ISSN 1749-8775

CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE, DIAL PLACE, WARKWORTH, NORTHUMBERLAND TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS

SCIENTIFIC DATING REPORT

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard







CHURCH OF ST LAWRENCE, DIAL PLACE, WARKWORTH, NORTHUMBERLAND

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard

NGR: NU 246 061

© English Heritage

ISSN 1749-8775

The Research Department Report Series incorporates reports from all the specialist teams within the English Heritage Research Department: Archaeological Science; Archaeological Archives; Historic Interiors Research and Conservation; Archaeological Projects; Aerial Survey and Investigation; Archaeological Survey and Investigation; Architectural Investigation; Imaging, Graphics and Survey, and the Survey of London. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series and the Architectural Investigation Report Series.

Many of these are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in Research Department reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Requests for further hard copies, after the initial print run, can be made by emailing: Res.reports@english-heritage.org.uk or by writing to: English Heritage, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD Please note that a charge will be made to cover printing and postage.

SUMMARY

Analysis of 51 samples from St Lawrence's Church, Warkworth, has resulted in the production of two dated site chronologies comprising seven and 29 samples, of overall length 217 and 120 rings, spanning the years AD 1174–1390, and AD 1324–1443 respectively. Three further site chronologies, comprising two samples each, 141, 97, and 80 rings long overall, remain undated.

Interpretation of the sapwood on the dated samples indicates the earliest definite felling phase is represented by material from the bell frame, with two timbers felled in the mid-AD 1380s, with a third timber possibly coeval or slightly later. Two ceiling beams of the 'winding chamber' below the belfry have slightly later estimated felling dates in the range AD 1386–1411 and AD 1405–30 respectively. The next phase of felling is represented by the south aisle's upper and lower roofs, these likely to have been cut as part of a single programme of felling spread over a small number of years in the late AD 1420s and early AD 1430s. The timbers of the Parvise roof, the latest episode of felling detected in this programme of analysis, were also cut as part of a single programme of felling in AD 1443. Seven samples remain ungrouped and undated.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory would like to thank the Reverend Canon Janet Brearley, Vicar of St Lawrence, for her enthusiasm and cooperation with this programme of tree-ring analysis. We would also like to thank Robin Dower, architect, for his help in arranging access for sampling, and Peter Ryder, buildings archaeologist, for the use of his report in the introduction below. Finally we would like to thank Martin Roberts, Historic Building Inspector at English Heritage's Newcastle-upon-Tyne Regional Office, for his help and advice during sampling and also for providing background material.

ARCHIVE LOCATION

Northumberland HER Conservation Team, Planning Strategy Northumberland County Council County Hall Morpeth NE61 2EF

DATE OF INVESTIGATION 2008–9

CONTACT DETAILS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 IFT 0115 960 3833 roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

CONTENTS

Introduc	tion	I
The Tim	bers	I
South a	isle	I
Parvise		2
Tower		2
Vestry.		2
Sampling	z	2
Analysis		3
Interpre	tation	4
Tower:	bell frame	4
Tower:	'winding chamber' ceiling	5
South a	isle: upper roof	5
South a	isle: lower roof	6
The Pa	rvise roof	7
Conclus	ion	7
Bibliogra	aphy	10
Tables		12
Figures.		16
Data of	Measured Samples	29
Appendi	x: Tree-Ring Dating	40
The Pri	nciples of Tree-Ring Dating	40
The Pra	actice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory	40
Ι.	Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers	40
2.	Measuring Ring Widths	45
3.	Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples	45
4.	Estimating the Felling Date	46
5.	Estimating the Date of Construction.	47
6.	Master Chronological Sequences	48
7.	Ring-Width Indices	48
Referer	1ces	52

INTRODUCTION

The Grade-I listed parish church of St Lawrence, Warkworth, containing some of the best Romanesque work in rural Northumberland, stands at the lower end of the long high street, on the south bank of the river Coquet (NU 24686 06184, Figs I and 2). According to Pevsner (1992), Ryder and Roberts (2009 unpubl), and the historic building listing entry, from which this introduction is summarised, the nave, which is unusually long and narrow, and the chancel date from the early twelfth century. A west tower was added *c* AD 1200. A belfry stage and spire were added atop this tower, probably in the fourteenth century, though possibly in the fifteenth century, although there is a possibility that an existing belfry was rebuilt at this time. A south aisle was then added, its date being variously ascribed to between the late-fourteenth and late-fifteenth century. As part of this work, a two-storey porch to the south aisle was also built. To the north side of the chancel, a vestry building has been added, probably in the thirteenth century.

