

**Substrata**

Archaeological Geophysical Surveyors

An archaeological magnetometer survey

**White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon**

Centred on NGR (E/N): NGR 288143,057422

Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Ross Dean BSc MSc MA MCifA

3 May 2017

Substrata Ltd  
Langstrath  
Goodleigh  
Barnstaple  
Devon EX32 7LZ  
Tel: 01271 342721  
Email: [geophysics@substrata.co.uk](mailto:geophysics@substrata.co.uk)  
Web: [substrata.co.uk](http://substrata.co.uk)

Client  
Stride Treglown  
Promenade House  
The Promenade  
Clifton  
Bristol BS8 3NE  
Tel: 0117 974 3271

## Contents

1. Survey description and summary .....	1
2. Survey aims and objectives .....	1
3. Standards .....	2
4. Site description .....	2
5. Archaeological background.....	2
6. Results, discussion and conclusions .....	4
7. Disclaimer and copyright .....	7
8. Acknowledgements .....	7
9. Bibliography.....	7
Appendix 1 Figures .....	8
Appendix 2 Tables.....	23

## Figures

Figure 1: location map .....	9
Figure 2: survey interpretation, entire area .....	10
Figure 3: survey interpretation, Area 1 .....	11
Figure 4: survey interpretation, Area 2 .....	12
Figure 5: survey interpretation, Area 3 .....	13
Figure 6: survey interpretation, Area 4 .....	14
Figure 7: survey interpretation, Areas 5 and 6.....	15
Figure 8: shade plot of processed data, entire area .....	16
Figure 9: shade plot of processed data, Area 1 .....	17
Figure 10: shade plot of processed data, Area 2 .....	18
Figure 11: shade plot of processed data, Area 3 .....	19
Figure 12: shade plot of processed data, Area 4 .....	20
Figure 13: shade plot of processed data, Areas 5 and 6 .....	21
Figure 14: shade plot of unprocessed data .....	22

## Tables

Table 1: data analysis .....	24
Table 2: methodology summary .....	25
Table 3: processed data metadata .....	26

## Project archive

Report .....	Adobe PDF format
Copies of report figures .....	Adobe PDF format
Raw and processed grid & composite files.....	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
Minimal processing data plots and metadata.....	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
Final data processing data plots and metadata.....	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
GIS project, shape files and classification schema	
GIS project.....	Manifold 8 '.map' file
GIS shape files.....	ESRI standard
GIS classification schema.....	Adobe PDF format
AutoCAD version of the survey interpretation.....	AutoCAD DXF

*Website: [substrata.co.uk](http://substrata.co.uk)*

*For an overview of Substrata, our archaeological geophysical surveying techniques and the results we obtain.*

## 1 Survey description and summary

### 1.1 Survey

Type: twin-sensor fluxgate gradiometer  
Date: between 16 February and 21 April 2017  
Area: 29ha  
Lead surveyor: Mark Edwards BA  
Author: Ross Dean BSc MSc MA MifA

### 1.2 Clients

Stride Treglown, Promenade House, The Promenade, Clifton, Bristol BS8 3NE

### 1.3 Location

Site: White Rock 2, Paignton  
Unity Authority: Torbay  
County: Devon  
Nearest Postcode: TQ4 7SN  
NGR: SX 88143 57422 (point)  
NGR (E/N): 288143,057422 (point)

### 1.4 Archive

OASIS number: substrat1-284141  
Archive: At the time of writing, the archive of this survey will be held by Substrata. Depending on local authority policy, an archive of the unprocessed data may be deposited with the Archaeological Data Service

### 1.5 Introduction

This report presents the results of an archaeological magnetometer survey at the above site, hereafter referred to as the survey area. It has been prepared for Stride Treglown on behalf of clients. The survey area location is shown in Figure 1.

At the time of the survey, an area at the northern end of the survey was under a plantation of young trees. Their density and height was such that the magnetometer (gradiometer) survey could not be undertaken within this area (Figure 2).

