

An archaeological magnetometer and resistance survey

Land at Saltram House, Plympton Plymouth, Devon

Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348

Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Ross Dean BSc MSc MA MCIfA

19 May 2017

Substrata Limited Office 1, 5 Mill Street Bideford, Devon EX39 2JT Tel: 01273 273599 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk Client National Trust Saltram Plympton, Plymouth Devon PL7 1UH

Contents

 Survey aims and objectives	. 1
 Standards	.2
4. Site description	.2
	.2
5. Archaeological background	.3
6. Results, discussion and conclusions	.4
7. Disclaimer and copyright	.7
8. Acknowledgements	.7
9. Bibliography	.7
Appendix 1 Figures	. 8
Appendix 2 Tables	31

Figures

Figure 1: location map	9
Figure 2: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, archaeology overview	. 10
Figure 3: magnetometer survey interpretation, Mansion lawns	. 11
Figure 4: resistance survey interpretation, Mansion lawns	. 12
Figure 5: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, Mansion lawns	. 13
Figure 6: magnetometer survey interpretation, Pond area	. 14
Figure 7: resistance survey interpretation, Pond area	. 15
Figure 8: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, Pond area	. 16
Figure 9: shade of processed magnetometer data, overview	. 17
Figure 10: shade of processed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns	. 18
Figure 11: contour of processed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns	. 19
Figure 12: shade of processed resistance data, Mansion lawns	. 20
Figure 13: contour of processed high pass filtered resistance data, Mansion lawns	. 21
Figure 14: shade of processed magnetometer data, Pond area	22
Figure 15: contour of processed magnetometer data, Pond area	23
Figure 16: shade of processed resistance data, Pond area	24
Figure 17: contour of processed high pass filtered resistance data, Pond area	. 25
Figure 18: shade plot of unprocessed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns	26
Figure 19: shade plot of unprocessed magnetometer data, Pond area	27
Figure 20: shade plot of unprocessed resistance data, Mansion lawns	28
Figure 21: shade plot of unprocessed resistance data, Pond area west	29
Figure 22: shade plot of unprocessed resistance data, Pond area east	. 30

Tables

Table 1: data analysis	32
Table 2: methodology summary	33
Table 3: magnetometer survey - processed data metadata	34
Table 4: resistance survey - processed data metadata	
Table 4. resistance survey - processed data metadata	

Project archive

Report	Adobe PDF format
Copies of report figures	Adobe PDF format
Raw and processed grid & composite files	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
Minimal processing data plots and metadata	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
Final data processing data plots and metadata	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 formats
GIS project, shape files and classification schema	
GIS project	Manifold 8 '.map' file
GIS shape files	ESRI standard
GIS classification schema	Adobe PDF format
AutoCAD version of the survey interpretation	AutoCAD DXF

Website: substrata.co.uk

For an overview of Substrata, our archaeological geophysical surveying techniques and the results we obtain.

1 Survey description and summary

Type:	magnetometer survey: twin-sensor fluxgate gradiometer
	resistance survey: twin-probe
Dates:	13 March 2017 to 20 March 2017
Area:	Mansion lawns magnetometer survey: 0.4ha
	Mansion lawns resistance survey: 0.4ha
	Pond area magnetometer: 2.4ha
	Pond area resistance survey west: 0.3ha
	Pond area resistance survey east: 0.35ha
Lead surveyor:	Mark Edwards BA
Author:	Ross Dean BSc MSc MA MIfA

1.2 Client

- - - -

National Trust, Saltram, Plympton, Plymouth, Devon PL7 1UH

1.3	Site information	
	Site:	Land at Saltram House
	District:	City of Plymouth
	County:	Devon
	NGR:	SX 521 556 and SX 521 553 (points)
	NGR E/N:	252071,55573 and 252070,55348 (points)
	Post code:	PL7 1UH
	Site HER entries:	NT HBSMR Numbers: 104000, 100813, 100816

1.4 Archive

OASIS number: Archive: Substrat1-285335 At the time of writing, the archive of this survey will be held by Substrata. Depending on local authority policy, an archive of the unprocessed data may be deposited with the Archaeological Data Service

1.5 Introduction

This report was commissioned by the National Trust, Saltram as part of a wider research project at Saltram. Two areas within the vicinity of the main house and stable block were identified as in need of a geophysical survey to develop the understanding of the history of the site, encourage public involvement and develop new interpretations, thereby enabling informed management and increased enjoyment and understanding of its environmental, historic, cultural and natural features.

The two sites for geophysical survey were:

Lawns to the east and south of the mansion. It is thought that the approach to the earlier sixteenth to seventeenth century house would have been within this area. Archaeological observation of a service trench excavated through the east lawn area in 2005 recorded the remains of stone walls (one 2m wide) and a culvert which it was thought may have been part of a gatehouse or gatehouse range, associated with that earlier house.

