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## Summary

In July 2014, Souterrain Archaeological Services Limited undertook an archaeological investigation during ground preparation for a new two storey rear annexe to Piper's Cottage, a grade II listed local vernacular building at Piper's Hill, Great Gaddesden, Hertfordshire.

The building was thought to date from the late $16^{\text {th }}$ century. However, earlier in 2014 an historic building survey concluded that the existing building shows no signs of having been constructed before the late $18^{\text {th }}$ or early $19^{\text {th }}$ century; although earlier materials are used in its fabric.

Historic documents of $17^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ century date highlighted the potential for previous buildings at the site, one of which lay to the immediate southeast of Piper's Cottage, within the proposed development footprint.

Investigation during ground works revealed evidence of a post-medieval house; this was in the form of a floor, the position of former walls, a brick-built chimney / hearth and a cellar. Pottery from the floor points to occupancy of the dwelling in the $17^{\text {th }}$ to $18^{\text {th }}$ centuries. The demolition of the building is likely to have taken place in the late $18^{\text {th }}$ or early $19^{\text {th }}$ century.

The post-medieval building was situated upon a domestic midden of $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century date, which strongly suggests that the plot had been the site of a medieval tenement. Notably, a series of small enclosures surviving as earthworks, adjoin the plot to the southwest, further suggesting that a row of medieval tenements or crofts stood along the roadside at Piper's Hill. To date, the discoveries represent the most significant body of archaeological evidence for medieval settlement at Great Gaddesden.

Other discoveries comprised a well, understood to have been contemporary with the existing house, and a nearby low structure built of brick and tile, post-medieval in date though of uncertain function.

## 1. SCOPE OF THE REPORT

1.1 This report documents the results of an archaeological investigation carried out during the ground preparation for an extension to Piper's Cottage, an historic Grade II listed building at Piper's Hill, Great Gaddesden, Hemel Hempstead, HP1 3BY. The investigation was carried out in compliance with Condition 4 of Dacorum Borough Council's Planning Permission 4/01919/13/FHA. The archaeological fieldwork, carried out by Souterrain Archaeological Services Ltd (Souterrain), took place over four days between the $8^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ of July 2014.
1.2 The report has been prepared by Souterrain on behalf of the planning applicant's agent, Mr Ken Halls of 1 Dibley Cottage, High Street, Abbots Langley, Hertfordshire WD5 OAA.

## 2. PLANNING BACKGROUND

2.1 Planning permission (4/01919/13/FHA) was granted by Dacorum Borough Council on the $14^{\text {th }}$ of January 2014 for: amendments to a previously approved, two storey rear annexe with glazed link, the repair of an attached side garage, widening of access and minor internal alterations. The schedule of alterations is contained in the Design and Access Statement ${ }^{1}$ and the area of the proposed development is defined on drawings (latest revisions) submitted with the planning application ${ }^{2}$.
2.2 In view of the archaeological potential of the site, Planning Condition 4 required the implementation of a programme of archaeological works. This was in accordance with paragraph 141 of National Planning Policy (NPPF) ${ }^{3}$ which requires the developer to record, and to advance understanding of the significance of heritage assets that are to be lost due to development.
2.3 The archaeological investigation was carried out in three stages:

Stage1: an historic building record of the existing upstanding structure prior to its restoration and redevelopment;

Stage 2: a record of any features of architectural and historic significance within the existing building made during internal works;

Stage 3: investigation and recording during groundwork for the new annexe.
2.4 Stage 1 was completed by Souterrain in March 2014, as was the majority of Stage 2. The historic building report ${ }^{4}$ provides an illustrative and descriptive appraisal of the house, together with a review of available documentary sources. The record was made to Level 2 as defined by English Heritage and includes photographs and scale elevations and plans. Stage 3, and the remainder of Stage 2 were carried concurrently and the results are integrated in the present report.

[^0]2.5 The programme of archaeological work was implemented by Souterrain in accordance with Written Scheme of Investigation ${ }^{5}$ approved prior to the commencement of work by the Historic Environment Advisor for Hertfordshire County Council.

## 3. SITE LOCATION AND ASPECT

3.1 The parish of Great Gaddesden is to the northwest of Hemel Hempstead on a southeast spur of the Chiltern Hills. The valley of the River Gade runs northwest to southeast through the parish. The village of Great Gaddesden stands on the west side of the river, from which it takes its name (post. 5.2).
3.2 Piper's Cottage is located on Piper's Hill on the western edge of the village and on the south side of the road from Great Gaddesden to Nettleden. It is centred at NGR TL 0272811075 (Figs. 1 and 2) at approximately 130.24 m AOD. The property is located within the Great Gaddesden Conservation Area ${ }^{6}$. It is bordered to the west and south by open pasture and to the east by a public footpath, beyond which is a private residence and garden. Across the road to the north and northeast it is faced by private residences and gardens. The underlying geology consists predominantly of chalk.
3.3 Within the Application Site boundary, the actual area of development measures approximately 430sq.m (Fig. 3).

## 4. THE HISTORIC BUILDING

4.1 Piper's Cottage is a grade II listed building ${ }^{7}$. It is a two-storey timber framed house with red brick in-fill and a red clay tiled roof. The east end and rear wall are cased in brick. There is a brick built lean-to storage shed at the west end with slated roof. In 1840 the cottage was illustrated by J.C. Buckler in a general view of the village facing southwest ${ }^{8}$. The general appearance of the building is as it is today. The chimney is noticeably on the front side of the roof only. The timber frame is extant only on the west wall, although this may be simply 'artistic licence'.
4.2 The listed building text assumes the Piper's Cottage to be a late $16^{\text {th }}$ century house which was renovated in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. However, the recent historic building survey (i.e. Stage 1 ) deduced that it is more likely to be an early $19^{\text {th }}$ century building utilising elements of an earlier structure ${ }^{9}$. There are no structural elements that are unambiguously attributable to an in situ structure of earlier date.

