# Caxation by the Oxgang in a Subsidy Roll for Scarsdale and the Figh Peak, 1603.

By S. O. Addy, M.A.

In 1916 I published in this Journal a document proving that in 1581 the landowners of Norton, in this county, were assessed, both for local and parliamentary purposes, by the number of oxgangs which each possessed. Since an oxgang was the eighth part of a hide, this was equivalent to taxation by the hide. The normal hide contained 120 acres, and in Domesday Book it denoted fiscal value, not superficial quantity.

This is what happened at Norton. On the 6th of July, 1576, the inhabitants and parishioners entered into a written agreement that all assessments levied on them, either for the maintenance of the parish or its church, or for the setting forth of men to serve in the wars, or for any other needful purpose, should be assessed and laid particularly and severally on every owner of any lands in the parish. The assessment was to be made by four indifferent men, chosen by the constables and churchwardens for the time being, according to the number of oxgangs which each person had in the parish. Further, the number of oxgangs was to "be accompted and reckoned after the old custom and order of making and repairing the church wall of Norton."

It was complained, however, that this order did not set forth the true number of oxgangs, and that there were other oxgangs in the parish which ought to have been assessed. Accordingly, in 1581, the dispute was referred to the Earl of Shrewsbury, who amended the order of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Church Wall at Norton as a Measure of Taxation, vol. xxxviii, p. 105.

1576 by including in it other oxgangs. The names of all the "freeholders and tenants" who were liable to assessment were set out in a schedule, with the additions imposed by the Earl in a separate column. The order of 1576 had only included  $68\frac{3}{4}$  oxgangs, and the Earl increased the number to 96 oxgangs "and one half and a third part."

The schedule exhibits the number of oxgangs which in 1576 were taxed "after the measure of the church wall,"

and in two parallel columns gives the additions.

I need not repeat the names and the number of oxgangs which each person possessed, or to which he was assessed. The amended order shows the following proportions of oxgangs:—

2	persons	were rated	at 6	oxgangs	eacn
3	,,	,,	5	,,	,,
4	,,	,,,	3	,,	,,
3	,,	,,	$2\frac{1}{2}$	,,	,,
13	,,	,,	2	,,	,,
3	,,	,,	$1\frac{1}{2}$	,,	,,
17	,,	,,	I	,,	,,
I	,,	,,	$\frac{3}{4}$	,,	,,
3	,,	,,	$\frac{1}{2}$	,,	,,
I	,,	,,	$\frac{1}{3}$	,,	,,
I	,,	,,	$\frac{1}{4}$	,,	,,

One of the two half oxgangs was a half share; another was "without the compass of the church wall," and was therefore an addition. The third and quarter share were also additions. The "Manor of Norton" was not included in the agreement of 1576, but, for the first time, paid on six oxgangs in 1581. The Vicar of Norton was not included.

The order of 1581 was signed, not only by the Earl of Shrewsbury, but by Sir John Manners, Sir Godfrey Foljambe, Sir Francis Leek, and Sir Francis Rodes. Sir John Manners and Sir Francis Leek both signed the

Subsidy Roll of 1603 (which included Norton), hereafter printed; they were Commissioners for Taxes in Derbyshire, as probably also were Sir Godfrey Foljambe and Sir Francis Rodes.

In 1617, there was an agreement at Morton, in this county, which must have closely resembled the Norton agreement of 1576. We are told that "in 1698 a revision of the agreement of 1617 was made at Morton with regard to the liability of landowners for the repair of the church-yard wall. There appears to have been in the parish forty-five oxgangs of land enclosed, and each oxgang of land carried with it responsibility for eighteen feet of wall. Mr. Spateman is credited with three oxgangs, as against Mr. Revell's five. A portion of the wall built by Spateman in brick stands to-day between the rectory and the church." This information is very useful, though one would like to know more; we may guess that the agreement of 1617, like that at Norton, had to do with taxation by the oxgang.

Every village in North Derbyshire seems to have reckoned its lands by oxgangs. Thus, at Cold Aston, which adjoins Norton, there were about 1649 twenty-four oxgangs. For nine of these certain persons had served the office of thirdborrow (constable) for a year, but fifteen others had refused to serve, and there was a petition against them at Quarter Sessions.<sup>2</sup> Evidently the oxgang was regarded as the measure of agrarian rights and duties.

The order of 1581 must now be connected with the Subsidy Roll of 1603, hereafter printed. An Act was passed in 1601 (43 and 44 Eliz.c.xxi) granting four entire subsidies and eight fifteens and tenths, and the Roll is an account of the second payment of the third of these four subsidies, the account for the first payment being missing. The tax was laid on both real and personal estate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Canon Prior in Derbyshire Archæological Journal, xxxvii, 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. C. Cox, *Three Centuries of Derbyshire Annals*, 1890, i, p. 108. Cold Aston is there said to be in the parish of Staveley. It is also included under Staveley below.

As regards real estate, it was enacted, That every person born under the Queen's obeisance, and every corporation (&c.) for every pound that every of the same person and every corporation (&c.) or any other to his or their use had in fee simple, fee tail, for term of life, term of years, by execution, wardship, or by copy of court roll of and in any honours, castles, manors, lands, tenements, rents, services, hereditaments, annuities, fees, corodies, or other yearly profits of the yearly value of 20s. as well within ancient demesne and other places privileged or elsewhere, and so upwards, should pay to the first payment of a subsidy 2s. 8d. of every pound and to the second payment 1s. 4d. of every pound.

As regards goods, the first payment was to be is. 8d. in the pound, and the second is. in the pound, making a total of 2s. 8d. in the pound for the year.<sup>1</sup>

The "terrae," or "lands" of our Subsidy Roll are the arable lands of which the oxgang or its multiples or fractions were composed. The Act of 1559 however contemplates the taxing of tenements and hereditaments, thus including all kinds of real estate. But the principle of the Norton order of 1581 must be taken to apply both to Scarsdale and the High Peak, notwithstanding the fact that in the account for the High Peak "land," not "lands," uniformly occurs.

Nobody acquainted with the history of Derbyshire and its landowners at the opening of the seventeenth century will doubt for a moment that the values stated in the Subsidy Roll of 1603 are nominal values, far below the real values. A few examples may be given.

The lands of Godfrey Bradshawe, of Bradshaw Hall in Bowdon, Chapel-en-le-Frith, gentleman, were assessed at £3 a year. He died in 1607, and the hall was rebuilt by his grandson Francis the eldest son of his son Francis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sir G. W. Prothero's Select Statutes and Other Constitutional Documents for the Reigns of Elizabeth and James I, Oxford, 2nd ed., p. 30.

in 1619. As the remains show, it was a fine building, with a splendid Renaissance gateway, surmounted by the Bradshawe and Stafford arms. In 1603 the land of Francis Bradshawe senior, gentleman, was assessed at £1 a year. Yet he lived at the Old Hall at Eyam the whole of his life where he and his wife, who was one of the heiresses of Humphrey Stafford of Eyam, had a great deal of property. This included whole townships, such as Bretton, Foolow, and Abney, and a great part of Eyam.

