

**Land adjacent to 1 Church
Close**
Kelsale-cum-Carlton, Suffolk

Client:
L. Brett

Date:
October 2017

KCC 051
Archaeological Evaluation Report
SACIC Report No. 2017/085
Author: Simon Cass
© SACIC



Land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale- cum-Carlton KCC 051

Archaeological Evaluation Report

SACIC Report No. 2017/085

Author: Simon Cass

Illustrator: Simon Cass

Editor: John Craven

Report Date: October 2017

HER Information

Site Code: KCC 051 / ESF 25852

Site Name: Land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale-cum-Carlton

Report Number 2017/085

Planning Application No: DC/17/2063/FUL

Date of Fieldwork: 02/10/2017

Grid Reference: TM 3871 6505

OASIS Reference: suffolka1- 296067

HER Search Reference: 9205173

Curatorial Officer: Dr Hannah Cutler

Project Officer: Simon Cass

Client/Funding Body: Lesley Brett

Client Reference: -

Digital report submitted to Archaeological Data Service:

<http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/library/greylit>

Disclaimer

Any opinions expressed in this report about the need for further archaeological work are those of Suffolk Archaeology CIC. Ultimately the need for further work will be determined by the Local Planning Authority and its Archaeological Advisors when a planning application is registered. Suffolk Archaeology CIC cannot accept responsibility for inconvenience caused to the clients should the Planning Authority take a different view to that expressed in the report.

Prepared By: Simon Cass
Date: 05/10/17
Approved By: John Craven
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: 05/10/17

Contents

Summary

Drawing Conventions

1. Introduction	1
2. Geology and topography	1
3. Archaeology and historical background	2
4. Methodology	4
5. Results	5
Trench 1	5
6. Finds and environmental evidence	7
7. Conclusions and recommendations for further work	7
8. Archive deposition	7
9. Acknowledgements	8
10. Bibliography	8

List of Figures

Figure 1. Location map, showing local HER entries (green) and site location (red)	3
Figure 2. Trench location (black) within proposed footings (blue).	5

List of Plates

Plate 1. Trench 1 showing modern disturbance throughout trench, facing east (2 x 1m scales).	6
--	---

List of Appendices











Appendix 1. Written Scheme of Investigation	
Appendix 2. OASIS form	

Summary












An archaeological evaluation was undertaken on the 2nd October 2017 on land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale as required by a condition placed on planning application DC/17/2063/FUL by Suffolk Coastal District Council. A single trench was excavated across the location of the new footings, revealing a large amount of modern dumped deposits and truncations, most likely originating from the construction of Church Close in the 1960's. No finds or features of archaeological relevance were encountered.

Drawing Conventions

Plans

- Limit of Excavation 
- Features 
- Break of Slope 
- Features - Conjectured 
- Natural Features 
- Sondages/Machine Strip 
- Intrusion/Truncation 
- Illustrated Section  S.14
- Cut Number 
- Archaeological Features 

Sections

- Limit of Excavation 
- Cut 
- Modern Cut 
- Cut - Conjectured 
- Deposit Horizon 
- Deposit Horizon - Conjectured 
- Intrusion/Truncation 
- Top of Natural 
- Top Surface 
- Break in Section 
- Cut Number 
- Deposit Number 0007
- Ordnance Datum $\frac{18.45\text{m OD}}{\times}$

1. Introduction

An archaeological evaluation by trial trenching was undertaken on the 2nd October 2017 on land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale-cum-Carlton in respect of a condition placed on planning application DC/17/2063/FUL for the construction of a new dwelling by Suffolk Coastal District Council. The work required was detailed in a Brief (dated 29/06/2017, produced by the archaeological adviser to the Local Planning Authority (LPA), Dr Hannah Cutler of Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (SCCAS).

2. Geology and topography

The site consists of part of a residential garden, now severed from the adjacent modern property, 1 Church Close, to the west. To north and east the site is bounded by hedging and Church Close and Church Lane, and to the south by a drainage channel.

The site lies at a height of c.19m above Ordnance Datum, on a gentle south facing slope which descends to the drainage channel on its southern edge. This drain subsequently curves southwards for 1.5km and flows into the River Fromus.