## Historic Maps

4.3 The earliest available historic maps of the property, dated c. 1699 and 1780, show two buildings fronting the roadside at the site of Piper's Cottage (Figs. 2 and 3). The map of c. 1699 is a sketch map made by John Halsey. It accompanies a bundle of deeds and conveyances for the village, entitled 'Map of the lands lying at the Church and Piper's Hill in Great Gaddesden Parish therein whereof were given by John Halsey to the Minister of the said Parish ${ }^{\prime 10}$. The map shows that the two buildings were separate. Figure 2 is a re-drawn extract of the map. Although the ink of the original map has been smudged it shows a reasonably clear representation of the layout of

[^1]buildings. There were two structures fronting the road and a third to the rear. Of the two on the roadside, the building to the west has what appears to be a representation of a chimney, and is thus likely to be a dwelling house.
4.4 On the map of 1780 Piper's Cottage is shown as two adjoining structures on the roadside. Notably, the building on the east side extends further to the east than it does today, reaching the edge of the land parcel boundary. It is not known whether the easternmost structure was part of the dwelling house. Figure 3 is a re-drawn extract of the map ${ }^{11}$. The property boundary around Piper's Cottage is shown in light red. The map was probably chain surveyed and is thus considered to be reasonably accurate.
4.5 A map of presumed early $19^{\text {th }}$ century date (not illustrated) shows only land boundaries at Piper's Hill ${ }^{12}$. The plot of land where Piper's Cottage stands is annotated with the name 'Jennings', who could be either owner or occupant. Marriage records for Great Gaddesden have a Mary Jennings, widow of the same parish marrying widower John Snelling on the $8^{\text {th }}$ August 1830.
4.6 The next map of reasonable accuracy with regard to field and property boundaries was produced for the commutation of tithes of Great Gaddesden in $1839^{13}$. An extract showing Piper's Cottage is redrawn at Figure 4. The plan of the building, however, appears to be a generalised representation. The tithe schedule ${ }^{14}$ gives the Countess of Bridgewater as the owner of the property (Piper's Cottage is not named) and Adam Chennels as the 'occupier'. The summary of land holdings shows Chennels as one of the wealthier tenant farmers of the parish, holding over 155 acres and paying an annual rent charge to the vicar of $£ 44: 10$ s: 2 d .
4.7 The Ordnance Survey first edition (1884) ${ }^{15}$ shows the footprint of Piper's Cottage very much as it is today, except for the back porch. The map was used as the base map for the land valuation of $1910^{16}$. The valuation award gives the cottage and garden as 23 perches. It was occupied by Albert Rance who paid rent to Lt. Col. W.F. Wheatley on behalf of Earl Brownslow. The site of a well is shown to southeast of the dwelling.

## 5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL \& HISTORIC BACKGROUND

5.1 In the course of the present project, a search was carried out of the Hertfordshire Historic Environment Record (HER) within a 500 m radius of the Application Site. The HER numbers in the footnotes refer to the specific record for each heritage asset (i.e. historic structure, archaeological site or find spot) or archaeological event.

## Settlement Origins

5.2 The origin of Great Gaddesden village ${ }^{17}$ is rooted at some juncture within the Anglo-Saxon period, but documentary references to the medieval settlement are sparse. At the time of the Domesday survey of 1086 the manor of Great Gaddesden (Gadesdene) was a sizable settlement, with twenty-seven heads of households recorded: 15 villeins and 2 small holders or bordars, 8 slaves, a cottager and a priest. The population may have been in the region of 130 . There was arable land

[^2]sufficient for 12 ploughs worked by six men's plough teams and four demesne plough teams ${ }^{18}$. In addition there was a mill, $11 / 2$ ploughlands worth of meadow, and woodland to support 500 pigs. Prior to the Norman Conquest the manor was held by Wulfwynn of Creslow from the abbey of St. Albans. It had been one of the abbey's possessions since the 940 s when it was donated by Ethelgifu, a noblewoman. In 1086 the lordship was held by Edward of Salisbury ${ }^{19}$.
5.3 The oldest upstanding structure in the village is the Church St. John the Baptist ${ }^{20}$, with elements of its chancel believed to date to the early $12^{\text {th }}$ century. The modern village Great Gaddesden is generally presumed to be located on the same plan as the medieval settlement. Today, there are six vernacular buildings of historic interest within the village Conservation Area ${ }^{21}$, all of which are designated listed buildings. It is possible that some of these occupy the sites of former medieval tenements or closes. The oldest buildings date from the $15^{\text {th }}$ century. Facing Piper's Cottage on the opposite side of the road (c. $30 \mathrm{~m} N E$ ) stands Glebe House ${ }^{22}$, which was once the vicarage. This was originally a $15^{\text {th }}$ century open hall of the 'Inverted Wealden' type, which was extended in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century and cased in brick in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Another open hall of $15^{\text {th }} / 16^{\text {th }}$ century date is Church Farmhouse, located about 130m NE of Piper's Cottage. This too was renovated with brick casing in the $18^{\text {th }}$ century ${ }^{23}$. A third open hall, of $16^{\text {th }}$ century date ( $c .270 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{E}$ ), is known as Church Cottages ${ }^{24}$. This building, with a steep red tile half-hipped roof, consists of four houses, having been sub-divided in the $18^{\text {th }}$ century. Its timber frame is partly in-filled with brick. Directly opposite Piper's Cottage ( 25 m N ) is a brick fronted timber-framed house ${ }^{25}$ which originated as two cottages in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. The brick facade was added in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. The two other structures of historic interest are barns at Church Farm. One of these has a cruck-frame believed to date from the $15^{\text {th }}$ century ${ }^{26}$, with $17^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ century additions. The other dates to the early $17^{\text {th }}$ century ${ }^{27}$.