An area of gently sloping parkland with a pond at its western extent. This area was selected on the basis that there are a number of subtle earthworks visible which appear to relate to the pond and may be indicative of pre-seventeenth century activity on the estate. There is a need to understand the site to its fullest and inform ongoing management.

1.6 Summary

Both the magnetic and resistance responses were sufficient to be able to differentiate anomalies representing possible archaeological features.

Fourteen magnetic anomaly groups and twenty resistance anomaly groups were identified as possibly representing archaeological deposits or features. The magnetic survey on the

Mansion lawns was compromised by the presence of iron or steel services and iron lawn edgings. The resistance survey, however, suggests the presence of linear features on both lawns which may indicate archaeological deposits although some may relate to relatively recent service trenches. The majority of the magnetic and resistance anomaly groups recorded on the western side Pond area are likely to relate to informal tracks although one may indicate a former ditch or leat and another a ditch. Ploughing disturbance, possibly from ridge-andfurrow ploughing, was also recorded in the same area. The combination of tracks and ploughing may be responsible for slight, extant earthworks noted in previous work. A curvilinear feature is indicated in both the magnetic and resistance datasets to the east of the pond which may be natural but could relate to a former wall or drainage feature. Two relatively high resistance features were recorded within the Pond area. These indicate the presence of two stony or concrete deposits. Speculatively, they may indicate the presence of capped wells or platforms associated with the former World War 2 military camp at Saltram. A large area of rubble recorded in the magnetic survey of the Pond area is likely to denote an area of hardstanding associated with the army camp.

2 Survey aims and objectives

2.1 Aims

The site investigations proposed within this document are concordant with a Level 3 archaeological assessment, with the overarching aim being to provide 'an enhanced and integrated, multidisciplinary record of an archaeological field monument or landscape, resulting from the process of field investigation'. The results from the geophysical survey will be used to aid and inform future targeted evaluation, management and interpretation of the site.

The aims of the targeted geophysical survey within the study areas are to:

- Identify and accurately record the location of any anomalies that may be related to archaeological deposits, structures or artefacts known to exist within the survey areas;
- Within the limits of the techniques and dataset, archaeologically characterise any such anomalies or patterns of anomalies; and,
- Produce a summary with interpretation based on the survey that is sufficiently detailed to inform any subsequent archaeological investigation about the location and possible archaeological character of the recorded anomalies.

3 Standards

The standards used to complete this survey are defined by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014a) and Historic England (2010). The codes of approved practice that were followed are those of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) and Archaeology Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides (undated).

4 Site description

4.1 Landscape and land use

The Mansion lawns within the survey area lies to the east and south of the mansion house at approximately 25m AOD as shown in Figure 3. The two lawns are separated by a gravel drive which is bordered by an iron lawn containment edge a few centimetres high. The southern edge of the southern lawn is defined by a Ha Ha.

The pond area comprises two pasture fields in an east-to-west sloping valley descending from approximately 30m to 15m AOD within the survey area. The pond lies at the western end of the survey area as shown in Figure 6.

4.2 Geology

The geology across the survey area and surrounds comprises slate of the Upper Devonian Slates. The superficial geology was not recorded in the source used (British Geological Society undated).

5 Archaeological background

- 5.1 Historic landscape characterisation
 - Park/garden.

A park planted with ornamental trees or a garden round a house (Devon County Council, undated).

5.2 Archaeological background

The National Trust Historic Buildings, Sites and Monuments Record (NT HBSMR) was examined via the Heritage Gateway (Historic England, undated) to gain an appreciation of historic assets pertinent to the geophysical survey data within 500m of the survey area perimeter.

This Section is not designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the historic environment of the surrounding area and should not be used as a source for further work.

Saltram House (NT HBSMR number 104000, NGR SX 520 555) is a Grade I listed middle to late 18th century house. It is a remodelling of a 16th to 17th century building built round a small central courtyard. The remains of the earlier house are visible in the yard. The present mansion of Saltram is built round the inner quadrangle of the old house. The quadrangle is a complete small rectangular court, still cobble-paved, though considerably altered at the west and east. At the south-east is a square stair-tower of four stages. On the opposite side of the court rises a double chimney-stack. Within this part of the house are a doorway or two of the earlier period.

The southern boundary of the Mansion lawns survey area is a Ha Ha (NT HBSMR number 100813, NGR SX 520 555) which is thought to be Modern rather than Post-medieval in date.

The intact pond in the southern survey area is shown on an Ordnance Survey surveyors draft of 1784. The date of construction is unknown (NT HBSMR number 100816, NGR SX 520 553).

An area of rubble recorded in the survey (Section 6) coincides with an area of hard-standing shown on an aerial photograph taken in 1946 which may be associated with an United States army camp situated at Saltram during the Second World War (Teasdale, 2017).

6 Results, discussion and conclusions

6.1 Scope and definitions

This survey was designed to record magnetic and resistance anomalies.

