# ST FIRMIN CHURCH, THURLBY, LINCOLNSHIRE TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS OF THE BELLFRAME AND TOWER

SCIENTIFIC DATING REPORT

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SCIENCE



Research Department Report Series 72-2010

## ST FIRMIN CHURCH, THURLBY, LINCOLNSHIRE

## TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS OF THE BELLFRAME AND TOWER

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard

NGR: TF 10503 16800

© English Heritage

ISSN 1749-8775

The Research Department Report Series incorporates reports from all the specialist teams within the English Heritage Research Department: Archaeological Science; Archaeological Archives; Historic Interiors Research and Conservation; Archaeological Projects; Aerial Survey and Investigation; Archaeological Survey and Investigation; Architectural Investigation; Imaging, Graphics and Survey, and the Survey of London. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series and the Architectural Investigation Report Series.

Many of these are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in Research Department reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Requests for further hard copies, after the initial print run, can be made by emailing: Res.reports@english-heritage.org.uk or by writing to: English Heritage, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD Please note that a charge will be made to cover printing and postage.

## SUMMARY

Samples were taken from the dismantled bellframe and supporting beams, and from *insitu* tower timbers. All 12 bellframe samples grouped and were combined to form THUBSQ01, a site sequence of 194 rings. This was found to span the period AD 1599–1792, with the timbers represented all probably being felled in AD 1792. Only one of the supporting beams could be dated, to a felling of AD 1455. Little can be deduced from a single sample as it is unclear whether it is reused, primary, or inserted. Three of the lower *in-situ* timbers, all corbels, grouped to form a site sequence, THUBSQ03, which has a last-measured ring date of AD 1547. These samples have *termini post quos* of AD 1540, AD 1555, and AD 1562. Two further site sequences are undated.

## CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Laboratory would like to thank the Captain of the Bells, Terry Madison, for arranging access to the tower timbers and Roger Osborne for agreeing to slices being taken from the dismantled bellframe. George Dawson kindly met with the Laboratory personnel on site to discuss the bellframe and sourced sketch drawings which had been produced some years ago when the bellframe was still *in-situ*. Thanks are also given to the Scientific Dating Section at English Heritage and Cathy Tyers of the Sheffield University Dendrochronology Laboratory for their advice and assistance throughout the production of this report.

## ARCHIVE LOCATION

Lincolnshire Historic Environment Record Planning and Conservation Group Lincolnshire County Council City Hall Beaumont Fee Lincoln LNT IDN

## DATE OF INVESTIGATION

2009-10

## CONTACT DETAILS

Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 IFT 0115 960 3833 roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

## CONTENTS

Introdu	iction	I
Bellfra	me and supporting beams	I
In-situ	timbers	I
Samplir	ıg	I
Analysi	s and results	2
Bellfra	me and supporting beams	2
In-situ	timbers	3
Discuss	ion	
Bibliogr	aphy	4
Tables .		6
Figures		10
Data of	Measured Samples	
Append	lix: Tree-Ring Dating	
The P	rinciples of Tree-Ring Dating	
The P	ractice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory	
١.	Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers.	
2.	Measuring Ring Widths.	41
3.	Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples	41
4.	Estimating the Felling Date	42
5.	Estimating the Date of Construction	43
6.	Master Chronological Sequences	44
7.	Ring-Width Indices	44
Refere	nces	48

## INTRODUCTION

The parish church of St Firmin is located in the Lincolnshire village of Thurlby (Figs I and 2; TF 10503 16800). It is thought to have had its origins in the eleventh century, with work being undertaken in the twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth centuries, as well as being restored in AD 1856. It consists of a three-stage tower with fourteenth-century spire, clerestoried nave, chancel, aisles, transepts, north and south chapels, and porches (www.lbonline.english-heritage.org.uk).

## Bellframe and supporting beams

The bellframe was removed from the tower in late 2009 in order for a new metal frame to be installed. The timbers of the frame and the six supporting beams upon which it had sat were given to a local farmer, Roger Osborne, and when seen by the Laboratory were residing on a trailer.