## Archaeological Knowledge

5.4 Until the investigation at Piper's Cottage there have been no archaeological discoveries in the village to confirm the location of early settlement, but this is likely to be a reflection of the few archaeological investigations that have taken place rather than a genuine absence of archaeology.
5.5 There have been two previous archaeological watching brief investigations in the village, both initiated by small scale development. The first of these took place in 1999 at Gade Mead, Piper's $\mathrm{Hill}^{28}$ (about 230m ENE of Piper's Cottage) and the second at Glebe House in $2004^{29}$. Nothing of archaeological or historical interest was observed on either occasion.
5.6 On Pipers Hill to the immediate south west of Pipers Cottage, and bordering the Application Area, there is a series of earthwork enclosures of unknown date (Fig.20) ${ }^{30}$. These are located between 140 m and 150 m AOD. The main earthwork comprises a substantial rectilinear enclosure with

[^3]double bank and ditch, enclosing an area of approximately 1.2 hectares. There is an entrance in the centre of its southwest side.
5.7 Adjoined to the northwest side of the enclosure, and fronting the south side of the road, are four small closes, the north-easternmost one of which adjoins the Piper's Cottage property. These have the appearance of medieval crofts or tenements.
5.8 An area of ridge and furrow crop-marks ${ }^{31}$ about 480 m south west of Piper's Cottage are a relict of Great Gaddesden's medieval open field system.

## 6. OBJECTIVES

6.1 The objectives of the investigation were:

- to build upon existing knowledge and to gain an understanding of the structure (i.e. Piper's Cottage) and its development phases;
- to ensure that features of archaeological interest encountered during groundwork were properly examined and recorded;
- to produce a reliable and informed publicly-accessible record, to mitigate the impact of the development upon of the heritage asset.


## 7. FIELD PROCEDURE

7.1 The investigation was conducted with due consideration to Health and Safety and in accordance with the requirements of the Written Scheme of Investigation and the Institute for Archaeologists' Code of Conduct and Standard Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief (Rev.2013). Ground reduction and trenching was monitored throughout by an archaeologist, with the facility to make investigations and records as appropriate. All recording was carried out in accordance with the written scheme. Archaeological features were surveyed to Ordnance Survey National Grid co-ordinates and height datum by RTK GPS.

## 8. INVESTIGATION RESULTS

8.1 In the descriptions which follow, context numbers in square brackets denote 'cuts' (i.e. dug features), whilst those in round brackets denote layers, deposits, fills or structures.

## Extent of Ground Reduction

8.2 The ground level was reduced on the west side of the site for the creation of new access and car parking areas (Fig.5). The topsoil (001) was generally around 0.30 m in depth and contained $20^{\text {th }}$ century litter. It lay directly above geological stratum comprised of chalk with flint nodules. There was nothing of archaeological significance present. The ground in this area appears to have been previously truncated.
8.3 On the east side of the site, ground level was reduced from the slope over the southern half of the proposed building footprint (Fig.5). Here the topsoil (001) was generally $0.35 \mathrm{~m}-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness. It lay directly above geological stratum of chalk. There were no artefacts or archaeological features present.

[^4]
## Wells

8.4 Towards the north end of the proposed building footprint a well [032] was found (Figs. 6 and 8). It had been concealed by a slab of concrete which had latterly been used as the base for a garden shed. The well had a diameter of $c .1 .2 \mathrm{~m}$ and was cut into the chalk to a depth of more than 50 m . Crudely cut foot and hand-holds were visible in its sides. The rim of the well was built of roughlyhewn blocks of pudding stone and red bricks. The bricks are the same form as those used to in-fill the front wall frame of Piper's Cottage, and probably date to the $16^{\text {th }}$ or $17^{\text {th }}$ century (post.9.7). The presence of mortar on various faces indicated that they had been salvaged from an earlier structure, suggesting that the well was dug in the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century to serve the newly built dwelling (i.e. Piper's Cottage). A second well exists close to the northeast boundary of the site (Fig.6, [013]) sealed by a concrete cap. Examination by flashlight via a drain, revealed a depth of just 5 m . It is probably the earlier of the two wells, having been in-filled with the up-cast from well [032]; the material subsequently settling to its present depth.

