BIRMINGHAM ARCHAEO-ENVIRONMENTAL







The Cedars Park Anglian Water Pipeline: a palaeoenvironmental assessment of floodplain deposits around the River Gipping

Dr Tom Hill

SCCAS-39-07

The Cedars Park Anglian Water Pipeline: a palaeoenvironmental assessment of floodplain deposits around the River Gipping

by

Dr Tom Hill

July 2007

Summary

Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental undertook sedimentary coring at Cedars Park, Baylham, to complement the geoarchaeological investigations being undertaken along the route of a proposed Anglian Water pipeline.

Fieldwork identified a stratigraphic archive on the River Gipping floodplain that consisted of alluvial fine sands, silts and clays underlain by an organic unit of palaeoenvironmental potential. Coring terminated within basal sands and gravels below the organic deposit. One phase of in-situ organic accumulation is concluded to have occurred since sedimentation began at the site. Although a precise timescale for the development of the sedimentary sequence is unknown, it is suggested that the deposits may date back to the Mid- to Late-Holocene (c. 2-5,000 yrs BP).

In order to fully understand the palaeoenvironmental history of the site, it is proposed that pollen and beetle assessments are undertaken on the organic unit. AMS radiocarbon dating should also be undertaken on the base and top of the unit to establish the timing of the onset and cessation of organic deposition.

KEYWORDS: Cedars Park, Baylham, Suffolk, River Gipping, peat, Alluvium

Contact address for authors:

Prepared for:

Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental Institute of Archaeology and Antiquity University of Birmingham Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2TT Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service
Shire Hall
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk
IP33 2AR

The Cedars Park Anglian Water Pipeline: a palaeoenvironmental assessment of floodplain deposits around the River Gipping

1. INTRODUCTION

The route of a pipeline has been proposed by Anglian Water to run between Creeting St Mary Baylham Pumping Station, situated within the central Gipping Valley, immediately north of Ipswich, Suffolk. The route was shown to cut across the floodplain of the River Gipping, in which there is believed to be a high potential for the preservation of palaeoenvironmental remains. As a consequence, it was concluded that an initial stratigraphic survey of the floodplain deposits associated with the pipeline route was required in order to palaeoenvironmental establish the potential of the sedimentary archive. A programme of sedimentary coring was therefore required.

Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental were subcontracted collect to sedimentary sequences for stratigraphic and palaeoenvironmental assessments. This report presents the palaeoenvironmental results of (sedimentary investigations recording, sampling and stratigraphic assessment) associated with scheme of work.

The aim of the work was threefold:

 To identify, record, characterise and sample organic deposits, encountered during the stratigraphic survey.

- To assess this material for biological preservation (suitable for pollen and beetle assessments) and identify suitable samples for radiocarbon dating.
- To provide a detailed understanding of the subsurface stratigraphy of any organic-rich deposits and fine grained silts and clays, which might aid in the development of archaeological prospection strategies.

2. METHODS

2.1 Borehole Survey

At the time of fieldwork, the majority of the proposed pipeline route along the floodplain of the River Gipping comprised pastoral and arable farm land.

The principle ground disturbance to result from the proposed pipeline route involve surface stripping associated with the easement (believed to be 15m in width), and the cutting for the pipe trench, believed to be c. 0.40m wide. The pipe would be laid in an open-cut trench with directional drilling at the river crossing. As a consequence, the stratigraphic survey was restricted to coring within the 15m wide easement area of the pipeline route.

A site visit was undertaken over a three-day period from 9th-11th July 2007, during which sedimentary coring took place along the proposed pipeline route (see Figure 1 for core locations relative to the pipeline). Core locations were chosen to ensure a clear spatial

understanding of the stratigraphy across the pipeline route was gained.

Cores were extracted using a manual gauge 'Eijkelcamp' corer. Coring was continued until bedrock or sands and gravels were encountered. Where sediments of palaeoenvironmental potential were encountered, a sample core was extracted in 1m length sections and transferred into 1m lengths of plastic guttering for storage and transport.