From sketches found by George Dawson and from looking at the timbers it is possible to reconstruct the original form of the frame. It had five bells, hung in four pits: three parallel pits orientated north-south and a transverse pit across the north end of the other pits (Fig 3). The main trusses consisted of sill, long head, and braces which ran from sill to head. This frame sat upon six longitudinal beams which spanned the tower east-west (Fig 4).

## In-situ timbers

These can be divided into lower and upper timbers (Fig 4). The lower timbers consist of six north-south beams, two of which are supported on posts and corbels and have braces from beam to post (Fig 5). The upper timbers consist of two east-west beams, supported on posts and with a brace running between the post and the beam. One of these trusses is against the north wall and one against the south wall (Fig 6).

## SAMPLING

Sampling was requested by Graham Pledger, bellframe advisor for English Heritage, to provide a precise date for the construction of the *ex-situ* bellframe and associated support beams. In addition it was hoped that the analysis of the timbers still *in-situ* in the tower would allow a greater understanding of their historical context and potential purpose.

A total of 30 timbers was sampled. Each sample was given the code THU-B (for Thurlby Church) and numbered 01–30. Sixteen of these samples are slices taken from the bellframe (THU-B01–12) and supporting beams (THU-B13–16). The other 14 samples are cores taken from the *in-situ* timbers (THU-B17–30). The location of core samples was noted at the time of sampling and has been marked on Figures 7–11. Those

components of the dismantled bellframe which were sampled and an example of one of the supporting beams were photographed (Figs 12-17). Further details relating to the samples can be found in Table 1.

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

All 30 samples were prepared by sanding and polishing and their growth-ring widths measured; the data of these measurements are given at the end of the report. These samples were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see Appendix).

## Bellframe and supporting beams

All 12 samples taken from the timbers of the bellframe matched each other at a value of t=4.5. These 12 samples were combined at the relevant offset positions to form THUBSQ01, a site sequence of 194 rings (Fig 18). This site sequence was compared against a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak where it was found to match consistently and securely at a first-ring date of AD 1599 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1792. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2. One of these samples (THU-B08) has complete sapwood and the last-measured ring date of AD 1792, the felling date of the timber represented. A further five dated samples have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring, which in all cases is broadly contemporary and suggestive of a single felling. The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date is AD 1766, which allows an estimated felling date to be calculated for the five timbers represented to the range AD 1788–1806 (this allows for sample THU-B09 having a last-measured ring date of AD 1787 with incomplete sapwood), consistent with a felling of AD 1792. The other six dated samples do not have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring and so an estimated felling date cannot be calculated, except to say with last-measured heartwood ring dates ranging from AD 1708 (THU-B03) to AD 1767 (THU-B04), it is also possible these were felled in AD 1792.

Two samples taken from the supporting beams matched each other and were combined at the relevant offset positions to form THUBSQ02, a site sequence of 69 rings (Fig 19). Attempts to date this site sequence by comparing it against the reference material were unsuccessful and it remains undated.

A third sample (THU-B14) was compared individually against the reference material where it was found to span the period AD 1389–1455. The evidence for this dating is given by the *t*-values in Table 3. This sample has complete sapwood, demonstrating the timber represented was felled in AD 1455.

The fourth sample, THU-B13, is too short to be individually dated securely.

## *In-situ* timbers

Three of the samples taken from the lower timbers matched each other and were combined at the relevant offset positions to form THUBSQ03, a site sequence of 90 rings (Fig 20). This site sequence was compared against a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak where it was found to match consistently and securely at a first-ring date of AD 1458 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1547. The evidence for this dating is given by the *t*-values in Table 4. None of these samples has the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date and, therefore, it is not possible to calculate an estimated felling date for the timbers represented, except to say, that with last-measured ring dates of AD 1525 (THU-B19), AD 1540 (THU-B26), and AD 1547 (THU-B24), these would be estimated to be AD 1541, AD 1556, and AD 1563 at the earliest, respectively.

Eight other samples, from both the upper and lower timbers, matched each other and were combined at the relevant offset positions to form THUBSQ04, a site sequence of 76 rings (Fig 21). Attempts to date this site sequence by comparing it against the relevant reference material were unsuccessful and it remains undated.

All felling date ranges have been calculated using the estimate that mature oak trees in this area have between 15 and 40 sapwood rings.