THE TIMBERS

Of particular interest to this programme of analysis were the timbers from various elements within four different parts of the church: the south aisle, the porch or Parvise, the Tower, and the Vestry. It was hoped that tree-ring analysis would establish the date of these parts of the church with greater precision and reliability, and hence inform understanding of its sequential development.

South aisle

The roof of the south aisle is actually composed of two elements, an outer, or upper, roof, and an inner, or lower, roof, this latter only visible from within the south aisle.

The lower, or inner, roof (Fig 3) comprises 11 slightly cranked and cambered tiebeam trusses forming 10 bays. The trusses carry a ridge beam, with single purlins to either pitch, there being common rafters to each bay. The tiebeams, ridge, and purlins are moulded, the common rafters are left plain and square. At some time in the past, a number of these common rafters to the south pitch at the east end of the aisle, have been moved from their housing in the ridge and wall plates, and apparently reset (Fig 4), although there is the possibility that some of these common rafters may have been renewed.

The upper, or outer, roof (Fig 5) is formed of a single, continuous, run of common rafters, each common rafter rising from the south, or outer, wall of the south aisle, to a plate in the south clerestory wall. There are no principals to this element of the roof but for additional support, a single purlin is provided towards the upper ends of the rafters, this being supported at varying intervals by stub posts stood on the north purlin of the lower roof. As far as the limited access to the void between these two roofs allows, there appears to be no physical connection between them, the stub posts not being tenoned to the purlin of the lower roof.

Parvise

Attached to the south wall of the south aisle is the two-story porch or Parvise. There is some element of uncertainty as to the place of this porch in the sequential development, at least in part due to a slight difference between the stonework of the porch and that of the church, suggesting they are of two, if only slightly, different periods of work. The roof of the Parvise is composed of 10, close-set, cambered, joists.

Tower

The bell frame (Fig 6) comprises two parallel pits aligned north–south formed by three trusses, with sills (concealed by the modern floor), long heads, and posts with heavy arch braces from post to head (designated Pickford type 6N). At the foot of the east wall of the belfry is a bench-like feature supporting a timber plate from which two posts rise to a horizontal beam at the same level as the heads of the bell pits, thus forming a rectangular frame, truss 4 (Fig 7). This frame is sometimes thought of as a potential pre-tower 'bell-cote'.

Below the belfry may be found the 'winding chamber'. The ceiling, set below the concealed base of the bell frame, comprises five main north–south bridging beams, their ends set in the walls of the tower (Fig 8). These north–south bridging beams are now further supported by modern rolled steel joists. The floor of the 'winding chamber', accessible only from below, is formed of four north–south bridging beams of variable size (Fig 9), their ends again set in the tower walls.

Vestry

The Vestry has a low-pitched gabled roof of four principal rafter trusses with coupled common rafters to the bays between.

SAMPLING

Sampling and analysis by tree-ring dating of various timber elements within the Church of St Lawrence were requested by Martin Roberts, Historic Buildings Inspector based at English Heritage's Newcastle upon Tyne Regional Office, this work being undertaken to inform a programme of grant-aided repairs. Part of these works necessitated the erection of a scaffold platform to the south aisle and the lifting of certain roof boards, thus providing access to areas usually beyond ready reach.

Thus, from the timbers available, a total of 51 samples was obtained by coring. Each sample was given the code WKW-B (for Warkworth, site 'B') and numbered 01-51. Eighteen of these samples, WKW-B01-18, were obtained from the timbers of the lower roof of the south aisle, with a further 10 samples, WKW-B42-51, being obtained from

the upper, or outer, covering of the. Eight samples, WKW-B19–26, were also taken from the roof of the porch or Parvise. From the bell frame proper, nine samples, were obtained, WKW-B27–35, with an additional three samples, WKW-B36–38, being taken from the small number of timbers in the east truss of the belfry. Finally, three samples, WKW-B39–41 were obtained from the three suitable beams of the 'winding chamber' ceiling.