The site geology is listed as superficial deposits of sand and gravel of the Lowestoft Formation which overlies bedrock of Crag Group Sand (British Geological Survey website). Lowestoft Formation Diamicton and Head superficial deposits are also recorded nearby as the soil profile changes leaving the river channel.

3. Archaeology and historical background

The site lies in an area of archaeological interest recorded in the County Historic Environment Record, south-west of the medieval church (KCC 020) and a medieval moated site (KCC 001). KCC 038 relates to a small monitoring undertaken on land within the moated site which revealed a single gully, believed to be of 13-14th century date with recovered finds including pottery, clay pipe, flint, animal bone and shell fragments.

KCC 008, approximately 240m the north-east of the site and adjacent to the Church and Manor House, represents the findspot of a Neolithic polished stone axe while KCC 033 (300m south-west of the site) indicates the findspot of a bronze 14th century seal matrix identified during metal detecting. Entry KCC misc some 280m southeast of the site relates to a metal detector find of a bronze sword pommel in a 'wheel' type of 15th century date with incised animal design on each face.

Observation of historic mapping suggests that the core of Kelsale has remained to the east of the site, with the Church, Hall and Rectory forming the northern and north-western boundaries. Church Close appears to have been constructed between 1961 and 1966 (judging by its presence/absence on Ordnance Survey maps of the area as well as planning application dates) with this site forming part of a wooded area in the corner of the old Rectory garden until the development.




Figure 1. Location map, showing local HER entries (green) and site location (red)

4. Methodology

The trial trench was machine excavated down to the level of the natural subsoil or first surviving archaeological deposit using a toothless bucket fitted to a 360⁰ tracked mechanical excavator (3 ton).

The machining of the trench was closely observed throughout in order to identify archaeological features and deposits and to recover any artefacts that might be revealed during machining. Spoilheaps were scanned visually and with a metal detector to look for any upcast finds. Any features identified were then sampled through hand excavation in order to determine their depth and shape and to recover datable artefacts. Where relevant, scale plans and sections of each recorded feature were drawn in pencil on permatrace sheets and pro-forma context sheets were used to record individual features as standard SACIC procedure.

A photographic record of the work undertaken was also compiled using an 18 megapixel digital camera and is included in the project archive.

Following excavation of the trench, the nature of the overburden was recorded and the depths noted. The trench location was recorded using a Leica GS14 GPS system to sub-centimetre accuracy.

5. Results

Trench 1

This trench was orientated approximately east-west and measured 8.2m long, 1.8m wide and up to 0.7m deep within the planned footprint of the new dwelling (Fig.2). The stratigraphy encountered consisted of 0.2m of very dark blackish brown humic topsoil with occasional CBM fragments and modern intrusive detritus over a mixed deposit of mid/dark grey silty clays and silt with very frequent CBM lumps, half bricks, occasional breeze blocks, paving slab/tile fragments and chalk lumps, interpreted as late post-medieval-modern landfilling deposits imported to the site to flatten up the garden slope and/or infill possible historic quarrying of the slope for building sands. These deposits were cut into natural mid orangey yellow clean soft sands which were present from a depth of c.0.5m below topsoil where they had not been truncated by the modern disturbances (Pl. 1).

No finds or features of archaeological relevance were observed within this trench.



Figure 2. Trench location (black) within proposed footings (blue).



Plate 1. Trench 1 showing modern disturbance throughout trench, facing east (2 x 1m scales).

6. Finds and environmental evidence

No finds of archaeological relevance were encountered during this evaluation, with the deposition of the CBM lumps and concrete breeze blocks being likely to have occurred after or during the construction of Church Close.

7. Conclusions and recommendations for further work

This evaluation appears to indicate that the site has been severely damaged by modern landscaping, most likely related to the construction of Church Close and the associated properties in the early 1960's. Available mapping prior to this time all show the site to be within a wooded area in the corner of the Rectory gardens which would be unlikely to have had significant quantities of dumped material added and the presence of modern breeze blocks and paving slabs suggests that this was not historic landscaping. No further archaeological investigative work is recommended as being necessary for this development.