2.2 Stratigraphic Analysis

Whilst an initial assessment of the sedimentary archive was made on-site, detailed stratigraphic analysis selected cores was undertaken at the Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental laboratory at the University Birmingham. Each 1.0m section of sample was carefully opened ensuring the enclosed stratigraphy remained intact prior to recording and sampling. Sediments were recorded using the Troels-Smith (1955) classification scheme. The scheme breaks down a sediment sample into four main components and allows the inclusion of extra components that are also present, but that are not dominant. Key physical properties of the sediment layers are also identified according to darkness (Da), stratification (St), elasticity (El), dryness of the sediment (Dr) and the sharpness of the upper sediment boundary (UB). A summary of the sedimentary and physical properties classified by Troels-Smith (1955) and the nomenclature used is provided in Table 1. Α stratigraphic breakdown of the cores is provided in Appendix I.

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF FIELDWORK

A total of 16 cores were taken along the proposed pipeline route (see Figure 1 for core locations and Figure 2 and 3 for selected site photographs). Whilst there was stratigraphic variation across the site, similarities existed between groups of cores. For example, cores located proximal to the River Gipping (BH 1-5) were stratigraphically similar, whilst those located proximal to the railway line (BH 6-12) were also similar to one another. The depth at which basal sands and gravels were encountered varied in depth between cores from 0.45m and 3.10m, at which point coring was terminated due to the inability to penetrate the underlying sediments.

The general stratigraphy of cores proximal to the River Gipping (BH1-5) consisted of up to c. 2.50m of light brown and yellow-brown clays, silts and sands, which were underlain by a dark brown-black well-humified peat (increasing in sand content with depth). The peat horizon was found to overlie basal sands and gravels, at which point coring was terminated, typically at a depth of 2.90m. Cores from the extracted northeastern floodplain of the River Gipping (BH15 and BH16) contained predominantly orange-brown coarse sands and gravels, with no organic deposits evident.

Cores taken proximal to the railway line (BH6-12) comprised well sorted fine brown sands underlain by coarse orange-brown sands and gravels. The depth at which the sands and gravels were encountered rarely exceeded 0.70m. The elevation of the land surface proximal to the railway line is much higher than that proximal to the

River Gipping (where BH1-5 were taken).

Two extra cores were taken further south along the proposed pipeline route (BH13 and BH14), in which light brown clays, silts and sands were evident overlying basal sands and gravels.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The stratigraphic archive encountered along the proposed pipeline route suggests considerable palaeoenvironmental variation exists within this section of the Gipping Valley. The upper c. 2.00m of fine sands, silts and clays encountered in floodplain cores (BH1-5, BH15-16) are concluded to be alluvium derived from the River Gipping. Variations in grain size are likely to be a reflection of fluctuations in the flow regime of, and proximity to, the River Gipping.

Underlying the alluvial sequence, deposits with palaeoenvironmental potential were encountered. A wellhumified peat deposit with varying sand content was evident, commonly at a depth of c. 2.00-2.50m. This is indicative of a period of in-situ organic accumulation during the floodplain's depositional history. It is suggested that the deposit encountered is likely to represent organic accumulation in a backwater lagoonal environment. The deposits are unlikely to represent a palaeochannel feature (e.g. meander cutoff) due to the relatively widespread nature of the organic unit across the floodplain, combined with the lack of topographic anomalies (commonly associated with palaeochannels) across the area in question. Although the age of the organic unit is unknown at present, a Mid- to Late-Holocene timescale is suggested.

Cores extracted proximal to the railway line were found to be at a considerably higher elevation than those closer to the contemporary River Gipping. Medium brown sands and orange-brown sands and gravels typified the stratigraphy with no evidence of organic remains. The nature and elevation of the deposits suggests that this section of the pipeline route is located on a former river terrace of the River Gipping, in deposits which no potential palaeoenvironmental likely to be encountered. The river terrace sands and gravels are likely to date back to either the Devensian glacial or Early Holocene period, whilst the fine sands encountered overlying the sands and gravels are likely to have developed through a combination of weathering of the underlying sediments and agricultural activity.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS

The site location of Core 1 was revisited and sampled for palaeoenvironmental consideration. The stratigraphy encountered within Core 1 was considered most representative of the deposits present within the River Gipping floodplain affected by the proposed pipeline route.