## DISCUSSION

Prior to tree-ring analysis being undertaken the bellframe was believed, on documentary sources, to date to AD 1713, the date of two of its bells. However, the dendrochronological research has demonstrated that it was constructed from timber felled in AD 1792, making it about 80 years older. Notes attached to the drawings found by George Dawson list the dates of the five bells. In addition to the two dated to AD 1713, there are a further two dated to AD 1908, and one dated to AD 1790. It now seems likely that the bellframe was constructed just after the casting of this last bell.

Only one of the supporting beams has been successfully dated, to a felling of AD 1455. Unfortunately, as a single dated timber that is not an integral part of an extant structure there is little that can be deduced from its dating. This beam could be primary or reused and may have been a later insertion into the supporting framework. As well as the interpretation, the dating of individual samples can be problematic, especially when the tree-ring sequence is relatively short, as in this case. However, it matches well and consistently at AD 1455 and is considered a secure date.

Only three of the *in-situ* timbers have been successfully dated, within site sequence THUBSQ03. The three lower timbers, all corbels, have *termini post quos* for felling of AD 1540, AD 1555, and AD 1562. All three samples show periods of severe growth retardation, which may explain the slightly lower matches against the reference material than might be hoped for. Having said this, the site sequence does match consistently and at a level deemed secure against the reference chronologies.

It is unfortunate that site sequence THUBSQ04, containing eight of the *in-situ* timbers, from both the upper and lower structures, could not be dated. This is most likely due to the short ring-width sequences of many of these samples, with the overall site sequence only being 76 rings. From studying the relative heartwood/sapwood boundary positions, it is possible to say that all the lower timbers represented were most likely felled at the same time. Unfortunately, none of the upper timbers retained the heartwood/sapwood boundary and so it is not possible to say whether both the upper and lower structures are contemporary or even that all upper timbers were felled at the same time.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2003a *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Clothall Bury Farmhouse, near Baldock, Wallingford Parish, Hertfordshire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **87/2003** 

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2003b *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the roofs of the Lady Chapel, north and south aisle, and the Choir south aisle, Worcester Cathedral, Worcester*; Centre for Archaeol Rep, **96/2003** 

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2005 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the Gazebo, Shifnal Manor, Shifnal, near Telford, Shropshire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, 60/2005

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2008 Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory: additional dendrochronology dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **39**, 107–11

Bridge, M C, 2001 Tree-ring dates from University College London: List 115, *Vernacular Architect*, **32**, 70–4

Esling, J, Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1989 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **20**, 39–41

Groves, C, Locatelli, C, and Howard, R E, 2004 *Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from Church Farm, Bringhurst, Leicestershire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **56/2004** 

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1993 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **24**, 40–2

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1997 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 124–27

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1999 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from The Dower House, Fawsley Park, Fawsley, nr Daventry, Northamptonshire*, Anc Mon Lab Rep, **29/1999** 

Howard, R E, 2003 unpubl Working site master for Little Morton Hall, Cheshire; unpubl computer file *LMHASQ03*, NTRDL

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2003 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Combermere Abbey, Whitchurch, Cheshire*, Anc Mon Lab Rep, **83/2003** 

Hurford, M, Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2008 *Flores House, High Street, Oakham, Rutland, tree-ring analysis of timbers*, Engl Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **94/2008** 

Hurford, M, Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2010 *Auld Cottage, Main Street, Norwell, Nottinghamshire, tree-ring analysis of timbers*, Engl Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **43/2010** 

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, Simpson, W G, and Whitley, J P, 1982 Tree-ring dates for some East Midlands Buildings: Table 1, no 2, *Trans Thoroton Soc Notts*, **86**, 76–7

Laxton, R R and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands master tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies*, Monograph Series, **III** 

Tyers, I, 1990 Tree-ring dates from Museum of London: List 37, *Vernacular Architect*, **21**, 45–6

Table I. Detail	ls of tree-ring	samples from	the bell	lframe a	nd bell	tower	at Thurlby	Church,	Thurlby,	Lincolnsl	hire

