Wiltshire

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

| Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence ALTBSQ01 | and relevant reference chronologies when the first-ring |
|--|---|
| date is AD 1203 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1372 | |

| Reference chronology | <i>t</i> -value | Span of chronology | Reference |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | |
| Bremhill Court, Bremhill, Wiltshire | 8.4 | AD 1111–1323 | Hurford <i>et a</i> l 2010 |
| Reading Waterfront, Berkshire | 8.0 | AD 1160–1407 | Groves et al 1997 |
| Ulverscroft Priory, Ulverscroft, Leicestershire | 7.9 | AD 1219–1463 | Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008 |
| Exeter Cathedral, Exeter, Devon | 7.5 | AD 1137–1332 | Mills 1988 |
| Exeter Cathedral, Exeter, Devon | 7.4 | AD 1132–1337 | Arnold <i>et a</i> l 2003 |
| Polesworth Abbey (gatehouse), Warwickshire | 7.3 | AD 1095–1342 | Arnold and Howard 2007 |
| Wadhayes, Awliscombe, Devon | 7.2 | AD 1179–1331 | Tyers <i>et al</i> forthcoming |
| Dauntsey House, Dauntsey, Wiltshire | 7.1 | AD 1122–1355 | Bridge <i>et al</i> 2014 |

FIGURES



Figure 1: Map to show the general location of Alton Barnes, circled. ©Crown Copyright and database right 2016. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Map to show St Mary's Church, hashed. ©Crown Copyright and database right 2016. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 3: Plan of the church with truss positions marked (after Donald Insall Associates)



Figure 4: Nave roof, photograph taken from the east (Alison Arnold)



Figure 5: Photograph to show one of the blocked mortices which presumably once housed another purlin and the ill-fitting surviving purlin, photograph taken from the north-east (Alison Arnold)

© HISTORIC ENGLAND



Figure 6: Truss 1, showing the location of samples ALT-B01–03 (after Donald Insall Associates)



Figure 7: Truss 2, showing the location of samples ALT-B05–09 (after Donald Insall Associates)

© HISTORIC ENGLAND



Figure 8: Truss 3, showing the location of samples ALT-B11–13 (after Donald Insall Associates)



Figure 9: Plan, showing the location of samples ALT-B04 and ALT-B14–16 (after Donald Insall Associates)





Figure 10: Bar diagram to show the relative position of samples in site sequence ALTBSQ01

31 - 2016

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

Measurements in 0.01mm units

ALT-B01A 80

91 83 72 76 91 98 81 97 73 68 71 68 80 89 80 85 81 84 76 87 ALT-B13B 80 $182\ 188\ 169\ 127\ 108\ 149\ 163\ 190\ 178\ 229\ 179\ 178\ 136\ 139\ 126\ 151\ 138\ 110\ 139\ 136$

16

ALT-B13A 80 $188\ 188\ 168\ 129\ 109\ 171\ 166\ 183\ 176\ 226\ 187\ 183\ 132\ 143\ 137\ 136\ 143\ 120\ 155\ 149$ 145 136 154 155 134 93 89 105 139 156 161 146 114 93 77 74 82 84 94 103 109 118 142 120 101 110 93 112 104 74 73 60 77 91 118 107 110 103 92 93

$229\,244\,207\,169\,188\,137\,162\,172$

ALT-B12B 88 139 108 136 126 152 144 95 86 145 125 127 182 128 124 141 105 83 63 129 190 $129\,162\,116\,190\,178\,120\,240\,154\,104\,\,80\,\,77\,\,98\,138\,136\,170\,163\,146\,\,72\,\,62\,\,90$ $108 \ 81 \ 160 \ 169 \ 302 \ 146 \ 157 \ 181 \ 387 \ 308 \ 341 \ 485 \ 282 \ 235 \ 202 \ 120 \ 136 \ 159 \ 254 \ 208$ 224 166 239 175 87 126 141 124 152 273 241 285 238 206 217 250 159 116 251 239

229 239 206 168 178 141 164 163

ALT-B12A 88 132 99 141 138 143 135 87 85 149 126 146 172 123 116 120 101 79 64 129 188 137 159 124 191 174 110 249 147 102 83 76 104 133 139 159 168 158 74 66 84 111 84 156 171 296 165 154 172 378 331 347 455 270 239 191 122 141 166 263 210 221 163 225 175 87 121 146 128 155 267 243 285 235 208 215 249 161 124 237 252