Timbers from other areas of interest, notably the floor of the 'winding chamber' and the vestry roof were not sampled. In the first of these, it was seen that the beams were derived from fast grown trees and as such were unlikely to provide samples with the minimum number of rings, at least 54, required for reliable dating. In the case of the vestry roof it was seen on the close examination afforded at the time of the building works, that this roof is composed of modern oak timbers, there being no reuse of any older material at all.

Where possible the positions of these samples are marked at the time of sampling on drawings made and provided by Martin Roberts, or on annotated photographs. These are reproduced here as Figures 10a-e. Details of the samples are given in Table 1. In this table all the trusses and other timbers have been numbered from east to west and further identified on a north–south basis as appropriate.

ANALYSIS

Each of the 51 samples obtained in this programme of tree-ring dating was initially prepared by sanding and polishing. It was seen at this time that two samples, WKW-B16 and B46, had less than 54 rings, the minimum necessary for reliable dating. These samples were, therefore, rejected from this programme of analysis. The annual ring widths of the remaining 49 samples were, however, measured, the data of these measurements being given at the end of this report.

The data of these 49 measured samples were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see Appendix), allowing five separate groups, accounting for 42 measured samples, to be formed at a minimum value of t=4.0. The samples of each group cross-match as shown in Figures 11–15. The cross-matching samples of each group were combined at their indicated offsets positions to form site chronologies WKWBSQ01–SQ05

Each of the five site chronologies was then compared to an extensive corpus of reference material for oak, including not only that held by the Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory but also that held, for example, at the Sheffield University Dendrochronology Laboratory, this process resulting in the satisfactory dating of two site chronologies.

Site chronology WKWBQ01, comprising seven samples with an overall length of 217 rings, was also found to match repeatedly and consistently with a series of reference

chronologies, when the date of its first ring is AD 1174 and the date of its last measured ring is AD 1390. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2.

Site chronology WKWBSQ02, comprising 29 samples with an overall length of 120 rings, was found to match repeatedly and consistently with a series of reference chronologies when the date of its first ring is AD 1324 and the date of its last measured ring is AD 1443. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 3.

All other site chronologies remain undated. The seven remaining measured but ungrouped single samples were also compared to the reference chronologies, but again there was no satisfactory cross-matching and these timbers, therefore, must also remain undated.

Site chronology	Number of samples	Number of rings	Date span AD
			(where dated)
WKWBSQ01	7	217	74_ 390
WKWBSQ02	29	120	1324–1443
WKWBSQ03	2	80	undated
WKWBSQ04	2	97	undated
WKWBSQ05	2	4	undated

This analysis may be summarised as follows:

INTERPRETATION

Tower: bell frame

The bell frame is represented by samples WKW-B27–35. Of these nine samples, five are dated as part of site chronology WKWBSQ01 (Fig I I). Two of these samples, WKW-B32 and B35, retain complete sapwood, meaning that they have the last growth ring produced by the trees represented. In one case, sample WKW-B35, the last measured, complete, sapwood ring, and thus the felling of the tree, is dated to AD I 385, and in the second case, sample WKW-B32, the last complete sapwood ring, and thus the felling of the tree, is dated to AD I 385.

A further sample from the bell frame, WKW-B28, retains the heartwood/sapwood boundary and seven sapwood rings. The heartwood/sapwood boundary on this sample is at a similar date, AD 1373, as the two others from the bell frame discussed above. Such similarity is an indicator of a group of trees felled at a similar, if not identical, time. However, if the timber represented by sample WKW-B28 was also felled in AD 1385/86 it would have had only 12–13 sapwood rings, slightly less than the usual minimum 95% confidence limit of 15 sapwood rings. Lower sapwood ring numbers, though, while not common, are certainly not unknown, and it may be noted that other samples with complete sapwood from this site also have low numbers of sapwood rings. There is little reason to suspect, therefore, that this timber was not felled at a similar time

Two further samples from the bell frame, WKW-B33 and B34, do not retain the heartwood/sapwood boundary. It is thus not possible to indicate the felling of the timbers represented with reliability except to say that, with last heartwood rings dates of AD 1333 and AD 1334, and a 95% probability of a minimum of 15 sapwood rings, the timbers are unlikely to have been felled before AD 1348 and AD 1349 respectively. It is thus possible that these timbers are coeval with the other timbers in the bell frame, but this cannot be proven by tree-ring analysis, particularly bearing in mind the variable quality of the intra-site cross-matching.