A magnetic anomaly is a local variation in the Earth's magnetic field. Such variations can result from differences in the chemistry or magnetism of underlying solid geology, superficial geology and other near-surface deposits including those altered and created by past human activities. Near-surface artefacts can also create magnetic anomalies.

A resistance anomaly is a local variation in the electrical resistance of a soil and is related to its porosity, permeability, saturation, and chemical nature of entrapped fluids (Heimmer and De Vore, 1995:30), all of which can be altered by past human activities.

The terms 'archaeological deposit', 'structure' and 'feature' refer to any artefacts, material deposits or disturbance of natural deposits thought to be the result of human activity, excluding recent land maintenance and farming.

Magnetic and resistance anomalies cannot be regarded as physical archaeological deposits, structures or features and the dimensions of the anomalies shown do not represent the dimensions of any associated archaeology. They can be, however, indicative of archaeological deposits, structures, features or past human activity.

The analysis presented below identifies and characterises anomalies and anomaly groups that may relate to archaeological deposits, structures, features and past human activity.

The reader is referred to section 7.

6.2 Results

Figure 2 shows an overview of the interpretation of the magnetometer (gradiometer) and resistance survey data sets for anomalies relating to archaeology only. It is designed to be a quick guide as to the location and density of potential archaeological deposits.

Figures 3 to 8 are plots of the survey interpretation in greater detail and include the identifiers of the mapped anomaly groups of all types. Table 1 is an extract of the detailed analysis of the survey data sourced from the attribute tables of the GIS project provided in the project archive.

Figures 3 to 8 and Table 1 comprise the analysis of the survey data.

Various plots of the processed data as specified in Tables 3 and 4 are provided in Figures 9 to 19.

Figures 20 to 22 are plots of the unprocessed magnetometer and resistance data.

6.3 Discussion

6.3.1 General points

Discussion scope

Not all anomalies or anomaly groups identified in Table 1 are necessarily discussed below. All identified anomaly groups are recorded in the GIS project held the survey archive.

Data collection

Data collection during the magnetometer survey was restricted as shown in the figures due to the presence of magnetic materials in adjacent fences and other objects, including iron lawn edging around the Mansion house drive. Strong magnetic responses mapped close to survey boundaries and elsewhere are likely to relate to these materials except where otherwise indicated in the interpretation figures.

Anomaly characterisation and mapping

There are a number of anomaly groups that could be interpreted as relating to large postholes or pits although most will have natural origins. Anomalies of this sort are only mapped as potential archaeology if they are clustered in groups or otherwise form recognisable patterns.

Anomalies thought to relate to natural features and recent man-made objects such as manholes, water management equipment, drains, cables and other services were only mapped where they comprised significant magnetic responses across the dataset that needed clarification.

Numerous dipole magnetic anomalies are scattered across the magnetometer data set. These are likely to represent recent ferrous objects. They are only mapped if they could influence the analysis of anomaly groups thought to have an archaeological origin.

6.3.2 Mansion lawns surveys

It is thought that the approach to the earlier sixteenth to seventeenth century house would have been within this area. Archaeological observation of a service trench excavated through the east lawn area in 2005 recorded the remains of stone walls (one 2m wide) and a culvert which it was thought may have been part of a gatehouse or gatehouse range, associated with that earlier house (National Trust South West Region (Cornwall), 2017).

Referring to Figures 4 and 12, resistance anomaly group **r2** is most likely to relate to a filled ditch whilst groups **r1** and **r5**, which may be related to **r3**, are more likely to relate to stony linear deposits. Magnetic anomaly groups **g2**, **g1** and possibly **g3** (Figure 3) coincide with r2, r1 and r3 respectively (Figure 5) and are likely to relate to the same ground disturbance albeit at shallower depths. These anomaly groups, and the resistance groups **r4 to r8**, also imply ground disturbance and linear deposits with a similar trend with the lower resistance anomalies probably relating to earthen-filled features and the higher resistance anomalies to relatively stony deposits. It is not possible to discern whether they relate to historical features or recent services. The slight, apparent return on group r5 may be significant as such a right angle is less likely in a service trench.

On the southern lawn (Figure 4), groups r9 and r11 relate to higher resistance, probably relatively stony deposits which could be either gravel-filled service trenches or wall footings whilst group r10 is more likely to represent a filled ditch or earth-filled service trench. Unfortunately, the magnetic response in this area of lawn was dominated by iron or steel services and so no analysis of the magnetic data for archaeological deposits was possible (Figures 10 and 11).

6.3.3 Pond area surveys

This was selected for geophysical survey on the basis that there are a number of subtle earthworks visible which appear to relate to the pond and may be indicative of preseventeenth century activity on the estate (National Trust South West Region (Cornwall), 2017).

