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Tresering analysis of timbers from Rillingsgate Lorry Parky City of London, 1982. The Period IV timbers.

## Introduction

Excavations at Eillingsgate (site codes RIG'g2) by the Museum of London's Department of Urban Archaeology, directed by Steve Roskams, revealed detailed stratigraphy of Roman and medieval levels. Many of the phases contained timbers, over 500 of which were sampled for dendrochronology. The results for the Roman timbers have already been presented (Hillam j986). This report outlines the results from the first of the medieval periods.

Fol lowing the silting of the Roman levels, the bank on either side of the inlet was consolidated with timber and elay (phase IV. 1 to the west; IV. 2 to the east). After the inlet had been in use for some time (phase IV.3), a stave front was added to the west bank effectively sealing most of IV. 1 and IV. 2 levels (phase IV. 4 ). This phase IV. 4 revetment: is finown as the laroe stave Saxon revetment. The area was then further used and consolidated (phases IV.5, 6, 7) before a new revetment (period $V$ ) was built above the collapsed staves of $I V .4$.

Gat: timbers (Quercus spp) were uncovered from phases IV. 1 , 2, 4 and 7 , and most were sampled for tree-ring dating. A
totel of 137 samples were ewamined: 62 from phase IV. 1.53 from IV. $2, ~ 21$ from $I V_{n} 4$ and 1 from $I V, 7 . I t$ was hoped that the analysis of this relatively large number of samples would produce a detailed chmonology for period IV. (The results of the tree-rimg analysis for later periods will be presented, period by period, in separate reports.)

## The timbers

The phase IV.i timbers were sub-divided into four groups:
a) timbers related to apparently primary tie-backs;
b) north-south elements in the main body of the timber and Elay bants,
(:) east-west and random timbers in the main bankig and
d) timbers from the east side of the revetment.

The phase IV.z timbers sub-divided into three groups:
a) an initial pile in the clay bank, 7576 ,
b) timber lacing in the clay bankg and
c) plant cladding on the west side of the revetment.

The phase IV. 4 timbers were all from the same revetment, although they were not all sampled for dendrochmonologu at the same time. only three of the timbers (7536, 7540, 7542) were sampled on site. The remainder were sampled at the Museum of London where they had been taken for conservation. These samples were examined in 1985 along with samples from
other afll-preserved revetments at fillingsgate, and full details of the results are qiven elsemtare (Hillam e Groves 1985).

The IV, 7 timber came from a period when the bank was again modified

The phase IV samples taken at the time of excavation were examined in 1986, and the results from these and the conservation samples are summarised below.

## Methods

The samples were prepared, measured and crossdated following the method given in Hillam (1985). They were examined phase by phase in groups of about ten. Any samples with less than 40 mings were rejected, along with any that had knots obscuring the ring pattern or that $/$ ved very narrow, unreadable rings (Appendices $A_{,} C$ ). Usually the rings along only one radius per sample were measured, but occasionally two or even three radii were measured. This might be done if 1) the ring sequence was particularlu fnotty or difficult to measureg 2 ) the ring sequence was relatively short but had sapuood or bart: edgen or 3) if the sequence was undated but was considered particularly importants such as when there were only a few samples from a particular phase.

The medsumed rimg sequences were plotted as grephs to facilitate visual comparison, and each sequence was compared by computer uith other medieval reference chronglogies from London" At the start of the study, the three chronologies used for comparison were CITY MED - made up of tree-ring cata from the Citu of London (Hillam umpubl); SOUTHWARK -tree-ring data from Southwart: (Tyers unpubl), and BIG - the chmonology produced during the study of the Pillingsgate conservation samples (Hillam \& Groves 1985). As the wort: progressed, various working masters were constructed from the period IV samples. These were also used for dating purposes. Although the computer program (Baillie \& Pilcher 1973) was used to save time, the results were checked visually. Each ring sequence was checked against the other ring sequences as well as against the reference chronologies. A match was onlu accepted if the ring sequence crossmatched at least two others. Such careful checking prevents the inclusion of spurious matches which may occur, especially if the initial matching is done by computer.

The results were set out as a bar diagram (Fig i) to make it easier to estimate felling dates (Appendix C). It was not aluays necessary to estimate felling dates because a few of the samples had bark or bark edge, so that the felling date is exact to the year, eg 7104, or occasionally the season, eg 7119. (If the outer ring is completely formed, the tree
was felled an winter or early spring uhilst it uas dormant. Dut if there is only spring wood presenty then it was felled in late Epring or early summer, On some samples, eg 7105, the berf edge was present but the outer rings were too narnow to measure. Instead a rough count of the unmeasured rings was made, and an approximate felling date given. Where the sapwood was incomplete, a sapuood estimate of $10-55$ rings wes used to calculate the $95 \%$ confidence limits for the period of felling (Hillamet al 1986). In the total absence of sapwood, the probable temminus post quem for felling is given by adding ten years to the date of the last measured heartuood ring.