One phase of peat accumulation is believed to have occurred. It is proposed that any palaeoenvironmental assessments undertaken should concentrate on these peat deposits. Therefore in order to obtain an understanding of the palaeoenvironmental conditions responsible for the development of the

peat unit, the following assessment is suggested:

- Pollen assessment throughout the *c*. 0.60m thick peat unit at regular 0.10m intervals (7 samples in total) in order to assess the palaeoecological conditions present at the time of deposition. It is recommended that samples from within the peat unit are assessed for pollen at 2.50m, 2.60m, 2.70m, 2.80m, 2.90m, 3.00m and 3.10m depth.
- The remaining deposits from the peat unit should be bulked into top (2.50-2.70m), middle (2.70-2.90m) and bottom (2.90-3.10m) samples to be assessed for beetle remains (3 samples in total).
- Radiocarbon dating is also suggested on suitable wood fragments or bulk organic samples from the top and base of the peat unit (2 samples in total) to establish the timing of the onset and of peat cessation deposition. Samples should be taken from c. 2.50m and 3.10m depth.

6. ARCHIVE

The core sampled during fieldwork (Core 1) is currently stored by Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT. In addition, original core logs, location plans, photographs and associated material are stored within Birmingham Archaeo-Environmental.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Kieron Heard and John Newman from Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service for their assistance prior to and during fieldwork.

REFERENCES

Troels-Smith, J. (1955). Karakterisering af lose jordater (characterisation of unconsolidated sediments). *Denmarks Geologiske Undersogelse*, Series IV/3, 10, 73.

Degree of Darkness	Degree of Stratification	Degree of Elasticity	Degree of Dryness	
nig.4 black	strf.4 well stratified	elas.4 very elastic	sicc.4 very dry	
nig.3	strf.3	elas.3	sicc.3	
nig.2	strf.2	elas.2	sicc.2	
nig.1	strf.1	elas.1	sicc.1	
nig.0 white	strf.0 no stratification	elas.0 no elasticity	sicc.0 water	

	Sharpness of Upper Boundary
lim.4	< 0.5mm
lim.3	< 1.0 & > 0.5mm
lim.2	< 2.0 & > 1.0mm
lim.1	< 10.0 & > 2.0mm
lim.0	> 10.0mm

	Sh	Substantia humosa	Humous substance, homogeneous microscopic structure
	Tb	T. bryophytica	Mosses +/- humous substance
I Turfa	TI	T. lignosa	Stumps, roots, intertwined rootlets, of ligneous plants
Th T. herbacea F			Roots, intertwined rootlets, rhizomes of herbaceous plants
	DI	D. lignosus	Fragments of ligneous plants >2mm
II Detritus	Dh	D. herbosus	Fragments of herbaceous plants >2mm
	Dg	D. granosus	Fragments of ligneous and herbaceous plants <2mm >0.1mm
III Limus	Lf	L. ferrugineus	Rust, non-hardened. Particles <0.1mm
	As	A.steatodes	Particles of clay
IV Argilla	Ag	A. granosa	Particles of silt
	Ga	G. arenosa	Mineral particles 0.6 to 0.2mm
V Grana	Gs	G. saburralia	Mineral particles 2.0 to 0.6mm
	Gg(min)	G. glareosa minora	Mineral particles 6.0 to 2.0mm
	Gg(maj)	G. glareosa majora	Mineral particles 20.0 to 6.0mm
	Ptm	Particulae testae molloscorum	Fragments of calcareous shells

<u>Table 1</u> Physical and sedimentary properties of deposits according to Troels-Smith (1955)



Figure 2: A view north, looking over the River Gipping. Photograph taken proximal to the location of BH1 (see Figure 1).



Figure 3: Looking south along the floodplain of the River Gipping, next to the car park off Mill Lane. Photograph taken proximal to the location of BH11 (see Figure 1).

APPENDIX I

Core Stratigraphy
Refer to Table 1 for summary of sedimentary classification scheme of Troels-Smith (1955)

Core 1 (TM10784 BNG53098)