Sample	Sample location	Total	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date		
Number		rings	rings*	ring date (AD)	(AD)	(AD)		
<u>Bellframe</u>	Bellframe							
THU-B01	Top sill	108	22	1679	1764	1786		
THU-B02	Bottom sill	149		1616		1764		
THU-B03	Unidentified	99		1610		1708		
THU-B04	Top sill	70		1698		1767		
THU-B05	Bottom sill	107		1650		1756		
THU-B06	Unidentified	154		1599		1752		
THU-B07	Bottom sill	89		1644		1732		
THU-B08	Bottom sill	156	22C	1637	1770	1792		
THU-B09	Top sill	138	13	1650	1774	1787		
THU-BI0	Top sill	88	10	1688	1765	1775		
THU-BII	Brace	104	06	1666	1763	1769		
THU-B12	Brace	123	18	1659	1763	1781		
Supporting beams								
THU-BI3	Beam	48						
THU-BI4	Beam	67	17C	1389	1438	1455		
THU-BI5	Beam	69						
THU-BI6	Beam	49						

Table	1	(contd)
-------	---	---------

Sample	Sample location	Total	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
Number		rings	rings*	ring date (AD)	(AD)	(AD)
<u>In-situ</u> timbers — Io	<u>ower</u>					
THU-BI7	North-south beam (easternmost)	55	12			
THU-BI8	North-south beam (westernmost)	53	07			
THU-B19	East truss, north corbel	68		1458		1525
THU-B20	West truss, north post	41	h/s			
THU-B21	East truss, north post	55	15			
THU-B22	East truss, north brace	45	04			
THU-B23	West truss, north brace	70	25C			
THU-B24	East truss, south corbel	88		1460		1547
THU-B25	East truss, south post	68	18			
THU-B26	West truss, south corbel	54		1487		1540
<u>In-situ</u> timbers – upper						
THU-B27	North truss, east post	44				
THU-B28	South truss, west post	46				
THU-B29	South truss, east post	48				
THU-B30	North truss, east brace	46				

\*h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring is the last measured ring on the sample C = complete sapwood retained on the sample

Reference chronology	<i>t</i> -value	Span of chronology	Reference
East Midlands	9.4	AD 882–1981	Laxton and Litton 1988
Church Farm, Bringhurst, Leicestershire	10.9	AD 1664–1781	Groves <i>et al</i> 2004
Thaxted Church, Essex	8.7	AD 1644–1813	Tyers 1990
Bradgate Trees, Leicestershire	7.8	AD 1595–1975	Laxton and Litton 1988
Clothall Bury Farmhouse, Hertfordshire	7.8	AD 1636–1753	Amold <i>et al</i> 2003a
Green's Mill, Sneinton, Nottinghamshire	7.6	AD 1664–1787	Laxton <i>et al</i> 1982
Worcester Cathedral, Worcestershire	7.6	AD 1484–1772	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2003b

 Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence THUBSQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when the first ring date is AD

 1599 and the last-ring date is AD 1792

Table 3: Results of the cross-matching of sample THU-B14 and relevant reference chronologies when the first-ring date is AD 1389 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1455

Reference chronology	<i>t</i> -value	Span of chronology	Reference
Little Morton Hall, Cheshire	7.2	AD 1377–1462	Howard 2003 unpubl
Thaxted Church, Essex	5.8	AD 1345–1526	Tyers 1990
Nevill Holt, Leicestershire	5.5	AD 1274–1534	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008
Combermere, Cheshire	5.3	AD 1363–1564	Howard <i>et a</i> /2003
Dog and Duck, Shardlow, Derbyshire	5.3	AD 1380–1455	Howard <i>et a</i> / 1993
23 Church Street, Eckington, Derbyshire	5.3	AD 1381–1474	Esling <i>et al</i> 1989
Auld Cottage, Norwell, Nottinghamshire	5.2	AD 1335-1512	Hurford <i>et al</i> 2010

Table 4: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence	THUBSQ03 and relevant referenc	e chronologies when the first-ring date is AD
1458 and the last-ring date is AD 1547		