The gradiometer and resistance data collected in the western side this area has clear eastnorth-east to west-south-west linear trends on the western side around the pond (Figures 14, 15 and 17). For the most part, these anomaly groups have characteristics indicative of informal tracks of either human or animal origin (anomaly groups **g4 to g6**, **g9**, **g12** and **g13** in Figures 6 and 8, anomaly groups **r13**, **r14** and **r16 to r19** in Figures 7 and 8). Soil creep may also be a possible origin for some of these anomaly groups. Group **g7** may relate to a similar feature but is clearer in the data set and may be indicative of a former drainage ditch or leat. Groups **g10** and **g11** may also indicate the presence of a ditch although an informal track cannot be ruled out (Figures 6, 7 and 8).

In the same area, both the magnetic and resistance data display a curvilinear deposit to the east of the pond (groups **g8** and **r12** in Figures 6, 7 and 8). In broad terms, these anomalies may represent an archaeological feature or a palaeochannel although the magnetic anomaly g8 has characteristics that may indicate a fragment of a former field boundary. A drainage feature associated with the pond may also be a possibility.

Groups **g101** (Figure 6) and **r101** (Figure 7) are most likely to represent former ploughing disturbance and the resistance anomalies have characteristics suggesting that it may be ridgeand-furrow ploughing. It is a possibility that slight earthworks left by ridge-and-furrow ploughing when combined with the informal tracks also recorded in the dataset have produced the slight earthworks noted in the area.

Resistance anomaly groups **r15** and **r20** (Figures 7 and 8) show a high resistance deposit surrounded by relatively low resistance deposits. Such anomaly groups often indicate the presence of stone or concrete placed within a pit with earthen filling. Given the presence in the Saltram grounds of a World War 2 United States military camp (Teasdale, 2017), these anomaly groups may relate to former gun or searchlight platforms. They are, however, situated in a shallow valley and so it is also a possibility that they indicate the sites of former wells. The third alternative is that they represent buried stones or stony deposits of unknown origin. These two anomaly groups are unlikely to relate to natural deposits.

Group **g14** (Figures 6 and 8) probably relates to a filled ditch or drainage ditch but it lies adjacent to a probable palaeochannel represented by groups **r201** (Figure 7) and **g201** and **g202** (Figure 6), and may represent the edge of the former stream channel.

Magnetic anomaly group **g305** (Figures 6 and 8) coincides with an area of hard standing recorded in an aerial photograph taken in 1946. This area is thought to associated with the World War 2 military camp at Saltram (Teasdale, 2017).

6.4 Conclusions

Both the magnetic and resistance responses were sufficient to be able to differentiate anomalies representing possible archaeological features.

Fourteen magnetic anomaly groups and twenty resistance anomaly groups were identified as possibly representing archaeological deposits or features. The magnetic survey on the Mansion lawns was compromised by the presence of iron or steel services and iron lawn edgings. The resistance survey, however, suggests the presence of linear features on both lawns which may indicate archaeological deposits although some may relate to relatively recent service trenches. The majority of the magnetic and resistance anomaly groups recorded on the western side Pond area are likely to relate to informal tracks although one may indicate a former ditch or leat and another a ditch. Ploughing disturbance, possibly from ridge-and-furrow ploughing, was also recorded in the same area. The combination of tracks and ploughing may be responsible for slight earthworks noted in previous work. A curvilinear feature is indicated in both the magnetic and resistance datasets to the east of the pond which may be natural but could relate to a former wall or drainage feature. Two relatively high resistance features were recorded within the Pond area. These indicate the presence of two stony or concrete deposits. Speculatively, they may indicate the presence of capped wells or platforms associated with the former World War 2 military camp at Saltram. A large area of rubble recorded in the magnetic survey of the Pond area is likely to denote an area of hardstanding associated with the army camp.

7 Disclaimer and copyright

The description and discussion of the results presented in this report are the authors, based on his interpretation of the survey data. Every effort has been made to provide accurate descriptions and interpretations of the geophysical data set. The nature of archaeological geophysical surveying is such that interpretations based on geophysical data, while informative, can only be provisional. Geophysical surveys are a cost-effective early step in the multi-phase process that is archaeology. The evaluation programme of which this survey is part may also be informed by other archaeological assessment work and analysis. It must be presumed that more archaeological features will be evaluated than those specified in this report.

Substrata Ltd will assign copyright to the client upon written request but retains the right to be identified as the author of all project documentation and reports as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (Chapter IV, s.79). This report contains material that is non-Substrata Limited copyright or the intellectual property of third parties. Such material is labelled with the appropriate copyright and is non-transferrable by Substrata Ltd.

8 Acknowledgements

Substrata would like to thank Judith Teasdale, Project Assistant Curator, National Trust, Saltram, for commissioning us to complete this survey.