0.00-0.30m		St 0 1, Ag1, A			UB -
0.30-0.50m		St 0 1, Sh1, T grey-bro			
0.50-1.05m		St 0 32, Sh+, F 1 brown c			UB 1
1.05-1.42m		St 0 s1, Sh+, F rown (iron			UB 1 silt
1.42-1.65m		St 0 s1, Sh1, C own orga			UB 2 t
1.65-2.02m	Da 2+ Ag3, As Light gr	St 0 s1, Sh+ ey-brown	El 0 n clayey s	Dr 3	UB 1
2.02-2.50m		St 0 s1, Sh1, T n-dark bro		Dr 3	UB 1 clayey silt
2.50-2.62m		St 0 s1, Sh1, T own very		Dr 3	UB 1
2.62-3.04m		St 0 1, Ga1, Town well			UB 1 h abundant sand and silt
3.04-3.10m		St 0 2, Ag+, Agown very		Dr 3	UB 1
>3.10m	Gravels	encounte	ered		

Core 2 (TM 10775 BNG 53091)

Da 2+	St 0	El 0	Dr 3	UB -
				psoil
Da 2	St 0	El 0	Dr 3	UB 1
				layey silt
Da	St	El 0	Dr 3	UB 1
Ag2, As	s2, Lf+, S	Sh+, Th+	_	
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
				1
_				y silt
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
2+	0	0	2+	2
			ed clayey	silt
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
2+	0	0	2	1
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
2	0	0	2	2
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
3+	0	1	2	2
-				andy peat
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
3	0	2	2	1
-			nified pe	at
Da	St	El	Dr	UB
3+	0	1	2	2
_				andy peat
Gravels	encount	ered		
	2+ Ag2, Di Medium Da 2 Ag2, As Light yo Da 2 Ag2, As Light yo Da 2+ Ag2, As Medium Da 2+ Ag2, As Orange- Da 2+ Ga2, As Orange- Da 2 Ga4, As Light gr Da 3 Sh3, Ds Dark br Da 3 Sh3, Ds Dark br	2+ 0 Ag2, Dh1, Sh1, Medium brown of Da St 2 0 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Q Light yellow-brown of Da St 2 0 Ag2, As2, Lf+, S Light yellow-brown of Da St 2+ 0 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Q Medium grey-brown in Da St 2+ 0 Ag2, As1, Ga1, S Orange-brown in Da St 2+ 0 Ga2, Ag2, As+, S Orange-brown si Da St 2+ 0 Ga4, Ag+, Ptm+ Light grey shelly Da St 3+ 0 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, A Dark brown-blace Da St 3+ 0 Sh3, Dg1, Ga+, S Dark brown very Da St 3+ 0 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, A Dark brown-blace	2+ 0 0 Ag2, Dh1, Sh1, Th+, Ptm Medium brown organic-ri Da St El 2 0 0 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ga+, Dh+ Light yellow-brown organ Da St El 2 0 0 Ag2, As2, Lf+, Sh+, Th+ Light yellow-brown iron ro Da St El 2+ 0 0 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ga+, Th+ Medium grey-brown organ Da St El 2+ 0 0 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ga+, Th+ Medium grey-brown organ Da St El 2+ 0 0 Ag2, As1, Ga1, Sh+, Lf+ Orange-brown iron mottle Da St El 2+ 0 0 Ga2, Ag2, As+, Lf+ Orange-brown silty sand Da St El 2+ 0 0 Ga4, Ag+, Ptm+, Sh+ Light grey shelly sand Da St El 3+ 0 1 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm Dark brown-black well hund Da St El 3 0 2 Sh3, Dg1, Ga+, Ptm+ Dark brown very well hund Da St El 3+ 0 1 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm- Dark brown very well hund Da St El 3+ 0 1 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm- Dark brown very well hund Da St El 3+ 0 1 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm- Dark brown very well hund Da St El 3+ 0 1 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm- Dark brown very well hund	2+ 0 0 3 Ag2, Dh1, Sh1, Th+, Ptm+ Medium brown organic-rich silt to Da St El Dr 2 0 0 3 Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ga+, Dh+, Th+ Light yellow-brown organic-rich c Da St El Dr 2 0 0 3 Ag2, As2, Lf+, Sh+, Th+ Light yellow-brown iron mottled c Da St El Dr 2+ 0 0 2+ Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ga+, Th+ Medium grey-brown organic claye Da St El Dr 2+ 0 0 2+ Ag2, As1, Ga1, Sh+, Lf+ Orange-brown iron mottled clayey Da St El Dr 2+ 0 0 2 Ga2, Ag2, As+, Lf+ Orange-brown silty sand Da St El Dr 2+ 0 0 2 Ga4, Ag+, Ptm+, Sh+ Light grey shelly sand Da St El Dr 3+ 0 1 2 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm+ Dark brown-black well humified se Da St El Dr 3 0 2 2 Sh3, Dg1, Ga+, Ptm+ Dark brown-black well humified pe Da St El Dr 3+ 0 1 2 Sh2, Dg1, Ga1, As+, Ptm+ Dark brown-black well humified pe