8. Archive deposition

The full paper and digital record is currently stores with Suffolk Archaeology CIC at Needham Market and will be archived with the Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service upon completion of the project.

9. Acknowledgements

The fieldwork was carried out by Simon Cass with project management undertaken by John Craven. The report illustrations were created by Simon Cass and the report was edited by John Craven.

10. Bibliography

British Geological Survey, 2016, Geology of Britain Viewer found at <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html>

Appendix 1. Written Scheme of Investigation



Land adjacent 1 Church Close Kelsale cum Carlton, Suffolk

Client:
Lesley Brett

Date:
September 2017

KCC051 / ESF25852
Written Scheme of Investigation and Risk Assessment – Archaeological Evaluation
Author: John Craven
© SACIC



Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. The Site	2
3. Archaeological and historical background	3
4. Project Objectives	4
5. Archaeological method statement	6
6. Project Staffing	15

List of Figures

Figure 1. Location map	2
Figure 2. Site as shown on 2nd Edition Ordnance Survey, 1904	3
Figure 3. Proposed trench plan in relation to approximate proposed development (blue)	5

Project details

Planning Application No:	DC/17/2063/FUL
Curatorial Officer:	Hannah Cutler (Suffolk CC Archaeological Service)
Grid Reference:	TM 38716505
Area:	0.09ha
Site Code / HER Event No:	KCC051 / ESF25852
OASIS Reference:	296067

Project Start date	TBC – Oct 2017
Project Duration:	c.1 day


Client/Funding Body:	Lesley Brett
SACIC Project Manager	John Craven
SACIC Project Officer:	TBC
SACIC Job Code:	KCCCHC001

1. Introduction

- A program of archaeological evaluation is required to assess the site of residential development on land adjacent to 1 Chapel Close, Kelsale cum Carlton, Suffolk (Fig. 1) for heritage assets, by a condition on planning application DC/17/2063/FUL in accordance with paragraph 141 of the National Planning Policy Framework, as the development will involve significant ground disturbance and this could have a detrimental impact upon any archaeological deposits that exist.
- The work required is detailed in a Brief (dated 29/06/2017, produced by the archaeological adviser to the Local Planning Authority (LPA), Dr Hannah Cutler of Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (SCCAS).
- Suffolk Archaeology (SACIC) has been contracted to carry out the project. This document details how the requirements of the Brief and general SCCAS guidelines (SCCAS 2017) will be met, and has been submitted to SCCAS for approval on behalf of the LPA. It provides the basis for measurable standards and will be adhered to in full, unless otherwise agreed with SCCAS.
- It should be noted that the evaluation is only a first stage in a potential program of works and that this Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) covers this trenched evaluation only. Any further stages of archaeological work that are required in relation to the proposed development will be specified by SCCAS, will require new documentation (Brief and WSI) and estimate of costs. Such works could have considerable time and cost implications for the development and the client is advised to consult with SCCAS as to their obligations following receipt of the evaluation report.

2. The Site

- The site consists of part of a residential garden, now severed from the adjacent modern property, 1 Church Close, to the west. To north and east the site is bounded by hedging and Church Close and Church Lane, and to the south by a drainage channel. The proposed developments consist of a single residential property.
- The site lies at a height of c.23m above Ordnance Datum, on a gentle south facing slope which descends to the drainage channel on its southern edge. This drain subsequently curves southwards for 1.5km and flows into the River Fromus.
- The site geology consists of superficial deposits of chalky till of the Lowestoft Formation Diamicton which overlies bedrock of Crag Group Sand (British Geological Survey website).



Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence Number: 100019980

Figure 1. Location map

3. Archaeological and historical background

- The Brief states that the condition has been placed as the site 'lies in an area of archaeological interest recorded in the County Historic Environment Record, south-west of the medieval church (KCC 020) and a medieval moated site (KCC 001). Thus, there is high potential for archaeological deposits to be disturbed by this development.'
- A full HER search has been commissioned and the results will be used to inform fieldwork and the evaluation report.
- Initial examination of the 1st to 3rd Edition Ordnance Surveys of 1884, 1904 (Fig. 2) and 1927 show a consistent historic settlement layout, with the site lying to the north-west of the village core in a belt of woodland which apparently forms the southern corner of a former enclosure around the Rectory that is now occupied by the modern 20th century development of Church Close.