Reference chronology	<i>t</i> -value	Span of chronology	Reference
East Midlands	4.8	AD 882-1981	Laxton and Litton 1988
Otley Hall (structural), nr Ipswich, Suffolk	5.7	AD 1415–1587	Bridge 2001
Shifnal Manor Gazebo, Shropshire	5.4	AD 1455–1628	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2005
Dower House, Fawsley, Northamptonshire	5.0	AD 1427–1575	Howard <i>et al</i> 1999
Lowdham Old Hall (barn), Lowdham, Nottinghamshire	5.0	AD 1422–1527	Howard <i>et al</i> 1997
Flores House, Oakham, Rutland	5.0	AD 1408–1591	Hurford <i>et al</i> 2008
Auld Cottage, Norwell, Nottinghamshire	5.0	AD 1335-1512	Hurford <i>et al</i> 2010

## **FIGURES**



Figure I: Map to show the general location of Thurlby

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Map to show the location of St Firmin

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 3: Thurlby Church; tower plan (provided by George Dawson)



Figure 4: Sketch drawings which show the bellframe design, six supporting beams in blue on the drawing to the left, and lower in-situ timbers in red and approximate level of upper in-situ timbers marked on the drawing to the right (provided by George Dawson)



Figure 5: Lower in-situ timbers (east truss, north side)







Figure 7: Sketch drawing showing the location of samples THU-B17 and THU-B18 and the position of the east and west truss

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



Figure 8: Lower in-situ timbers; sketch of east truss, showing the location of samples THU-B19, THU-B21-2, and THU-B24-5



Figure 9: Lower in-situ timbers; sketch of west truss, showing the location of samples THU-B20, THU-B23, and THU-B26



Figure 10: Upper in-situ timbers; sketch of north truss, showing the location of samples THU-B27 and THU-B30



Figure 11: Upper in-situ timbers; sketch of south truss, showing the location of samples THU-B28 and THU-B29



Figure 12: Samples THU-B01 and THU-B11



Figure 13: Sample THU-B02



Figure 14: Samples THU-B03, THU-B04, THU-B09, and THU-B10



Figure 15: Samples THU-B05, THU-B06, and THU-B07





Figure 17: One of the supporting beams



Figure 18: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence THUBSQ01



Figure 19: Bar diagram of samples in undated site sequence THUBSQ02



Figure 20: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence THUBSQ03



Figure 21: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence THUBSQ04

## DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

#### Measurements in 0.01mm units

#### THU-B01A 108

 158
 167
 101
 78
 67
 92
 164
 189
 196
 146
 116
 107
 158
 163
 167
 86
 141
 160
 221

 162
 224
 204
 132
 182
 231
 185
 241
 191
 200
 156
 92
 172
 193
 214
 156
 218
 211
 247
 178

 138
 227
 236
 236
 192
 279
 282
 245
 307
 238
 258
 187
 222
 204
 177
 153
 231
 149
 106
 181

 220
 176
 126
 147
 127
 141
 164
 179
 215
 133
 129
 135
 171
 159
 166
 187
 179
 146
 124
 152

 158
 161
 187
 151
 146
 140
 88
 110
 75
 65
 99
 140
 109
 18
 147
 171
 140
 135
 144
 154

 121
 107
 108

#### THU-B01B 108

 173
 175
 96
 119
 70
 79
 82
 145
 173
 186
 140
 125
 100
 160
 166
 157
 109
 116
 182
 240

 189
 185
 176
 153
 188
 212
 165
 231
 176
 215
 152
 103
 168
 190
 219
 159
 222
 213
 250
 186

 154
 237
 258
 230
 185
 288
 284
 250
 296
 238
 237
 209
 211
 214
 174
 142
 219
 147
 104
 191

 214
 180
 136
 144
 125
 159
 164
 170
 211
 168
 129
 154
 182
 163
 168
 194
 174
 145
 126
 151

 160
 166
 184
 152
 155
 137
 82
 162
 147
 112
 14
 156
 153
 165
 116
 139
 168

 128
 106
 114
 108
 83

#### THU-B02A 149

 149
 188
 132
 270
 300
 283
 239
 200
 139
 134
 103
 120
 283
 229
 67
 46
 129
 124
 73
 81

 228
 136
 158
 131
 125
 137
 56
 180
 190
 173
 198
 176
 224
 129
 119
 149
 69
 130
 164
 144

