

An archaeological magnetometer survey

Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset

Centred on NGR: 334912,140901

Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Ross Dean BSc MSc MA MCIfA Mark Edwards BA

7 September 2018

Substrata Ltd Langstrath Goodleigh Barnstaple Devon EX32 7LZ Tel: 01271 342721 Email: geophysics@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk Client Pegasus Group Sutton Coldfield 5 The Priory Old London Road Canwell Sutton Coalfield West Midlands B75 5SH

Contents

1.	Introduction	.1		
2.	Client details	.1		
3.	Copyright	.1		
4.	Survey type and location	.1		
5.	Summary	.1		
6.	Standards	2		
7.	Aims and objectives	2		
8.	Methodology	2		
9.	Survey Area	2		
10.	Archaeological background	3		
11.	Results	3		
12.	Discussion	.4		
13.	Conclusions	5		
14.	Disclaimer	5		
15.	Archive	5		
16.	Acknowledgements	5		
17.	Bibliography	5		
Appendix 1 Figures				
Appendix 2 Tables				
Appendix 3 Project archive contents				

Figures

Figure 1: location map	8
Figure 2: survey interpretation	9
Figure 3: shade plot of processed data	10
Figure 4: contour plot of processed data	11
Figure 5: shade plot of minimally processed data	12
Figure 6: survey grid plan and location	13

Tables

Table 1: data analysis	
Table 2: methodology information	
Table 3: processed data metadata	17
Table 4: minimally processed data metadata	

1 Introduction

This report presents the results of an archaeological geophysical survey at the site listed in Section 4 and shown in Figure 1, hereafter referred to as the 'Survey Area'. The survey was commissioned by Pegasus Group (the Client) on behalf of Gladman Developments Limited. The commissioning of this report was in keeping with the National Planning Policy Framework, Chapter 16, Paragraph 189 (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2018). The survey and report were completed in compliance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (Substrata Ltd, 2018).

The survey follows on from the production of an Archaeology and Built Heritage Assessment of the site and its environment produced in May 2018 (Pegasus Group, 2018).

2 Client details

Pegasus Group, Sutton Coalfield, 5 The Priory, Old London Road, Canwell, Sutton Coalfield, West Midlands B75 5SH

3 Copyright

Substrata Ltd shall retain full copyright as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved, excepting that it hereby provides an exclusive licence to the Client for the use of the report by the Client in all matters directly relating to the project. Any document produced to meet planning requirements may be freely copied for planning, development control, education and research purposes without recourse to the Copyright owner subject to all due and appropriate acknowledgements being provided. This report contains material that is non-Substrata Ltd copyright or the intellectual property of third parties. Such material is labelled with the appropriate copyright and is non-transferrable by Substrata Ltd.

© Substrata Ltd 2018

4 Survey type and location

4.1	Survey				
	Method:	shallow depth magnetometer survey			
	Instrument:	twin-sensor fluxgate gradiometer			
	Date:	21 & 22 August 2018			
	Area:	6.35ha			
	Survey resolution:	1m by 0.25m			

4.2 Location

Name: Location: Civil Parish: District: County: Nearest Postcode: Survey centre NGR: Survey centre NGR (E/N): Historic environment designation: Approximate survey area: OASIS ID:

Land off of Cossington Lane Cossington Lane, Woolavington, Bridgwater Woolavington Sedgemoor Somerset TA7 8HJ ST 34912 40901 (point) 334912,140901 (point) None 6.45ha substrat1-327772

5 Summary

A magnetometer survey was selected to provide a relatively fast and cost-effective evaluation of any buried archaeology across the Survey Area (see Section 14). The magnetic anomaly groups pertaining to potential buried archaeology were georeferenced to the Ordnance Survey National Grid, mapped, characterised and assigned with an appropriate degree of certainty in conformance with the survey aims and objectives set out in Section 7. The differences in magnetic responses across the Survey Area were sufficient to be able to differentiate between anomalies representing possible buried archaeology and background magnetic responses.