## 

Details of the samples are provided in Appendix As whilst sketches of the cross-sections showing how the timbers were cut are illustrated in Appendix $B$. Full details of the results are given in Appendix $C$, but they are summarised in Fig 1 , and will be described belou phase by phasen The ring width data from all the measured samples are stored in the Sheffield Dendrochronalogy Laboratory.

## Phase IV. 1

Thirty-four samples from phase IV. 1 were dated (Fig 1a), whilst 18 were rejected and ten remain undated. Timbers were
dated from all the four sub-groups although the majority were from group (c).
a) timbers related to primary tie-becte

Five samples from this group were dated, but only one had sapbood. This sample ( 7233 ) has 19 sapwood rings, and its outer ring dates to $A D$ i039. Its estimated felling date range therefore is AD 1039-1076. The remaining samples (7259, 7406, 6656, 6289) were felled after $944,973,975$ and 1015 respectively. All but 6282 could have been re-used.
b) the north-south timbers

Six of the seven dated timbers from this group appear contemporary. One of these ( 6448 ) was complete to the bart: edge, giving a felling date for the group of the winter or early spring of 1039/1040.

The seventh timber ( 6527 ) ends in 897 , and has no sapuood. probably
It was therefore $\mathcal{L}$ felled some time after 907 , and could have been re-used.
(:) the east-west and random timbers
7126, 6113 and 6108 were felled after 963 , 964 and 973 respectivelu, and maty be re-used. It is noticeable that they have similar end dates to 7259,7406 and 6656 from group (a) .

The remaining 17 dated timbers are probebly contemporary. Foun of them had bart edge, although the outer rings of 7105 could not be measured. 7100 and 7119 were felled in the winter or early spring of 1039/1040; 7104 was felled in 1039 or 1040 but the season of felling could not be determined.
d) east side of the revetment

Of the two dated timbers in this group, 7634 was felled after 1014, and may be contemporary with those timbers felled in 1039/1040, 6717, however, has a heartwood-sapwood transjtion which dates to about 1087 . This indicates that it cannot have been felled before about AD 1077 because its estimated felling date/is approwimately 1097-1142. Since phase IV: 1 is sealed by phase IV.4, this timber at this east side of the revetment must be intrusive.

Fhase IV. 2

The three sub-groups from the east side of the inlet seem from the tree-rings to have a more complicated chronology than those of phase $I V_{0} 1$ to the west. Twenty-five timbers in all were dated, 13 were rejected and 14 remain undated. Theme is also a tentative date for another timber, which needs further checting before being accepted or rejected.
a) initial piles in clay bank

The only timber to be dated from this group was 7576. Ite 1ast measured heartwood ring dates to $A D 998,50$ that it was felled some time after 1 DOB.
b) the timber lacing

Most of the dated timbers belong to this group, and there are at least two phases of felling. There is an early group of timbers (Fig 1b: 74i2 to 7500), one of which has sapuood (7183). This has a felling date of $954-999$, but if the group is regarded as a single felling phase (see Bailiie 1982 56), the date becomes $983-990$.

7108 was felled after 1005, and mey be of similar date to 7576 from group (a).

The other six timbers are later still in date. 7167 ends in 1039, and the last ring appears to be the bark edge. This timber then is probably the same date as many of the timbers from the other side of the inlet. 7164 also has sapuood, but its outer measured ring is 1042, giving a felling date in the period $1042-1070.7163$ and 7181 were felled after about 1027 and after 1026 respectively. There is therefore no way of knowing if they were felled in $1039 / 1040$ or at the later date of $1042-1070$. The last measured heartwood ring of the remaining timbers, 7172 and 7188, is 1037. These timbers wannot have been felled in $1039 / 1040$, since the terminus
post quem for felling is 1047. They mey belong to the same phese of felling as 7164 which would give a felling date of 1047-1070 for this phase.
(.) the plank cladding

Of the five dated timbers from this group, three hed very narrow rings which were difficult to measure, so that the outer feu rings were counted rather than measured. Despite this difficultug two felling phases are indicated. The Heartubod-sapuood transition of 7218 is about 982 which gives a felling date of approximatelu 992-1037. 7220 and 7561 were felled in the period $1045-1090$, and are therefore of similar date to 7172,7188 , and possibly 7164 from the timber lacing. 722 and 7558 were felled after about 1010 and 1027 respectively, and could belong to either felling phase.