## Post-medieval Building Foundations

8.5 The excavation of three drainage trenches to the east of Piper's Cottage revealed evidence of an earlier building (Fig.6), which correlates with the site of a building shown on maps drawn in 1699 and 1780 (ante. 4.3 and 4.4). Archaeological visibility was albeit fragmentary due to the narrowness and shallowness of the drainage trenches which meant that the full extent and layout of the building was indeterminable. However two distinct components of the building could be determined: a probable dwelling space and a cellar. There was also sufficient information to deduce that the building was rectangular and on the same alignment as Piper's Cottage.
8.6 The exposed remains of the earlier building consisted largely of floor material (006) / (012), the site of two walls (008) and (007), and a brick-built chimney / hearth (010). Rectangular in plan, the floor covered was $c .2 \mathrm{~m}$ wide by at least 3 m long. The surface of the floor material was reached about 0.72 m below the existing ground level at approximately 129.54 m OD. It comprised a very thin veneer of compact dirty brown clay with charcoal flecks, beneath which the body of the make-up (006) was yellowy brown clay with flint nodules (Fig.13), c. 0.12 in thickness. Pottery sherds of $17^{\text {th }}$ to $18^{\text {th }}$ century date were found impressed in the surface of the floor (012) (post. 9.4-9.5, Table 3), which most likely relate to the domestic occupancy of the building during that period. The floor make-up itself contained a few residual sherds of medieval pottery (post. 9.2, Table 1). In the north-eastern drainage trench a band of dirty mortar (007) defined the northern limit of the floor (Figs. 6 and 11). This was c. 0.45 m wide and aligned northeast/southwest. Similarly, a band of dirty mortar (008) in the north-western drainage trench marked the western extent of the room. This was c. 0.45 m wide and aligned northwest/southeast.
8.7 In the southeast corner of the room there was a small rectangular brick structure (010) interpreted as the base of a chimney and a hearth (Fig. 6 and Figs. 9 to 12). The wall of the structure was the width of a single brick (c. 0.11 m ) and survived up to four courses ( 0.33 m ). The bricks were of a post-medieval form, probably of $16^{\text {th }}$ or $17^{\text {th }}$ century date (post.9.7). The structure was evidently in-filled at the time of the building's demolition, containing a quantity of smashed red clay roof tiles, ashy soil and broken pottery, of late $18^{\text {th }}$ century - early $19^{\text {th }}$ century date (post. 9.4-9.5, Table 3). A few residual medieval sherds were also present (post. 9.2, Table 1).
8.8 Removal of the demolition fill (011) revealed that the chimney base/ hearth had been built upon a layer of flint cobbles set in a clayey matrix (005), with a general thickness of 0.14 m . Below the cobbles was a deposit of ashy soil (004) at approximately 129.42 m OD, which continued beneath the floor make-up (006) / (012) of the building. Sherds of medieval pottery were visible in the surface of the ashy soil layer (post. 9.2, Table 1).
8.9 On the west side of the hearth structure (010) there was a small remnant of loosely mortared brick floor (002) seated set in a thin layer of mortar (003). The bricks, some just halves, were again of a post-medieval form (post.9.7).
8.10 To the northwest of the room, a cellar (030) / (031) was partly exposed during the excavation of a foundation trench for the new building (Figs 6 and 16). The cellar was cut into the chalk to a depth of at least 2 m , though was un-bottomed. Only its southeast side of the cellar was revealed; about 5 sq.m. The eastern extent of the cellar was partly defined in the north-western drainage trench. The side of cellar had been cut into differential geological deposits (chalk and calcareous clay) and had been retained by a wall composed of irregular pieces of limestone bonded with mortar (031). The fill of cellar (033) consisted of dark brown soil and fragments of post-medieval bricks (post.9.7). Pottery indicated that the cellar was back-filled in the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century (post. 9.4-9.5, Table 3).

## $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ Century Deposits

8.11 In the NE drainage trench, the floor make-up (006) was cut back c. 0.3 m by hand-excavation in order to ascertain its thickness and to confirm the nature and date of the ash deposit (004) buried below it (Figs. 12 and 13). The floor make-up proved to be c. 0.11 m in thickness. Layer (004) comprised a homogeneous deposit of grey ashy soil with abundant sherds of $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware pottery (post. 9.1 - 9.3). The vessel types are unglazed cooking pots, some of which are sooted from the fire. It is a typical domestic assemblage of the period. Pottery forms are illustrated at Figure 17 and 18. Although the depth and full extent of deposit (004) could not be determined it is most likely that the deposit represents a domestic midden, undoubtedly from a nearby tenement.

## Post-medieval Structure

8.12 Excavation of the northernmost foundation trench for the new building revealed part of a substantial brick wall (017) aligned northwest/southeast and visible in the trench for a short distance of c. 0.25 m (Figs. 6 and 15 , section 2). The wall measured c .0 .48 m in thickness, being composed of four rows of mortared bricks and surviving to a height off four courses (c. 0.38 m ). The bricks were similar in form to those observed in the foundations of the post-medieval building (ante. 8.7; post.9.7).
8.13 Flanking the wall on either side were three rows of broken red roof tiles (021) and (022) set vertically and bonded together with mortar (Fig.15, section 2; post. 9.6), probably to form a surface or pathway. The whole structure - wall and tile surfaces - was evidently constructed at the same time, having been set in a construction trench [026] which was at least 1.5 m wide and between 0.24 m and 0.36 m deep. The trench [026] was cut partly through the geological stratum and partly through a pit [025]. The function and date of the brick and tile feature is uncertain. A thin layer of cinders (027) to the east may have been a contemporary deposit. The structure was buried beneath demolition layers (018), (019) and (023). There was no evidence of a continuation of the structure in the drainage trench c. 1.8 m to the northwest.

## Undated Pit

8.14 The aforementioned pit [025] had near-vertical sides falling to a flat bottom at a depth of c. 0.88 m (Fig.15, section2). It contained a homogeneous fill of dark brown clay with flint nodules (024). A single small piece of non-diagnostic fired clay tile was found at about 0.08 m from the base of the pit. Albeit of uncertain date (though possibly medieval or post-medieval) the tile fragment was sufficient to confirm that the feature was anthropogenic in origin.