The lands of Thomas Balguy of Aston in the parish of Hope were assessed at £3 a year. Yet his name appears first among the taxpayers of Hope. Aston Hall, his residence, still exists, and is now occupied as a farm house. It has a beautiful Renaissance doorway through which the house is entered, with the Balguy arms above it. Inside one of the rooms is a carved mantelpiece bearing the letters T.B. The fireplace is fitted into blocks of polished marble, with fluted marble pilasters, resembling the pillars of the doorway at the entrance. In 1431 John Balgy of Aston, gentleman, had a free tenement in the desmesne (dominico) of Aston, held in socage, and worth 40s. a year. He had also a tenement in the demesne of Tyddeswell held in socage and worth 13s. 4d. a year. There was also a John Balgy of Thorp in the county of Leicester, esquire, who in 1431 held certain lands and tenements in Draycote in the county of Derby by the service of the sixteenth part of a knight's fee.2 The family were opulent and allied to the best families in Derbyshire. In 1439 there was a grant by Alice, late the wife of John Midleton, of Hope, to William Midleton, her son, and to his children by Margaret daughter of John Balgye, of all her tenements in the city of London,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bradshaw and the Bradshawes by C. E. B. Bowles, M.A., vol. xxv, p. 348 of this journal, and also in Memorials of Old Derbyshire, 1907, pp. 164—191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Feudal Aids in the Public Record Office, i, p. 285, 286.

and of her manor of Coldabbey in Pekham, with all other her lands, &c., in the county of Surrey.<sup>1</sup>

The lands of Godfrey Bunting of Ashover were assessed at £1 a year. He was Bunting of Buntingfield, in that parish. "An estate," says Lysons, "called Buntingfield, in this parish, furnishes a remarkable instance of well ascertained long continuance in a family of yeomanry, it being known to have belonged to an ancestor and namesake of its present proprietor, Mr. John Bunting, in the reign of Edward III." <sup>2</sup> The estate at Buntingfield is now a considerable property.

The lands of George More, of Greenhill, in the parish of Norton, gentleman, were valued at £3 6s. 8d., or a third of £10. As high collector for Scarsdale and the High Peak of the subsidies granted in 1601 he had to qualify by the possession of lands and hereditaments of the yearly value of £10, or goods to the value of 200 marks at the least. A subsidy roll of 1599 shows that he paid on goods valued at £7. Of course he may have had property elsewhere.

If then the estates just referred to were very much undervalued, on what principle was the valuation made? The Norton order of 1581 shows that they were rated by the oxgang, and we have to ascertain how much per oxgang the tax was.

In the order of 1581 Mrs. Selioke was rated for Haselbarow, where she resided, on three oxgangs, and for the adjoining property of Jordanthorpe on one oxgang. On the 9th June, 1580, her son William sold these two estates to Margaret, wife of Peter Frecheville, for £800,3 his mother having a life interest therein. Now in the Subsidy Roll of 1603 John Frecheville Esq. paid 5s. 4d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Descriptive Catalogue of Ancient Deeds in the Public Record Office, vol. ii, No. C 2585.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Derbyshire, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Derbyshire Archæological Journal, vol. xxxi, p. 20.

in respect of a rating of £4 a year of lands in Norton. This John Frecheville was the son of Peter Frecheville, and he died at Haselbarow in 1610. We may therefore conclude that he was rated, like Mrs. Selioke, on four oxgangs, the fiscal value of which was £4 a year, or fi a year each, on which he paid at the rate of 4s. an oxgang, or 16s. in all. A third of this amount is 5s. 4d. being the sum due for the second instalment of the subsidy. Here then we have the case of a man who paid a tax of 16s. a year on an estate which had cost £800 a few years before, and of which the fiscal value was f,4 a year. If we take \$\int 800\$ as a fair price at that time for the two estates, and if we regard money as worth 4 per cent., the estates were valued, for fiscal purposes, at an eighth part of their market value. The Haselbarow property contained 175 acres. It is good land. I do not know the acreage of Jordanthorpe. In the Subsidy Rolls of 1598 and 1599 John Frecheville's name does not appear, the reason being that he was still living at Staveley, the seat of his ancient family. It was probably in these two vears that the beautiful house at Haselbarow, pulled down about 1810, was being built. But John Frecheville was living at Haselbarow on the 27th of November, 1602, when his daughter Rosamond was baptised at Norton.

To ascertain the present value of the £800 paid for Haselbarow and Jordanthorpe we must multiply that sum by eight; it was £6,400. "The purchasing power of one Elizabethan pound might be generally defined in regard to both necessaries and luxuries as equivalent to eight pounds of the present currency."  $^{2}$ 

In the order of 1581 John Gill was rated on six oxgangs. He is described in the Norton Register as a yeoman living at Lightwood in that parish, and as dying in 1589. On

<sup>1</sup> Op. cit., p. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sir Sidney Lee's *Life of Shakespeare*, ed. 1898, p. 197. He is estimating the present value of the poet's income.

the occasion of his burial he is described in the Norton Register as the father of William, George, and Edward Gill. In 1594 this William Gill, then of Lightwood, settled all the property there which he had received from the gift and grant of his late father, and all other his freehold hereditaments in Norton, upon trust for himself and the male heirs of his body, and in default of such heirs upon trust for George Gill for life, with ultimate remainder to Edward Gill and his heirs for ever. William Gill died in 1598, and in the Subsidy Roll of 1603 George Gill, who succeeded him, was rated at £6 a year. We may conclude that by the settlement of 1594 George Gill had become the tenant for life of the six oxgangs to which his father had been rated in 1581. As he was rated on £6 in 1603, he paid at the rate of £1 per oxgang

In the order of 1581, Godfrey Foljambe, gentleman, who was of Norton Lees, is rated on five oxgangs, and John Parker, gentleman, who was also of Norton Lees, was rated on five oxgangs. In 1603, Godfrey Foljambe had ceased to live at Norton, and in that year the lands of John Parker, senior, and John Parker, junior are, when taken together, rated at £10. Either the father, or the father and son together, appear to have acquired the five oxgangs to which Godfrey Foljambe had been rated in 1581.

In 1603, the Parkers, Frechevilles, and Gills were the largest landowners in Norton; Gervase Strelley, rated at £10, who had inherited the site of the abbey, lived at Beauchief, and monastic lands were not subject to hidation, or taxing by the oxgang. Hence the Strelleys do not appear in the Norton order of 1581.

We have seen that at Norton, in 1581, the greatest number of landowners were rated at no more than one oxgang each. There were seventeen of these holders of a single oxgang, and thirteen others were rated at two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hall and Thomas, Descriptive Catalogue of the Charters &c. in the Jackson Collection at Sheffield, 1914, p. 141.

oxgangs (i.e., a virgate) each. If we turn to Eckington in the Subsidy Roll we shall find that out of twenty-two taxpaying landowners, sixteen paid no more than fi each. Among the copyholders of Eckington in 1649, the oxgang was regarded as the unit for paying fines to the lord on alienation, and such fines were also paid for half oxgangs.1 The court rolls of this manor, of which Sir George Sitwell is the lord, in fact show that the lands were grouped in oxgangs. In Bolsover, Clown, and Whaley, which are lumped together, nineteen persons paid on lands, twelve of these persons paying fi each. Hope, twelve persons paid on land, nine of whom paid fi each. At Baslow, ten persons paid on land, eight of whom paid fr each. It is clear from these and other cases that fi was by far the most frequent rateable value of an estate in our Subsidy Roll.

In our Subsidy Roll it will be seen that, with very few exceptions, lands were taxed in multiples of a pound.

The exceptions were these:—in eleven cases the value is stated at 30s., in one case it is 50s., in another case the amount is £6 13s. 4d., in two other cases it is £3 6s. 8d. The last three cases were at Norton, where the High Collector lived. These few exceptions do not detract from the rule that the taxation of lands was based on the possession of a rateable pound's worth at least. There is an evident correspondence between a pound's worth of land and a pound sterling. As Seebohm says, "the coinage and the land divisions were remarkably parallel in their arrangement."