Tower: 'winding chamber' ceiling

The 'winding chamber' ceiling is represented by samples WKW-B39–41, two of which are dated as part of site chronology WKWBSQ01. Neither of these samples retains complete sapwood and it is thus not possible to indicate a precise felling date for the timbers represented. The two samples do, however, retain the heartwood/sapwood transition.

On sample WKW-B41 this transition is dated to AD 1371. Using a 95% probability of 15–40 sapwood rings the trees might have had would give the timber represented an estimated felling date in the range AD 1386–1411. On sample WKW-B40 this transition is dated to AD 1390. Using a 95% probability of 15–40 sapwood rings the trees might have had would give the timber represented an estimated felling date in the range AD 1405–30. It would appear, therefore, that whilst they may represent a single felling phase, they could also have been felled at slightly different times in the late fourteenth century or early fifteenth century.

South aisle: upper roof

The upper roof of the south aisle is represented by samples WKW-B42–51. Of these 10 samples, eight are dated as part of site chronology WKWBSQ02. Two of these samples retain complete sapwood, meaning that they each have the last growth ring produced by the trees represented. In one case, sample WKW-B43, the last measured, complete, sapwood ring, and thus the felling of the tree, is dated to AD 1428. In the second case, sample WKW-B44, the last full sapwood ring is dated to AD 1429. Under the microscope, however, it is possible to see that the spring cell growth for the following year has just commenced and thus the tree represented cannot have been felled until the spring of AD 1430. It is likely that the majority of other timbers from the upper roof were felled at about this time as well.

Such an interpretation is based on the fact that three other samples from the upper roof, WKW-B45, B48, and B50, come from timbers which retain complete sapwood but from

which, due to the soft and fragile nature of this part of the wood, portions of the sapwood have been lost in sampling. Notes and observations made at the time of sampling, and in the Laboratory, would indicate, given the dates of the last extant rings on these samples, that the lost sapwood, amounting in each case to no more than a few millimetres, would give each of the trees represented a felling date of in the late AD 1420s or early AD 1430s as well.

A further sample from the upper roof, WKW-B47, retains only the heartwood/sapwood boundary. This boundary, however, is at a very similar relative position and date, AD 1414, as those others from the upper roof discussed above. The overall range in heartwood-sapwood boundary dates is only 10 years and such similarity is a clear indicator of a group of trees felled at a similar, if not identical, time. Although using a 95% probability of 15–40 sapwood rings the tree might have had would give this timber an estimated felling date in the range AD 1429–54, this range encompasses the known, or likely, felling dates of other timbers, particularly, as intimated above, one takes into account the possible low numbers of sapwood rings seen on some samples from this site.

The probability of the timber represented by WKW-B47 being felled at the same time as all the others from the upper roof is enhanced by the fact that this sample cross-matches very well with a number of others from this roof suggesting that the tree represented had been growing in the same area as these other. This is a phenomenon less likely to be found had the timbers been felled at different times because of the possibility that they might be sourced from different woodlands.

The two remaining samples from the upper roof, WKW-B42 and B49, do not retain the heartwood/sapwood boundary. It is thus not possible to indicate the felling of the timbers represented with reliability except to say that, with last heartwood rings dates of AD 1303 and AD 1397, and a 95% probability of a minimum of 15 sapwood rings, the timbers are unlikely to have been felled before AD 1318 and AD 1412 respectively. It is thus possible that the timbers were also felled in the late AD 1420s or early AD 1430s, but this cannot be proven by tree-ring analysis.

South aisle: lower roof

The lower roof of the south aisle is represented by samples WKW-B01–18, 13 of which are dated as part of site chronology WKWBSQ02. One of these samples, WKW-B05, retains complete sapwood, with a last-ring date of AD 1431. This is thus the felling of the tree represented. One other sample from the lower roof, WK-B04, comes from a timber which retains complete sapwood but from which a portion of the sapwood was lost in sampling. Notes and observations would, given the date of the last extant ring on the sample, AD 1421, indicate that the tree represented is likely to have been felled in the late AD 1420s or early AD 1430s.