## Observations Inside Piper's Cottage

8.15 Observations were made inside Piper's Cottage during excavation of the living room floor. The removal of wooden floor boards revealed made-up ground comprised of chalky soil and early $19^{\text {th }}$ century bricks (post. 9.8) to a depth of c.0.18m, below which was geological stratum of chalk. There were no archaeological features or finds present.
9. THE FINDS

Medieval Pottery by Martin Wilson and Jackie Wells BA MA
9.1 A total of 31 sherds ( 1037 grams) were recovered during the investigations from stratified contexts. These are arranged by order of context in the catalogue (Table 1). The assemblage dates broadly to the $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century. All sherds are variants of Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware, a coarse sandy ware, notably of a type found at Chalgrave in Bedfordshire ${ }^{32}$. The diagnostic forms are cooking pots, many of which are sooted. There is an overall minimum vessel count (MNV) of 21 (Table 2). The fabric comparison was done by Jackie Wells.
9.2 Table 1. Medieval Pottery: Types and Chronology
(Note: To enable close future comparison the fabric codes used in descriptions refer to those of the Bedfordshire Ceramic Type Series).

| Illustration. (see Fig.17) | Context No. | Feature Type | Description | Period | Approx. context date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 to 3 | 004 | Ash deposit | 4 rim sherds, 13 body and base sherds. Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware. Fabric C60 9 body sherds. Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware. Fabric C59a | $\mathrm{C} 12^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }}$ $\mathrm{C} 12^{\mathrm{th}} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\mathrm{th}}$ | $\mathrm{C} 12^{\mathrm{th}} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\mathrm{th}}$ $\mathrm{C} 12^{\mathrm{th}} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\mathrm{th}}$ |
|  | 006 | Floor make-up | 3 body sherds. Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware. Fabric C60. | C $12^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }}$ | C $12^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }}$ |
| 4 | 011 | Demolition deposit | 1 rim sherd. Hertfordshire Type <br> Grey Ware. Fabric C60 <br> 1 rim sherd. Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware. Fabric C67a | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 12^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }} \\ & \mathrm{C} 12^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \\ & \mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ |

9.3 Table 2. Medieval Pottery Quantification (MNV= minimum number of vessels)

| Context | No. of sherds | Weight (grams) | MNV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 004 | 26 | 717 | 17 |
| 006 | 3 | 98 | 2 |
| 011 | 2 | 222 | 2 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

[^5]
## Post-medieval Pottery by Martin Wilson

9.4 Broken pottery was found with demolition rubble filling the void of the chimney/hearth structure (010). Two types of wares were present, both of which were in popular domestic usage in the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century. The first is a printed underglaze earthenware plate with Chinese central motif, a Staffordshire product with a peak of production between the 1780 s and $1814^{33}$. The other is either a bowl or a cup with a cobalt sponge decorated floral motif, a type which was common between the 1820s and the $1860 \mathrm{~s}^{34}$. Other sherds found in the demolition deposits comprised a residual piece of $17^{\text {th }} / 18^{\text {th }}$ century lead glazed earthenware from layer (023) and a sherd of yellow ware which was popular in the late $18^{\text {th }}$ and early $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Further sherds of $17^{\text {th }} /$ $18^{\text {th }}$ century lead glazed earthenware were recovered from the floor (012) of the demolished building; these probably relate to the occupancy of the building.
9.5 Table 3. Post-medieval Pottery: Types and Chronology

| Context No. | Feature | Description | Period | Approx. context date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 | In-filled hearth | 1 body sherd. Sponge Decorated Ware, earthenware, floral motif, cobalt. Common: 1820s - 1860s <br> 4 sherds adjoining, plate, 'second'. Printed Underglaze Earthenware, Chinese Central Motif, cobalt. Produced Staffordshire 1780s1814 | Early C $19^{\text {th }}$ <br> Late C $18^{\text {th }}$ <br> Early C $19^{\text {th }}$ | Early C $19^{\text {th }}$ c. 1800-1830 |
| 012 | Floor surface | 3 body sherds, greenish brown lead glazed earthenware. <br> 2 body sherds, brown lead glazed earthenware. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. C } 17^{\text {th }} / \text { C } \\ & 18^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { c. } 18^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 17^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 18^{\text {th }} \\ & \mathrm{C} 18^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ |
| 023 | Demolition layer | 1 body sherd, greenish brown lead glazed earthenware. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. C } 17^{\text {th }} / \\ & \text { C } 18^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ | Early C $19{ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 033 | In-filled cellar | 1 body sherd, yellow ware | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Late C } 18^{\text {th }} / \\ & \mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ | Early C $19{ }^{\text {th }}$ |

## Note on the Roof Tiles

9.6 Fragments of red fired clay roof tiles were present in fill (011) of the chimney/ hearth structure (010) and possible pathway features (021) and (022). The tiles are understood to have been derived from the post-medieval building which was demolished on the site in the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century. The approximate dimensions of each tile are $6^{\prime \prime}(150 \mathrm{~mm})$ wide $\times 10$ " long ( 254 mm ) x c. $1 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}(13 \mathrm{~mm})$ thick. Each tile has two round peg holes $1 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}(12.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ diameter set apart $1 \frac{1}{2}$ " $(38 \mathrm{~mm})$. A single fragment of orange-red fired clay tile (probably roof tile) was found in pit [025]. This was $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}(16 \mathrm{~mm})$ in thickness. Its date is uncertain though possibly either medieval or post-medieval.

## Note on the Brick Types

9.7 Two distinctive forms of hand-made brick were used in the construction of Piper's Cottage. These are shown at Figure 14. The earliest form is found in the in-fill of the north and west timber walls frames (the west wall is concealed by the lean-to). Bricks are shallow in gauge (height) and have

[^6]rounded arisses. Dimensions are variable, three main sizes being as follows: $77 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 3$ 3/4" $\times 13 / 4$ " (200 $\times 95 \times 44 \mathrm{~mm}) ; 85 / 8^{" 1} \times 41 / 8^{" 1} \times 2 \frac{1}{8 "}(219 \times 105 \times 54 \mathrm{~mm})$ and $83 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 41 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 13 / 4^{\prime \prime}(224 \times 104 \times 95 \mathrm{~mm})$. This type of brick was present in the excavation area in five contexts: chimney / hearth structure (010); floor remnant (002); wall structure (017), rubble in-fill of the cellar (033), and the rim structure of well [032]. In the latter structure, the presence of mortar showed that they were derived from the demolished house. It is therefore most probable that the material used for Piper's Cottage was also salvaged from the earlier building.
9.8 The second form of brick was used for the construction of the rear and east walls of Piper's Cottage and the lean-to. It was also used for a back yard at the rear of the lean to and was found within the make-up of the living room floor of the existing house. Its dimensions are generally uniform: $83 / 4^{\prime \prime}(220 \mathrm{~mm}) \times 4 \frac{1}{4}$ " $(110 \mathrm{~mm}) \times 2 \frac{1}{2} 2^{\prime \prime}(65 \mathrm{~mm})$ and it has a characteristic rectangular 'frog' on its underside. It is presumed to date from the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century.