Blackstone says that the assessment for a subsidy was made according to an ancient valuation, wherein the computation was so very moderate, and the rental of the kingdom was supposed to be so exceedingly low that one subsidy of this sort did not, according to Sir E. Coke, amount

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transactions of the Hunter Archæological Society, vol. i, p. 151.

to more than £70,000.1 This "valuation" must have been the old hidage.

"Afterwards, as money sank in value, more subsidies were given, and we have an instance, in the first parliament of 1640, of the king's desiring twelve subsidies of the commons to be levied in three years, which was looked upon as a startling proposal; though Lord Clarendon says that the Speaker, Serjeant Glanville, made it manifest to the house how very inconsiderable a sum twelve subsidies amounted to, by telling them he had computed what he was to pay for them himself, and when he named the sum, he being known to be possessed of a great estate, it seemed not worth any further consideration." Blackstone adds:—"And, indeed, upon calculation, we shall find that the amount of these twelve subsidies, to be raised in three years, is less than would be raised in one year by a land-tax of 2s. in the pound."

In the Act of 1559 (I Eliz. c. 2I) it was enacted by clause IO that the substantial inhabitants or their officer (probably the constable) should certify before the Commissioners "the names, surnames, and the best and uttermost substance and values of every of them, as well of lands... as of goods, chattels, debts and other things chargeable by the said Act." It might appear from this clause that a fresh valuation of lands was to be made on or before the collection of the subsidy. Sir George Prothero says that "in theory the subsidy was freshly assessed on each occasion, but, as with other direct taxes, the assessment tended to become formal."

Whatever may have been done in regard to personalty it is clear that in regard to lands no fresh valuation had been made for the subsidy of 1603. The valuation used

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Blackstone, in Stephen's New Commentaries on the Laws of England, 1868, ii, p. 292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Op. cit., p. 593.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prothero, ut supra, p. lxxxi.

was ancient; it was the old hidage. When the Act speaks of certifying the value of the lands, what appears to have been meant was that the true number of oxgangs, or parts thereof, must be stated because such a statement was equivalent to a statement of their fiscal value. If a man had acquired, by purchase or descent, a greater number of oxgangs he was to be taxed in accordance therewith, and so with regard to a lesser number. There was no fresh valuation in the modern sense of that term.

We have seen that two kinds of taxes were imposed by the Act of 1601—Subsidies, Fifteenths and Tenths. They were collected by different collectors, the security given by the collector of subsidies being higher than that required from the collector of fifteenths and tenths.

A subsidy was a super-tax, supplemental to the older fifteenths. In 1597 it was enacted, That the churchwardens of every parish and four substantial householders there being subsidy men, or (for want of subsidy men) four other substantial householders of the said parish . . . should be overseers of the poor of the same parish.¹ Thus the subsidy men took rank above those who only paid fifteenths. They were the richer class.

A fifteenth was a tax of 1s. 4d. in the pound, a tenth was a tax of 2s. in the pound, "the smaller fraction being levied on the counties, the larger on the towns." A fifteenth and a tenth," says Camden, "is a fixed tax anciently imposed severally on cities, boroughs, and towns, not man by man, but by classes, on the fifteenth part of the goods of places. We call that a subsidy which is imposed man by man on persons in accordance with the value of their goods and lands."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 39 and 40 Eliz. cap. iii, s. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prothero, p. lxxxi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Quindena et decima (ut in exterorum gratiam adnotem) taxatio certa est, olim imposita singulis civitatibus, burgis, et oppidis non viritim sed generatim pro ratione decimaequintae partis facultatum locorum. Subsidium vocamus quod singulis capite censis viritim pro ratione bonorum et agrorum imponitur—Camden's *Annales*, ed. 1, 1615, p. 79, in Prothero, p. 175. Camden is writing under the year 1563.

Barons, and those above the estate of a baron, were taxed directly by the chancellor and other high officials.

As compared with the rest of the taxpayers the subsidy men were few in number. Counting joint owners as one person, fifty-one persons are rated for oxgangs in the Norton order of 1581. In the Subsidy Roll of 1598, nineteen inhabitants of Norton are taxed either for lands or goods; in the Rolls of 1599 and 1603 the same number are taxed. It is evident therefore that the subsidy men did not include all the landowners of Norton, but that the majority were included in the fifteenth, the accounts of which contain no names. Sir George Prothero says that "the Fifteenth and Tenth became practically a tax upon holders of lands and tenements of a definite value."

The amount payable by every parish in respect of Fifteenths was fixed by law in in 1334, so that when, after that date, the Commons granted the King a Fifteenth, every parish immediately knew how much they had to pay. They then raised the amount by a rate among themselves, and returned it into the Exchequer.<sup>2</sup> If more money was required, the King demanded new Fifteenths, but the valuation of 1334 remained unaltered.

We have seen that in 1617 the parish of Morton contained forty-five oxgangs. In the Subsidy Roll of 1603, however, one person and no more was taxed for lands, and he paid £1, that is, he paid on the rateable value of one oxgang. The remaining fourteen persons paid on goods. The reason for this state of things probably was that tillage in this parish had been largely abandoned for sheep-farming and grazing. The conversion of tillage into pasture had long been going on, and the numerous Acts of Parliament "for the maintenance of husbandry and tillage" were powerless to prevent it. And so it came to pass that the oxgangs in the churchwardens' lists did not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prothero, p. lxxxi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Stephens, p. 591.

(as anciently they did) correspond with the oxgangs in the field. It was useless to keep up the pretence of taxing oxgangs when practically no arable lands existed.

The taxpavers of the very large parish of Bakewell only paid the small sum of qs. 8d., two men paying on lands, and two on goods. The reason for this small contribution is that corn was excessively scarce and dear. 1507 it was between 80s. and 104s. per quarter 1—an enormous price when we consider that one Elizabethan pound represents eight pounds of present money. Some towns could not pay this subsidy. This high price was no doubt mostly caused by the war with Spain. In 1598 Quiney, Shakespeare's friend in Stratford, "was staying at the Bell Inn in Carter Lane, London, and his main business in the metropolis was to procure exemption for the town of Stratford from the payment of a subsidy." Abraham Sturley, who had been Bailiff (i.e., Mayor) of Stratford, writing to Quiney on November 4th, 1598, "pointed out to him that since the town was wholly unable, in consequence of the dearth of corn, to pay the tax he hoped 'that our countryman Mr. William Shakespeare would procure us money."2

Four men also, and no more, paid at Hathersage, though the parish including Bamford, Derwent, Outseats, and the chapelry of Stoney Middleton, contained 13,630 acres. Of these taxpayers William Jessop, esquire, who was of Broomhall, near Sheffield, paid on land worth £10 a year, the other three on goods, the total amount being £1 6s. 4d. Jessop's daughter Margaret was married to Humfrey Savage of Lees Hall in Hathersage, and Jessop was the owner of North Lees. In this parish, with its hilly country and grouse moors covered with heather, little grain was grown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. E. Prothero (Lord Ernle), *Progress and Pioneers of English Farming*, 1888, p. 243, where a table giving the average price of wheat from 1043 to 1886 is given.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sir Sidney Lee, op. cit., p. 196.

A word must be said on "goods" which included, among other things, sheep, cattle, and horses. Their value changed from year to year, and could not have been fixed by an ancient valuation. To give a single example, the goods of John Poynton, of Bradway in the parish of Norton, yeoman, were valued at £4 in the subsidies of 1598 and 1599, and at £3 in 1603. Nevertheless, what strikes one as remarkable is the uniformity in the value of goods presented by the Roll of 1603. Nobody who possessed less than £3 worth paid this tax, but those who paid on more than £3 were exceedingly few. The whole document has an artificial and crystallized appearance. There are long strings of persons who paid on  $f_3$  as there are long strings of persons who paid on a pound's rateable value of lands and no more. One can understand equality in the number of oxgangs, but it is not so easy to understand this seeming equality in the value of goods.