A further nine samples from the lower roof retain some sapwood or at least the heartwood/sapwood boundary, this boundary again being at a very similar relative position and date as the two discussed above. Overall the heartwood/sapwood boundary on these nine samples from the lower roof varies by only six years, from relative position 84, AD 1407, on samples WKW-B10 and B15, to relative position 90, AD 1413, on samples WKW-B11 and B12, such a consistency again being indicative of a single phase of felling. The average date of the boundary on these nine samples is AD 1410. Using a 95% confidence limit of 15 to 40 sapwood rings that the trees might have had would give the timbers represented an estimated felling date in the range AD 1425–50, a span again encompassing the known precise felling date.

The two remaining dated samples from the lower roof, WKW-B09 and B13, do not retain the heartwood/sapwood boundary and it is again not possible to indicate the felling of the timbers represented. However, given that they have last heartwood ring dates of AD 1404 and AD 1405, and using the same 95% probability of a minimum of 15 sapwood rings, the timbers are unlikely to have been felled before AD 1419 and AD 1420 respectively. It is thus possible that the timbers were also felled at the same time as the others from this roof.

The Parvise roof

The Parvise roof is represented by eight dated samples in site chronology WKWBSQ02, with five of these samples retaining complete sapwood. In each case the last, complete, sapwood ring, and thus the felling of the tree, is dated the same at AD 1443.

Three other samples from the Parvise roof retain some sapwood or at least the heartwood/sapwood boundary, this being at a very similar date to that on the timbers whose felling date is known. The overall range of heartwood-sapwood boundary dates is 14 years; such similarity is again indicative of a group of timbers that represent a single programme of felling and it is likely that these timbers were felled in AD 1443 as well. The average date of the boundary on these three samples is AD 1421. Using a 95% confidence limit of 15 to 40 sapwood rings that the trees might have had would give the timbers represented an estimated felling date in the range AD 1436–61. It will be seen that this estimate encompasses the known felling dates of other timbers from this roof.

CONCLUSION

Analysis by tree-ring dating has shown that the dated timbers represent a number of different felling phases. The earliest material with precise felling dates is associated with the main timbers of the bell frame, at least two of which were felled in AD 1385 and AD 1386. It is possible that a third timber from the bell frame was also felled at about this time, but with the possibility that it may have been felled slightly later. The felling date of two other timbers from the bell frame cannot be proven. They are clearly broadly coeval,

but whilst it is possible that they were felled in the mid-AD 1380s, they too may have been felled at a slightly different date.

This is of particular note given that one of the timbers from the ceiling of the 'winding chamber', below the belfry, has an estimated felling date in the early fifteenth century, and another timber possibly so. As such it would mean that these timbers are later than at least two of those in the bell frame, and it may have been impossible to insert these timbers with the bell frame still in place.

The results from the bell frame in combination with those obtained from the only two dated timbers from the 'winding chamber' ceiling, the felling dates of which span the late fourteenth and early fifteenth century, appear to suggest that the structural elements from this ceiling post-dates the bell frame. It is possible, therefore, that while the bell frame itself contains some timber of late-fourteenth century date, which may represent a structure added to the tower at this time, the frame may have been reworked, perhaps with the insertion of later timbers, when the ceiling timbers were introduced into the tower. It is possible that this was undertaken as a first, or early, stage of the early-fifteenth century works which eventually saw the addition of the south aisles and the porch.

The three samples from the bell-cote, truss 4 of the bell tower, cannot be dated, despite two of them, B36 and B37, combining to form site chronology WKWBSQ03 of overall length 80 rings. However, although undated, it is likely that the two timbers represented in BSQ03 are broadly coeval with each other, though, because neither has the heartwood/sapwood boundary, their likely relative felling cannot be determined.

The roof to the south aisle, both the upper and lower, probably represent a single programme of felling, and hence construction, during the late AD 1420s and early AD 1430s. Whereas there was previously some debate as to whether one roof was earlier than the other, this now appears unlikely, though additional bark-edge dates would have potentially demonstrated whether the timbers used in the roofs differed by a year or two.

The Parvise roof is, perhaps not unexpectedly as it is attached to its south side, later than the south aisle. However, with the timbers used here being cut in AD 1443, they clearly post-date the south aisle roof, though the construction of the porch some 10–15 years later may represent part of a single overall planned programme of development spanning a couple of decades.