### 1.6 Summary

*The magnetic responses across the survey area were sufficient to be able to differentiate between anomalies representing possible archaeological features and background magnetic responses.*

*Eighty-six magnetic anomaly groups were mapped as representing potential archaeological deposits or features. Of these, four groups represent former field boundaries mapped on historical maps. One group may represent a metalled track with flanking ditches. Two groups may represent physically adjacent ring ditches and a third group, in a separate field, may also represent a ring ditch although this is less certain. Six groups together may denote two physically adjacent archaeological enclosures. Two groups represent former quarries also recorded on historical maps. Two other anomaly groups may represent unrecorded former quarries.*

*The remaining anomaly groups are linear and curvilinear anomalies that often denote fragments of former field or enclosure boundaries of unknown date and possibly of more than one phase of past land management.*

## 2 Survey aims and objectives

### 2.1 Aims

To establish the presence or absence, extent and character of any archaeological features and

deposits within the survey area.

## 2.2 Survey objectives

1. Complete a magnetometer survey across agreed parts of the survey area.
2. Identify any magnetic anomalies that may be related to archaeological deposits, structures or artefacts.
3. Within the limits of the techniques and dataset, archaeologically characterise any such anomalies or patterns of anomalies.
4. Accurately record the location of the identified anomalies.
5. Produce a report based on the survey that is sufficiently detailed to inform any subsequent development on the survey area about the location and possible archaeological character of the recorded anomalies.

## 3 Standards

The standards used to complete this survey are defined by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014a) and Historic England (2010). The codes of approved practice that were followed are those of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) and Archaeology Data Service (undated).

## 4 Site description

### 4.1 Landscape and land use

The survey area, comprises 6 plots within agricultural fields to the east of Goodrington and the north of Galmpton, Paignton as shown in Figure 1. The application area covers an area of approximately 32.3 hectares out of which 29ha were subject to survey. At the time of survey it was surrounded by agricultural land to the north, west, south and southeast. The A3022 and housing estates lay on the eastern border. The land lies between approximately 60m and 80m AOD, descending north to south, on the eastern side of a shallow, north-north-east to south-south-west running valley.

### 4.2 Geology

In Areas 1 and 3 (Figure 2) the bedrock comprises mudstone and limestone of the Devonian Saltern Cove Formation. In Areas 2, 4, 5 and 6 the bedrock comprises limestone of the Devonian Brixham Limestone Formation. The superficial geology across the survey area is not recorded in the source used (British Geological Survey, undated).

## 5 Archaeological background

### 5.1 Historic landscape characterisation

Area 1:

‘Post-medieval enclosures’

Enclosures of post-medieval date. Fields laid out in the C18th and C19th commonly have many surveyed dead-straight field boundaries (Devon County Council, undated).

Areas 2 to 6: Barton fields

These relatively large, regular enclosures seem likely to have been laid out between C15th-C18th. Some curving boundaries may be following earlier divisions in the pre-existing medieval fields (Devon County Council, undated).

### 5.2 Summary of archaeological background

The following Historic Environment Records (HER) were examined via the Heritage Gateway (Historic England, undated) to gain an appreciation of historic assets within 500m of the survey area perimeter and deemed pertinent to the geophysical survey data.

This Section is not designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the historic environment of the application area and should not be used as a source for further work. The



reader is referred to the Devon Country Council Historic Environment Service for a comprehensive HER data set.

Within the proposed development area

No historic environment assets have previously been recorded within the proposed development area.

To the southwest of the site

Field names of Castle on the Stoke Gabriel Tithe Map of 1840 may indicate the presence of a castle, or fortified site within the vicinity (HER number MDV111607, NGR SX 879 568).

Possible ditches of potential prehistoric date are visible as parchmarks on aerial photographs taken in 1984. They are visible as a semi-circular ditch approximately 52m in length, with a possible corner of a rectilinear enclosure to the southeast which is roughly north to south and east to west aligned. The parchmarks, which were not visible on other aerial photographs made available to the survey, are, however, slightly dubious in nature and may be geological in origin (HER number 28893, NGR SX 879 568).

A Quarry is depicted and labelled to the south of Broadland Barn on the First and Second Edition 25 inch Ordnance Survey maps, and on the Ordnance Survey Master Map (HER number MDV45667, NGR SX 877 567).

To the west of the site

A small circular mark, possibly a prehistoric ring ditch, was recorded from the air 1984 lies in a level area but nothing is visible on the ground (HER number MDV36925, NGR SX 872 575).