Figure 2. Site as shown on 2nd Edition Ordnance Survey, 1904

4. Project Objectives

- The aim of the evaluation is to accurately quantify the quality and extent of the sites archaeological resource so that an assessment of the developments impact upon heritage assets can be made.
- The evaluation will:
 - Establish whether any archaeological deposits exist in the application area, with particular regard to any which are of sufficient importance to merit preservation *in situ*.
 - Identify the date, approximate form and function of any archaeological deposits within the application area.
 - Establish the extent, depth and quality of preservation of any archaeological deposits within the application area.
 - Evaluate the likely impact of past land uses and whether masking alluvial or colluvial deposits are present.
 - Establish the potential for the survival of environmental evidence.
 - Assess the potential of the site to address research aims defined in the Regional Research Framework for the Eastern Counties (Brown and Glazebrook 2000, Medlycott 2011).
 - Provide sufficient information for SCCAS to construct an archaeological conservation strategy dealing with preservation or the further recording of archaeological deposits.
 - Provide sufficient information for the client to establish time and cost implications for the development regarding the application areas heritage assets.



Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence Number: 100019980

Figure 3. Proposed trench plan in relation to approximate proposed development (blue)

5. Archaeological method statement

5.1. Management

- The project will be managed by SACIC Project Manager John Craven in accordance with the following local, regional and national standards and guidance:
 - *Management of Research in the Historic Environment* (MoRPHE, Historic England 2015).
 - *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England* (EAA Occasional Papers 14).
 - *Standard and Guidance for archaeological field evaluation* (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014).
 - *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation* (SCCAS, 2017).
- SCCAS will be given five days notice of the commencement of the fieldwork and arrangements made for SCCAS visits to enable the works to be monitored effectively.
- Full details of project staff, including sub-contractors and specialists are given in section 6 below.

5.2. Project preparation

- An event number and site code has been obtained from the Suffolk HER Officer and will be included on all future project documentation.
- An OASIS online record has been initiated and key fields in details, location and creator forms have been completed.
- An HER search has been requested from the Suffolk HER Officer and will be used to inform fieldwork and the subsequent report. The reference number will be included in the report.
- A pre-site inspection and Risk Assessment for the project has been completed.

5.3. Fieldwork

- The archaeological fieldwork will be carried out by members of SACIC led by Project Officer (TBC). The fieldwork team will be drawn from a pool of suitable staff at SACIC and will include an experienced metal detectorist/excavator.
- The Brief requires 10m of trial trench to be excavated lengthwise across the proposed building footprint, and a proposed trench plan is included above (Fig. 3). If necessary minor modifications to the trench plan may be made onsite to respect any previously unknown buried services, areas of disturbance/contamination or other obstacles.
- The trench locations will be marked out using an RTK GPS system.
- The trenches will be excavated using a machine equipped with a back-acting arm and toothless ditching bucket (measuring at least 1.6m wide), under the supervision of an archaeologist. This will involve the removal of an estimated 0.4m-0.6m of topsoil and subsoils until the first visible archaeological surface or natural surface is reached.
- Spoilheaps will be created adjacent to the trench and topsoil and subsoil will be kept separate if required. Spoilheaps will be examined and metal-detected for archaeological material.
- The trench sides, base and archaeological surfaces will be cleaned by hand as necessary to identify archaeological deposits and artefacts and allow decisions to be made on the method of further investigation by the Project Officer. Further use of the machine, i.e. to investigate thick sequences of deposits by excavation of test pits etc, may be undertaken as necessary after consultation with SCCAS.
- There will be a presumption that a minimum of disturbance will be caused whilst achieving adequate evaluation of the site, i.e. establishing the period, depth and nature of archaeological deposits. Typically 50% of discrete features such as pits and 1m slots across linear features will be sampled by hand excavation, although in some instances 100% may be removed, with the aim of establishing date and function. All identified features will be investigated by excavation unless otherwise agreed with SCCAS. Significant archaeological features such as solid or bonded structural remains, building slots or postholes will be preserved intact if possible.