Take, for instance, the village of Blackwell. There nobody paid on lands, but six persons paid on goods, and they all paid on £3 each. Take again the village of Chelmorton. There too nobody paid on lands, but eight persons paid on £3 each for goods. This is the more remarkable as the chapelry of Chelmorton formerly included Buxton, and Buxton is not included in the Roll of 1603.

I have appended a few extracts from an account of Robert Millward, Collector, in 1600, of Fifteenths and Tenths in the Hundreds of High Peak and Scarsdale, and relating to the first and second collection of these taxes as authorised by the statute 43 and 44 Elizabeth (1601). It is divided into three columns. In the first the collector charges himself with the sums which ought to have been paid by the respective parishes or townships, in the second he gives the abatements which he has deducted, in the third he gives the net amount received. At Bakewell, for example, he ought to have received £5 10s., but is only

able to obtain £5 5s. 5d. At Hathersage he ought to have received £5 13s. 4d., but is only able to obtain £4 13s. 4d. But, without more evidence, it is impossible to say what proportion the amount received from Fifteenths bore to that received from Subsidies.

It will be seen that Totley and Dore are included in Killamarsh, from which they are twelve miles distant, Sheffield, in another county, lying between. Dore and Totley must have been in the soke of Killamarsh. Again, Aston, that is Coal Aston or Cold Aston, is included under Staveley, whereas it is now in the parish of Dronfield. Formerly it must have been in the soke of Staveley. It is interesting to find these survivals of ancient jurisdictions and territorial units in the seventeenth century.

We may turn once more to taxation by the oxgang. We know that this was the custom at Norton in 1576. And since these oxgangs were "reckoned after the old custom of making and repairing the church wall," it is obvious that the custom had been long in use. What was done at Norton must have been done in other parishes; everywhere in North Derbyshire the arable lands must have been taxed by the oxgang, for it would have caused endless confusion to adopt different systems of taxation in different parishes. Dislocated townships, such as Dore and Totley, may here and there have given rise to difficulties, for it is not likely that the oxgang in those villages would be "reckoned after the old custom of making the church wall" of Killamarsh. It will be said, no doubt, that the double oxgang, or virgate, was the normal holding. It was so, but for the purpose of taxation it was better to take the smaller division of the hide as the unit.

We talk of "the unchanging East," but nothing was more conservative than the hide-bound agriculture of the English village, with its indivisible bundles of strips "to which there was always a single succession." That

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Seebohm, Village Community, p. 370.

single succession made taxation easy because it fell on oxgangs, not on acres. There was no valuing of farms of all sorts of sizes and qualities. The returning officer knew that this man had one oxgang and that man two, and he charged them accordingly. It was as easy as counting eggs.

The total amount received from the second payment of the third Subsidy here printed was £94 os. 3d., of which Scarsdale contributed £67 is. 7d., and the High Peak £26 is. 8d. From these two sums was deducted the collector's fee of 6d. in the pound, amounting to £27s. od., leaving a balance payable to the Exchequer of £91 is. 3d. The amount of the first payment must have been much larger.

The Roll is written on seven membranes, and is contained in a bag of soft leather, tied with leathern strings. It is in good condition.

## SUBSIDY ROLL, PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE, DERBY, 93/304.

The estreates indented delivered to George More of Greenhill, gentleman, 1603. (Illegible in parts, but the form is the same as the preamble for the High Peak, given below.)

## THE HUNDRED OF SCARSDALE.

Ashover—			
Richard Dakin, gent., in terris	XXXS	ijs	
The same Richard in right of his			
wife, in terris	XXXS	ijs	
Henry Calton, in terris	xls	ijs	viijd
Godfrey Buntting, in terris	XXS		xvjd
Anthony Wagstaffe, in bonis	$\mathrm{iiij}\mathit{li}$	iiijs	
Humfrey Roberts, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs	
Christopher Lawe, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs	
Leonard Cowley, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs	
Joane Rowbotham, widow, and			
Robert Pursglove, her sonne,			
in bonis	iijli	iijs	
John Alsebroughe, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
Thomas Crofte, in bonis	iijli	iijs	

Robert Haslam, in bonis Summa xxxiijs.	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
ALFRETON—				
Edward Fitzrandolph, in terris	xls		ijs	viijd
Robert Wood, in terris	XXS	(#/) (=)		xvjd
Michaell Eyre, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>		iiijs	
Charles Gesslinge, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Leonard Sutton, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
William Oklande, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Richard Blande, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Summa xxs				
BARLBROUGH AND WHITTWELL-				
John Rodes, esquier, in terris	xxli		xxvjs	viijd
William Routhe, gent., in terris	XXS			xvjd
Christopher Slatter, in terris	iiijli		vs	iiijd
Henry Hurste, in terris	iij <i>li</i>		iiijs	111,00
Godfrey Godley, in terris	iijli		iiijs	
Thomas Barker, in terris	XXS		11110	xvjd
Henry Westby, in terris	xxs			xvjd
William Marshall, in terris	XXS.			xvjd
William Kent, in terris	xxs			xvjd
Richard Harwood, in bonis	iijli		iijs	2x v j w
John Whithead, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Hugh Syssone, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Robert Raynes, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
James Gesslinge, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
George Harrison, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
William Cock, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Robert Johnson, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Summa iij <i>li</i> xs viij <i>d</i>	22,500		1113	
Blackwell—				
William Dawson, in bonis	${\rm iij} li$		iijs	
Robert Richard, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
John Frithe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Christopher Frithe, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Margaret Boote, widow, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Agnes Wilson, widow, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Summa xviijs				
Bolsover, Clown, and Whaley-				
Richard Bocher, in terris	_	xls	111	::: 2
John Yowle, in terris			-	viijd
John Lowie, in terms		xls	1]S	viijd

Raphe Kitchen, in terris	XX	S .		xvjd
Henry Spittlehowse, in terris	xl	S	ijs	viijd
Thomas Bocher, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
Edwarde Muston, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
George Hardy, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
Henry Scott, in terris	XX			xvjd
Thomas Barlow, in terris	XX			xvjd
Thomas Smale, in terris	XX			xvjd
William Woode, in terris	xl		-	viijd
George Westby, in terris	xl	S	ijs	viijd
Henry Smythe, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
Henry Kitchen, in terris	·XX			xvjd
Thomas Sparke, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
Richard Woodhead, in terris	$\mathrm{iij} li$		iiijs	
Edmunde Woodhead, in terris	xl	S	ijs	viijd
Nicholas Sharpe, in terris	XX	S		xvjd
William Barker, in terris	XX	.S		xvjd
William Smale, in bonis	$\mathrm{iiij}$ $li$		iiijs	
John Peace, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
James Newtton, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Summa xlvjs				
Beighton—				
Robert Scales, in terris	x]	S	ijs	viijd
John Newbold, in terris	xl	S		viijd
William Rolinson, in terris	x l	ls		viijd
Thomas Creswicke, in terris	XX	S	3	xvjd
Robert Foxe, in terris	XX	ZS .		xvjd
George Shirt, in bonis	iiijli		iiijs	3
Edward Hobson, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Newbold, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
George Jessope, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Summa xxiijs viijd	3		3	
56444444				
CHESTERFELDE—				
John Longe, gent., in bonis	vjli		vjs	
Richard Somersall, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Heathcot, in bonis	$\mathrm{iiij}\mathit{li}$		iiijs	
Godfrey Heathcot, in terris	X	ls	ijs	viijd
Martin Bretland, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Hugh Wheldon, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Thomas Renshaw, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Raphe Clarke, in bonis	iiijli		iiijs	
Thomas Clarke, in bonis	iijli		iijs	