## 6 Results, discussion and conclusions

### 6.1 Scope and definitions

This survey was designed to record magnetic anomalies. A magnetic anomaly is a local variation in the Earth's magnetic field. Such variations can result from changes in the magnetism of underlying solid geology, superficial geology and other near-surface deposits including those altered and created by past human activities. Near-surface artefacts can also create magnetic anomalies.

The terms 'archaeological deposit', 'structure' and 'feature' refer to any artefacts, material deposits or disturbance of natural deposits thought to be the result of human activity, excluding recent land maintenance and farming.

Magnetic anomalies cannot be regarded as physical archaeological deposits, structures or features and the dimensions of the anomalies shown do not represent the dimensions of any associated archaeology.

The analysis presented below identifies and characterises anomalies and anomaly groups that may relate to archaeological deposits, structures and features.

The reader is referred to section 7.

### 6.2 Results

Figure 2 shows the interpretation of the survey data which includes the anomaly groups identified as possibly relating to archaeological deposits. Figures 3 to 7 show the same interpretation at a higher resolution and include the anomalies identifying numbers. Table 1 is an extract of the detailed analysis of the survey data sourced from the attribute tables of the GIS project provided in the project archive.

Figures 2 to 7 and Table 1 comprise the analysis of the survey data.

Figures 8 to 13 are plots of processed data as specified in Table 3 and correspond to Figures 2 to 7. Figure 14 is a plot of unprocessed data with its metadata.

### 6.3 Discussion

#### 6.3.1 General points

##### Discussion scope

Not all anomalies or anomaly groups identified in Table 1 are necessarily discussed below. All identified anomaly groups are recorded in the GIS project held the survey archive.

##### Data collection

Data collection along the survey area edges and within the survey area was restricted as shown in the figures due to the presence of magnetic materials. Un-mapped strong magnetic responses shown in Figures 8 to 13 are likely to relate to these materials except where otherwise indicated in Figures 2 to 7 and Table 1.

##### Anomaly characterisation and mapping

There are a number of anomaly groups that could be interpreted as relating to large postholes or pits although most will have natural origins. Anomalies of this sort were mapped as potential archaeology when they were associated with other significant anomaly groups or otherwise formed recognisable patterns as listed in Table 1.

Anomalies thought to relate to recent disturbance such as ploughing, natural features and recent man-made objects such as manholes, water management equipment, drains, cables and other services were only mapped where they comprised significant magnetic responses across the dataset that needed clarification.

Numerous dipole magnetic anomalies are scattered across the data set. These are likely to represent recent ferrous objects. They are only mapped if they could influence the analysis of anomaly groups thought to have an archaeological origin.

### 6.3.2 Data relating to historic maps and other records

Magnetic anomaly groups **1** (Area 1, Figure 3), **42** and possibly **43** (Area 2, Figure 4), and **78** (Area 4, Figure 6) coincide with and likely represent field boundaries recorded on historic maps as denoted in Table 1. Anomaly groups **80** (Area 2, Figure 4) and **81** (Area 4, Figure 6) are likely to represent former quarries also recorded on historic maps as recorded in Table 1.

### 6.3.3 Data with no previous archaeological provenance

#### Area 1 (Figure 3)

Anomaly group **6** may represent a former ditched trackway with a metallised surface.

The remaining mapped anomaly groups in Area 1 are most likely to represent fragments of former field and enclosure boundaries of more than one phase of past land management.

#### Area 2 (Figure 4)

Group **79** is most likely to represent the fill of a former, unmapped quarry.

The remaining mapped anomaly groups are most likely to represent fragments of former field and enclosure boundaries of more than one phase of past land management.

#### Area 3 (Figure 5)

Group **47** is situated in a group of similar anomaly groups which may represent natural deposits such as sink holes. This group is mapped because of its regular shape but may be a natural deposit.

Anomaly groups **54**, **56** and **58** could be viewed as representing a disrupted semi-circular feature but are more likely to represent separate deposits as shown.

Group **59** is most likely to represent an archaeological deposit such as a ring ditch. Group **60** may represent an adjacent, larger ring ditch, possibly with a pit (group **61**). Aerial photographic evidence has been recorded for a similar feature to the west of the survey area boundary (HER number MDV36925 discussed in Section 5.2).

