20th Century Naval Dockyards Devonport and Portsmouth 6265 Record Form	Devonport Dockyard Archive: HE Building Ref: N/A Building Name: N/A Grid Reference: SX 44921 55667
Archive name and address	HE Archive, The Engine House, Fire Fly Avenue, Swindon SN2 2EH
Visit date	27 November 2012
Document reference	The Royal Dockyard at Devonport Management Proposals Ref 46311.22, November 1999 On Behalf of English Heritage Library ref: R29879L4713 Folio 3C/4
Subject	The Royal Dockyard at Devonport
Summarise the salient points of the document	To characterise Devonport Dockyard to facilitate management of below ground archaeological remains.

Identify whether it should be copied for data: Yes/No	Yes
Identify whether an image should be included in the Report: Yes/No If Yes explain why, as succinctly as possible (its relevance to technology/ chronology/ dockyard development/other/where it fits into the larger picture)	No
Give indication of importance: Core/Secondary/Nil	Not Core, but important for the project Methodology

Insert here, as clearly as possible, transcribed extracts or folio/page references/images (acquired electronically or by photocopy) which you consider should feature in the Report text, explaining why	Summary, acknowledgements p.1 Background, aim and objectives p.2 Methodology, development of framework p.3 4.2.4 Overview that characterises the historical development of each zone. 4.2.5. content of each management zone is evaluated through 4 criteria: Period (currency) rarity, diversity (form) period (representativity) Numerical scores for each criterion create an overall value for the Content of each zone. 4.3.2 Series of aggregating functions summarises the following info for each management zone. 4.3.4. Then from the aggregated functions the quality of the management zone is evaluated through 7 criteria: Survival Potential Group value (association) Group value (clustering) Documentation (archaeological) Documentation (historical) Diversity (features)

These give an overall characterisation of the importance of each management zone.

Importance gained by correlating values for content and quality gained through characterisation and discrimination.

An importance qualifier allocated from a range of qualifiers set out in Table 3 (APP I)

4.5.2. Management Appraisal info.

4.5.3 Sensitivity of each management Zone based on above aggregations through 7 criteria:
Condition (form)
Condition (state)
Condition (stability)
Fragility
Vulnerability
Amenity value
Conservation value

4.6.3. Arrive at an outcome.

pp.4-6. 5. Management zones.

p.7 e.g .management zones for Administrative/ domestic.

p.10 Conclusion
Framework design to inform management decisions.

p.11. App 1 Criterion Tables : used
Period (currency):
Transient MZ in dockyard use 150 years
Restricted in dockyard use 150-300 years
Extended in dockyard use 300-500 years
Long lived in dockyard use >500 years
Uncertain insufficient data

Rarity:
Abundant >10 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard
Common 5-10 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard
Rare 2-5 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard
Very rare >2 with comparable overview in dockyard
Uncertain insufficient data

diversity (form)
low 1-2 classes represented in MZ
medium3-4 classes represented in MZ
high5-6 classes represented in MZ
very high 7 or more classes represented in MZ
uncertain insufficient data

period (representativity)
low >10 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ
medium 5-10 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ
high 2-5 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ

	very high <2 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ uncertain insufficient data pp 11-13 p16 Fig 1.map of dockyard	
Researcher initials	AVC	Updates/initials
Relationship to which building/ structure, if known	N/A	