20th Century Naval Dockyards Devonport and Portsmouth 6265 Record Form	Portsmouth Dockyard Archive: HE Building No: N/A Building Name: N/A Grid Reference: SU 63477 01030
Archive name and address	HE Archive, The Engine House, Fire Fly Avenue, Swindon SN2 2EH	
Visit date	27 November 2012	
Document reference	The Royal Dockyard at Portsmouth Management Proposals Ref 46311.12, November 1999 On Behalf of English Heritage Library ref: R29878K4713 Folio 3C/4	
Subject	The Royal Dockyard at Portsmouth	
Summarise the salient points of the document	To characterise Portsmouth Dockyard to facilitate management of below ground archaeological remains.	
Identify whether it should be copied for data: Yes/No		Yes
Identify whether an image should be included in the Report: Yes/No If Yes explain why, as succinctly as possible (its relevance to technology/ chronology/ dockyard development/other/where it fits into the larger picture)		No
Give indication of importance: Core/Secondary/Nil		Not Core, but important for project Methodology
Insert here, as clearly as possible, transcribed extracts or folio/page references/images (acquired electronically or by photocopy) which you consider should feature in the Report text, explaining why	Summary, acknowledgements p.1 Background, aim and objectives p.2 Methodology, development of framework p.3 4.2.4 Overview that characterises the historical development of each zone. 4.2.5. Content of each Management Zone (MZ) is evaluated through 4 criteria: Period (currency) rarity diversity (form) period (representativity) Numerical scores for each criterion create an overall value for the Content of each zone. 4.3.2 Series of aggregating functions summarises the following info for each management zone. 4.3.4. Then from the aggregated functions the quality of the management zone is evaluated through 7 criteria: Survival Potential Group value (association) Group value (clustering) Documentation (archaeological) Documentation (historical) Diversity (features) These give an overall characterisation of the importance of each management zone. Importance gained by correlating values for content and quality gained through	

	characterisation and discrimination. An importance qualifier allocated from a range of qualifiers set out in Table 3 (APP I) 4.5.2. Management Appraisal info. 4.5.3 Sensitivity of each Management Zone based on above aggregations through 7 criteria: Condition (form) Condition (state) Condition (stability) Fragility Vulnerability Amenity value Conservation value 4.6.3. Arrive at an outcome pp.4-5. 5. Management Zones p.6 e.g. Management zones for wooden ship production p.10 Conclusion Framework design to inform management decisions. p.11. App 1 Criterion Tables : used Period (currency): Transient MZ in dockyard use 150 years Restricted in dockyard use 150-300 years Extended in dockyard use 300-500 years Long lived in dockyard use >500 years Uncertain insufficient data Rarity: Abundant >10 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard Common 5-10 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard Rare 2-5 MZ with comparable overview in dockyard Very rare >2 with comparable overview in dockyard Uncertain insufficient data diversity (form) low 1-2 classes represented in MZ medium3-4 classes represented in MZ high5-6 classes represented in MZ very high 7 or more classes represented in MZ uncertain insufficient data period (representativity) low >10 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ medium 5-10 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ high 2-5 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ very high <2 other MZs include phases represented in this MZ uncertain insufficient data p16 Fig 1.map of dockyard
Researcher initials	AVC Updates/initials

Relationship to which building/ structure, if known	N/A

Relationship to which building/ structure, if known	N/A