Core 3 (TM 10770 BNG 53057)

0.00-0.25m		St 0 n1, Dg1, 7 n brown o			UB - psoil
0.25-0.45m	_	St 0 s1, Sh1, I -brown cl	-	Dr 3	UB 1
0.45-1.50m	Light ye	St s2, Lf+, S ellow-bro ng orang	wn claye	-	UB tling) with depth
1.50-1.66m		St 0 g1, Ggmi gravely s		Dr 2	UB 1
1.66-2.10m		St 0 g2, Dg+, a rown orga		Dr 2 silt	UB 1
2.10-2.90m		St 1 h1, Sh1, A rown-blac			UB 2 m+ ied peat with occasional sand horizons

>2.90m Gravel encountered

Core 4 (TM 10875 BNG 53019)

0.00-0.30m	-	St 0 1, Sh1, T own orga	Dr 3 , Dg+ ey silt top	UB - soil
0.30-1.25m		St 0 2, Lf+, S own (iron	Dr 3	UB 1 silt
1.25-1.75m		St 0 1, Ga1, L brown sa	Dr 3	UB 1
1.75-1.90m	-	St 0 1, Sh1, C ey-brown	Dr 2 clayey si	UB 1
1.90-2.30m		St 2, Dg+, A ey-brown		UB
2.30-2.90m			Dr 2 , Ptm+, A	UB 2 Ag+ humified peat

>2.90m Gravels encountered

Core 5 (TM 10802 BNG 52988)

0.00-0.35m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB			
	3	0	0	3	-			
	Ag2, As1, Sh1, Ptm+, Ga+, Dg+, Dh+							
	Medi	um brow	n organic	silt topso	oil			

Light grey-brown (with iron mottling) slightly sandy clayey silt

1.40-1.60m Unsampled

>2.20m Gravels encountered

Core 6 (TM 10846 BNG 52929)

0.00-0.20m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB				
	2+	0	0	3	-				
	Ga3, Sh1, Ggmin+, Ggmaj+, Ag+, Ptm+, 7								
	Light	Light brown slightly organic fine sand							

>0.65m Gravels encountered

Core 7 (TM 10900 BNG 52845)

0.00-0.30m Da St Εl Dr UB Ga4, Sh+, Ggmin+, Ggmaj+, Th+, Ag+ Light brown sand

0.30-0.50m Da St El Dr UB Ga3, Ggmaj1, Ggmin+, Ag+ Orange brown gravely sand

>0.50m Gravels encountered

Core 8 (TM 10958 BNG 52754)

0.00-0.40m Da El Dr UB St 2+ 0 0 3 Ga4, Sh+, Ggmin+, Th+, Ag+

Light brown sand

0.40-0.70m El Dr Da St UB 0 2+

Ga4, Ag+, Ggmin+, Ggmaj+ Orange-brown sand

0.70-0.80m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3

> Ga3, Ggmaj1, Ggmin+, Ag+ Orange-brown gravely sand

>0.80mGravels encountered

Core 9 (TM 11008 BNG 52655)

0.00-0.30m Da St Εl Dr UB 0 0 3 Ga3, Ggmin1, Ggmaj+, Ag+, Sh+ Dark grey-brown gravely sand

0.30-0.45m StDa El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1 Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Ag+ Orange-brown sands and gravels

>0.45m Gravels encountered

Core 10 (TM 11054 BNG 52589)

0.00-0.25m Da St El Dr UB
3 0 0 3 Sa3, Sh1, Ggmin+, Ggmaj+, Ag+

Dark brown organic sand

0.25-0.80m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1

Ga4, Ag+, Ggmin+, Sh+ Light orange-brown sand

0.80-0.90m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1

Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Ag+

Light orange-brown sands and gravels

>0.90m Gravels encountered

Core 11 (TM 11135 BNG 52577)