Three magnetic anomaly groups were characterised as representing potential archaeological deposits. Of these, one anomaly group is likely to represent an area of wet deposits associated with a former pond mapped by the Ordnance Survey in 1886 but not recorded on earlier or later historic maps. One group may represent an archaeological pit and one group may represent a large archaeological pit or surface, possibly with a spread of heated deposits.

6 Standards

The standards that were used to complete this survey are defined by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) and the Europae Archaeologiae Consilium (undated). The codes of approved practice to be followed are those of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014) and Archaeology Data Service (undated).

7 Survey aims and objectives

- 7.1 Aims
 - 1. Within the framework set out in Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (2014b) and Europae Archaeologiae Consilium (undated), complete an archaeological geophysical survey and report which will, as far as possible, establish the presence or absence, extent and character of any buried archaeology within the survey area.
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on the nature of any archaeological remains to facilitate the assessment of their interest prior to the determination of the planning application.

7.2 Objectives

- 1. Complete a magnetometer survey across the Survey Area.
- 2. Identify any magnetic anomalies that may be related to buried archaeology.
- 3. Within the limits of the technique and dataset, archaeologically characterise any such anomalies or patterns of anomalies.
- 4. Accurately record the location of the identified anomalies.
- 5. Produce a report based on the survey that is sufficiently detailed to inform any subsequent development on the survey area about the location and possible archaeological character of the recorded anomalies.

8 Methodology

The magnetometer survey was undertaken in accordance a Written Scheme of Investigation (Substrata Ltd, 2018) using the standards specified in Section 6 to achieve the aims and objectives set out in Section 7. The survey method was selected to provide a relatively fast and cost-effective evaluation of any buried archaeology across the Survey Area (see Section 14).

Data processing was undertaken using appropriate software (Table 2), with all anomalies being digitised and geo-referenced. The final report (this document) includes a graphical and textual account of the techniques undertaken, the data obtained and an archaeological interpretation of that data and conclusions about any likely archaeology. The survey and report conform to the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists standard for geophysical survey (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014b).

9 Survey Area

9.1 Location and description

The Survey Area comprises two arable fields situated at the south-eastern outskirts of Woolavington Village (Figure 1). The Survey Area is bound to the north and east by hedgerows with agricultural fields beyond, to the south by Cossington Lane with agricultural fields beyond and to the west by fences and brick walls of rear gardens of residential properties with Woolavington village beyond. The internal boundary is a hedgerow (Pegasus, 2018, p.19-20 and RSK, 2018, p.5).

The Survey Area lies on a west-east ridge and slopes moderately from a high point of approximately 52.1m aOD near the centre of the Survey Area down to approximately 44.7m aOD at the northern boundary. The Survey Area slopes gradually southwards from the centre to a height of approximately 50.2m aOD. A noticeable drop in elevation occurs beyond the northern boundary of the Survey Area. As this represents a historic field boundary, it is probable that this change in elevation is the result of cultivation and different land use between the two fields (Pegasus, 2018, p.20).

9.2 Geology and sub-surface deposits

The solid geology across the Survey Area comprises interbedded mudstone and limestone of the Langport Member of the undifferentiated Blue Lias and Charmouth Mudstone Formations (British Geological Survey, undated). The superficial geology is not recorded in the source used (ibid) but there is potential for Head deposits (RSK, 2018, p.7).

No relevant geotechnical reports or borehole logs of near-surface deposits within 500m of the Survey Area were available at the time of writing.

9.3 Soils

The topsoil is 'slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage' (LandIS, undated).