 182
 69
 138
 100
 198
 188
 182
 101
 125
 131
 48
 130
 178
 148
 181
 102
 209
 135
 103
 100

 161
 181
 140
 243
 154
 134
 214
 166
 103
 59
 172
 213
 182
 126
 66
 120
 105
 189
 113
 112

 171
 232
 169
 143
 80
 109
 59
 132
 138
 101
 163
 107
 165
 117
 107
 97
 136
 194
 89
 169

 163
 126

#### THU-B02B 149

 156
 178
 145
 263
 329
 256
 213
 174
 120
 125
 72
 115
 277
 233
 62
 47
 120
 134
 67
 92

 221
 140
 164
 132
 118
 147
 55
 191
 210
 190
 198
 171
 228
 113
 126
 131
 75
 113
 153
 150

 168
 68
 135
 97
 179
 129
 185
 92
 128
 132
 59
 117
 170
 148
 183
 103
 211
 145
 104
 88

 166
 190
 125
 250
 142
 142
 209
 167
 108
 55
 174
 216
 175
 129
 58
 127
 101
 186
 117
 115

 179
 217
 165
 145
 75
 112
 61
 128
 140
 113
 162
 109
 168
 120
 98
 95
 139
 196
 90
 174

 159
 127
 8

#### THU-B03A 99

173 276 195 197 156 215 191 179 178 125 134 68 129 214 93 132 146 158 196 217 157 175 179 219 164 284 440 276 365 202 235 258 160 181 222 227 311 203 298 145 219 258 163 182 216 217 251 189 268 342 360 298 429 188 184 157 347 299 529 232 454 296 514 376 205 161 312 373 286 393 269 336 613 417 184 163 370 283 343 188 160 240 138 324 135 216 231 235 297 370 186 133 200 260 471 205 252 266 364 THU-B03B 99

240 274 210 199 152 190 168 170 155 123 134 68 132 193 92 110 137 150 164 187 160 164 181 211 171 274 446 302 359 203 223 247 159 172 215 233 321 201 293 146 216 272 163 185 200 221 264 205 265 323 356 284 419 164 190 144 336 303 522 265 476 291 505 387 211 159 331 368 291 402 241 327 601 419 200 171 387 289 341 189 160 250 151 313 128 213 223 234 276 348 189 127 203 264 459 199 245 273 371

#### THU-B04A 70

62 72 154 215 193 234 340 282 393 322 280 151 114 182 313 389 281 331 439 334 201 272 332 428 479 394 445 304 509 432 345 399 245 374 495 373 288 210 289 147 193 254 201 169 153 150 165 133 171 173 116 141 166 196 127 175 139 138 75 112 173 147 108 128 161 149 182 172 163 169

#### THU-B04B 70

66 66 153 213 191 243 321 279 420 293 282 182 103 180 287 363 249 310 441 323 197 277 325 425 477 408 449 303 518 417 366 410 243 380 501 366 296 209 297 135 194 246 207 162 160 153 166 123 201 157 113 138 166 189 134 174 140 132 79 121 158 148 116 127 147 150 183 168 166 185

#### THU-B05A 107

 189
 125
 77
 111
 133
 272
 169
 127
 132
 119
 193
 183
 97
 127
 81
 160
 215
 247
 284
 299
 222
 195
 127
 65
 100
 136
 165
 220
 276
 313
 380
 298
 231
 125
 229
 271
 316
 222

 100
 86
 101
 103
 93
 82
 49
 81
 156
 178
 125
 170
 90
 248
 307
 258
 326
 310
 254
 147

 132
 165
 236
 381
 265
 311
 284
 261
 138
 126
 186
 221
 274
 231
 284
 246
 272
 296
 266
 178

 134
 166
 117
 98
 88
 204
 149
 13
 157
 271
 174
 174
 92
 107
 129
 173
 192
 173
 203

 245
 243
 181
 193
 182
 160

#### THU-B05B 107

 177
 122
 81
 116
 137
 280
 221
 180
 144
 113
 186
 189
 88
 134
 65
 161
 203
 263
 317
 279

 315
 286
 218
 171
 94
 74
 69
 143
 172
 205
 267
 301
 370
 310
 262
 101
 255
 253
 304
 218