Judging by the cross-matching between samples, it is likely that some of the trees grew close to each other in the same area of woodland. Samples WKW-B44, B45, B47, and B48, for example, cross-match with each other with values ranging from t=7.5 to t=8.8, suggesting that the trees represented may be from the same copse or stand. Samples WKW-B22 and B24, cross-match with a value of t=9.3, suggesting the trees from which they are derived grew still closer together. Indeed, further pairs of samples, WKW-B27 and B30, or WKW-B29 and B31, which both cross-match with values of t=10.9, may be derived from the same tree.

The source woodland for the timbers dated here cannot be identified precisely by dendrochronology (eg Bridge 2000), but it seems probable that they are local to the region. As may be seen from Tables 2 and 3, which list short selections of the reference chronologies used to date the two site sequences, the best matches are with the reference chronologies made up of material from other sites in the north-east.

Of the 49 samples measured, seven remain ungrouped and undated. In most cases, this lack of cross-matching does not appear to be caused by any particular problem with the samples, such as narrow, distorted, or complacent rings. Sample WKW-B07 might be considered to have very slightly erratic growth and sample WKW-B18 might have some slight compression. Sample WKW-B51 is also, with 54 rings, towards the lower end of the acceptable minimum number of rings required. There is, however, nothing noticeably unusual about these, a small percentage of samples frequently remaining ungrouped and undated in any programme of tree-ring analysis, and those found here do not display any shared unusual features.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Prudhoe Castle Cates, Prudhoe, Northumberland,* Centre for Archaeol Rep, **37/2002**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2004a *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the Moot Hall, Market Place, Hexham,* Cent for Archaeol Rep, **41/2004**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2004b *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Dacre Hall, Lanercost Priory, Brampton, near Carlisle, Cumbria,* Cent for Archaeol Rep, **48/2004**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2008 Additional dendrochronology dates from the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory, no 6, *Vernacular Architect*, **39**, 107–11

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2009 *Abbey Gatehouse and Number 1 The Square, Blanchland, Northumberland: tree-ring analysis of timbers*, Res Dep Rep Ser, **47/2009**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2009 unpubl Composite working mean of material from Carlisle, Cumbria – Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory unpubl computer file *CARLISLE1*

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1982 unpubl A master tree-ring chronology for England, unpubl computer file *MGB-EOI*, Queens Univ, Belfast

Bridge, M, 2000 Can dendrochronology be used to indicate the source of oak within Britain? *Vernacular Architect*, **31**, 67–72

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1991 List 39 no 10 -Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **22**, 40– 3

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, Nottingham University Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, Hook R, Thornes, R, Royal Commission on the Historical Monuments of England, 1992 List 47 no 4b - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: truncated principal trusses project, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 59–6

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, Nottingham University Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, and Roberts, H M, North East Vernacular Architecture Group, 1995 List 62 no 3a - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: buildings of the religious estates in medieval Durham; dendrochronological survey 1994– 5, *Vernacular Architect*, **26**, 55–6 Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, Nottingham University Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, and Roberts, H M, North East Vernacular Architecture Group, 1996 List 67 nos 1, 2 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: buildings of the religious estates in medieval Durham; dendrochronological survey 1994–5, *Vernacular Architect*, **27**, 85–6

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002a *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the North Transept Roof, St Nicholas' Cathedral, Newcastle upon Tyne, Tyne and Wear,* Centre for Archaeol Rep, **85/2002**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002b *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Finchale Priory Farmhouse, Finchale, Co Durham,* Centre for Archaeol Rep, **92/2002**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002c *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Tunstall Hall Farm, Elwick Road, Hartlepool, Cleveland,* Anc Mon Lab Rep, **61/2002**

Pevsner, N, 1992 The Buildings of England – Northumberland, 2nd edn, Harmondsworth

Pickford, C, 1993 Bellframes, a practical guide to inspecting and recording

Ryder, P and Roberts, M 2009 unpubl St Lawrence, Warkworth; Analysis of the Fabric and Archaeological Assessment