- 10 Archaeological background
- 10.1 Historic landscape characterisation Anciently Enclosed Land This type of landscape character comprises fields which are generally 6-12ha in size with less than 25% boundary loss since 1905 (Archaeology Data Service, undated b).
- 10.2 Summary of archaeological background

An Archaeology and Built Heritage Assessment of the Survey Area and its environment was produced in May 2018 (Pegasus Group, 2018). The Assessment includes a review of the recorded heritage resource within the Survey Area and its vicinity in order to identify any extant heritage assets within the Survey Area and to assess the potential for below-ground archaeological remains.

The Assessment states that no prehistoric or Roman archaeology is recorded within the Survey Area. A possible prehistoric or Roman enclosure has been identified in cropmarks approximately10m east of the site and a possible prehistoric or Roman round barrow site was recorded as a cropmark approximately 360m east of the Survey Area centre (ibid, Appendix 1 and Figure 4). Two additional undated enclosures in relatively close proximity may indicate further activity from this period. The only other recorded prehistoric archaeology in the vicinity is a bowl barrow which is recorded approximately 960m west-south-west of the site. Known Roman activity in the vicinity is sparse, with the nearest recorded activity consisting of the former line of a Roman road recorded approximately 415m south-west of the site.

The site most likely formed part of the agricultural hinterland to Woolavington from at least the medieval period. Medieval and post-medieval activity appears to have largely focused within the historic cores of Woolavington to the north and Cossington to the south-east. Historic maps and aerial photographs of the site indicate no significant changes within the site from at least the late 18th century (ibid, Summary).

11 Results

11.1 Scope and definitions

This survey was designed to record magnetic anomalies. A magnetic anomaly is a local variation in the Earth's magnetic field. Such variations can result from differences in the magnetic properties of the underlying solid geology, superficial geology and other near-surface deposits including those altered and created by past human activities. Near-surface artefacts can also create magnetic anomalies.

The dimensions of magnetic anomalies mapped as representing potential buried archaeology

do not represent the dimensions of any associated archaeology.

The analysis presented below identifies and characterises anomalies and anomaly groups that may relate to buried archaeology.

11.2 Analysis

Figure 2 shows the interpretation of the survey data and includes the anomaly groups identified as possibly relating to archaeological deposits along with their identifying numbers. Table 1 is an extract of the detailed analysis of the survey data sourced from the attribute tables of the GIS project provided in the project archive.

Figure 2 and Table 1 comprise the analysis of the survey data.

Figures 3 and 4 are plots of the processed data as specified in Table 3. Figure 5 is a plot of minimally processed data as specified in Table 4. Figure 6 shows the location of the survey grid and grid data files.

12 Discussion

12.1 General points

Scope

Not all anomalies or anomaly groups identified in Table 1 are necessarily discussed below. All identified anomaly groups are recorded in the GIS project held in the survey archive.

Data collection

Data collection along the survey area edges was restricted as shown in the figures due to the presence of dense, rough vegetation and magnetic materials within and adjacent to the plot boundaries. Strong magnetic responses mapped close to the boundaries are likely to relate to the magnetic materials except where otherwise indicated in Figure 2 and Table 1.

Anomaly characterisation

There are a number of anomaly groups that could be interpreted as relating to large postholes or pits although most will have natural origins. Anomalies of this sort are mapped as potential archaeology when they are well defined in the data, associated with other significant anomaly groups or otherwise formed recognisable patterns as listed in Table 1.

Anomalies thought to relate to natural features and recent man-made objects such as manholes, water management equipment, drains, cables and other services are only mapped where they comprise significant magnetic responses across the dataset that need clarification.

Numerous dipole magnetic anomalies are present within the dataset. These are likely to represent recent ferrous objects. They are only mapped if they could influence the analysis of anomaly groups thought to have an archaeological origin.

Data trends

There is a set of indistinct curvilinear, parallel trends in the north-western area of the survey data (Figure 3). This trend probably reflects natural deposits or, less likely, historic ploughing.

A set of parallel, linear, west-south-west to east-north-east trends are visible in the southern part of the northern field (Figures 3 and 4). These are likely to reflect natural, geological features.