Thomas Rolenson, in terris		XXS		xvjd
John Dobbe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	,00
George Diccons, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Ashe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Petter Needham, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Robert Forthe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Woodwarde, mercer, in			,	
bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Welles, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Anthony Tupman, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Richard Fletcher, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Godfrey Cade, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Raphe Cleworthe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Jefferson, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Renshaw, in terris	3	XXS		xvjd
Nicholas Webester, in bonis	iijli		iijs	3
Summa iijli xiijs iiija			, , ,	
X .				
DRONFELDE-				
James Barley, esquier, in bonis	vli		VS	
Robert Owtrame, in terris	iijli		iiijs	
Fancis Cutlove, in terris	iijli		iiijs	
William Topham, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Henry Hancock, in bonis	vjli		vjs	
Edmund Hancock, in terris		XXXS	ijs	
Robert Poynton, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Robert Cook, in terris	iijli		iiijs	
George Steynerode, in terris		xls	ijs	viijd
Henry Downes, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Wright, in terris		XXS		xvid-
Elizabeth Lawcock, widow, and				
Stephen Lawcock, in terris		XXS	xvjd	
Henry Newbolde, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Robert Clay, in terris	:	XXXS	ijs	
Francis Durant, in terris	iijli		iiijs	
James Rowtherham, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Poyntton, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Edward Husseye, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Robert Stansall, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Oliver Creswell, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
William Leyster, in terris		xls	ijs	viijd:
Godfrey Boler, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Richard Newbold, in bonis	iijli	-	iijs	

TAXATION BY	THE	OXGA	NG.		77
Richard Motley, in bonis	iijla	i		iiis	
John Stanley, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Summa iijli xviijs				11,0	
Summer iijoo Aviijs					
Eckington—					
George Blunte, gent., in bonis	xli	i		xs	
Gilbert Lynacre, gent., in terris	iiijli			VS	iiijd
George Poole, gent, in terris	3	xls		ijs	viijd
Francys Sittwell, in terris	vli	į		vis	viijd
William Lee, in terris		xls		ijs	viijd
George Wigfall, in terris		xls		ijs	viijd
Henry Savage, in terris		XXS		230	xvjd
Thomas Sale, clarke, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Leonarde Rotherham, in terris		XXS			xvjd
George Hides, in terris		XXS			xvjd
William Kent, in terris		XXS			xvja
John Turner, in terris		xls		iic	viijd
Richard Jackson, in terris		XXS		. 133	xvid
Henry Turner, in terris		XXS			xvja
William Lynley, in terris		XXS			xvja xvja
James Lee, in terris		XXS			xvja
Raphe Smithe, in terris		XXS			xvja
Richard Wilson, in terris		XXS			xvja
Henry Wigfall, in terris		XXS			xvju
Thomas Cade, in terris		XXS			
Godfrey Stanyforth, in terris		XXS			xvjd
John Rotherham, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Robert Turner, in terris					xvjd
Alice Trenton, widow, in bonis	iijli	XXS		:::	xvjd
William Kerkeby, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs iijs	
Robert Cowley, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>				
John Leythwick, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Thomas Hobson, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Thomas Stanyforthe, in bonis				iijs	
Summa iijli xijs	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Summa fijet xijs					
ELMTON AND CRESSWELL—					
Francis Westby, in bonis	iiijli			iiijs	
Richard Fretwell, senior, in bonis	iiijli			iiijs	
Thomas Smythe, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Westby, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Summa xiiiis					
3					

GLAPWELL AND ROWTHORNE-					
Robert Wolhouse, gent., in bonis	xli			xs	
Christopher Barker, in bonis	iijli	•		iijs	
Summa xiijs					
HEATHE AND STAINSBY-					
William Cavendishe, esquire, in					To.
terris	xlli		1	iijs	iiijd
Roger Fretwell, senior, in bonis	vjli			vjs	
Joane Barton, widowe, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Roger Fretwell, junior, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Raynalde Hardwicke, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Rauson, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
James Cowper, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Mathie Foxe, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Freak, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Ouldham, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Summa iiijli js viijd					
Holmesfelde-					
Adame Hacksworth, in terris		ls		iijs	iiijd
George Newbolde, in terris		xls		ijs	
Thomas Burton, in terris		XXS		2,50	xvjd
William Outrame, in terris		XXS			xvjd
James More, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Robert Wostenham, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Mychaell Harryes, in terris		XXS			xvjd
William Christers, in terris		XXS			xvid
Robert Fawnshaw, gen., in bonis	vjli	XS		vjs	vjd
John More, in bonis	iiijli			iijs	3
Robert Haslam, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Raphe Wheldon, in bonis	iiijli			iijs	
Humfrey Woode, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Henry Heminges, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Robert Mower, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Summa xls vjd	3			,	
KYNWALDMARSHE, TOTLEY, AND I	JOWEI	2			
Francis Ashton, gent., in terris	0011131	XXS			xvid
Robert Syttwell, in terris		xls		iic	viijd
William Morton, in terris		XXS		1]3	xvjd
William Ward, in terris		XXS			xvjd
John Hewett, in bonis	$\mathbf{v}li$	2220		VS	21 v ju
George Ball, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
John Harryes, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Harryes, in bonns	11,00			-150	

MAYAMYON DY					
TAXATION BY TI	HE (	OXGA:	NG.		79
Bryan Haslam, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Thomas Calton, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Robert Newbolde, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
Edward Barker, gent., in terris	xli			xiijs	iiijd
Anthony Bright, in bonis	vjli			vjs	
John Badger, in terris	. 3	XXS		. ,	xvjd
Robert Bright, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Thomas Raworthe, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Summa ls					
Morton-					
John Clay, in bonis	iijli			;;;,	
William Baccon, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
The state of the s				iijs	
Richard Eyre, in bonis Thomas Dibbs, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Eyre, in bonis	iij <i>li</i> iiij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Hugh Clay, in bonis				iiijs	
William Allwood, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>			iiijs	
Rowland Mosley, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
Robert Cooke, in bonis	iijli		-	iijs	
Richard Freake, in bonis	iiijli			iijs iiijs	
Thomas Cowper, in bonis	iiijli			iiijs	
Thomas Brelesford, in bonis	iijli			iijs	
John Stevenson, in bonis	iijli			iijs	9.0
Thomas Cow, i in bonis	iijli			iijs	•
Thomas Greves, in terris	11,00	XXS		1133	xvjd
Summa xlvijs iiijd		AAS			Avju
,					
Norton—					
Jervas Sturley, esquier, in terris	xli			xiijs	iiijd
John Fretchvill, esquier, in terris	iiijli			VS.	iiijd
John Parker, senior, gent., in terris		xiijs		viijs	xjd
John Parker, junior, gent., in terris	iijli	vjs	viijd	iiijs	vd ob
George More, gent., in terris	iijli		viijd	iiijs	vd ob
George Gyll, in terris	vjli			viijs	
John Steven, in terris	iiij $li$			VS	iiijd
Gabriel Parker, in terris		XXS			xvjd
Jerom Rollinson, in terris		XXS			xvjd
William Blythe, in terris	iijli			iiijs	
Thomas Burton, in terris		xls		ijs	viijd
John Parker de little Norton, in					
terris		XXXS		ijs	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$  The word has been altered, and is uncertain.