- Sieving of deposits using a 10mm mesh will be undertaken if they clearly appear to be occupation deposits or structurally related. Other deposits may be sieved at the judgement of the excavation team or if directed by SCCAS.
- Any fabricated surface (floors, yards etc) will be fully exposed and cleaned.
- Metal detector searches will take place throughout the excavation by an experienced SACIC metal-detectorist.
- The depth and nature of colluvial or other masking deposits across the site will be recorded.
- An overall site plan showing trench locations, feature positions, sections and levels will be made using an RTK GPS or Total Station Theodolite. Individual detailed trench or feature plans etc will be recorded by hand at 1:10, 1:20 or 1:50 as appropriate to complexity. All excavated sections will be recorded at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20, also as appropriate to complexity. All such drawings will be in pencil on A3 pro forma gridded permatrace sheets. All levels will refer to Ordnance Datum. Section and plan drawing registers will be maintained.
- All trenches, archaeological features and deposits will be recorded using standard pro forma SACIC registers and recording sheets and numbering systems. Record keeping will be consistent with the requirements of the Suffolk HER and will be compatible with its archive.
- A photographic record, consisting of high resolution digital images, will be made throughout the evaluation. A number board displaying site code and, if appropriate, context number and a metric scale will be clearly visible in all photographs. A photographic register will be maintained.
- All pre-modern finds will be kept and no discard policy will be considered until all the finds have been processed and assessed. Finds on site will be treated following appropriate guidelines (Watkinson & Neal 2001) and a conservator will be available for on-site consultation as required.
- All finds will be brought back to the SACIC finds department at the end of each day for processing, quantifying, packing and, where necessary, preliminary conservation. Finds will be processed and receive an initial assessment during the fieldwork phase and this information will be fed back to site to inform the on-site evaluation methodology.

- Environmental sampling of archaeological contexts will, where possible, be carried out to assess the site for palaeoenvironmental remains and will follow appropriate guidance (Campbell *et al* 2011). In order to obtain palaeoenvironmental evidence, bulk soil samples (of at least 40 litres each, or 100% of the context) will be taken using a combination of judgement and systematic sampling from selected archaeological features or natural environmental deposits, particularly those which are both datable and interpretable. All environmental samples will be retained until an appropriate specialist has assessed their potential for palaeoenvironmental remains. Decisions will be made on the need for further analysis following these assessments.
- If necessary, for example if waterlogged peat deposits are encountered, then advice will be sought from the Historic England Science Advisor for the East of England on the need for specialist environmental techniques such as coring or column sampling.
- If human remains are encountered guidelines from the Ministry of Justice will be followed and the Coroner informed. Human remains will be treated at all stages with care and respect, and will be dealt with in accordance with the law and the provisions of Section 25 of the Burial Act 1857. The evaluation will attempt to establish the extent, depth and date of burials whilst leaving remains *in situ*. If human remains are to be lifted, for instance if analysis is required to fully evaluate the site, then a Ministry of Justice license for their removal will be obtained in advance. In such cases appropriate guidance (McKinley & Roberts 1993, Brickley & McKinley 2004) will be followed and, on completion of full recording and analysis, the remains, where appropriate, will be reburied or kept as part of the project archive.
- In the event of unexpected or significant deposits being encountered on site, the client and SCCAS will be informed. Such circumstances may necessitate changes to the Brief and hence evaluation methodology, in which case a new archaeological quotation will have to be agreed with the client, to allow for the recording of said unexpected deposits. If an evaluation is aborted, i.e. because unexpected deposits have made development unviable, then all exposed archaeological features will be recorded as usual prior to backfilling and a report produced.

- Trenches will not be backfilled without the prior approval of SCCAS. Trenches will be backfilled, subsoil first then topsoil, and compacted to ground-level, unless otherwise specified by the client. Original ground surfaces will not be reinstated but will be left as neat as practicable.