12.2 Data relating to historic maps and other records

Magnetic anomaly group 1 represents wet ground and coincides with the site of a pond recorded on historic maps published in 1886 but not on earlier or later maps, implying that it was a feature of relatively short-duration and that its was removed before 1904.

12.3 Data with no previous archaeological provenance

Anomaly group 2 is distinct in the dataset and may represent an archaeological pit.

Magnetic anomaly group 3 may represent an archaeological deposit such as a large pit or surface with a spread of heated deposits present.

13 Conclusions

The differences in magnetic responses across the Survey Area were sufficient to be able to differentiate between anomalies representing possible buried archaeology and background magnetic responses.

Three magnetic anomaly groups were characterised as representing potential archaeological deposits. Of these, one anomaly group (1) is likely to represent an area of wet deposits associated with a former pond mapped by the Ordnance Survey in 1886 but not recorded on earlier or later historic maps. One group (2) may represent an archaeological pit and one group (3) may represent a large archaeological pit or surface, possibly with a spread of heated deposits.

14 Disclaimer

The description and discussion of the results presented in this report are the authors', based on their interpretation of the survey data. Every effort has been made to provide accurate descriptions and interpretations of the geophysical data set. The nature of archaeological geophysical surveying is such that interpretations based on geophysical data, while informative, can only be provisional. Geophysical surveys are a cost-effective early step in the multi-phase process that is archaeology.

15 Archive

- 15.1 Online Access to the Index of archaeological investigationS (OASIS) OASIS ID: substrat1-327772 The OASIS entry has been completed and the boundary file and report uploaded with six months delay in publication.
- 15.2 Substrata Limited archive A full archive of this survey will be held by Substrata Limited on cloud and local hard drive storage as specified in Appendix 3.
- 15.3 Archaeological Data Service (ADS) Depending on local authority policy, an archive may be deposited with the ADS as specified in Appendix 3.
- 15.4 Historic Environment Record (HER) Subject to any contractual requirements on confidentiality, a PDF or printed copy of the report will be submitted to the appropriate HER within six months of completion.
- 16 Acknowledgements Substrata would like to thank Donald Sutherland of Pegasus Group for commissioning us to complete this survey on behalf of Gladman Developments Limited.
- 17 Bibliography

Archaeology Data Service, (undated). Archaeology Data Service/Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice: Geophysical Data in Archaeology. 2nd Edition. [online]. Available at: http://guides.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/g2gp/Geophysics_Toc [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

Archaeology Data Service (undated b). Somerset and Exmoor Historic Landscape Characterisation. [ESRI shape files]. Available at: http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/

archives/view/somersetexmoor_hlc_2013/ [Downloaded Mar. 2018].

British Geological Survey, (undated). *Geology of Britain viewer*, 1:50000 scale data. [online] Available at: http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discovering Geology/geologyOfBritain/ viewer.html [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, (2014). *Code of conduct*. [pdf]. Available at https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CodesofConduct.pdf [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, (2014b). *Standard and guidance archaeological geophysical survey*. [pdf]. Available at: https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ CIfAS%26GGeophysics_2.pdf [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

Clark, A. (2000). Seeing Beneath the Soil, Prospecting methods in archaeology. London: Routledge

Europae Archaeologiae Consilium, (undated). *EAC Guidelines for the Use of Geophysics in Archaeology, Questions to Ask and Points to Consider*. EAC Guidelines 2. [pdf] Available at http://old.european-archaeological-council.org/files/eac_guidelines_2_final.pdf [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

LandIS, (undated). Cranfield Soils and Agrifood Institute Soilscapes. [online] Available at: http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/ [Accessed 5 Sep. 2018]

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, (2018). *National Planning Policy Framework*. [pdf] Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/ system/uploads/attachment_data/file/728643/Revised_NPPF_2018.pdf [Accessed 3 Aug. 2018]