Finally 7565 has a tentative date of 1045 to about 1146 which, if correct, would give a felling date after about $A D$ 1156. This is very much later than expected for a timber from IV. $\underset{\sim}{ }$, but the date cannot be properly checked until timbers from the later periods at Billingsgate are examinedn

Phase IV. 4

Nine of the conservation samples from the large stave Sakon embankment were dated in 1785 (Hillam \& Groves 1785). A combined felling date of 1049-1071 was indicated, although

```
it was suggested that there could be two phases of felling
if there was archaeglogical evidence to supporte it: ome in
A040-1071, the other 3049-1071.
Three new timbens were examined in 1986. 7536 amd 7542
remain undated, but 7540 has a heartwood-sapwood transition
of 1030. This falle roughly in the middle of the range of
Heartwood-sapwood dates produced for the conservation
samples, and themefore the result supports the theory that
there was just one felling phase rather than two. The date
of felling is 1049-1070n
```


## Phase IV. 7

The only timber to be examined from the later modification of the bank was 5976. Although the sample was dated; it contained no sapwood, and the temminus post quem for felling (AD 1ロ24) does not help with the dating of the later development of the bant:

## Period IV chronology

Most of the activity in period TV accurs in the mid ifth century, but at least some of the timbers were felled in the late ioth century. The only timber with sapuood from this earlier period is 7183 which was re-used in the timber lacing on the east bank of the inlet (IV.Z). It was felled in AD 954-999, but if the other re-used timbers in the

```
lacing are grouped together, the felling date becomes
983-990. Re-used timbers, probably of the same date, were
also found in the west benk (IV.1): associated uith the
apparentlu primarg tiembacks (eg 7406), as north-south
timbers (eg 65%7), or east-west or rancom timbers (eg blb8).
On the west side of the inlet, most of the remaining timbers
were felled in the winter or early spring of 1039/1040, and
presumably used very soon afterwards. In 1049-1071, a stave
front (IV,4) was added to this part of the waterfront, and
on the east of the revetment a timber pile (7617) was added
in about 1097-1142. This last timber probably relates to a
later period of activitu.
Development along the east bank of the inlet probably took place at the same time 7167 from the timber lacing seems to have been felled in \(1039 / 1040\), whilst other timbers were felled in 1047-1070.
```


## Conclusion

This stuclu demonstrates the value of sampling as many timbers as possible for not only were over 70 of the 137 oak: timbers from Billingsgate dated, but many had sapwood and several had bark or bark edge. It has therefore been possible to provide an often very precise chronology for waterfront activity in the late $10 t h$ - early ift centuries.

The period IV inlet was developed on both sides im 1039/1040, or shortly afterwards, using recently felled timber plus re-tsed timbers thith were felled in the hate IDth cenutry, probably 983-990. In about 1047-1070, a stave front was added to the west side, and the east side of the bank: uas also modified.
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## Legend to Fig 1

Fig 1: Bar diagram showing the relative positions of the dated ring sequences from a) phase IV.1. b) phase IV. 2 and c) phases IV. 4 and IV. 7 . The accession numbers are given in bracteets for the IV. 4 sequences for comparison with the diagram in Hillam \& Groves (1985).

c) $E-W$ and 7126 random timbers

d) east side of revetment

a) initial pile
b) timber
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Large stave Saxon revetment
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heartwood rings
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heartwood-sapwood transition
$+\quad$ unmeasured rings present
e rings too narrow to measure but have been counted approximately
f felled
fw felled in winter or early spring

## Appesnctix A

Metails of the tree-ring samples

Context - context number
Accn - accession number
Rings - total number of rings
Sapwood - number of sapuood rings
Av = width - Average ring width in mifm
Dimensions - meximum dimensions of cross-section in mm

BE -- bark edge

+     - rings present but not measured
4.1 - phase IV. 1

APPENDIX A - DETATLS OF SAMPLES
File: BTLLTNGSGATE
Page
Report: BTG4.SAMPLES
Gelection: PHASE is greater than 4
and PHACE is less than 5
and DENDRO js not blant:
CONTEXT ACCN PHACE RTNGS SAPWOOD