Group **63** may represent an archaeological deposit but more likely represents a palaeochannel or, possibly, dumped material.

The remaining mapped anomaly groups are most likely to represent fragments of former field and enclosure boundaries of more than one phase of past land management.

#### Area 4 (Figure 6)

Groups **71 to 76** are distinct in the data set and are most likely to represent two former archaeological enclosures of unknown historic relationship to each other and not recorded on historic maps.

Groups **69** and **70** are most likely to represent fragments of former field and enclosure boundaries of more than one phase of past land management.

Group **77** is most likely to represent an area of rubble, possibly associated with the adjacent pond and/or a former quarry pit.

#### Area 5 (Figure 7)

Anomaly group **83** may represent a sub-circular archaeological feature such as a ring ditch but its form is not regular which may indicate a ring ditch damaged by ploughing, coincidentally positioned natural deposits and/or ploughing disturbance.

The remaining mapped anomaly groups are most likely to represent fragments of former field and enclosure boundaries of more than one phase of past land management.

#### Area 6 (Figure 7)

Group **85** probably represents a former field boundary not recorded on historical maps. If this is so, then group **86** may represent associated ground disturbance or rubble.

### 6.4 Conclusions

The magnetic responses across the survey area were sufficient to be able to differentiate between anomalies representing possible archaeological features and background magnetic responses.

Eighty-six magnetic anomaly groups were mapped as representing potential archaeological deposits or features. Of these, four groups (1, 42, 43 and 78) represent former field boundaries mapped on historical maps. One group (6) may represent a metalled track with flanking ditches. Two groups (59 and 60) may represent physically adjacent ring ditches and a third group (83), in a separate field, may also represent a ring ditch although this is less certain. Six groups together (71 to 76) may denote two physically adjacent archaeological enclosures. Two groups (80 and 81) represent former quarries also recorded on historical maps. Two other anomaly groups (77 and 79) may represent unrecorded former quarries.

The remaining anomaly groups are linear and curvilinear anomalies that often denote fragments of former field or enclosure boundaries of unknown date and possibly of more than one phase of past land management.

## 7 Disclaimer and copyright

The description and discussion of the results presented in this report are the authors, based on his interpretation of the survey data. Every effort has been made to provide accurate descriptions and interpretations of the geophysical data set. The nature of archaeological geophysical surveying is such that interpretations based on geophysical data, while informative, can only be provisional. Geophysical surveys are a cost-effective early step in the multi-phase process that is archaeology. The evaluation programme of which this survey is part may also be informed by other archaeological assessment work and analysis. It must be presumed that more archaeological features will be evaluated than those specified in this report.

Substrata Ltd will assign copyright to the client upon written request but retains the right to be identified as the author of all project documentation and reports as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (Chapter IV, s.79). This report contains material that is non-Substrata Limited copyright or the intellectual property of third parties. Such material is labelled with the appropriate copyright and is non-transferrable by Substrata Ltd.

## 8 Acknowledgements

Substrata would like to thank Mike Harris of Stride Treglown for commissioning us to complete this survey and Stuart Butson of Deely Freed for providing project management during the survey.

## 9 Bibliography

Archaeology Data Service (undated) *Archaeology Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice: Geophysical Data in Archaeology*. [Online], Available: [http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/Geophysics\\_Toc](http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/Geophysics_Toc) [March 2017]

British Geological Survey (undated) *Geology of Britain viewer, 1:50000 scale data*. [Online], Available: <http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discovering-Geology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html> [May 2017]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014a) *Standard and guidance archaeological geophysical survey*. Reading: Author [Online], Available: [http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics\\_1.pdf](http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics_1.pdf) [March 2017]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) *Code of conduct*. Reading: Author [Online], <http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CodesofConduct.pdf> [March 2017]

Clark, A. (2000) *Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting methods in archaeology*. London: Routledge

Dean, R. (2017) *A survey method statement for a detailed magnetometer survey over land at White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon*. Substrata Ltd unpublished document 1704WHI-M-1

Devon County Council (undated), *Historic environment map* [Online], <https://new.devon.gov.uk/historicenvironment/> [May 2017]

Historic England (undated) *Heritage Gateway* [Online], <http://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/Gateway/> [May 2017]

Historic England (2010) *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*. [Online], Available: <https://content.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/geophysical-survey-in-archaeological-field-evaluation/geophysics-guidelines.pdf/> [February 2017]


## Appendix 1     Figures

### General Guidance

The anomalies represented in the survey plots provided in this appendix are magnetic anomalies. The apparent size of such anomalies and anomaly patterns are unlikely to correspond exactly with the dimensions of any associated archaeological features (see Section 6.1).