Pegasus Group, (2018). Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington, Sedgemoor, Archaeology and Built Heritage Assessment. Pegasus Group unpublished report 23 May 2018, Project P18-0657. [pdf]. Available at: http://www.sedgemoor.gov.uk/planning_online/(S (ru1n32zjgzafjrkw543qlszh))/Details.aspx [Accessed 12 Jun. 2018]

RSK, (2018). Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington, Preliminary risk assessment. RSK Environment unpublished report May 2018, Project No. 313993-R1 (01). [pdf]. Available at: http://www.sedgemoor.gov.uk/planning_online/(S(ru1n32zjgzafjrkw543qlszh))/ Details.aspx [Accessed 12 Jun. 2018]

Substrata Ltd, (2018). Written Scheme of Investigation, An archaeological magnetometer survey, Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington, Sedgemoor, Somerset, Centred on NGR: 334912,140901. Barnstaple: Substrata Ltd unpublished document 1806PWO-W-1

Appendix 1 Figures

General Guidance

The anomalies represented in the survey plots provided in this appendix are magnetic anomalies. The apparent size of such anomalies and anomaly patterns are unlikely to correspond exactly with the dimensions of any associated archaeological features .

A rough rule for interpreting magnetic anomalies is that the width of an anomaly at half its maximum reading is equal to the width of the buried feature, or its depth if this is greater (Clark, 2000: 83). Caution must be applied when using this rule as it depends on the anomalies being clearly identifiable and distinct from adjacent anomalies. In northern latitudes the position of the maximum of a magnetic anomaly will be displaced slightly to the south of any associated physical feature.



Figure 1: location map

Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Document 1806PWO-W-1

Tel: 01271 342721 Email: enquiries@substrata.co.uk Web: substrata.co.uk



Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

Notes:

- 1. All interpretations are provisional and represent potential archaeological deposits.
- 2. 'Anomaly type' is a description of the magnetic anomaly. See the report text or GIS for an archaeological characterisation.
- 3. Anomalies designated "likely archaeology" have supporting evidence e.g. historical maps and or visible earthworks.
- 4. Not all instances are mapped.
- 5. Anomalies likely to represent recent deposits or ground disturbance, or geological and other natural deposits are not mapped unless relevant to potential buried archaeology.

An archaeological magnetometer survey Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Figure 2: survey interpretation



British Grid centre X: 334884.79 m, centre Y: 140840.08 m Geophysical survey: Copyright Substrata Limited. Base map: Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Figure 3: shade plot of processed data



Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Figure 4: contour plot of processed data



Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Figure 5: shade plot of minimally processed data



Thi fights reserved. Electice hamber roose ise

Scale: 1:1200 @ A3. Spatial Units: Meter. Do not scale off this drawing

An archaeological magnetometer survey Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR: 334912,140901 Report: 1806PWO-R-1

Figure 6: survey grid plan and location

Appendix 2 Tables

Site: Land off Cossington Lane, Woolavington, Sedgemoor, Somerset Centred on NGR 334912,140901

anomaly	associated	anomaly characterisation	anomaly form	additional archaeological	comments	supporting evidence
group	anomalies	certainty & class		characterisation		
1		likely, weak broad response	irregular	wet area coinciding with a former pond	anomaly group is indicative of a wet area and approximately coincides with the location of a pond recorded	Ordnance Survey maps 1886 1:2500 and
					on historic maps in 1886 and removed by 1904	1888-90 1:10560, 1904 1:2500 and 1:10560
2		possible, positive	sub-circular	pit	anomaly group is clear in the data and may represent an archaeological deposit such as a pit	
3		possible, positive	sub-circular	large pit or surface, possibly with heated material present	anomaly group is clear in the data and may represent an archaeological deposit such as a large pit or surface,	
					possibly with a spread of with heated material	