## 10. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE \& REVIEW OF RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

10.1 The investigation revealed that the development plot contains the remains of a post-medieval building which is at least $17^{\text {th }}$ century in date. Below this are archaeological deposits of $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century date.
10.2 The archaeological evidence for a post-medieval building is in-keeping with $17^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ century maps which depict a building at this location, immediately east of the standing building now known as Piper's Cottage. Two components of the earlier building could be identified: a probable dwelling room and a cellar (030), although it would be imprudent to presume that they were of contemporary build, particularly as the map evidence is at variance. The map of c. 1699 shows two separate buildings, while that of 1780 shows two adjoining structures (ante. 4.3). It may be surmised from material found in both in the cellar and a chimney/ hearth structure (010), that at last a part of the earlier building was timber-framed with brick-infill and roofed in red clay tiles (Figs. 6, 10, 11; ante 9.5, Fig. 19). An analysis of the Piper's Cottage in 2014 concluded that the overall impression is that of an early $19^{\text {th }}$ century building utilising elements of an earlier structure and that there is nothing that is unambiguously attributable to an in situ structure of earlier date ${ }^{35}$. If constructed around an earlier standing timber-framed building, then it has undergone considerable alteration. The below-ground archaeology to the east of the building now suggests that an earlier building was entirely demolished in the early $19^{\text {th }}$ century, whereby suitable materials - timber, brick and roof tiles - were salvaged for the construction of a new house on the site.
10.3 The post-medieval building was constructed upon a domestic midden deposit dated to the $12^{\text {th }} /$ $13^{\text {th }}$ century, which strongly suggests that the plot was the site of a medieval tenement. Notably, fronting the roadside to the immediate southwest of the Piper's Cottage are four small closes (Fig.20), the north-easternmost one of which adjoins the Piper's Cottage plot. Although of uncertain date the closes have the appearance of medieval crofts or tenements and, albeit circumstantial, such evidence would be in-keeping with the assumed scale of the medieval settlement, which in the late $11^{\text {th }}$ century was known to comprise 27 homesteads. To date, the discovery represents the most significant body of archaeological evidence for $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century settlement at Great Gaddesden.

[^7]10.4 With exception of the foundation trench which cut through the fill of the post-medieval cellar, and the small portion of floor (006) which was hand-investigated in the drainage trench, all archaeological deposits and features to the north of the new annexe remain undisturbed.
10.5 There is no potential for further assessment and analysis of finds.

## 11. ARCHIVE

11.1 A museum accession number has been applied for on the $11^{\text {th }}$ August 2014 from Dacorum Heritage Trust. The paper archive comprises recording sheets, field drawings, monochrome and digital photographs. All artefacts will remain the property of the landowner.
11.2 The English Heritage OASIS Data Collection Form ID for this project is souterra1-190012.

## 12. COPYRIGHT AND ACCESSIBILITY OF THE RECORD

12.1 Souterrain Archaeological Services Ltd retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents or other project documents under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved; excepting that it will provide an exclusive licence to the Owner in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI).
12.2 Souterrain Archaeological Services Ltd retains the right to be identified as the author of all project documentation and reports as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.
12.3 A licence is to be granted to Historic Environment Record of Hertfordshire County Council for the use of all reports arising from projects for planning purposes. Bona fide research requests will be granted a licence upon written request to Souterrain.
12.1 In accordance with the requirements of WSI, this report is intended as a public-accessible record. A copy is to be available for consultation in the Historic Environment Record. It is also to be made available on appropriate websites (e.g. www.oasis.ac.uk ; www.academia.edu) and an illustrated summary of the results is to be submitted to Hertfordshire Archaeology.
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Figure 1. Location of Site
(© Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence number AL 100015565)

Figure 2.
Extract of the c. 1699 Sketch Map of Great Gaddesden by John Halsey, showing the land and properties between the church and Pipers Hill. The property of Pipers Cottage is encircled. (c.f. HRO 56472)

Redrawn by
M D Wilson


Figure 3. Extract of the 1780 Map of the parish of Great Gaddesden in the County of Hertford. The property of Pipers Cottage is shown in light red. (c.f. HRO 56472) Redrawn by M D Wilson


Figure 4. Extract of the 1839 tithe map of Great Gaddesden, Hertfordshire. Pipers Cottage is shown in light red within land parcel No. 25 (c.f. HRO DSA 14/40/1). Redrawn by M D Wilson

Figure 5.
Areas of archaeological investigation (in green)
(Grid: © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved.
Licence number AL 100015565)



Figure 6. Archaeological features exposed in trenches showing section locations
(Grid: © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence number AL 100015565)


Figure 7. Overview of development plot prior to ground reduction and trenching. Facing NW


Figure 8. Piper's Cottage well (032) (early $19^{\text {th }}$ century)

## section 1

E

Figure 9.
Section 1. Stratigraphic relationship of chimney structure / hearth (010) and floor $(002,012)$


Figure 10. Post-medieval building foundations in NW drainage trench. Facing SE

Figure 11.
Post-medieval building foundations in NE drainage trench. Facing $N$


Figure 12. NE drainage trench, after removal of demolition deposit (011) and a portion of floor (006). Facing N