| 6054 | 4032 | 4.1 | 68 | 17 | 1. 11 | $155 \% 140$ | ?felled winter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6098 | 4053 | $4 \times 1$ | 103 | 17 | 1. 4.2 | $155 \times 80$ | - |
| 6102 | 4233 | 4.1 | 37 | 1 | - | $150 \times 95$ | --" |
| 6106 | 4704 | 4.1 | 120 | -- | 1. 05 | $130 \times 85$ | - |
| 6108 | 4365 | 4.1 | 97 | - | 1.13 | $130 \times 105$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6109 | 4.300 | 4.1 | 64 | 46 | 0.74 | 1.20x 200 | felled - ?uinter |
| 6113 | 4348 | 4.1 | $+83$ | - | 1.72 | $175 \times 70$ | - |
| 6114 | 4761 | 4.1 | 96 | - | 2.08 | $185 \times 100$ | - |
| 6117 | 4644 | 4.1 | 95 | - | 1.25 | 210 $\times 150$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6234 | 4966 | 4.1 | $67+619$ | - | 1. 41. | $225 \times 175$ | - |
| 6235 | 4294 | 4.1 | 33 | - | - | $75 \times 55$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6236 | 4703 | 4.1 | 45 | - | - | $170 \times 110$ | - |
| 6282 | 4927 | 4.1 | 121 | - | $1 . \square 8$ | $145 \times 50$ | - |
| 6448 | 4402 | 4.1 | 1.38 | 21 | 0.89 | $135 \times 75$ | felled winter |
| 6452 | 4416 | 4.1 | +71 | - | 1.31 | $230 \times 150$ | very knotty |
| 6454 | 4295 | 4.1 | 65 | - | 1.03 | $75 \times 75$ | - |
| 6492 | 4298 | 4.1 | 89+ | 23 | 0.67 | $135 \times 70$ | $6-10$ rings to BE |
| 6493 | 4603 | 4.1 | 116 | 28-33 | - | $245 \times 45$ | - |
| 6522 | 4647 | 4.1 | 75 | 16 | 2.37 | $185 \times 40$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6527 | 4613 | 4.1 | $+87$ | - | 1.18 | $180 \times 110$ | - |
| 6528 | 3376 | 4.1 | c110 | E17 | 0.66 | $175 \times 80$ | felled winter |
| 6656 | 4404 | 4.1 | 69 | - | 1.37 | $85 \times 65$ | - |
| 6658 | 4275 | 4.1 | 67 | 34 | 1. 14 | $130 \times 100$ | felled summer |
| 6750 | 4364 | 4.1 | 33 | 14 | - | $210 \times 150$ | felled summer |
| 7100 | 4438 | 4.1 | 98 | 29 | 2.00 | $230 \times 105$ | felled winter |
| 7101 | 4917 | 4.1 | - | 21 | - | $300 \times 95$ | rings too narrow |
| 7104 | 4433 | 4.1 | $+82$ | 30 | 0.65 | $180 \times 120$ | felled |
| 7105 | 4439 | 4.1 | $80+$ | 17+ | 1.46 | $230 \times 180$ | ciz rings to BE |
| 7108 | 4362 | 4.1 | 141 | - | 0.83 | $310 \times 125$ | - |
| 7108 P | 4417 | 4.1 | - | - | - | $185 \times 110$ | knotty |
| 7109 | 4428 | 4.1 | 35 | 9 | - | $155 \times 125$ | - |
| 7111 | 4925 | 4.1 | +188 | - | 1.13 | 260 $\times 140$ | - |
| 7113 | 4427 | 4.1 | 55 | 25 | 1.52 | $150 \times 95$ | - |
| 7114 | 4451 | 4.1 | 33 | 7 | - | $155 \times 150$ | felled winter |
| 7115 | 4280 | 4.1 | 75 | - | 1.73 | $170 \times 75$ | - |
| 7115 B | 4431 | 4.1 | - | yes | - | $180 \times 170$ | narrow rings |
| 7116 | 4274 | 4.1 | 53 | - | 2.79 | $170 \times 80$ | - |
| 7117 | 4446 | 4.1 | 55 | - | 1.67 | $105 \times 50$ | - |
| 7119 | 4443 | 4.1 | 95 | 32 | 1.65 | $180 \times 110$ | felled winter |
| 7121 | 4369 | $4=1$ | - | yes | - | $165 \times 75$ | rings unreadable |
| 7122 | 4287 | 4.1 | $+7.3$ | 12 | 0.63 | $155 \times 105$ | - |
| 7123 | 4273 | 4.1 | 231 | - | 0.70 | $170 \times 60$ | - |
| 7124 | 4445 | 4.1 | 81 | - | 1.77 | $165 \times 70$ | -- |
| 7125 | 4396 | 4.1 | 102 | 1 | 0.74 | $150 \times 75$ | - |
| 7126 | 4394 | 4.1 | 165 | - | 1.41 | $245 \times 75$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7127 | 4430 | 4.1 | - | - | - | 90 $\times 65$ ? | broken |

APPENDIX A - DETATLS OF SAMPLES
File: BILLINGSGATE
Page
Feport: BIG4.SAFPLES
Selection: PHASE is greater than 4
and PHASE is less than 5
and DENDRO is not blank