A rough rule for interpreting magnetic anomalies is that the width of an anomaly at half its maximum reading is equal to the width of the buried feature, or its depth if this is greater (Clark, 2000: 83). Caution must be applied when using this rule as it depends on the anomalies being clearly identifiable and distinct from adjacent anomalies. In northern latitudes the position of the maximum of a magnetic anomaly will be displaced slightly to the south of any associated physical feature.





British Grid  
 centre X: 288271.64 m, centre Y: 57362.20 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432


Scale: 1:10000 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 1: location map

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
 centre X: 288250.54 m, centre Y: 57441.83 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:3500 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

Notes:


1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
4. Not all instances are mapped.
5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 2: survey interpretation, entire area

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





- survey limits
- magnetometer survey area
- Potential archaeology**
- certainty, anomaly type (2)*
- likely, positive (3)
- likely, negative (3)
- likely, enhanced (3)
- possible, positive
- possible, negative
- possible, positive spread
- possible, negative spread
- possible, enhanced
- Potential services & modern**
- certainty, anomaly type (2)*
- possible dipole (4)
- possible, high contrast linear
- possible, low contrast linear
- possible, regular narrow linears (4)

British Grid  
 centre X: 288067.18 m, centre Y: 57714.55 m

Notes:

1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
4. Representative; not all instances are mapped.
5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.


Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 3: survey interpretation, Area 1

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk



British Grid  
 centre X: 288100.52 m, centre Y: 57517.24 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:1300 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing


Notes:

1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
4. Not all instances are mapped.
5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 4: survey interpretation, Area 2

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk



survey limits  
 magnetometer survey area  
**Potential archaeology**  
*certainty, anomaly type (2)*  
 likely, positive (3)  
 likely, negative (3)  
 likely, enhanced (3)  
 possible, positive  
 possible, negative  
 possible, positive spread  
 possible, negative spread  
 possible, enhanced  
**Potential services & modern**  
*certainty, anomaly type (2)*  
 possible dipole (4)  
 possible, high contrast linear  
 possible, low contrast linear  
 possible, regular narrow linears (4)

British Grid  
 centre X: 288317.90 m, centre Y: 57473.90 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:1300 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing


Notes:

1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
4. Not all instances are mapped.
5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 5: survey interpretation, Area 3

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk



British Grid  
 centre X: 288003.84 m, centre Y: 57256.50 m

Scale: 1:1000 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432


- Notes:
1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
  2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
  3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
  4. Representative; not all instances are mapped.
  5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 6: survey interpretation, Area 4

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
centre X: 288269.22 m, centre Y: 57171.03 m


Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

Notes:

1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
4. Not all instances are mapped.
5. Anomalies likely to represent geological or other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential archaeological events or deposits.





British Grid  
 centre X: 288250.54 m, centre Y: 57441.83 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:3500 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 8: shade plot of processed data, entire area

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





survey limits  
 Processed magnetometer data (nT)  
 2  
 -2

British Grid  
 centre X: 288067.18 m, centre Y: 57714.55 m

Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing


Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 9: shade plot of processed data, Area 1

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
 centre X: 288100.52 m, centre Y: 57517.24 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432


Scale: 1:1300 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 10: shade plot of processed data, Area 2

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
 centre X: 288317.90 m, centre Y: 57473.90 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432


Scale: 1:1300 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 11: shade plot of processed data, Area 3

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
 centre X: 288003.84 m, centre Y: 57256.50 m

Scale: 1:1000 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing


Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 12: shade plot of processed data, Area 4

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





British Grid  
 centre X: 288269.22 m, centre Y: 57171.03 m

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited.  
 Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017.  
 All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432




Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

Figure 13: shade plot of processed data, Areas 5 and 6

Substrata Limited  
 Langstrath, Goodleigh  
 Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ  
 Tel: 01271 342721  
 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk  
 Web: substrata.co.uk