TABLES

Samala number	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood	First measured ring	Last heartwood ring	Last measured ring	
Sample number		TOLAITINgs	rings	date	date	date	
South aisle, lower roof							
WKW-B01	Tiebeam, truss 5	77	h/s	1334	1410	1410	
WKW-B02	South wall plate, bay 5	104	h/s				
WKW-B03	Tiebeam, truss 7	72	h/s	1338	1409	1409	
WKW-B04	Tiebeam, truss 8	77	I3c	1345	1408	1421	
WKW-B05	North purlin, bay 8	92	22C	1340	1409	43	
WKW-B06	South purlin, bay 8	75	2	1336	1408	1410	
WKW-B07	Tiebeam, truss 9	94	5				
WKW-B08	North purlin, bay 9	54	h/s	1358	4	4	
WKW-B09	South purlin, bay 9	67	no h/s	1338		1404	
WKW-BI0	Tiebeam, truss 10	68	6	1346	1407	1413	
WKW-BII	North purlin, bay 10	90	h/s	1324	1413	1413	
WKW-B12	South purlin, bay 10	72	h/s	1342	1413	1413	
WKW-B13	South common rafter I, bay I	54	no h/s	1352		1405	
WKW-B14	South common rafter 4, bay 1	60	5	1356	1410	1415	
WKW-B15	South common rafter 5, bay 2	56	h/s	1352	1407	1407	
WKW-BI6	South common rafter 2, bay 5	nm					
WKW-B17	North common rafter 2, bay 8	63	no h/s				
WKW-B18	North common rafter 3, bay 10	104	no h/s				
Parvise roof							
WKW-B19	Roof beam I	83	14	1352	1420	1434	
WKW-B20	Roof beam 2	97	21C	1347	1422	1443	
WKW-B21	Roof beam 3	88	23C	1356	1420	1443	
WKW-B22	Roof beam 4	57	h/s	1367	1423	1423	
WKW-B23	Roof beam 5	83	24C	1361	1419	1443	
WKW-B24	Roof beam 6	85	18C	1359	1424	1443	

Table 1: Details of tree-ring samples from the Church of St Lawrence, Warkworth, Northumberland

Table I: continued

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date	
Parvise roof continued							
WKW-B25	Roof beam 7	75	10C	1369	1433	1443	
WKW-B26	Roof beam 8	80	6	1347	1420	1426	
Bell frame			•	·			
WKW-B27	North post, truss 3 (east bell frame truss)	97	no h/s				
WKW-B28	South post, truss 3	120	7	1261	1373	1380	
WKW-B29	South archbrace, truss 3	86	no h/s				
WKW-B30	North post, truss 2 (middle bell frame truss)	69	no h/s				
WKW-B31	North archbrace, truss 2	4	no h/s				
WKW-B32	South post, truss 2	162	I6C	1255	1370	1386	
WKW-B33	South archbrace, truss 2	160	no h/s	1174		1333	
WKW-B34	North post, truss I (west bell frame truss)	130	no h/s	1205		1334	
WKW-B35	Tiebeam, truss I	78	18C	1308	1367	1385	
Bell-cote (truss 4	to east belfry wall)						
WKW-B36	Top rail	80	h/s				
WKW-B37	North post	65	h/s				
WKW-B38	South post	127	h/s				
'Winding chamber' ceiling							
WKW-B39	Joist I	79	19C				
WKW-B40	Joist 2	97	h/s	1294	1390	1390	
WKW-B41	Joist 3	65	h/s	1307	37	37	
South aisle, upper roof							
WKW-B42	Common rafter 2	61	no h/s	1343		1403	
WKW-B43	Common rafter 4	66	2IC	1363	1407	1428	
WKW-B44	Common rafter	55(+1?)	2 (+ ?)C	1375	1417	1429 (1430?)	
WKW-B45	Common rafter 14	71	l2c	1357	1415	1427	
WKW-B46	Common rafter 20	nm					
WKW-B47	Common rafter 21	55	h/s	1360	4 4	4 4	

Table I: continued

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date	
South aisle, uppe	South aisle, upper roof continued						
WKW-B48	Common rafter 26	56	3c	1372	4 4	1427	
WKW-B49	Common rafter 27	65	no h/s	1333		397	
WKW-B50	Common rafter 31	64	17c	1362	1408	1425	
WKW-B51	Post 2	54					

* nm = sample not measured;

**h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample

c = complete sapwood retained on timber, but all or part lost from core in sampling

C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last measured ring date is the felling date of the timber represented

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence WKWBSQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1174 and last ring date is AD 1390