 109
 94
 96
 98
 86
 88
 56
 88
 154
 167
 135
 174
 100
 267
 310
 241
 345
 304
 241
 160

 136
 159
 227
 386
 260
 318
 273
 268
 140
 128
 184
 226
 263
 238
 280
 242
 262
 296
 255
 186

 133
 162
 128
 91
 107
 210
 142
 112
 150
 276
 172
 104
 141
 106
 97
 135
 168
 194
 190
 217

 248
 211
 <

#### THU-B06A 154

 170
 144
 275
 275
 357
 212
 180
 204
 198
 208
 285
 272
 276
 293
 363
 360
 389
 382
 341
 317

 299
 294
 229
 210
 238
 234
 272
 277
 294
 281
 329
 205
 187
 223
 187
 148
 220
 216
 319

 264
 145
 41
 38
 32
 36
 50
 104
 104
 126
 88
 82
 86
 65
 100
 106
 113
 129
 141
 115

 130
 242
 155
 125
 117
 88
 123
 94
 141
 182
 147
 180
 111
 213
 146
 104
 93
 115
 159
 136

 158
 139
 105
 149
 110
 99
 45
 113
 80
 114
 69
 56
 49
 58
 107
 64
 46
 49
 91
 89
 66
 43
 106
 72
 91

#### THU-B06B 154

 158
 171
 284
 271
 349
 213
 181
 211
 203
 201
 287
 254
 282
 257
 355
 375
 396
 394
 345
 336

 312
 293
 215
 207
 234
 235
 259
 272
 292
 302
 325
 206
 179
 221
 231
 192
 140
 229
 214
 340

 263
 134
 44
 49
 38
 28
 53
 102
 101
 101
 86
 86
 93
 66
 102
 103
 117
 119
 132
 111

 135
 232
 162
 129
 112
 92
 118
 93
 150
 179
 147
 194
 100
 197
 142
 102
 101
 152
 140

 164
 151
 98
 141
 120
 84
 56
 113
 83
 104
 76
 53
 50
 61
 100
 63
 40
 49
 48

 71
 47
 102
 76
 89

#### THU-B07A 89

 152
 100
 120
 154
 145
 136
 158
 115
 108
 126
 178
 304
 267
 175
 168
 184
 289
 232
 156
 152

 116
 227
 329
 287
 381
 309
 293
 321
 343
 253
 111
 79
 120
 264
 338
 282
 256
 351
 430
 221

 211
 126
 189
 189
 296
 158
 117
 128
 132
 200
 154
 87
 67
 146
 181
 210
 147
 220
 120
 227

 336
 212
 190
 237
 136
 114
 79
 95
 161
 232
 210
 178
 196
 166
 125
 118
 208
 201
 303
 248

 422
 311
 294
 314
 303
 294
 237
 233
 208

#### THU-B07B 89

 136
 118
 129
 133
 148
 164
 98
 97
 124
 183
 313
 276
 176
 169
 182
 295
 238
 172
 159

 136
 202
 320
 286
 386
 288
 350
 321
 294
 181
 108
 77
 91
 266
 313
 285
 240
 332
 388
 212

 205
 137
 154
 148
 233
 163
 128
 159
 168
 198
 177
 105
 59
 123
 186
 194
 162
 243
 137
 276

 319
 227
 232
 257
 156
 107
 85
 96
 174
 234
 207
 160
 172
 152
 105
 130
 209
 208
 267
 299

 342
 298
 308
 314
 346
 295
 225
 233
 221

THU-B08A 156

 115
 210
 238
 226
 91
 83
 162
 184
 178
 181
 153
 198
 137
 142
 133
 81
 117
 94
 239
 131

 77
 144
 100
 140
 177
 168
 166
 64
 71
 49
 73
 139
 159
 168
 232
 190
 235
 156
 82
 93

 152
 161
 172
 179
 92
 200
 177
 174
 126
 214
 173
 245
 198
 140
 124
 128
 154
 121
 68
 103

 112
 164
 138
 159
 153
 119
 176
 214
 144
 174
 150
 152
 108
 109
 144
 175
 232
 167
 223
 192