William Rollenson, in terris Thomas Hudson, in terris James Bullock, in bonis James Bate, in bonis Edward Gyll, in bonis John Poynton, in bonis Edward Owtram, in bonis Summa iijli xviijs xa	iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i>	xxs		iijs iijs iijs iijs iijs	xvjd xvjd
PLEASLEY AND SHERBROCKE— Hercules Stuffin, in bonis Christopher James, in bonis William Kytchen, in terris Thomas Sherwine, in terris William Greave, in bonis Robert Hutchinson, in bonis Thomas Renshaw, in bonis Homfrey Dey, in bonis William Clay, in bonis Summa xxvs viijd	iijli iijli iiijli iiijli iijli iiijli iiijli	xxs xxs		iijs iijs iijs iijs iijs iijs	xvjd xvjd
SUTTON AND DUCKMANTON— Sir Francis Leek, knight, in terris Robert Beueridge, in terris John Allwood, in terris John Noble, in bonis Thomas Scorer, in terris William Roper, in terris John Stubbinge, in bonis Summa iijli xviijs	lli iijli iijli	xxs xxs xxs		iij <i>li</i> vjs	viijd xvjd xvjd xvjd xvjd
STAVELEY, BARLEY AND ASTON—Sir Peter Fretchvyll, knight, in	iiij <i>li</i> iiij <i>li</i> xli	xiijs xxs xls	iiijd	xxxvs vs ijs iijs xs iijs iijs iijs iijs iijs i	vijd iiijd xvjd viijd

John Bennet, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Richard Cadman, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Peter Parker, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Olyver Marshall, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij} li$	iijs
Robert Turner, junior, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Peter Bylby, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Richard Bouthe, in bonis	iijli	iijs
George Wright, in terris	XXXS	ijs
William Barlow, in terris	XXS	xvjd
Dorothy Kent, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>	iiijs
John Kyrkby, in bonis	iijli	iijs
Agnes Blyth, widow, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
William Gladwyn, in terris	XXS	xvjd
William Owtram, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij} li$	iijs
George Barresford, gent., in bonis	$\mathrm{iij} li$	iijs
George Noble, gent., in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
Rowland Revyell, in terris	XXXS	ijs
Christopher Stevenson, in goodes	vli	vs
Summa vjli vijs vijd	!	
SOUTHWINGFELDE AND VLGATHORP	E	
Richard Pickarde, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>	iiijs
Thomas Sutton, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
Summa vijs	22,000	~
SCARTCLIFE AND POLITERTON—		
Edward Deane for the land of John		::.
Woodhowse, in terris	XXXS	ijs
John Sawnson, in terris	XXS	xvjd
William Reyson, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
William Coxe, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
William Tompson, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
John Butcher, de Scarcliffe, in	:::7:	iiis
bonis	iij <i>li</i>	
Thomas Wylson, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
Francis Renshaw, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
John Butcher, de Palterton, in	:::7:	:::.
bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
John Woodhowse, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
Summa $xxvijs$ iiij $d$		
SOUTHNORMANTON AND PINXSTON-		
George Revell, gent., in terris	vjli	viijs
Richard Cooke, in terris	XXS	xvjd
Elene Woode, wedowe, in terris	XXS	xvjd

Robert Whitworthe, in bonis Edward Revell, gent., in bonis	iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
	-		iijs	
Edward Woode, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Steven Pettinger, in bonis	${\tt iij} \it li$		iijs	
Summa $xxijs$ $viijd$				
SHIRLAND AND HEIGHAM-				
Robert Newton, in bonis	iiijli		iiijs	
Robert Revell, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Ludlam, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Emmery in the rowme of	3		3	
widow Barker, in bonis	iiili		iijs	
Some xiijs	3			
STRETTON-		* .		
John Curttes, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Sympson, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Roger Hobby, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Francis Brelsforthe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
William More, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Wheatcrofte, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Cawpe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Henry Wheatcrofte, in terris	X	XS	,	xvjd
William Fletcher, in terris	X	XS		xvjd
Summa $xxiijs$ $viijd$	- 1			
Tybshelfe and oscrofte—				
Robert Duckmanton, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Homfrey Morley, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Jeffrey Watson, in bonis	iiijli		iiijs	
Thomas Reynalde, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Clarke, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
William Pertington, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
John Chapman, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Fellowe, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Summa xxvs	11300		11)3	
Summa XXVS				
Washington, Brackenfeld, and	OGSTON			
Robert Collyer, gent., in bonis	xli		xs	
Thomas Raynolds, in bonis	iijli		iijs	
Francis Brelsford, in bonis				
TIT'II:	iijli		iijs	,
William Raynald, in bonis	iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i>		iijs iijs	
Thomas Hunt, in bonis Some xxiis	-		-	

Wingerworthe, Tupton, and Or	NNSTON-	
Henry Humlocke, gent., in terris	xli	xiijs iiijd
Honor Blyth, wedowe, with Robert	t .	
Kidman, gent., in terris	viij <i>li</i>	xs viijd
Edwarde Bullock, gent., in terris	iiij <i>li</i>	vs $iiijd$
Raphe Bullock, gent., in terris	xls	ijs viijd
Francis Goer, gent., in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$	iijs
Edmund Stevenson, gent., in bonis	vli	VS
Robert Curtis, in terris	xls	ijs viijd
William Moer, in terris	xls	ijs viij $d$
Robert Tompson, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij} li$	iijs
Edward Wostenham, in bonis	iii $\mathbf{j}li$	iiijs
Robert Gladwen, in bonis	$\mathrm{iiij} li$	ii <b>ij</b> s
John Spencer and William Furnas	5,	
in terris	XXXS	ijs
Edward Stevenson, in terris	XXVS	xxd
Edward Bradshaw, in terris	XXS	xvjd
John Braylsforde, in terris	XXS	xvjd
Ellen Wagstafe, wedow, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>	iiijs
John Reyly, in bonis	iij <i>li</i>	iijs
Thomas Cley, in bonis	ii <b>j</b> li	iijs
Summa iij <i>li</i> xijs vii	$\mathbf{j}d$	
Walton, Calow, and Brampton	7—	
Sir William Bowes, knight, in terri	is xlli	liijs iiijd
Godfrey Clark, gent., in terris	iiijli	vs iiijd
Thomas Foliambe, in bonis	iijli	ii <b>j</b> s
John Watkinson, in bonis	iii <b>j</b> li	iiijs
George Turner, in terris	xls	ijs viij $d$
George Heathcott, in terris	xls	ijs viij $d$
Godfrey Kinder, in terris	xls	i <b>j</b> s viij <i>d</i>
Thomas Crofte, in terris	xls	ijs viij <i>d</i>
Robert Sharoe, in terris	XXXS	i <b>j</b> s
Jervas Sharoe, in terris	XXS	xvid
George Bradshaw, in terris	XXS	xvjd
John Duckmanton, in terris	XXS	xvjd
Godfrey Stubbing, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$	iijs
Thomas Freake, in bonis	${\tt iij} li$	iijs
Ellen Swindell, wedow, in terris	XXS	xvjd
Robert Stanley, in terris	XXXS	ijs
Some iiijli xjs viijd		

Whitington and Brymington—			~ /
Leonard Mathew, in bonis	iiijli	iiijs	
John Boler, in bonis	$\mathbf{v}li$	vs	
Thomas Massye, in bonis	iiijli	iiijs	
John Turner, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
Thomas Smythe, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
Agnes Chapman, wedow, in bonis	iiij <i>li</i>	iiijs	
George Stubbinge, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$	iijs	
Jane Sailes, wedow, in bonis	$\mathrm{ii} \mathrm{j} li$	iijs	
Godfrey Somersall, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
John Jepson, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
Roger Stubbinge, in bonis	iijli	iijs	
Edward Brocksope, in bonis	$\mathrm{iij} li$	iijs	
Thomas Hancocke, in bonis	iijli	iijs	# 1 L
Richard Cowpe, in terris	xxs		xvjd
Summa xlvs iiijd			

## THE HUNDRED OF HEIGH PEAKE.

(Signed) John Manners, Francis Leek, W. Cauendyshe.