9 Bibliography

Archaeology Data Service (undated) Archaeology Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice: Geophysical Data in Archaeology, [Online] Available: http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/ Geophysics_Toc [March 2017]

British Geological Survey (undated) *Geology of Britain viewer*, 1:50000 scale data, [Online] Available: http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discovering Geology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html [March 2017]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014a) *Standard and guidance archaeological geophysical survey*, [Online] Available: http://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GGeophysics_1.pdf [March 2017]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) *Code of conduct*, [Online] Available: http://www.archaeologists.net/ sites/default/files/CodesofConduct.pdf [March 2017]

Clark, A. (2000) Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting methods in archaeology, London: Routledge

Dean, R. (2017) A Written Scheme of Investigation for an archaeological magnetometer and resistance survey across land at Saltram, Plympton, Plymouth, Devon, Substrata unpublished document 1072SAL-W-1

Devon County Council (undated) *Historic environment* [Online], Available: https://new.devon.gov.uk/ historicenvironment/ [May 2017]

Gaffney, C. and Gater, J. (2003) Revealing the buried past: geophysics for archaeologists, Stroud, Tempus Publishing

Heimmer, Don H., and Steven L. DeVore (1995) Near-Surface, High Resolution Geophysical Methods for Cultural Resource Management and Archaeological Investigations, Revised edn., National Park Service, Denver, Colorado

Historic England (undated a) *Heritage Gateway* [Online], Available: http://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/gateway/ [May 2017

Historic England (2010) *Geophysical Survey in Archaeological Field Evaluation*, [Online] Available: https:// content.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/geophysical-survey-in-archaeological-field-evaluation/ geophysics-guidelines.pdf/ [April 2017]

Teasdale, J. (2017) National Trust, Saltram. Geophysical Survey - 1946 aerial photo, email to R. Dean (rossdean57@outlook.com), 24 April 2017 [24 April 2017]

National Trust South West Region (Cornwall) (2017) National Trust Brief for a geophysical survey, Saltram, Plymouth, National Trust unpublished document

Appendix 1 Figures

General Guidance

The anomalies represented in the survey plots provided in this appendix are magnetic anomalies. The apparent size of such anomalies and anomaly patterns are unlikely to correspond exactly with the dimensions of any associated archaeological features.

A rough rule for interpreting magnetic anomalies is that the width of an anomaly at half its maximum reading is equal to the width of the buried feature, or its depth if this is greater (Clark, 2000: 83). Caution must be applied when using this rule as it depends on the anomalies being clearly identifiable and distinct from adjacent anomalies. In northern latitudes the position of the maximum of a magnetic anomaly will be displaced slightly to the south of any associated physical feature.

A rough rule for interpreting resistance anomalies is that if an x-y trace is drawn of the resistance over an anomaly, then the width of an anomaly at half its maximum height is equal to the width of the buried feature. Caution must be applied when using this rule as it depends on the anomalies being clearly identifiable and distinct from adjacent anomalies and it should be noted that the relationship between change in resistance response and depth is not linear (Gaffney and Gater, 2003: 112).





Figure 1: location map



Land at Saltram House, Plympton, Plymouth, Devon Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348 Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Figure 2: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, archaeology overview



Figure 3: magnetometer survey interpretation, Mansion Lawns

N
★
22
certainty, anomaly type (2)
Magnetometer survey magnetometer survey area Potential archaeology possible, positive
 possible, negative possible, parallel linears Potential cultivation traces possible, repeated parallels (4) Potential natural
 possible, positive sinuous possible, negative sinuous Potential services & modern possible, mixed spread possible, high contrast linear
— possible, regular narrow linears (4)

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited. Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432



Figure 4: resistance survey interpretation, Mansion Lawns



Figure 5: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, Mansion Lawns

$\overline{\}$	N	
	*	-
	certainty, anomaly type (2)	В
	Magnetometer survey	00
	magnetometer survey area	556
	Potential archaeology	
	possible, positive	
	possible, negative	
	possible, parallel linears	
	Potential cultivation traces	
	— possible, repeated parallels (4)	
	Potential natural	
	possible, positive sinuous	
	possible, negative sinuous	
	Potential services & modern	
	likely, mixed spread (3)	
	— possible, high contrast linear	
	— possible, regular narrow linears (4)	
<	Resistance survey	
	resistance survey area	
	Potential archaeology	
	possible, high	
	possible, low	
	possible, linear trends	
	Potential cultivation traces	
	— possible, repeated parallels (4)	
	Potential natural	
	possible, sinuous broad linear	
	Potential services & modern	
	— possible, linear	

Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited. Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2017. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432



Land at Saltram House, Plympton, Plymouth, Devon Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348 Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Figure 6: magnetometer survey interpretation, Pond area

Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ Tel: 01271 342721 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk



Land at Saltram House, Plympton, Plymouth, Devon Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348 Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Figure 7: resistance survey interpretation, Pond area

Barnstaple, Devon EX32 7LZ Tel: 01271 342721 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk



Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348 Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Figure 8: magnetometer and resistance survey interpretation, Pond area (anomaly groups pertaining to archaeology only are numbered)

Tel: 01271 342721 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk



Figure 9: shade plot of processed magnetometer data, overview



Figure 10: shade plot of processed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns

Figure 11: contour plot of processed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns

Figure 12: shade plot of processed resistance data, Mansion lawns

Figure 13:contour plot of high pass filtered processed resistance data, Mansion lawns

Figure 14: shade plot of processed magnetometer data, Pond area

Figure 15: contour plot of processed magnetometer data, Pond area

Centred on NGR (E/N): 252071,55573 and 252070,55348 Report: 1702SAL-R-1

Figure 16: shade plot of processed resistance data, Pond area

Tel: 01271 342721 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk

Figure 17: contour plot of high pass filtered processed resistance data, Pond area

3	0	00) 1	nJ
		3	6	.7
			9	.5
			3	.6
			0	.3
			2	
		-	4	.8
			9	.4
	-	1	8	
		5	h	7

-3000 nT

0°

Instrument type: Bartington grad601-2 Units: nT
 Direction of 1st Traverse: 0 deg

 Collection Method:
 ZigZag

 Sensors:
 2 @ 0.00 m spacing.

 Dummy Value:
 32702
 Sensors: Dummy Value: Dimensions Grid Size: 30 m x 30 m X Interval: 0.25 m Y Interval: 1 m Stats 3000.00 -3000.00 934.16 Max: Min: Std Dev: -21.82 Mean: Median: -2.00 PROGRAM TerraSurveyor 3.0.31.0 Name: Version:

Processes: 1 1 Base Layer

Figure 18: shade plot of unprocessed magnetometer data, Mansion lawns

-	3000 nT
	7.2
	3.2
	1.6
	0.5
	-0.3
	-1.3
	-2.7
	-5.5
	-16.3
	-3000 nT

Instrument type: Ba	rtington grad601-2
Units:	nT
Direction of 1st Tra	werse: 0 deg
Collection Method:	ZigZag
Sensors:	2 @ 0.00 m spacing.
Dummy Value:	32702
Dimensions	
Grid Size:	30 m x 30 m
X Interval:	0.25 m
Y Interval:	1 m
Stats	
Max:	3000.00
Min:	-3000.00
Std Dev:	406.94
Mean:	5.73
Median:	-0.30
PROGRAM	
Name:	TerraSurveyor
Version:	3.0.31.0

Processes: 1 1 Base Layer

Figure 20: shade plot of unprocessed resistance data, Mansion lawns

Instrument Type:	GeoScan (Resistance)
Units:	Ohm
Direction of 1st Tra	verse: 0 deg
Collection Method:	ZigZag
Sensors:	1
Dummy Value:	32702
Dimensions	
Grid Size:	30 m x 30 m
X Interval:	1 m
Y Interval:	1 m
Stats	
Max:	1920.00
Min:	-2047.50
Std Dev:	336.84
Mean:	-8.96
Median:	40.50
PROGRAM	
Name:	TerraSurveyor
Version:	3.0.31.0

Processes: 1 1 Base Layer

-10	0	10	20	30	40
E E					

Instrument Type:	GeoScan (Resistance
Units:	Ohm
Direction of 1st Tra	werse: 0 deg
Collection Method:	ZigZag
Sensors:	1
Dummy Value:	32702
Dimensions	
Grid Size:	30 m x 30 m
X Interval:	1 m
Y Interval:	1 m
Stats	
Max:	1468.50
Min:	13.50
Std Dev:	37.43
Mean:	61.94
Median:	58.50
PROGRAM	
Name:	TerraSurveyor
Version:	3.0.31.0

Processes: 1 1 Base Layer

Figure 21: shade plot of unprocessed resistance data, Pond area west

-10	0	10	20	30	40

60 Ohm
46.53
43.75
42.37
41.11
39.61
37.96
35.95
34.33
32.61
30 Ohm

co oha

Instrument Type:	GeoScan (Resistance
Units:	Ohm
Direction of 1st Tr	averse: 0 deg
Collection Method	: ZigZag
Sensors:	1
Dummy Value:	32702
Dimensions	
Grid Size:	30 m x 30 m
X Interval:	1 m
Y Interval:	1 m
Stats	
Max:	60.00
Min:	30.00
Std Dev:	5.90
Mean:	39.93
Median:	39.73
PROGRAM	
Name:	TerraSurveyor
Version:	3.0.31.0