| CONTEXT | ACCN | PHASE | RINGS | SAPWOOD | AV.WIDTH | DIMENSIONS | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7128 | 4434 | $4 \ldots 1$ | - | - | - | $180 \times 50 \%$ | braken |
| 7129 | 44097 | 4.1 | 29 | - | - | $140 \times 115$ | - |
| 7130 | 44.14 | 4.1 | 27 | 12 | - | $1808 \times 180$ | - |
| 7133 | 4400 | 4.1 | 97 | 9 | 1.60 | $165 \times 45$ | - |
| 7134 | 4427 | 4.1 | - | 바통 | - | $130 \times 70$ | narrow ring |
| 7136 | 4479 | 4.1 | 84 | 20-26 | 1.26 | $110 \times 60$ | - |
| 7154 | 4931 | 4.1 | 44 | 10-20 | - | $110 \times 70$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7233 | 4423 | 4.1 | 55 | 19 | 2.71 | $160 \times 80$ | - |
| 7:35 | 4458 | $4 \times 1$ | 39 | 9 | - | $115 \times 60$ | -- |
| 7239 | 4239 | 4.1 | 29 | -- | - | $100 \times 65$ | - |
| 7240 | 4256 | 4.1 | 60 | -- | 1.82 | $120 \times 50$ | .-." |
| 7259 | 4952 | 4.1 | $+120$ | - | 1.91 | $300 \times 100$ | - |
| 7406 | 4259 | 4.1 | 87 | - | 2.70 | 360 $\times 120$ | - |
| 7611 | 4399 | 4*1 | 90 | - | 2.24 | $250 \times 230$ | - |
| 7617 | 4889 | 4.1 | $200+$ | 나읃 | 0.96 | $215 \times 90$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7634 | 4896 | 4.1 | 78 | - | 1.63 | $250 \times 225$ | - |
| 6760 | 4908 | 4.27 | 70 | 14 | 1.39 | $130 \times 120$ | not 4. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 7156 | 4421 | 4.2 | 92 | - | 1.07 | $110 \times 85$ | - |
| 7157 | 4252 | 4.2 | - | - | - | $240 \times 170$ | knotty |
| 7158 | 4457 | 4.2 | 128 | - | 1.07 | $145 \times 50$ | - |
| 7159 | 4418 | 4.2 | - | - | - | $250 \times 140$ | narrow rings |
| 7160 | 4926 | 4.2 | 26 | 8 | $\cdots$ | $150 \times 1.15$ | - |
| 71.60 | 4963 | 4.2 | 20 | 6 | - | $130 \times 125$ | -- |
| 7163 | 4436 | 4.2 | $54+$ | 비오 | 1.43 | $135 \times 35$ | - |
| 7164 | 4382 | 4.2 | 63 | 28 | 1.65 | $105 \times 55$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7166 | 4397 | 4.2 | +91 | - | 0.77 | $125 \times 75$ | - |
| 7167 | 4432 | 4.2 | 90 | 23 | 2. 14 | $215 \times 165$ | ?felled |
| 7168 | 4902 | 4.2 | 152 | -- | 1.10 | $385 \times 285$ | - |
| 7167 | 4466 | 4.2 | 53 | 9 | $2 . \emptyset \square$ | $160 \times 115$ | -- |
| 7170 | 4413 | 4.2 | 34 | 7 | - | $205 \times 205$ | - |
| 7171 | 4498 | 4.2 | - | - | - | $135 \times 110$ | knotty/narrow ring |
| 7172 | 4255 | 4.2 | 53 | - | 1.75 | $100 \times 40$ | - |
| 7174 | 3812 | 4.2 | -- | - | - | $170 \times 60$ | narrow bands |
| 7175 | 4407 | 4.2 | - | -- | - | $155 \times 85$ | narrow band |
| 7176 | 4408 | 4.2 | 92 | - | 1.25 | $130 \times 90$ | - |
| 7177 | 4281 | 4.2 | 48 | 15 | 1.30 | $140 \times 120$ | - |
| 71.78 | 4462 | 4.2 | 55 | 6-16 | 0.80 | $80 \times 80$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7179 | 4453 | 4.2 | 79 | - | 1.24 | $110 \times 65$ | - |
| 7180 | 4483 | 4.2 | -40 | - | - | $70 \times 60$ | --- |
| 7181 | 4401 | 4.2 | 54 | - | 1.28 | $75 \times 50$ | - |
| 7182 | 427b | 4.2 | 35 | - | - | $85 \times 80$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7183 | 4424 | 4.2 | 81 | 6 | 0.77 | $150 \times 105$ | - |
| 7187 | 4444 | 4.2 | 68 | - | 1.37 | $195 \times 75$ | -- |
| 7188 | 4405 | 4.2 | 85 | - | 0.81 | $125 \times 125$ | - |
| 7189 | 4464 | 4.2 | 51 | - | 1.59 | $145 \times 90$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7190 | 4282 | 4.2 | 78 | - | 0.75 | $125 \times 65$ | - |

APPENDIX A - DETATLS OF SAMPLES
File: PILLTNGSEATE
Page
Report: BIG4. SAMPLES
Selection: PHASE is greater than 4
and PHASE is less than 5
and DENDRO is mot blant:

| CONTEXT | ACCN | PHASE | RINGS | SAPWOOD | AV. WIDTH | DIMENSIONS | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7171 | 4403 | 4.2 | - | - | - | $75 \times 85$ | narrow rings |
| 7792 | 4437 | 4.2 | 61 | - | 1.29 | $120 \times 75$ | -- |
| 7195 | 4426 | 4.2 | 59 | - | 1. 1.0 | $115 \times 70$ | --" |
| 7196 | 4258 | 4.2 | $+127$ | - | 1.12 | $165 \times 115$ | - |
| 7218 | 4973 | 4.2 | $+136+$ | yes | - | $215 \times 205$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7221 | 4936 | 4.2 | +80+ | - | D. 71 | $100 \times 85$ | - |
| 722 | 4886 | 4.2 | 32 | 6 | - | $160 \times 150$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7223 | 4887 | 4.2 | 90 | - | 1.27 | $240 \times 215$ | - |
| 7225 | 4897 | 4.2 | 74 | - | 1.64 | $220 \times 200$ | -- |
| $72 \% 6$ | 4985 | 4.2 | 24 | 8 | -- | $185 \times 170$ | - |
| 7228 | 4890 | 4.2 | 174 | 5 | 1.31 | $500 \times 135$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7412 | 4289 | 4.2 | 98 | - | 1.22 | $260 \times 150$ | - |
| 7419 | 4463 | 4.2 | 138 | - | 0.71 | $130 \times 85$ | - |
| 7422 | 4367 | 4.2 | 39 | 15 | 2. 24 | $115 \times 95$ | felled winter |
| 7424 | 4398 | 4.2 | 129 | - | 0.79 | $100 \times 85$ | - |
| 7426 | 4465 | 4.2 | 55 | - | 1.75 | $100 \times 65$ | - |
| 7469 | 4984 | 4.2 | +75+ | - | 1.00 | $175 \times 130$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7500 | 4975 | 4.2 | 70 | - | 2.62 | $270 \times 225$ | - |
| 7558 | 4882 | 4.2 | +172+ | - | - | $310 \times 35$ | -- |
| 7561 | 4885 | 4.2 | 95 | $1 ?$ | 1.73 | $185 \times 50$ | - |
| 7565 | 4978 | 4.2 | $86+$ | - | 1.52 | $145 \times 80$ | - |
| 7573 | 4879 | 4.2 | 49 | 1 | 1.74 | $140 \times 115$ | - |
| 7576P | 4876 | 4.2 | 76 | - | 1.49 | $205 \times 1.95$ | $\cdots$ |
| 757b | 4906 | 4. 2 | 64 | - | 1.19 | $180 \times 165$ | - |
| 7536 | 4953 | 4.4 | 82 | - | 2.77 | $305 \times 90$ | - |
| 7540 | 4950 | 4.4 | 105 | 15 | 1.47 | $335 \times 115$ | - |
| 7542 | 4942 | 4.4 | 125 | - | 1.75 | $370 \times 155$ | $\cdots$ |
| 5976 | 4628 | 4.7 | 132 | - | 0.80 | $100 \times 90$ | - |

## Appencix 8

## Cress-sectional stetches

These are not drawn to scale, and are intended as a rough guide to the way in which the timbers were cut or splita

Sapuood is represented by shading.

Phase IV. 1

| 6054 |  | 6527 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6098 |  | 6528 |  |
| 6102 |  | 6656 |  |
| 6106 |  | 6658 |  |
| 6108 |  | 6750 |  |
| 6109 |  | 7100 |  |
| 6113 |  | 7101 |  |
| 6114 | (ग) | 7104 |  |
| 6.117 | $\Rightarrow$ | 7105 |  |
| 6234 | (\%) | 7108 |  |
| 6235 | mom | 7108B | (f) |
| 6236 |  | 7109 |  |
| 6282 | ( | 71.11 |  |
| 6448 |  | 7113 |  |
| 6452 |  | 7114 |  |
| 6454 | $\pm$ | 7115 |  |
| 6492 |  | 7115 B |  |
| 6493 |  | 7116 |  |
| 6522 |  | 7117 | 䢁 |


| 7119 |  | 7259 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7121 |  | 74.06 |  |
| 7122 |  | 7611 |  |
| 7123 |  | 7617 |  |
| 7124 |  | 7634 |  |
| 7125 |  |  |  |
| 7126 | 井 |  |  |
| 7127 |  |  |  |
| 7128 |  |  |  |
| 7129 |  |  |  |
| 7130 |  |  |  |
| 7133 |  |  |  |
| 7134 |  |  |  |
| 7136 |  |  |  |
| 7154 |  |  |  |
| 7233 |  |  |  |
| 7235 |  |  |  |
| 7239 |  |  |  |
| 7240 | (2)] |  |  |

Phase IV. 2



Phase IV. 4 (see also Hillam \& Groves 1985)

7536
7542


7540


Phase IV. 7

5976


## Appenclix C

## Results

Context - - context number

Acen - accession number

BE -- bart edge

+     - rings present but not measured
$4.2-p h a s e$ IV. 2

Dates of heartwood-sapwood transitions, where present, are given in bracteets. $95 \%$ confidence limits for the felling date range can be obtained by adding $10-55$ rings to this date. In the absence of sapwood, add 10 to the date of the last measured heartwood ring to obtain the probable terminus post querf for felling. (Note that one in twenty samples are 1ifely to have either more than 55 or less than 10 sapuood rings - see Hillam et al 1986 for further details on sapwood estimates).

Where bark or bark edge $i s$ present, the felling date is tnown exact to the year, and does not have to be estimated.