Summa totalis, lxvijli js vijd

DERB.—THE ESTREATS indented for the second payment of the third Subsedie granted vnto our late soueraigne Ladie Queene Elizabeth, her heires and successors, by act of Parliament holden at Westminster in the xliijth yeare of her heighnes raigne, conteyning the names and surnames of every person, with theire somes chargable and contributory to the same payment, made by vs Sir John Manners and Sir John Bentley, knights, and William Cauendyshe, Esquire, Commissioners appointed within the said hundred of HEIGH PEAKE by vertue of the King's Majesty's Commission to vs and others directed in that behalf. part of which estreate wee haue deliuered vnto George More, of Greenhill in the said county of Derby, gentleman (Heigh Collector within the said hundred) to receive the same. Thother part thereof wee doe send vnto the King's Majesty's court of Exchequer. according to the tenour and effect of the said act. Given under our hands and seales the xviijth daye of October in the yeare of our soveraigne Lord James &c. the first of England &c. and the xxxvijth of Scotland, 1603

#### HOPE-

Thomas Ballgey, gen', in land	iij <i>li</i>	iiijs
Steven Staley, gen', in land	iijli	iiijs

1	1		
١,	,		w
c	٦	*	٩
•	-		,

## TAXATION BY THE OXGANG.

Alice Savage, wid', in land		xls	ijs	viijd
Raufe Bockinge, in land		XXS		xvjd
Ellen Marshall, in land		XXS		xvjd
George Howe, in land		XXS		xvjd
Thomas Eyre, in land	d	XXS		xvjd
Jone Woodroufe, in land		xxs		xvjd
Herio Woodroufe, wid', in land		XXS		xvjd
William Glossoppe, in land		XXS		xvid
Marke Trickett, in land		XXS		xvjd
Adam Eyre, in goods	vli		VS	
Nicholas Barber, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
Edward Barber, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
Robert Haighe, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Henry Barber, in goods	iijli		iijs	
William Woodcock, gen', in goods	iijli		iijs	
Henry Bocking, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Yellott, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Margery Eyre, wid', in goods	iijli		iijs	
Charles Ashton, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Richard Barbar, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
William Cocke, of Bradwell, in land	1	XXS		xvjd
Somme lixs viijd				
BOWDON, CHAPPLE PARISH—				
Nicholas Browne, gen', in land	iiij <i>li</i>		vs	iiijd
Thomas Bagshawe, gen', in land	vjli		viijs	IIIju
George Bodon, gen', in land	iiijli		VIIJS	iiijd
Godfrey Bradshawe, gen', in land	iijli		iiijs	111300
Thomas Yeoveley, in land	iij <i>li</i>		iiijs	
Edward Ollerenshawe, in land	xls		ijs	viijd
Thomas Barber, in land	21.10	xls	ijs	viijd
George Thornell, in land		XXXS	ijs	viija
Thomas Allen, in land		XXXS	ijs	
Robert Ollerenshaw, in land		XXS	1,5	xvjd
Nicholas Bagshawe, in land		XXS		xvja
Henry Mellar, in land		XXS		xvjd
George Bagshawe, in goods	iijli	21.21.0	iijs	2x v ja
William Greensmith, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Jesspar Mosley, in goods	iijli		iijs	4.11
Summa xlixs	11,00		21,3	1.
Junina Anas				
Bowdon Middellcale-				
				145
George Needham, gen', in land Raufe Athellhurste, gen', in land	vli			viij <i>d</i> viij <i>d</i>

Nicholas Bradbury, gen', in land		xls	ijs	viijd
Thomas Rollinson, in land		XXS		xvjd
William Clayton, in land		XXS		xvjd
John Dand, in land		xls	ijs	viijd
Katherine Platte, widoe, in land		xxs		xvjd
Robert Rydge, in land		XXS		xvjd
Anthony Clayton, in land		XXS		xvjd
William Bennitt, of the Haghe, in				
land		xxs		xvjd
Thomas Kirke, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Clarke, in goods	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Robert Hadfield, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Marryott, in goods	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Raufe Hyde, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Ottywell Fernely, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Summa xliiijs viij $d$				
Baslowe—				
	viijli		XS	viijd
John Greaves,,in land	VIIJOO	xls	ijs	viijd
Robert Deane, in land		XXS	1]3	xvjd
John Lees, in land		XXS		xvja
Patrick Chapman, in land		XXS		xvju
Robert Wood, in land		XXS		xvj <i>d</i>
Richard Allvey, in land		XXS		xvja
Henry Heyward, in land		XXS		xvja
George Ryshton, of Pillesley, in land	1	XXS		xvja
Steven Frenche, in land		XXS		xvjd
Robert Stafford, in goods	iijli		iijs	2x v ju
Robert Gregory, in goods	iijli		iijs	
John Froggott, in land	22300	XXS	1110	xvjd
Summa xxxjs iiijd				111 300
Darley—	111		,	4
Sir John Manners, knight, in land	xlli		liijs	iiijd
Roger Collumbell, esquire, in land	xlli		xiijs	iiijd
George Sutton, esquire, in land	$\mathbf{x}$ l $li$		xiijs	iiijd
John Pott, gen', in land	iij <i>li</i>		iiijs	
Francis Stevenson, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Thurston Brodhurst, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
John Wheelwright, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Henry Taylor, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Stere, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Summa iiij <i>li</i> xixs				

Yeolgrave—				
Francis Gilbert, gen', in land	iijli		iiijs	
Francis Bradbury, in land		XXS		xvjd
James Robertes, in land		XXS		xvjd
Mrs. Barbara Allen, wid. in land		xls	ijs	viijd
George Birde, in land		XXS		xvjd
William Mattson, in land		XXS	100001	xvjd
Robert Mearell, in land		xls	ijs	viijd
Thomas Woodward, in land		xxs		xvjd
Humfrey Barge, in goods	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Robert Sterndale, in land		xxs		xvjd
Robert Bradshawe, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Mrs. Anne Cockayne, wid. in land	vli		vjs	viijd
Summa xxxs				
The same of the sa				
Tyddeswell—		37370		xvjd
Steven Longsden, in land		XXS		xvja
Ollyver Bagshawe, in land		XXS		xvja
William Poynton, in land	::::7:	XXS	iiijs	Avju
Edward Allen, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
Thomas Bockinge, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
Ellis Blackwell, in goods	iiijli			
Nicholas Hill, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Thomas Hardy, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Richard Bore, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Raufe Barker, in goods	iijli		iijs	
John Mellar, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Edmund Hill, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Humfrey Buxton, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Mathew Fernes, in goods	iijli		iijs	
William Frost, in goods	$\mathrm{iij} li$		iijs	
Summa xliijs				
GLOSSOPE—				
George Ratcliffe, gen', and Eliza-				-
beth Ratcliffe, his mother, in land			iiijs	
Elizabeth Stafford, wydoe, in land			VS	iiijd
John Stafford, in land	· major	xxs		xvid
John Hadfield, in land		XXS		xvid
Thomas Bowre, in goods	vli		VS	
Thomas Hollingworth, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
William Dewsnoppe, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Hugh Shirt, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Summa xxvijs	11,00		1133	
Sullilla XXVIJS				