120 143 140 125 141 157 114 127 136

ALT-B11B 109 58 107 106 105 139 155 129 83 82 124 170 124 188 179 234 98 86 129 252 186 $255\ 237\ 150\ 119\ 85\ 77\ 73\ 91\ 150\ 171\ 175\ 117\ 162\ 128\ 70\ 72\ 96\ 115\ 152\ 195$ $184\,212\,220\,204\,217\,222\,128\,\,62\,107\,156\,210\,210\,147\,114\,106\,110\,102\,121\,117\,105$ 122 97 94 65 98 103 99 127 182 103 69 96 60 111 117 164 127 102 94 99 126 106 117 85 128 110 109 132 156 105 108 125 136 143 146 158 123 133 173 118

125 140 140 126 138 155 115 123 135

ALT-B11A 109 66 99 118 98 135 157 135 80 87 123 161 114 186 178 244 89 96 125 256 212 254 270 156 111 86 66 93 95 141 165 164 132 164 123 68 63 110 123 144 194 $188\ 206\ 206\ 192\ 223\ 226\ 121\ \ 65\ 103\ 154\ 213\ 209\ 144\ 118\ 115\ 110\ \ 95\ 131\ 112\ 100$ 126 90 84 62 85 103 102 115 185 104 79 103 61 116 122 172 128 110 95 94 139 108 116 85 140 110 103 127 158 110 102 122 129 152 149 151 125 134 173 119

ALT-B10B 77 371 414 404 300 241 317 303 292 361 364 395 244 143 150 128 198 235 238 220 162 162 177 120 136 142 134 152 143 200 207 208 170 121 134 111 128 190 178 166 163 $145\ 155\ 143\ 111\ 103\ 124\ 169\ 137\ 105\ 104\ \ 92\ 123\ \ 92\ 176\ 195\ 141\ 148\ 130\ 198\ 235$ 193 135 151 154 156 132 165 134 223 186 175 131 182 130 128 139 99

71 57 85 53 43 37 34 56 65 62 57 53 54 42 64 53 64 57 63 51 55 58 74 48 48 51 69 123 83 83 74 67 88 73 58 60 53 76 95 68 69 73 93 75 105 80 82 70 89 92 102 ALT-B10A 77 372 408 399 283 263 315 306 294 352 359 396 254 136 148 128 200 227 248 219 154 159 188 117 128 136 135 155 148 192 203 209 170 124 151 100 134 175 189 147 167 $132\ 161\ 144\ 113\ 108\ 121\ 178\ 124\ 112\ 106\ 101\ 125\ 111\ 148\ 168\ 126\ 178\ 130\ 215\ 188$ 208 140 154 164 150 132 158 144 215 185 176 129 187 132 116 134 105

84 68 57 65 76 66 79 64 48 45 40 32 47 38 41 48 61 61 60 49

96 78 81 77 89 137 104 103 109 90 73 79 73 80 104 76 97 75 77 67

ALT-B09B 131 98 55 59 108 142 139 115 154 141 169 113 267 222 190 139 108 94 165 142 114 $133\,141\,152\,112\,174\,176\,117\,215\,142\,153\,141\,132\,153\,169\,154\,174\,175\,129\,112\,121$

74 83 90 88 108 81 75 83 86 88 102

57 66 78 46 47 48 68 120 78 86 64 74 80 75 64 63 51 71 86 72

APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1998). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can

sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.



innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting Figure A1: A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976



Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical

2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the 3. local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a tvalue of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard et al 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of C08 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Figure A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood

rings and some have obviously been lost over time - either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35–9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards guite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard et al 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, Fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring 6. widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

7. Ring-Width Indices. Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form

they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.

t-value/offset Matrix



Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.









Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely.

References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for treering research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates, London

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987 Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, Applications of tree-ring studies, *BAR Int Ser*, **3**, 165–85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **15–26**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992 List 44 no 17 -Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: tree-ring dates for buildings in the East Midlands, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 51–6.

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of tree-ring dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, **8**, 381–90

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *PA C T*, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber: Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, Engl Heritage Res Trans, 7

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, *J* Archaeol Sci, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London



Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside Historic England up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by the expert teams within the Investigation& Analysis Division of the Heritage Protection Department of Historic England, alongside contributions from other parts of the organisation. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series