APPENDIX C - RESULTS
File: FILLINGSGATE
Page 1
Report: PIG4. RESULTS
9/24/86
Selection: FHASE is greater than 4
and PHASE $i s$ less than 5
and DENDRO is mot blank

| CONTEXT | ACCN | PHASE | RESULT 1 | RESULT 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6054 | 4033 | 4.1 | undated | - | ?felled winter |
| 6098 | 4053 | 4.1 | dated | 935-1037(1021) | - |
| 6102 | 42.33 | 4.1 | rejerted | - | $\cdots$ |
| 6106 | 4704 | 4.1 | dated | 985-1004 | - |
| 6108 | 4365 | 4.1 | dated | 8.57-763 | $\cdots$ |
| 6109 | 43000 | 4.1 | undated | 64 | felled - ? - inter |
| 6113 | 4349 | 4.1 | dated | $+872-954$ | -- |
| 6114 | 4761 | 4.1 | undeted | - | - |
| 6117 | 4644 | 4.1 | dated | 913-1007 | $\cdots$ |
| 6234 | 4966 | 4.1 | undated | - | - |
| 6235 | 4294 | 4.1. | rejected | - | $\cdots$ |
| 6236 | 4703 | 4.1 | rejected | - | - |
| 6282 | 4927 | 4.1 | dated | 885-1005 | -- |
| 6448 | 4402 | 4.1 | dated | 902-1039(1019) | felled winter |
| 6452 | 4416 | 4.1 | undated | - | very knotty |
| 6454 | 4295 | 4.1 | dated | $9400-1004$ | - |
| 6492 | 4278 | 4.1 | undated | -- | b-10 rings to BE |
| 6493 | 4603 | 4.1 | dated | 721-1036(1004-8) | - |
| 6522 | 4647 | 4.1 | dated | 961-1035 (1020) | $\cdots$ |
| 6527 | 4613 | 4.1 | dated | 811-897 | - |
| 6528 | 3376 | 4.1 | dated | 927-1036 (1020) | felled winter |
| 6656 | 4404 | 4.1 | dated | 897-965 | - |
| 6658 | 4275 | 4.1 | undated | - | felled summer |
| 6750 | 4364 | 4.1 | rejected | - | felled summer |
| 7100 | 4438 | 4.1 | dated | 942-1039(1011) | felled winter |
| 7101 | 4917 | 4.1 | rejected | -- | rings too narrow |
| 7104 | 4433 | 4.1 | dated | +958-1039(1010) | felled |
| 7105 | 4439 | 4.1 | dated | 946-1025 (1009)+ | ciz rings to BE |
| 7108 | 4362 | 4.1 | dated | 849-989 | - |
| 7108 B | 4417 | 4.1 | mejected | - | knotty |
| 7109 | 4428 | 4. 1 | rejected | - | - |
| 7111 | 4925 | 4.1 | dated | $+850-1037(1020)$ | - |
| 7113 | 4427 | 4:1 | undated | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7114 | 4451 | 4.1 | rejected | - | felled winter |
| 7115 | 4280 | 4.1 | dated | 917-991 | - |
| 7115 P | 4431 | 4.1 | rejected | - | narrow rings |
| 7116 | 4274 | 4.1 | dated | 752-1004 | - |
| 7117 | 4446 | 4.1 | dated | 947-1001 | -- |
| 7119 | 4443 | 4.1 | dated | 945-1039 (1008) | felled winter |
| 7121 | 4369 | 4.1 | rejected | - | rings unreadable |
| 7122 | 4287 | 4.1 | dated | 965-1037(1026) | -- |
| 7123 | 4273 | 4.1 | dated | 779-1009 | - |
| 7124 | 4445 | 4.1 | dated | 928-1008 | -- |
| 7125 | 4396 | $4 \times 1$ | dated | +920-1021 (1021) | - |
| 7126 | 4394 | 4.1 | dated | 789-953 | - |
| 7127 | 4430 | 4.1 | rejected | - | broken |

AFPENDIX C - RESULTS
File: BILLINGSGATE
Page 2
Report: RIG4.RESULTS
Selection: PHASE is greater than 4
and PHASE is less than 5
and DENDRO is mot blank