Figure 13. Detail of section through floor-make-up in NE drainage trench. Facing N


Figure 14. Brick types
Above: early $19^{\text {th }}$ century form. Right: Post-medieval (c. C $16^{\text {th }} / \mathrm{C} 17^{\text {th }}$ ) form.

section 2


Figure 15. Section 2. Post-medieval brick structure in northernmost foundation trench. Facing NW

Figure 16.
Post-medieval cellar exposed in foundation trench on the east side of Piper's Cottage. Facing NW



Figure 17. Medieval cooking pots: 1 to 3, context 004; 4, context 011


Figure 18. $12^{\text {th }} / 13^{\text {th }}$ century pottery: Hertfordshire Type Grey Ware


Figure 19 . Post-medieval roof tiles from context (011)

Figure 20.
Indicative location of earthworks (HER30458) at Piper's Hill showing possible site of medieval crofts along the roadside.

The Application Site of Piper's Cottage is shown in light red.
(based on Ordnance Survey mapping © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved Licence number AL 100015565)


## APPENDIX 1 List of Contexts

KEY: Relationships: a. above; abt. abuts; b. below; c. cuts; cub. cut by; co. contains; wi within
Dimensions: le. length; wid. width; de. depth; th. thickness

| Context No. | Type | Description and Interpretation | Relationships | Dimensions | Drawing | Suggested Period | Date of investigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | layer | Topsoil, rooty. Shallower on the upward (S) side of the site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a.(002), (006), (010), } \\ & \text { (013, (014), (015), } \\ & \text { geology } \end{aligned}$ | De. 0.25-0.4m | S1; S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid }-\mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { to } \mathrm{C} 21^{\text {st }} \end{aligned}$ | 17.7.2014 |
| 002 | Structure | A patch of loosely mortared bricks, some broken, sealed by a thin band of mortar and small fragments of brick and tile (009). Bricks are seated on a thin band of mortar (003). Bricks hand-made, dimensions: see (010). Observed in drainage trench. | b.(009); a.(003) | Th.c.0.06; Area. c. $1.5 \times \mathrm{c} .1 .2 \mathrm{~m}$ | S1; S2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 003 | layer | Thin layer of mortar - foundation for brick floor. Observed in drainage trench. | b.(002); a.(012) | Th.c.0.01m; <br> Area. Area. c. 1.5 x $\text { c. } 1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | S1; S2 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 004 | layer | Ash deposit below floor of building. Contains abundance of medieval pottery. Possibly the remains of a midden. Observed in drainage trench. Extent indeterminable | b.(005), (006), (012) | de. Not determined | S1; S2; P1 | $\mathrm{C} 12^{\text {th }}-\mathrm{C} 13^{\text {th }}$ | 17.7.2014 |
| 005 |  | Foundation on which brick hearth-like structure. Consists of flint cobbles/nodules set in a clayey matrix. Observed in drainage trench. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b.(010) } \\ & \text { a.(004) } \end{aligned}$ | Th. c. 0.1-0.13m | S1; S2 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 006 | layer | Floor make-up and its surface. Surface comprised of compact dirty brown clay with charcoal flecks. Floor make-up consists of yellowy brown clay and flint nodules. Contains occasional medieval pottery which appears to be contamination/residual from ash midden below. Located north of possible hearth structure (010). This probably butted up to (010). Observed in drainage trench. | a.(004); possibly same as (012); <br> b. (001), (002) | Wid. (int) c.2m; Le.. >3m De.c.0.12m | S1; S2:P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 007 | layer | Band of mortar. In the base of NE drainage trench. Possible site of a wall or robber trench. Surface hand cleaned though not excavated as beyond area of impact. Similar to (008). | b.(001) | Wid. c. 0.45 m | S1; S2 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 008 | layer | Band/scatter of mortar In the base of NW drainage | b.(001) | Wid. c. 0.45 m | S1; S2 | Post- | 17.7.2014 |


| Context No. | Type | Description and Interpretation | Relationships | Dimensions | Drawing | Suggested Period | Date of investigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | trench. Possible site of a wall or robber trench. Surface hand-cleaned though not excavated as beyond area of impact. (similar to (007) |  |  |  | medieval |  |
| 009 | layer | Thin band of mortar and small fragments of brick and tile - demolition debris covering remains of a brick floor (002). Observed in drainage trench. | a.(002); b.(001) | c.0.02-0.05m | S1; S2 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 010 | structure | Possible hearth structure. Brick. Single course thick. Surviving up to 4 courses high. Quadrangular in plan. Brick dimensions: $77 / 8 \times 33 / 4 \times 13 / 4(200 \times 95 \times 44 m m) ; 85 / 8 \times$ $41 / 8 \times 21 / 8 \quad(219 \times 105 \times 54 \mathrm{~mm})$ and $83 / 4 \times 41 / 8 \times 13 / 4(224 \times$ $104 \times 95 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Infilled with broken red clay roof tiles, ashy soil and occasional pottery (C $18^{\text {th }}$ and early-mid C $19^{\text {th }}$ ). | b.(001) | Wall wid.c.0.11m; Ht. 0.33m; <br> c. $0.8 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | S1; S2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 011 | fill | A dump of broken red clay roof tiles, ashy soil and occasional pottery ( $\mathrm{C} 18^{\text {th }}$ and early C $19^{\text {th }}$ ). The lower part of this deposit (i.e. the ash) was indistinguishable from the ash layer (004) below, there being no distinct base to the structure. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wi (010); b.(001); } \\ & \text { a.(004) } \end{aligned}$ | De.c.0.33m | S1; S2 | Early C19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 17.7.2014 |
| 012 | layer | Floor make-up on the northwest side of hearth structure (010). Similar to (006), probably the same layer. C $17^{\text {th }}$ pottery recovered from the surface. Surface cleaned though not excavated as in the base of trench and not to be impacted by development. | b.(003), (001) | th.c.0.1-0.12m | S1; S2:P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 013 | cut | Construction cut of a well on the NE side of the site. The aperture of the well itself has been sealed by concrete. The present depth is c .5 m (visible though a drain). It was probably in-filled with spoil from the digging of the second well. Observed in drainage trench. | b.(001) <br> c. geology | indeterminable | S1; S2: P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 014 | cut | Construction trench for brick hearth structure. Cut into geology. Observed in drainage trench. | C. Geology; Co.(015) | Wid.c.0.2m | S1; S2: P1 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 015 | fill | Fill of [014]. Ashy clay, probably derived from layer (004). Observed in drainage trench. | Wi.[014] | indeterminable | S1; S2 | Postmedieval | 17.7.2014 |
| 016 | impression | Impression within (006) and (004) - where bricks have been removed from the north side of hearth structure (010). |  | - |  |  | 17.7.2014 |
| 017 | structure | Wall composed of red bricks (hand-made), dimensions: see (010). Survives up to four courses and covered by topsoil. Visible only in the northernmost foundation | b.(021); (022); a. (020) | Wid. C.0.48m; ht. 0.38 m ; le.>0.25m | S.2; P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |


| Context No. | Type | Description and Interpretation | Relationships | Dimensions | Drawing | Suggested Period | Date of investigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | trench for the new building. The function of the wall is uncertain. On either side (west and east) red tiles had been set vertically and tightly packed and mortared together ((021) and (022)) set in a compacted mortar with rubble fragments. Presumably the tiles represented a surface feature /path on either side of the wall. Tree roots had disturbed the southern extent of this feature. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 018 | layer | Re-deposited chalky clay and chalk and rubble. Possibly a demolition layer- lies against the east side of wall (017). Probably the same event as (023). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b.(001); a.(019), (017), } \\ & \text { (019) } \end{aligned}$ | c. $0.05-0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ | S.2; P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 019 | layer | Thin layer of dark brown dirty clay. Probably either a trample deposit during demolition or an occupation surface. | b.(018); a.(020) | c.0.03-0.04m | S.2; P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 020 | Layer | Layer of re-deposited chalky clay. This was laid down as a foundation for wall (017) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wi.[026]; b.(017), (022), } \\ & (021) \end{aligned}$ | c. $0.06-0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ | S.2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |
| 021 | structure | On the east side of wall (017), red roof tiles set vertically and mortared together. Similar to (021. These are set in a compacted mortar with rubble fragments within a foundation trench [026]. It is presumed that the tiles was a surface feature (e.g. path) on either side of the wall. probably contemporary with a thin layer of cinders (027) on its east side. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wi.[026]; a.(017); } \\ & \text { b.(018) } \end{aligned}$ | Ht.0.08-0.2m; wid. 0.32 m ; le. $>0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ | S.2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |
| 022 | structure | On the west side of wall (017), red roof tiles set vertically and mortared together. Similar to (021). These are set in a compacted mortar with rubble fragments within a foundation trench [026]. It is presumed that the tiles was a surface feature (e.g. path) on either side of the wall. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wi.[026]; a.(017); } \\ & \text { b.(023) } \end{aligned}$ | c. $0.05-0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ | S.2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |
| 023 | layer | Re-deposited chalky clay and chalk and rubble. Possibly a demolition layer- lies against the west side of wall (017). Probably the same event as (018). | a.(022), (017); b.(001) | c. $0.05-0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ | S.2; P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 024 | fill | Fill of pit. Dark reddish brown clay with flint nodules. Homogeneous deposit. Single fragment of roof tile recovered from mid fill. | Wi.[025] | Th..c.0.52- c.0.88m | S.2; P1 | unknown | 17.07.2014 |
| 025 | cut | Pit with near-vertical sides. Function uncertain. | Co.(025) | De.c.0.88m | S.2; P1 | unknown | 17.07.2014 |
| 026 | cut | Foundation trench for wall (017) and flanking tiled probable path feature (021) and (022). | c.(100) geology, [025] |  | S.2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |
| 027 | layer | Thin layer of cinders. Possibly laid down at the same time as tile feature (022). | a.(020); b.(019) | c.0.03-0.04m | S.2; P1 | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |


| Context No. | Type | Description and Interpretation | Relationships | Dimensions | Drawing | Suggested Period | Date of investigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 028 | unused |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 | fill | Dark brown earth with brick fragments. Upper fill of cellar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wi.(030); b.(001); } \\ & \text { a.(033); b.(100) } \end{aligned}$ | Th.c. 2.1 m | P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 030 | cut | Cut for cellar. Exposed in foundation trench and drainage trench | c.(100) | De.2.1m. <br> Wid. $>2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Le.. $>0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 031 | structure | Retaining wall of cellar, mortared limestone fragments | a.(100); b. (033) | Th.c. 0.3 m ; ht. 2.1 m | - | Postmedieval | 17.07.2014 |
| 032 | structure | Well. Cut into chalk, upper part of the well built of pudding stone rocks and hand-made bricks of a type (with frog) used in the upstanding early $\mathrm{C} 19^{\text {th }}$ house. | b. C20th concrete base; <br> c. (100) | De. >50m; Dia. c.1.2m. | P1 | Early C19th | 09/10.07.2014 |
| 033 | fill | Fill of cellar - largely brick rubble. General dimensions of bricks same as those used in the possible hearth structure (010). | b.(029), a. (031) | Th.2.1m | P1 | Early C19th | 17.07.2014 |
| 100 | geology | Chalk and calcareous clay. | - | - | S1; S2; P1 | - | - |
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