5.4. Post-excavation

- The post-excavation finds work will be managed by the SACIC Finds Team Manager, Richenda Goffin, with the overall post-excavation managed by John Craven. Specialist finds staff, whether internal SACIC personnel or external specialists, are experienced in local and regional types and periods for their field.
- All finds will be processed and marked (HER site code and context number) following ICON guidelines and the requirements of the Suffolk HER. For the duration of the project all finds will be stored according to their material requirements in the SACIC store at Needham Market, Suffolk. Metal finds will be stored in accordance with ICON guidelines, *initially recorded and assessed for significance* before dispatch to a conservation laboratory within 4 weeks of the end of the evaluation. All pre-modern silver, copper alloy and ferrous metal artefacts and coins will be x-rayed if necessary for identification. Sensitive finds will be conserved if necessary and deposited in bags/boxes suitable for long term storage to ICON standards. All coins will be identified to a standard acceptable to normal numismatic research.
- All on-site derived site data will be entered onto a digital (Microsoft Access) SACIC database.
- Bulk finds will be fully quantified and the subsequent data will be added to the digital site database. Finds quantification will fully cover weights and numbers of finds by context and will include a clear statement for specialists on the degree of apparent residuality observed.
- Assessment reports for all categories of collected bulk finds will be prepared in-house or commissioned as necessary and will meet appropriate regional or national standards. Specialist reports will include sufficient detail and tabulation by context of data to allow assessment of potential for analysis and will include non-technical summaries.

- Representative portions of bulk soil samples from archaeological features will be processed by wet sieving and flotation in-house in order to recover any environmental material which will be assessed by external specialists. The assessment will include a clear statement of potential for further analysis either on the remaining sample material or in future fieldwork.
- All hand drawn site plans and sections will be scanned.
- All raw data from GPS or TST surveys will be uploaded to the project folder, suitably labelled and kept as part of the project archive.
- Selected plan drawings will then be digitised as appropriate for combination with the results of digital site survey to produce a full site plan, compatible with MapInfo GIS software.
- All hand-drawn sections will be digitised using autocad software.

5.5. Report

- A full written report on the fieldwork will be produced, consistent with the principles of MoRPHE (Historic England 2015), to a scale commensurate with the archaeological results. The report will contain a description of the project background, location plans, evaluation methodology, a period by period description of results, finds assessments and a full inventory of finds and contexts. The report will also include scale plans, sections drawings, illustrations and photographic plates as required.
- The objective account of the archaeological evidence will be clearly separated from an interpretation of the results, which will include a discussion of the results in relation to relevant known sites in the region that are recorded in the Suffolk HER and other readily available documentary or cartographic sources.
- The report will include a statement as to the value, significance and potential of the site and its significance in the context of the Regional Research Framework for the East of England (Brown and Glazebrook, 2000, Medlycott 2011). This will include an assessment of potential research aims that could be addressed by the site evidence.

- The report will contain sufficient information to stand as an archive report should further work not be required.
- The report may include SACIC's opinion as to the necessity for further archaeological work to mitigate the impact of the sites development. The final decision as to whether any recommendations for further work will be made however lies solely with SCCAS and the LPA.
- The report will include a summary in the established format for inclusion in the annual '*Archaeology in Suffolk*' section of the Proceedings of the Suffolk Institute of Archaeology and History.
- A copy of this Written Scheme of investigation will be included as an appendix in the report.
- The report will include a copy of the completed project OASIS form as an appendix.
- An unbound draft copy of the report will be submitted to SCCAS for approval within 4 weeks of completion of fieldwork.

5.6. Project archive

- On approval of the report a printed and bound copy will be lodged with the Suffolk HER. A digital .pdf file will also be supplied, together with a digital and fully georeferenced vector plan showing the application area and trench locations, compatible with MapInfo software.
- The online OASIS form for the project will be completed and a .pdf version of the report uploaded to the OASIS website for online publication by the Archaeological Data Service. A paper copy of the form will be included in the project archive.
- A second bound copy of the report will be included with the project archive.
- A digital .pdf copy of the approved report will be supplied to the client, together with our final invoice for outstanding fees. Printed and bound copies will be supplied to the client on request.
- The project archive, consisting of the complete artefactual assemblage, and all paper and digital records, will be deposited in the SCCAS Archaeological Store at

Bury St Edmunds within 6 months of completion of fieldwork. The project archive will be consistent with MoRPHE (Historic England 2015) and ICON guidelines. The project archive will also meet the requirements of SCCAS (SCCAS 2017b).