Bakewell—				
William Sandforte, in land		XXS		xvjd
Francis Burton, in land		XXS		xvjd
Thomas Bretnor, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
William Twigge, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Summa ixs viijd				
HATHERSEDGE—				
William Jessoppe, Esquire, in land	xli		xiiis	iiijd
Richard Skynner, gen', in goods	vijli		vij s	IIIju
George Eyre, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Thomas Eyre, in goods	iijli		ii <b>j</b> s	
Summa xxvjs iiijd	11,00		11]3	
Woormhill—				
Leonard Shallcrosse, in land	vijli		ixs	iiijd
Thomas Nichollson, in land		XXS		xvjd
George Ferne, in goods	iij $li$		iijs	
Edward Dakin, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Edmund Goodwyn, in goods	$\mathrm{iij}\mathit{li}$		iijs	
Thomas Dakyn, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Raufe Orme, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Innocent, in goods	$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}li$		iijs	
Robert Tunsted, gent., in land		xxs		xvjd
Robert Heald, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Longden, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Wilson, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Summa xxxixs				
CHELLMARTON—				
Andrew Morewood, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Dakin, in goods	iijli		iijs	
George Torre, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Thomas Buxton, in goods	iiijli		iiijs	
Edward Buxton, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		iijs	
Robert Dakin, of Stadon, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Robert Dale, in goods	iijli		iijs	
William Buxton, in goods	iijli		iijs	
Summa xxvjs	11,00		11]3	
Eyam-				
Robert Eyre, Esquire, in land	vjli		viijs	
Francis Bradshawe, gent., in land		XXS		xvjd
Humfrey Padley, in land		XXS		xvjd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There was a Robert le Bretoner at Bakewell in 1394.

iijs

iijs

Francis Braye, in goods	iiijli			iiijs	
Richard Hill, in goods	iijli			iijs	
John Willson, in goods	iijli			iijs	
Francis Sharpe, in goods	iijli			iijs	
Humfrey Smilter, in goods	iijli			iijs	
John Hill, in goods	iijli			iijs	
Summa xxixs viijd					
Asheforde—					
Hugh Sheldon, in land		xls		ijs	viijd
Leonard Froste, in land		XXS			xvjd
		22220			
William Bright, in land		XXS			xvjd
William Bright, in land Hugh Eley, in goods	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	
0 ,	iij <i>li</i> iij <i>li</i>			iijs iijs	
Hugh Eley, in goods					
Hugh Eley, in goods Raufe Harrison, in goods	iij <i>li</i>			iijs	

Summa xxiiijs iiijd

Thomas Tomlinson, in goods

Richard James, in goods

Summa totalis of the said hundred of Heigh Peake is xxvjli xviijs viijd.

iij*li* iij*li* 

(Signed) John Manners, John Bentley, W. Cauendyshe. (Seals missing).

Summa totallis xx/iiijxiiijli iijd. De quibus feodum collectoris xlvijs. Et debet xx/iiijxili xiijs iijd.

## EXTRACT FROM SUBSIDY ROLL, DERBY, 93/285.

The Estreates indented for the payment of the first Subsidy granted 39 Eliz. Robert Woolhouse of Glapwell, gentleman, High Collector. Dated 2nd October, 1598.

#### NORTON-

John Parker, senior, in lands	vili	xiijs	iiijd	xxvjs	viijd
John Parker, junior, in lands	iij <i>li</i>	vjs	viijd	xiijs	iiijd
George Moore, in goods	vijli			xviijs	viijd
Nicholas Stirley, ar', in lands	xiijli	vjs	viijd	liijs	iiijd
George Gill, in lands	vjli			xxiiijs	
John Vrton, in lands	iiij $li$			xvjs	
John Parker, de Okes, in lands		xls		viijs	
Edward Gill, in lands		xxs		iiijs	
William Blythe, in lands	iijli			xijs	
Thomas Barton, in lands		XXXS		vjs	
James Bate, in lands		XXXS		vjs	

John Parker, de Parva Norton, in	ı		
lands	XXXS	vjs	
William Rawlinson, in lands	XXS	iiijs	
Jerome Rawlinson, in lands	XXS	iiijs	
John Poynton, in goods	iiij <i>li</i>	xs	viijd
Christopher Chapman, in goods	iij <i>li</i>	viijs	
Thomas Outram, in goods	iijli	viijs	
John Alen, in goods	iij <i>li</i>	viijs	
John Bartin, in goods	iij <i>li</i>	viijs	
Som' xijli iiijs viijd			

## EXTRACT FROM SUBSIDY ROLL FOR THE HUNDREDS OF SCARSDALE AND HIGH PEAK, DERBY 93/288.

The Estreates indented for the payment of the second subsedy granted 39 Eliz. 1599. Raufe Ashenhurst, of Beard, gentleman, High Collector.

#### Norton-

John Parker, senior, gent., in lands	vjli xiijs	iiijd	xxvjs	viijd
John Parker, junior, in lands	iijli vjs	viijd	xiijs	iiijd
George Gill, in lands	vjli		xxiiijs	
John Urton, in lands	iiijli		xvjs	
John Parker, of Okes, in lands	XXS		iiijs	
Jerome Rollinson, in lands	XXS		iiijs	
William Blyth, in lands	iijli		xijs	
James Bate, in lands	XXXS		vjs	
John Parker, of little Norton, in				
lands	XXXS		vjs	
Thomas Barton, in lands	XXXS		vjs	
William Rollenson, in lands	XXS		iiijs	
George Mowre, gent., in goods	vijli		xviijs	viijd
John Poynton, in goods	iiijli		XS	viijd
Edward Outram, in goods	iij <i>li</i>		viijs	
Christopher Chapman, in goods	iijli		viijs	
John Barten, of Greenhill, in goods	iijli		viijs	
John Allen, in goods	iijli		viijs	
Edward Gill, in goods	iijli		viijs	
Nicholas Strelley, Esquire, in lands	xli		xls	
Summa xjli xjs iiijd				

### FIFTEENTHS AND TENTHS, 1600.

Particule Compoti Roberti Millward, gentleman, collectoris prime et secunde quindecime et decime, &c.

## 43 Eliz. Public Record Office, Derby, 93/298.

#### HIGH PEAK.

Oneratur.		De	eduxit.	Rema	net	
Darley	xlixs		xijs	2	xxxvijs	
Yolgrave	x!iiijs		vis	×	xxviiys	
Backwell	cxs		xxiijs vijd	iiijli	VS	vd
Haddersage	cxiijs	iiijd	xxs	iiijli	xiij s	iiijd
Hope et Shatton	vjs	vjd	nil.		VS	vjd
Hope et Shatton	iiijli		xijs viijd		lxvijs	iiiid
Glossopp	cxiijs		xviijs	iiijli	XVS	
Chelmardyn	lxijs		xs		lijs	

### SCARSDALE.

Kynwellmarshe	xxxviijs vijd	ijs vj <i>d</i>	xxxvj s jd
Norton	xxxvjs viijd	ijs vjd	xxxiiijs ijd
Barly, Aston	xxxviijs v <b>j</b> d	vs vijd ob	xxxijs xdob
Holmesfield	xliiijs $jd$	iijs	xls jd
Dronnefield	lviijs vijd	viijs	ls vii <b>j</b> d
Chesterfield	vjli xvs vijd	xiijs iiijd	vj <i>li</i> ijs iij <b>d</b>

The membrane on which this account is written is illegible in many places, and a selection has been made.