Instrument Type: Bartington Grad 601  
 Units: nT  
 Direction of 1st Traverse: 0 deg  
 Collection Method: ZigZag  
 Sensors: 2 @ 0.00 m spacing  
 Dummy Value: 32702  
 Grid Size: 30 m x 30 m  
 X Interval: 0.25 m  
 Y Interval: 1 m

Stats  
 Max: 3000.00  
 Min: -3000.00  
 Std Dev: 81.07  
 Mean: 0.31  
 Median: 0.20

PROGRAM  
 Name: TerraSurveyor  
 Version: 3.0.31.0




Figure 14: shade plot of unprocessed data

## Appendix 2 Tables

Site: An archaeological magnetometer survey  
 White Rock 2, Paignton, Devon  
 NGR 288143,057422  
 Report: 1704WHI-R-1

area number	anomaly group	associated anomalies	anomaly characterisation certainty & class	anomaly form	additional archaeological characterisation	comments	supporting evidence	
1	1		likely, positive	disrupted curvilinear	field boundary	anomaly group coincides with a former field boundary recorded on historical maps	Ordnance survey 1862-1890 1:10560 to 1968-81 1:10560	
	2		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	3		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	4		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	5		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	6		possible, positive/negative spread	disrupted linear	ditch-flanked, metalled roadway			
	7		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	8		possible, positive	linear				
	9		possible, positive	linear	ditch-flanked track			anomaly group is on a similar alignment to a former track mapped on historical maps to the south between the northern parts of areas 2 and 3
	10		possible, positive	disrupted linear	ditch-flanked track			
	11		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	12		possible, positive	broad linear	archaeological or natural deposits			anomaly groups may represent a disrupted archaeological deposit such as a former ditch or natural deposits
	13		possible, positive	curvilinear group	archaeological or natural deposits			
	14		possible, positive	linear				
	15		possible, positive	oval	archaeological or natural deposits			
	16		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	17		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	18		possible, positive	linear				
	19		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	20		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	21		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	22		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	23		possible, positive	linear				
	24	30?	possible, negative	disrupted curvilinear				
	25		possible, positive	linear				
	26		possible, positive spread	linear				anomaly group may represent a spread of archaeological material such as a substantial ditch disrupted by later ploughing
	27		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	28		possible, positive	linear				
	29		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
1 & 2	30	24?	possible, positive	disrupted double linear	ditched track, parallel field boundaries or modern service trench			
	101		possible, regular narrow linears	field drain				
2	31		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	32		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	33		possible, positive	disrupted double linear				
	34		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	35		possible, positive	disrupted curvilinear				
	36		possible, positive	curvilinear				
	37		possible, positive	linear				
	38		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	39		possible, positive	linear				
	40		possible, positive	linear				
	41		possible, positive	linear				
	42	43	possible, positive	linear	field boundary - possible Devon bank			anomaly group either represents part of a field boundary recorded on historical maps or recent ploughing disturbance
	43	42	likely, positive/negative	linear	field boundary - possible Devon bank			
	44		possible, positive	disrupted curvilinear				anomaly group coincides with a former field boundary recorded on historical maps
	45		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	102		possible, dipole		ferrous material			
	103		possible, low contrast linear		service trench			
	104		possible, regular narrow linears		field drain			
	79		possible, enhanced	irregular	quarry or quarry material			
	80		likely, enhanced	broad linear	quarry			anomaly group coincides with a quarry recorded on historic maps
	46		possible, positive	linear				
47		possible, positive	oval	pit or natural deposit	anomaly group is within an extended group of similar anomalies which probably represent natural deposits but this one has a regular shape and so may have an archaeological origin			
48		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
49		possible, positive	linear					
50		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
51		possible, positive						
52		possible, positive	linear					
53		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
54		possible, positive	linear					
55		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
56		possible, positive						
57		possible, positive	linear					
58		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
59		possible, positive	subcircular	ring ditch	anomaly group may represent a ring ditch or similar feature but this is not certain			
60		possible, positive	subcircular	ring ditch				
61		possible, positive	oval	pit				
62		possible, positive	linear					
63		possible, positive spread	disrupted curvilinear	archaeological or natural deposits such as a palaeochannel				
64		possible, positive	linear					
65		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
66		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
67		possible, positive	linear					
68		possible, positive	disrupted linear					
105		possible, low contrast linear		service trench				
106		possible, high contrast linear		ferrous drain, pipe or cable				
107		possible, high contrast linear		ferrous drain, pipe or cable				
4	69		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	70		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	71		possible, positive	disrupted curvilinear				
	72		possible, positive	linear				
	73		possible, positive	linear				
	74		possible, positive	disrupted return				
	75		possible, positive	disrupted linear				
	76		possible, positive	linear				
	77		possible, enhanced	irregular	rubble or near-surface bedrock - quarry?			
	78		likely, positive/negative/positive	disrupted linear	field boundary - Devon bank			anomaly group coincides with a former field boundary recorded on historical maps as complete in 1839, partial in 1862 and later
	109		possible, dipole		ferrous material			
	108		possible, low contrast linear		service trench			
	108		possible, low contrast linear		service trench			
	81		likely, enhanced	broad linear	quarry			anomaly group coincides with a quarry recorded on historic maps
82		possible, positive	disrupted linear		1839 Churston Ferriers tithe map			
83		possible, positive	sub-circular	ring ditch or similar?		anomaly group may represent an archaeological deposit but the shape is not clear cut		
84		possible, positive	linear					
110		possible, low contrast linear		service trench				
6	85	86	possible, positive/negative	linear	Devon bank field boundary?			
	86	85	possible, positive spread	broad linear	rubble spread - Devon bank field boundary?			