0.00-0.35m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 -

Ga3, Ggmaj1, Ggmin+, Sh+

Medium brown gravely sand with occasional organic mottling

0.35-0.75m Da St El Dr UB 2 0 0 3 1

> Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Gg+ Orange brown sands and gravels

>0.75m Gravels encountered

Core 12 (TM 11181 BNG 52505)

0.00-0.10m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 -

Ga4, Ggmin+, Ggmaj+, Sh+, Ag+

Medium brown sand with occasional gravel

0.10-0.20m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1

Ga3, Ag1, Sh+

Medium brown silty sand with occasional organic mottling

0.20-0.40m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1

> Ga3, Ggmin1, Ggmaj+, Ag+ Orange-brown gravely sand

0.40-0.70m Da St El Dr UB 2+ 0 0 3 1

Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Ag+, Gg+

>0.70m Gravels encountered

Core 13 (TM 11318 BNG 52318)

0.00-0.10m		St 0 h1, As1, 7 m brown o	El 0 Th+ organic c	Dr 3	UB -
0.10-0.40m	_	St 0 s2, Ga+, rown clay		Dr 3	UB 1
0.40-0.65m		St 0 s1, Lf+, S rey (with	El 0 Sh+ iron mot	Dr 3 tling) cla	UB 1 yey silt
0.65-1.70m	_		El 0 Sh+, Lf+ lightly sa		UB 1 ey silt
1.70-0.80m	_	St 0 h2, As++ rey-brown	El 0+ , Dh+ n organic	Dr 2 -rich silt	UB 1
1.80-2.10m		-	El 0+ Th+, Dh- ck silty w		UB 1 fied peat
2.10-2.70m			_		UB 1 Ol+, Ggmin+, Ggmaj- ch sandy silt
>2.70m	Gravel.	s encount	ered		

Core 14 (TM 11344 BNG 52272)	Core 14	(TM	11344	BNG	52272)
------------------------------	---------	-----	-------	-----	--------

0.00-0.20m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB		
	2+	0	0	3	-		
	Ag2, As	s1, Sh1, T	Γh+, Ptm-	+			
	Mediun	n brown o	organic cl	ayey silt			
0.20-0.65m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB		
	Ag2, As	s2, Sh+					
	Light b	own clay	ey silt				
0.65-1.05m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB		
	2	0	0	3	1		
	Ag3, As	s1, Lf+, S	Sh+				
	Light grey (with iron mottling) clayey silt						
1.05-1.65m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB		
1.05 1.05111	2+	0	0	3	1		
		~	~	_	-		

>1.65m Gravels encountered

Ga2, Ag2, As+ Grey-brown sandy silt

Core 15 (TM10803 BNG 53119)

Core 15 (1M10	1803 BIN	33119)					
0.00-0.05m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB			
	3	0	1	3	-			
	Dh2, Sh1, Ag1, Th+, Dg+, Ggmin_, Dl+							
	Dark brown silty organic topsoil							
0.05-0.35m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB			
	2+	0	0	3	1			
	Ag2, As1, Sh1, Dh+, Th+, Ggmin+							
	Medium brown organic clayey silt							
0.35-0.80m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB			
	2+	0	0	3	1			
	Ag2, As1, Ggmaj1, Ggmin+, Sh+, Lf+							
	_	_	prown gra					
0.80-1.80m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB			

0.80-1.80m Da St El Dr OB
2+ 0 0 3 1
Ga2, Ag1, Ggmin1, As+, Ggmaj+, Lf+
Orange-brown gravely silty sand

>3.10m Gravels encountered

Core 16 (TM 10811 BNG53128)

0.00-0.15m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB				
	2+	0	0	3	-				
	Ag2, As1, Ga1, Th+, Sh+								
	_	Medium brown slightly sandy clayey silt							
0.15-0.30m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB				
	2+	0	0	3	1				
	Ga2, 0	Ga2, Ggmin1, Ag1, Ggmaj+							
		Medium brown gravely silty sand							
0.30-0.60m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB				
0.30-0.00111		~ -			UВ				
	Ga2, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1, Ag+								
	Orang	Orange-brown gravely sand							
0.60-0.80m	Da	St	El	Dr	UB				
	Ga1, Gg1, Ggmin1, Ggmaj1								
		Orange-brown sands and gravels							
	Orung	,c crown	Sands an	a gravers					
>0.80m	Gravels encountered								