| CONTEXT | ACCN | PHASE | RESULT 1 | RESULT 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7128 | 4434 | 4.1 | rejected | - | broken |
| 7129 | 4409 | 4.1 | rejected | - | - |
| 7130 | 4414 | 4:1 | rejected | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7133 | 4400 | 4.1 | dated | 916-1012(1004) | - |
| 7134 | 4429 | 4.1 | rejected | - | narrour ringe |
| 7136 | 4479 | 4.1 | dated | 755-1038(1013-19) | - |
| 7154 | 4931 | 4.1 | rejected | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7233 | 4423 | 4.1 | dated | 985-1039(1021) | - |
| 7235 | 4458 | 4.1 | rejected | - | - |
| 7239 | 4239 | 4.1 | rejected | - | - |
| 7240 | 4256 | 4.1 | undated | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7259 | 4952 | 4.1 | dated | +815-934 | - |
| 7406 | 4259 | 4.1 | dated | 877-963 | $\cdots$ |
| 7611 | 4397 | 4.1 | undated | - | - |
| 7617 | 4889 | 4.1 | dated | 873-1072 (c1087) | --- |
| 7634 | 4896 | 4.1 | dated | 927-1004 | -- |
| 6760 | 49088 | 4.2? | dated | 1037-1106 (1093) | not 4. |
| 7156 | 4421 | 4.2 | dated | 856-947 | - |
| 71.57 | 4252 | 4.2 | rejected | - . | knotty |
| 7158 | 4457 | 4:2 | undated | - | - |
| 7159 | 4418 | 4.2 | rejected | - | narrow rings |
| 7160 | 4726 | 4.2 | rejected | - | - |
| 7160 | 4963 | 4.2 | rejected | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7163 | 4436 | 4.2 | dated | 936-989(c1018) | - |
| 7164 | 4382 | 4.2 | dated | 980-1042(1015) | $\cdots$ |
| 7166 | 4397 | 4.2 | dated | +874-964 | - |
| 7167 | 4432 | 4.2 | dated | 950-1039(1017) | ?felled |
| 7168 | 4902 | 4.2 | dated | 844-995 | - |
| 7169 | 4466 | 4.2 | undated | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7170 | 4413 | 4.2 | rejected | - | - |
| 7171 | 4498 | 4.2 | rejected | - | knotty/narrow rings |
| 7172 | 4255 | 4.2 | dated | 985-1037 | - |
| 7174 | 3812 | 4.2 | rejected | - | narrow bands |
| 7175 | 4407 | 4.2 | rejected | - | narrow band |
| 7176 | 4408 | 4.2 | dated | 845-936 | - |
| 7177 | 4281 | $4 \times 2$ | undated | - | - |
| 7178 | 4462 | 4.2 | undated | - | -- |
| 7179 | 4453 | $4 \times 2$ | dated | 881-759 | - |
| 7180 | 4483 | 4.2 | rejected | - | $\cdots-$ |
| 7181 | 4401 | 4.2 | dated | 963-1016 | - |
| 7182 | 4276 | 4.2 | rejected | - | - |
| 7183 | 4424 | 4.2 | dated | 869-949(944) | - |
| 7187 | 4444 | 4.2 | dated | 874-941 | -- |
| 7188 | 4405 | 4.2 | dated | 953-1037 | - |
| 7189 | 4464 | 4.2 | undated | - | -- |
| 7190 | 4282 | 4.2 | dated | 858-935 | - |

APPENDIX C - RESULTS
File: EILLINGSGATE
Page 3
Report: EIG4. RESULTS
gelection: PHASE is greater than 4
and PHASE is less than 5
and DENDRO is not blank:

| CONTEXT | ACCN | PHASE | RESULT 1 | RESULT 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7191 | 4403 | 4:2 | rejected | - | narrow rings |
| 7192 | 4437 | 4.2 | dated | 881-941 | - |
| 7195 | 4426 | 4.2 | undeted | - | --- |
| 7196 | 4258 | 4.2 | dated | +841-967 | - |
| 7218 | 4973 | 4.2 | dated | +797-932 (6982) | -- |
| 7221 | 4936 | 4.2 | dated | $+901-980+c 20$ | - |
| 722 | 4886 | 4:2 | rejected | - | --- |
| 7223 | 4587 | 4.2 | undated | - | - |
| 7225 | 4897 | 4.2 | undated | -- | $\cdots$ |
| 7226 | 4985 | 4.2 | mejected | - | - |
| 7228 | 4890 | 4.2 | dated | 866-1039 (1035) | --- |
| 7412 | 4289 | 4.2 | dated | 804-901 | $\cdots$ |
| 7419 | 4463 | 4.2 | undated | - | - |
| 7422 | 4367 | 4.2 | undated | - | felled winter |
| 7424 | 4398 | 4. | dated | 836-964 | - |
| 7426 | 4465 | 4.2 | undated | - | - |
| 7467 | 4984 | 4.2 | undated | - | $\cdots$ |
| 7500 | 4975 | 4.2 | dated | 904-973 | - |
| 7558 | 4882 | 4.2 | dated | +771-942+c75 | $\cdots$ |
| 7561 | 4885 | 4.2 | dated | 938-1032(?1033) | - |
| 7565 | 4978 | 4.3 | dated? | 1045-1130+16? | -- |
| 7573 | 4879 | 4.2 | undated | - | - |
| 7576 P | 4876 | 4.2 | dated | 923-978 | -- |
| 7576 | 4906 | 4.2 | undated | - | - |
| 7536 | 4953 | 4.4 | undated | - | -- |
| 7540 | 4950 | 4.4 | dated | 940-1044(1030) | - |
| 7542 | 4942 | 4.4 | undated | - | - |
| 5976 | 4628 | 4.7 | dated | 983-1014 | - |