Processes: 1 1 Base Layer Appendix 2 Tables

area	anomaly	associated	anomaly characterisation	anomaly form	additional archaeological	comments	supporting evidence
	group	anomalies	certainty & class		characterisation		
Mansion	g1	r1	possible, negative	disrupted linear			
lawns	g2	r2	possible, positive	disrupted linear			
	<u>g</u> 3	r3 r5?	possible, positive	disrupted linear			
	g301	r301	possible, high contrast linear		ferrous cable, pipe or drain		
	g302	r302	possible, high contrast linear		ferrous cable, pipe or drain		
Pond	g4		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
area	g5		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
	g6		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
	g7		possible, positive	disrupted linear	leat, track or possibly a ditch		
	g8	r12	possible/negative/positive	curvilinear	archaeological deposit or palaeochannel		
	g9		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
	g10	g11	possible, positive	disrupted linear	ditch or track		
	g11	g10 r16? r17?	possible, positive	disrupted linear	ditch or track		
	g12		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
	g13		possible, parallel linears		informal tracks or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin, alternatively some may represent natural soil creep	
	g14		possible, positive	linear	ditch or natural palaeochannel edge		
	g101		possible, repeated parallels		cultivation traces - possible ridge-and-furrow		
	g102		possible, repeated parallels		cultivation traces - possible ridge-and-furrow		
	g201		possible, negative sinuous		palaeochannel		
	g202		possible, positive sinuous		palaeochannel edge		
	g303		possible, high contrast linear		ferrous wire or service	anomaly group lies along the line of old field boundary mapped at least until 1994	OS 1868 1:10560 to 1989-1994 1:10000
	g304	g306	possible, high contrast linear		ferrous cable, pipe or drain		
	g305		likely, mixed spread		rubble and/or landfill	anomaly group probably relates to an area of hard standing recorded on a 1946 aerial photograph	National Monuments Record Centre 106G/UK1190/ 27FFB 46/F 20//541 SODN
	g306	g304	possible, high contrast linear		ferrous cable, pipe or drain		
	g307		possible, regular narrow linears		field drain		
	g308		possible, regular narrow linears		field drain		
	g309		possible, regular narrow linears		field drain		
Mansion	r1	g1	possible, high	disrupted linear	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
lawns	r2	g2	possible, low	linear	ditch or service trench		
	r3	r5? g3	possible, high	linear	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
	r4		possible, low	oval	pit or natural deposit		
	r5	r3? g3?	possible, high	return	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
	r6		possible, low	linear	ditch or service trench		
	r7		possible, high	linear	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
	r8		possible, low	linear	ditch or service trench		
	r9		possible, high	disrupted linear	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
	r10		possible, low	linear	ditch or service trench		
	r11		possible, high	return	wall footings or gravel-filled service trench		
	r301	g301	possible, linear		drain, pipe or cable		
D 1	r302	g302	possible, linear	.1.	drain, pipe or cable		
Pond	r12	gð	possible, high	curvilinear	archaeological deposit or palaeochannel		
area	r12		possible, low	curvilinear	archaeological deposit or palaeochannel		
	r13		possible, linear trends	disrupted linear	informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	r14		possible, linear trends	1	informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	rlo	110	possible, high	oval	Stoney deposit or concrete?	anomaly group has characteristics indicative of the base of a WW2 gun platform although this is not seen in the magnetometer data	
	r16	g11?	possible, linear trends		informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	rl /	g11?	possible, linear trends		informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	r18		possible, linear trends		informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal human or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	r19 r20		possible, linear trends	arral	Informal track or natural deposits	anomaly group may represent informal numan or animal tracks of unknown origin	
	120 n101		possible reported a sullate	ovai	subley deposit of concrete?	anomary group has characteristics indicative of the base of a ww2 gun platform although this is not seen in the magnetometer data	
	r101 #102		possible repeated parallels		cultivation traces - possible ridge-and-furrow		
	r102 r201		possible, repeated parallels		cutivation traces		
	17701		possible, sinuous broad linear		paraeocnanner		1

Documents

Brief: National Trust South West Region (Cornwall) (2017) WSI: Dean (2017)

Methodology

- 1. The work was undertaken in accordance with the approved WSI. The geophysical magnetometer (gradiometer) and resistance survey was undertaken with reference to standard guidance provided by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014) and Archaeology Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides (undated).
- 2. The survey grid location information and grid plan was recorded as part of the project in a suitable GIS system.
- 3. Data processing was undertaken using appropriate software, with all anomalies being digitised and geo-referenced. The final report included a graphical and textual account of the techniques undertaken, the data obtained and an archaeological interpretation of that data and conclusions about any likely archaeology.

Grid

Method of Fixing: DGPS set-out using pre-planned survey grids and Ordnance Survey coordinates. *Composition:* 30m by 30m grids

Recording: Geo-referenced and recorded using digital map tiles.

DGPS used: Spectra Precision PM5V2 GPS with external antenna and survey pole and DigiTerra Explorer 7 as the survey control program.