Table 1: data analysis

<p><b>Documents</b> Survey methodology statement: Dean (2017)</p>	
<p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The work was undertaken in accordance with the survey methodology statement. The geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken with reference to standard guidance provided by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014) and Archaeology Data Service (undated).</li> <li>2. The survey grid location information and grid plan was recorded as part of the project in a suitable GIS system.</li> <li>3. Data processing was undertaken using appropriate software, with all anomalies being digitised and geo-referenced. The final report included a graphical and textual account of the techniques undertaken, the data obtained and an archaeological interpretation of that data and conclusions about any likely archaeology.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Grid</b>  <i>Method of Fixing:</i> DGPS set-out using pre-planned survey grids and Ordnance Survey coordinates.  <i>Composition:</i> 30m by 30m grids  <i>Recording:</i> Geo-referenced and recorded using digital map tiles.  <i>DGPS used:</i> Spectra Precision PM5V2 GPS with external antenna and survey pole and DigiTerra Explorer 7 as the survey control program.</p>	
<p><b>Equipment</b>  <i>Instrument:</i> Bartington Instruments grad601-2  <i>Firmware:</i> version 6.1</p>	<p><b>Data Capture</b>  <i>Sample Interval:</i> 0.25m  <i>Traverse Interval:</i> 1 metre  <i>Traverse Method:</i> zigzag  <i>Traverse Orientation:</i> GN</p>
<p><b>Data Processing, Analysis and Presentation Software</b>  IntelliCAD Technology Consortium IntelliCAD 8.0  DW Consulting TerraSurveyor3  Manifold System 8 GIS  Microsoft Corp. Office Excel 2013  Microsoft Corp. Office Publisher 2013  Adobe Systems Inc Adobe Acrobat 9 Pro Extended</p>	

