

Archivist's Note

XSW11

Summaries have been provided for the 2012 and 2014 phases of work. The 2013 work, consisting of three boreholes drilled to inform the deposit model, did not have a summary compiled.

On the CAD trench location plan, the location of the sections was taken from the permatrace plans. The evaluation report shows Section 5 as Section 1 and Section 2 as part of Section 6. This has been corrected on the plan. In the 2014 report, the locations of Boreholes 7 and 8 were wrong and this has also been corrected on the plan.

A number of the plans for this archive have been re-numbered to make them clearer and more coherent. Plans 10 and 11 are now both numbered '10' as together they form a multi-context plan of Trench 1B on two sheets. Plans 13, 20, 22 and Tr.1C are now all numbered '13' as they form a four-sheet multi-context plan of Trench 1C. The two sheets on the left side were drawn too low down the page. They fit together accurately with the two sheets on the right but must be placed 5cm further up than usual. Plans 31, 32, 33 and 35 are now all numbered as '31' as they form a multi-context plan of Trench 1D. Section numbers are unchanged.

A single photograph, which had been used as Fig.14 in the evaluation and watching brief report (C263-MLA-X-RGN-CR148_PT005-50002) could not be located among the digital images and has not been included in the archive.

No finds were retained from this site. A stone which was thought to display evidence of human working was discarded after analysis indicated that this was not the case.

Vince Gardiner

Archivist

31/3/17