- The project costing includes a sum to meet SCCAS archive charges. A form transferring ownership of the archive to SCCAS will be completed and included in the project archive.
- If the client, on completion of the project, does not agree to deposit the archive with, and transfer to, SCCAS, they will be expected to either nominate another suitable depository approved by SCCAS or provide as necessary for additional recording of the finds archive (such as photography and illustration) and analysis. A duplicate copy of the written archive in such circumstances would be deposited with the Suffolk HER.
- Exceptions from the deposition of the archive described above include:
 - Objects that qualify as Treasure, as detailed by the Treasure Act 1996. The client will be informed as soon as possible of any such objects are discovered/identified and the find will be reported to SCCAS and the Suffolk Finds Liaison Officer and hence the Coroner within 14 days of discovery or identification. Treasure objects will immediately be moved to secure storage at SCCAS and appropriate security measures will be taken on site if required. Any material which is eventually declared as Treasure by a Coroners Inquest will, if not acquired by a museum, be returned to the client and/or landowner. Employees of SCCAS, or volunteers etc present on site, will not eligible for any share of a treasure reward.
 - Other items of monetary value in which the landowner or client has expressed an interest. In these circumstances individual arrangements as to the curation and ownership of specific items will be negotiated.
 - Human skeletal remains. The client/landowner by law will have no claim to ownership of human remains and any such will be stored by SCCAS, in accordance with a Ministry of Justice licence, until a decision is reached upon their long term future, i.e. reburial or permanent storage.

Bibliography

- Brickley, M., and McKinley, J. I., 2004, *Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains*. IFA Professional Practice Paper No 7.
- Brown, N and Glazebrook, J. (Eds), 2000, *Research and Archaeology: a Framework for the Eastern Counties, 2. Research Agenda and Strategy*. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper No. 8.
- Campbell, G, Moffett, L and Straker V., 2011, *Environmental Archaeology. A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (second edition)*. Portsmouth: English Heritage.
- Historic England, 2015, *Management of Research in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE)*.
- Gurney, D., 2003, *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England*. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper No 14.
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014, *Standard and Guidance for archaeological field evaluation*.
- McKinley, J., I and Roberts, C., 1993, *Excavation and post-excavation treatment of cremated and inhumed human remains*. IFA Technical Paper No 13.
- Medlycott, M. (Ed), 2011, *Research and Archaeology Revisited: A revised framework for the East of England*. EAA Occasional Paper 24.
- SCCAS, 2017, *Requirements for Trenched Archaeological Evaluation (updated March 2017)*.
- SCCAS, 2017b, *Archaeological Archives in Suffolk*.
- Watkinson, D. and Neal, V., 2001, *First Aid for Finds*. Third Edition, revised. Rescue/UKIC Archaeology Section, London.

Websites

British Geological Survey

<http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html>

6. Project Staffing

6.1. Management

SACIC Manager	Dr Rhodri Gardner
SACIC Project Manager	John Craven
SACIC Finds Dept	Richenda Goffin

6.2. Fieldwork

The fieldwork will be directed by a Project Officer from the following pool of SACIC staff.

Staff Name	Job Title	CIfA	First Aid	Other skills/qualifications
Robert Brooks	Project Officer	MCIfA	Yes	Surveyor
Simon Cass	Project Officer		Yes	Surveyor
Catherine Douglas	Project Officer	ACIfA	Yes	Surveyor
Linzi Everett	Project Officer		Yes	
Jezz Meredith	Project Officer	MCIfA	Yes	
Tim Schofield	Project Officer	MCIfA	Yes	Surveyor/Geophysics
Mark Sommers	Project Officer		Yes	

6.3. Post-excavation and report production

The production of the site report and submission of the project archive will be carried out by the fieldwork project officer. The post-excavation finds analysis will be managed by Richenda Goffin. The following SACIC specialist staff will contribute to the report as required.