Table 2: methodology summary

<b>SITE</b> Instrument Type: Bartington Grad-601 gradiometer Units: nT Direction of 1st Traverse: see below Collection Method: ZigZag Sensors: 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. Dummy Value: 32702	
<b>PROGRAM</b> Name: TerraSurveyor Version: 3.0.31.0	
<b>Stats</b> Max: 21.02 Min: -20.76 Std Dev: 2.91 Mean: 0.13 Median: 0.01	<b>Processes: 43</b> 1 Base Layer 2 Clip at 1.00 SD 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 4 DeStripe Median Traverse: Grids: All 5 Range Match (Area: Top 120, Left 2760, Bottom 149, Right 2879) to Top edge 6 Range Match (Area: Top 150, Left 2760, Bottom 179, Right 2879) to Left edge 7 Range Match (Area: Top 150, Left 2880, Bottom 179, Right 2999) to Left edge 8 Range Match (Area: Top 120, Left 2880, Bottom 149, Right 2999) to Left edge 9 Range Match (Area: Top 210, Left 2880, Bottom 239, Right 2999) to Bottom edge 10 Range Match (Area: Top 210, Left 3000, Bottom 239, Right 3119) to Top edge 11 De Stagger: Grids: c36.xgd d7.xgd d20.xgd d27.xgd e4.xgd f8.xgd d1.xgd d6.xgd d21.xgd d26.xgd e5.xgd f7.xgd d2.xgd d5.xgd d22.xgd d25.xgd f1.xgd f6.xgd g9+d3.xgd d4+g23.xgd d23+g24.xgd d24.xgd f2.xgd a1+f5.xgd Mode: Both By: 3 intervals 12 De Stagger: Grids: g12.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 13 Range Match (Area: Top 210, Left 3000, Bottom 239, Right 3119) to Top edge 14 De Stagger: Grids: f31.xgd f34.xgd f32.xgd f33.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 15 De Stagger: Grids: f32.xgd f33.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 16 De Stagger: Grids: g5.xgd Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 17 De Stagger: Grids: g15.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 18 De Stagger: Grids: h10.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 19 De Stagger: Grids: h9.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 20 De Stagger: Grids: i5.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 21 De Stagger: Grids: m13+c21.xgd n16+c30.xgd c31.xgd d12.xgd d15.xgd c22.xgd c29.xgd c32.xgd d11.xgd d16.xgd c23.xgd c28.xgd c33.xgd d10.xgd d17.xgd c24.xgd c27.xgd c34.xgd d9.xgd d18.xgd c26.xgd c35.xgd d8.xgd d19.xgd c25.xgd c36.xgd d7.xgd d20.xgd d1.xgd d6.xgd d21.xgd d2.xgd d5.xgd d22.xgd g9+d3.xgd d4+g23.xgd d23+g24.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 22 De Stagger: Grids: c27.xgd c34.xgd d9.xgd c26.xgd c35.xgd d8.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 23 De Stagger: Grids: d20.xgd d27.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 24 De Stagger: Grids: d36.xgd e1.xgd e2.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 25 De Stagger: Grids: e3.xgd e4.xgd e5.xgd f1.xgd f2.xgd Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 26 De Stagger: Grids: e4.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 27 De Stagger: Grids: f13.xgd f12.xgd f11.xgd f10.xgd f9.xgd f8.xgd f7.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 28 De Stagger: Grids: f18.xgd Mode: Both By: 3 intervals 29 De Stagger: Grids: a9.xgd a20.xgd a10.xgd a19.xgd a11.xgd a18.xgd a12.xgd a17.xgd a13.xgd a16.xgd a14+i11.xgd a15+i12.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 30 De Stagger: Grids: f30+b3-a.xgd b2-a.xgd b1.xgd a21.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 31 De Stagger: Grids: a16.xgd a15+i12.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 32 De Stagger: Grids: c3.xgd c9.xgd c17.xgd c4.xgd c8.xgd c18.xgd c5.xgd c7.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 33 De Stagger: Grids: c1.xgd c2.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 34 De Stagger: Grids: c8.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 35 De Stagger: Grids: f8.xgd f21.xgd f7.xgd a6+f22.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 36 De Stagger: Grids: n21.xgd n20.xgd n19.xgd n18.xgd n17.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 37 De Stagger: Grids: n22.xgd Mode: Both By: 1 intervals 38 De Stagger: Grids: o3.xgd k4+o12.xgd o4.xgd o11+k5.xgd o5.xgd o10.xgd o6.xgd o9.xgd d33+o7.xgd o8+d34.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 39 De Stagger: Grids: k4+o12.xgd o11+k5.xgd o10.xgd o9.xgd o8+d34.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 40 De Stagger: Grids: k17.xgd k18.xgd k19.xgd Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 41 De Stagger: Grids: m5.xgd m6.xgd n1.xgd m7.xgd n2.xgd n9.xgd n3.xgd n8.xgd n4.xgd n7.xgd n5.xgd n6.xgd Mode: Both By: 2 intervals 42 Interpolate: Match X & Y Doubled. 43 Clip at 5.00 SD

Table 3: processed data metadata