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	<i>t</i> -value	
		7.0	
Carlisle composite working mean (excl. The Lanes)	AD 900-1476	7.0	(Arnold and Howard 2009 unpubl)
North Transept roof, Newcastle Cathedral	AD 1187-1367	6.7	(Howard <i>et al</i> 2002a)
Moot Hall, Hexham, Northumberland	AD 1244-1378	6.6	(Arnold <i>et al</i> 2004a)
England Master Chronology	AD 401-1981	6.4	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Finchale Priory Farmhouse, Durham	AD 1174-1369	5.8	(Howard <i>et al</i> 2002b)
The Lanes buildings, Carlisle	AD 10621600	5.5	(Tyers pers comm)
Glasgow Cathedral	AD 9461360	5.2	(Baillie and Pilcher pers comm)
Durham Cathedral North Transept repairs	AD 1534-1728	5.0	(Howard <i>et a</i> l 1992)

Table 3: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence WKWBSQ02 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1324 and last ring date is AD 1443

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	<i>t</i> -value	
Old Durham Farm, Durham	AD 1390-1619	7.8	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1995)
35 The Close, Newcastle upon Tyne	AD 1365-1513	7.1	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1991)
Lanercost Priory, Brampton, Cumbria	AD 1350-1504	6.7	(Arnold <i>et al</i> 2004b)
Blanchland Abbey Gatehouse, Northumberland	AD 1326-1532	6.5	(Arnold <i>et al</i> 2009)
Witton Hall Farm, Witton Gilbert, Co Durham	AD 342- 44	6.2	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1996)
Tunstall Hall Farm, Hartlepool	AD 1316-1484	5.7	(Howard <i>et al</i> 2002c)
Seaton Holme, Easington, Co Durham	AD 1375-1489	5.4	(Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008)
Prudhoe Castle Cates, Northumberland	AD 1318-1444	5.0	(Arnold <i>et al</i> 2002)

FIGURES



Figure 1: location of St Lawrence's Church Warkworth (circled)

This map is based upon Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. English Heritage. 100019088. © English Heritage



Figure 2: location of the Church of St Lawrence (circled)

This map is based upon Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. English Heritage. 100019088. © English Heritage



Figure 3: View of the lower or inner roof to the south aisle



Figure 4: Empty mortices and reset common rafters to the south pitch of the lower roof



Figure 5: View of the upper or outer roof looking east to west



Figure 6: View of the bell frame



Figure 7: Truss 4, the 'bell-cote' in the bell chamber



Figure 8: The ceiling beams of the 'winding chamber'



Figure 9: The floor of the 'winding chamber'



Figure 10a: Plan of the south aisle roof to show position of sampled timbers



Figure 10b: Plan of the Parvise roof to show position of sampled timbers



Figure 10c: Plan of the bell chamber to show position of trusses and of sampled timbers (after Martin Roberts)



Figure 10d: Cross-section of the bell frame trusses to show sampled timbers (after Martin Roberts)



Figure 10e: View of the winding chamber ceiling to show sampled timbers



Blank bars = heartwood rings, shaded bars = sapwood rings h/s = heartwood sapwood boundary

C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample; where dated the last measured ring is the felling date of the timber represented

Figure 11: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology WKWBSQ01 sorted by sample location



Blank bars = heartwood rings, shaded bars = sapwood rings

h/s = heartwood sapwood boundary

c = complete sapwood exists on the timber but all or part has been lost from the sample during coring C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample; where dated the last measured ring is the felling date of the timber represented

Figure 12: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology WKWBSQ02 sorted by sample location



Blank bars = heartwood rings, h/s = heartwood sapwood boundary

Figure 13-15: Bar diagrams of the samples in site chronologies WKWBSQ03 (top), BSQ04 (middle), and BSQ05 (bottom)

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

Measurements in 0.01 mm units

APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1988). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost randomlike, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique

position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.



Figure A1: A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976



Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical

2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a t-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard et al 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-CO4, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of CO8 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site

sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It

also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton *et al* 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et a*/2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to crossmatch it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

7. **Ring-Width Indices.** Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.



Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width



Figure A6: Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87



Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 *Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates*, London

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987 Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, **3**, 165–85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **15–26**

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of tree-ring dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, **8**, 381–90

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, PA C T, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber: Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, Engl Heritage Res Trans, 7

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, *J Archaeol Sci*, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 *The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis*, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London