Graphics and illustration	Ellie Cox, Gemma Bowen
Post Roman pottery and CBM	Richenda Goffin
Finds Supervisor	Dr Ruth Beveridge
Roman Pottery	Ioannis Smyrniaios
Environmental sample processing/assessment	Anna West
Finds Processing	Jonathan Van Jennians
Finds quantification	Matt Thompson
Archiving	Dr Ruth Beveridge

SACIC also uses a range of external consultants for post-excavation analysis who will

be sub-contracted as required. The most commonly used of these are listed below.

Sue Anderson	Human skeletal remains	Freelance
Sarah Bates	Lithics	Freelance
Julie Curl	Animal bone	Freelance
Anna Doherty	Prehistoric pottery	Archaeology South-East
Val Fryer	Plant macrofossils	Freelance
SUERC	Radiocarbon dating	Scottish Universities
		Environmental Research Centre
Donna Wreathall	Illustration	Suffolk CC Archaeological Service

Suffolk Archaeology CIC
Unit 5 | Plot 11 | Maitland Road | Lion Barn Industrial Estate
Needham Market | Suffolk | IP6 8NZ

Rhodri.Gardner@suffolkarchaeology.co.uk
01449 900120



www.suffolkarchaeology.co.uk



www.facebook.com/SuffolkArchCIC



www.twitter.com/suffolkarchcic



Appendix 2. OASIS form

OASIS ID: suffolka1-296067

Project details

Project name	Land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale-cum-Carlton
Short description of the project	An archaeological evaluation was undertaken on the 2nd October 2017 on land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale as required by a condition placed on planning application DC/17/2063/FUL by Suffolk Coastal District Council. A single trench was excavated across the location of the new footings, revealing a large amount of modern dumped deposits and truncations, most likely originating from the construction of Church Close in the 1960's. No finds or features of archaeological relevance were encountered.
Project dates	Start: 02-10-2017 End: 02-10-2017
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	KCC 051 - HER event no.
Any associated project reference codes	ESF25852 - HER event no.
Any associated project reference codes	DC/17/2063/FUL - Planning Application No.
Any associated project reference codes	2017/085 - Contracting Unit No.
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Other 5 - Garden
Monument type	NONE None
Significant Finds	NONE None
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Rural residential
Prompt	Direction from Local Planning Authority - PPS
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)

Project location

Country	England
Site location	SUFFOLK SUFFOLK COASTAL KELSALE CUM CARLTON 1 Church Close
Postcode	IP17 2NY
Study area	50 Square metres
Site coordinates	TM 3871 6505 52.231226465885 1.496107274586 52 13 52 N 001 29 45 E Point
Height OD / Depth	Min: 18.2m Max: 18.7m

Project creators

Name of Organisation	Suffolk Archaeology CIC
Project brief	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body

originator	
Project design originator	Hannah Cutler
Project director/manager	John Craven
Project supervisor	Simon Cass
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Name of sponsor/funding body	L Brett

Project archives

Physical Archive Exists?	No
Digital Archive recipient	Suffolk HER
Digital Contents	"Stratigraphic","Survey"
Digital Media available	"GIS","Images raster / digital photography","Survey"
Paper Archive recipient	Suffolk HER
Paper Contents	"Survey"
Paper Media available	"Context sheet","Photograph","Plan","Report"

Project bibliography 1

Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Land adjacent to 1 Church Close, Kelsale-cum-Carlton
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Cass, S.
Other bibliographic details	2017/085
Date	2017
Issuer or publisher	SACIC
Place of issue or publication	Needham Market
Description	Short report in house style, plastic-comb bound, A4.
Entered by	Simon Cass (Simon.cass@suffolkarchaeology.co.uk)
Entered on	9 October 2017

Suffolk Archaeology CIC
Unit 5 | Plot 11 | Maitland Road | Lion Barn Industrial Estate
Needham Market | Suffolk | IP6 8NZ

Rhodri.Gardner@suffolkarchaeology.co.uk
01449 900120



www.suffolkarchaeology.co.uk



www.facebook.com/SuffolkArchCIC



www.twitter.com/suffolkarchcic