Table 1: data analysis

Grid Method of Fixing: DGPS set-out using pre-planned survey grids and Ordnance Survey coordinates. Composition: 30m by 30m grids Recording: Geo-referenced and recorded using digital map tiles. DGPS used: Spectra Precision PM5V2 GPS with external antenna and survey pole and DigiTerra Explorer 7 as the survey control program.			
Equipment Instrument: Bartington Instruments grad601-2 Firmware: version 6.1	Data Capture Sample Interval: 0.25m Traverse Interval: 1 metre Traverse Method: zigzag Traverse Orientation: GN		
Data Processing, Analysis and Presentation Software IntelliCAD 8.4 DW Consulting TerraSurveyor3 Manifold System 8 GIS Microsoft Corp. Office 365: Excel, Publisher, Word Adobe Systems Inc Adobe Acrobat 9 Pro Extended			

Table 2: methodology information

Instrument Type: Bar Units: Direction of 1st Tr Collection Method Sensors: Dummy Value:	tington G n averse: s : Z 3	Frad-601 gradiometer T ee below igZag @ 1.00 m spacing, each with 1m separation 2702
ProgramName:TerraSurveyorVersion:3.0.33.6		
Statistics Max: Min: Std Dev: Mean: Median:	84.22 -88.81 3.55 0.12 0.00	 Processing Base Layer Clip at 4.00 SD DeStripe Median Traverse: Grids: All Edge Match (Area: Top 240, Left 600, Bottom 269, Right 719) to Top edge De Stagger: Grids: All By: 0 intervals, 25.00cm Interpolate match x & y double is imposed on export to the GIS

Table 3: processed data metadata

Instrument				
Type: Bartington C	Grad-601 gradiometer			
Units:	T			
Direction of 1st Traverse: s	ee below			
Collection Method: Z	igZag			
Sensors: 2	(a) 1.00 m spacing, each with 1m separation			
Dummy Value: 3	2702			
Program				
Name: TerraS	Name: TerraSurveyor			
Version: 3.0.33.6				
<u>Statistics</u>	Processing			
Max: 84.16	1 Base Layer			
Min: -86.67	2 Clip at 4.00 SD			
Std Dev: 3.61	3 DeStripe Median Sensors: Grids: All			
Mean: 0.14				
Median: 0.00	Interpolate match x & y double is imposed on export to the GIS			

Table 4: minimally processed data metadata

Appendix 3 Project archive contents

A3.1 Substrata Limited archive

A full archive of this survey will be held by Substrata Limited on cloud and local hard drive storage as follows:

Report:	Adobe PDF (.pdf), Microsoft Publisher (.pub)
Raw grid date files:	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 (.xgd) and
	XYZ (.dat)
Minimally processed data composite files:	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 (.xgd) and ESBLASCII (.asc)
Final data processing composite files:	DW Consulting TerraSurveyor 3 (.xgd) and
1 8 1	ESRI ASCII (.asc)
GIS project:	GIS project Manifold 8 (.map)
Survey interpretation:	ESRI shape files
AutoCAD version of the survey interpretation:	AutoCAD (.dwg)
(if generated)	
All project working files:	IntelliCAD 8.4
	Microsoft Corp. Office 365: Excel, Publisher,
	Word A daha Sustama Ina A daha A arabat 0 Dra
	Fxtended

A3.2 Online Access to the Index of archaeological investigationS (OASIS) Metadata: online form Georeferenced survey boundary file: ESRI shape file Report: Adobe PDF (.pdf)

A3.3 Archaeological Data Service Depending on local authority policy, an archive may be deposited with the ADS as follows:

Raw data composite file: Processed data plot: Survey grid plot: Details of data processing: Interpretation plot: Metadata: XYZ file ESRI shape files ESRI shape files image in TIFF format ESRI shape files Microsoft Excel format

A3.4 Historic Environment Record (HER) Subject to any contractual requirements on confidentiality, a PDF copy of the report will be submitted to the appropriate HER within 6 months of the completion of this report via the OASIS process or by other means, depending on the relevant HER process.