Magnetometer Equipment Instrument: Bartington Instruments grad601-2 Firmware: version 6.1	Magnetometer Data Capture Sample Interval: 0.25-metres Traverse Interval: 1 metre Data capture: automatic data logger Traverse Method: zigzag Traverse Orientation: GN				
Magnetometer EquipmentInstrument:Geoscan Research RM15 multi-probe resistance meterConfiguration: twin probeMobile probe spacing:0.5-metres	Magnetometer Data Capture Sample Interval: 1 metre Traverse Interval: 1 metre Data capture: automatic data logger Traverse Method: zigzag Traverse Orientation: GN				
Data Processing, Analysis and Presentation Software IntelliCAD Technology Consortium IntelliCAD 7.2 DW Consulting TerraSurveyor3 Manifold System 8 GIS Microsoft Corp. Office Excel 2013 Microsoft Corp. Office Publisher 2013 Adobe Systems Inc Adobe Acrobat 9 Pro Extended					

Table 2: methodology summary

SITE Instrument Type: Units: Direction of 1st Trave Collection Method: Sensors: Dummy Value:	Bartington Grad-601 gradiometer nT rse: GN ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702
Name: T Version: 3	erraSurveyor .0.31.0
Mansion Lawns Stats Max: 81.98 Min: -75.94 Std Dev: 16.45 Mean: -2.55 Median: -0.10	 Processes: 6 1 Base Layer 2 Clip at 1.00 SD 3 Clip at 0.10 SD 4 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 5 DeStripe Median Traverse: Grids: All 6 Interpolate: Match X & Y Doubled.
Pond area Stats Max: 911.63 Min: -909.01 Std Dev: 60.54 Mean: 0.63 Median: 0.04	 Processes: 10 1 Base Layer 2 Clip at 1.00 SD 3 De Stagger: Grids: All Mode: Both By: -2 intervals 4 De Stagger: Grids: b22.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 5 De Stagger: Grids: b3.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 6 De Stagger: Grids: b6.xgd b9.xgd b7.xgd b8.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 7 DeStripe Median Sensors: Grids: All 8 De Stagger: Grids: b9.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 9 De Stagger: Grids: b3.xgd b6.xgd Mode: Both By: -1 intervals 10 Interpolate: Match X & Y Doubled.

Table 3: magnetometer survey processed data metadata

SITE Instrument Type: Units: Direction of 1st Traver Collection Method: Sensors: Dummy Value: PROGRAM	Geoscan Research RM15/MPX15 resistance meter ohms se: GN ZigZag 2 @ 1.00 m spacing. 32702
Version: 3.	0.31.0
Mansion Lawns: Figure 12StatsMax:86.00Min:24.96Std Dev:10.42Mean:43.19Median:40.74	 Processes: 8 1 Base Layer 2 Search & Replace -2047.5 With: Dummy (Area: Top 0, Left 0, Bottom 119, Right 89) 3 Search & Replace 1920 With: Dummy (Area: Top 31, Left 64, Bottom 34, Right 66) 4 Search & Replace 1920 With: Dummy (Area: Top 0, Left 0, Bottom 2, Right 1) 5 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 6 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 7 Clip from 24.00 to 86.00 Ohm 8 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3
Mansion Lawns: Figure 13StatsMax:11.90Min:-12.16Std Dev:2.85Mean:-0.22Median:0.25	 Processes: 9 1 Base Layer 2 Search & Replace -2047.5 With: Dummy (Area: Top 0, Left 0, Bottom 119, Right 89) 3 Search & Replace 1920 With: Dummy (Area: Top 31, Left 64, Bottom 34, Right 66) 4 Search & Replace 1920 With: Dummy (Area: Top 0, Left 0, Bottom 2, Right 1) 5 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 6 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 7 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3 8 High pass Gaussian filter: Window: 10 x 10 9 Clip at 3.00 SD
Pond area west Figure 16 Stats Max: 120.00 Min: 34.10 Std Dev: 14.10 Mean: 60.44 Median: 58.50	Processes: 5 1 Base Layer 2 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 3 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 4 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3 5 Clip from 33.00 to 120.00 Ohm
Pond area east Figure 16 Stats Max: 139.99 Min: 28.69 Std Dev: 6.70 Mean: 40.04 Median: 39.73	Processes: 4 1 Base Layer 2 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 3 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 4 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3
Pond area west Figure 17 Stats Max: 17.98 Min: -18.28 Std Dev: 3.50 Mean: -0.30 Median: -0.44	Processes: 6 1 Base Layer 2 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 3 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 4 High pass Gaussian filter: Window: 10 x 10 5 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3 6 Clip at 3.00 SD
Pond area eastFigure 17StatsMax:8.30Min:-8.27Std Dev:1.39Mean:-0.05Median:-0.11	Processes: 6 1 Base Layer 2 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 3 Despike Threshold: 1 Window size: 3x3 4 High pass Gaussian filter: Window: 10 x 10 5 Low pass Gaussian filter: Window: 3 x 3 6 Clip at 3.00 SD

Table 4: resistance survey processed data metadata