 221
 165
 114
 187
 221
 206
 174
 271
 225
 216
 242
 253
 194
 185
 206
 225
 131
 122
 284
 186

 104
 170

#### THU-B08B 156

100 210 250 220 92 88 165 185 170 182 160 192 129 133 107 90 112 109 215 141 69 145 111 142 179 156 141 75 61 58 62 135 148 163 224 188 245 157 83 88 152 160 173 176 98 204 178 165 113 213 181 248 202 139 126 127 155 122 80 88 124 175 150 146 156 123 169 214 145 177 162 160 99 101 137 185 233 153 214 206 203 160 119 199 210 214 151 254 242 195 240 241 184 188 213 215 163 128 287 158 104 147 196 171 113 134 127 192 185 251 284 173 150 174 215 233 224 266 206 197 142 153 188 143 204 167 163 170 86 110 87 60 105 127 89 95 115 164 141 136 140 193 167 134 134 194 109 125 84 102 62 87 134 143 129 122

#### THU-B09A 138

72 65 68 76 46 69 55 49 67 104 211 171 117 175 168 97 91 100 160 134 95 157 147 97 63 41 107 167 175 174 205 113 215 147 52 36 61 98 150 103 53 121 124 207 133 170 236 259 287 228 243 270 203 454 307 170 298 255 267 263 155 245 285 274 138 172 130 130 76 76 130 155 165 119 147 135 113 137 114 114 104 97 125 89 106 91 74 73 107 122 127 82 69 55 78 77 114 81 93 125 113 119 122 103 110 99 97 97 100 109 110 114 70 135 112 85 70 96 113 101 100 94 111 121 122 80 102 103 94 78 76 95 100 99 70 75 68 79 THU-B09B 138

#### 93 72 61 68 55 67 49 58 67 109 210 170 118 162 168 101 90 96 151 137 86 163 152 97 60 36 105 169 170 174 203 125 210 154 43 37 60 94 156 111 61 103 117 205 135 152 196 219 224 190 219 243 213 458 330 148 298 250 278 269 151 252 286 280 140 138 135 134 78 72 124 154 172 129 162 139 103 143 114 123 106 112 117 86 98 111 77 77 93 126 120 77 74 60 72 77 114 85 90 121 111 126 116 105 99 96 97 99 102 106 108 114 80 125 106 87 65 101 114 93 104 102 107 124 112 98 104 101 88 79 90 93 102 107 71 77 76 98 THU-BIOA 76

224 164 129 192 124 165 142 155 175 203 191 213 167 120 148 179 281 147 197 177 213 106 123 128 206 209 152 178 156 121 126 118 166 189 187 114 165 76 157 148 117 133 149 114 110 148 149 171 77 86 152 173 86 115 95 170 128 147 147 107 106 78 108 132 185 106 85 116 99 121 143 122 99 108 90 121 THU-BIOB 83

 158
 106
 158
 143
 162
 137
 123
 142
 139
 196
 126
 163
 168
 199
 102
 126
 144
 216

 203
 155
 181
 180
 122
 123
 150
 179
 165
 209
 122
 139
 113
 160
 185
 142
 143
 125
 120
 136

 149
 184
 279
 148
 121
 180
 191
 153
 140
 154
 158
 172
 172
 148
 152
 125
 132
 143
 213

 130
 114
 132
 137
 129
 146
 132
 96
 138
 88
 218
 207
 120
 147
 138
 206
 172
 120
 100
 170

 199
 189
 183
 183
 183
 183
 120
 147
 138
 206
 172
 120
 100
 170

281 248 227 404 215 212

## APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

## The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1988). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost randomlike, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

# The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique

position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.



Figure A1: A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976



Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical

2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum t-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard et al 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-CO4, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of CO8 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site

sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It

also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton *et a*/2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

**5.** Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to crossmatch it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

Ring-Width Indices. Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring 7. widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.

*t*-value/offset Matrix



# Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width



Figure A6: Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87



# Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

## Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

## References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 *Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates*, London

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987 Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, **3**, 165–85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **15–26** 

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of tree-ring dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, **8**, 381–90

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, PA C T, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber: Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, Engl Heritage Res Trans, 7

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, *J Archaeol Sci*, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London