

THE POWER OF MAKING – OR WHAT IT MEANS TO DO ARCHAEOLOGY THROUGH CREATIVE EXPERIMENTATION WITH MEDIA

July 18, 2014 Sara Perry Archaeological Media, Augmented Reality, Curation, Day of Archaeology 2014, Education, Experimental Archaeology, Illustration, Museum Archaeology Burial, Cemetery, collaboration, Creativity, exhibition, Heritage, interpretation, media, York

I'm oodles of days overdue in contributing to the annual Day of Archaeology (11 July 2014). The delay relates in part to what I'll discuss below – [The Heritage Jam](#) – and in part to the fact that I'm simultaneously prepping to leave for fieldwork at Çatalhöyük on Sunday, finishing multiple articles and reports, and preparing for the adventure that will be the next five months of my life, wherein I'll be abroad for my sabbatical (more on that another time!). But being late in writing this post has given me a bit of time to reflect—and most importantly, to collate reports from others—on the event that consumed my Day of Archaeology, not to mention all of the days leading up to it, and all of the days immediately after.

On 11 July 2014, supported by the [Department of Archaeology](#) at the University of York, and [the Centre for Digital Heritage](#), we hosted the first ever international [Heritage Jam](#). The Jam was a variation on the jamming sessions common in the gaming industry, where adhoc groups congregate for intensive periods of time to produce game prototypes. But instead of games, we were keen to put a spotlight on the many different media that comprise the portfolio of heritage interpretation – from illustration and art to moving-imagery and animation to photography and design to sound and tactile interventions. And instead of live in-person sessions only, we were keen to open up participation to both remote and local registrants, weaving together the online and offline worlds.

The Heritage Jam ultimately coalesced into a multi-part project, all of which is documented on



Flo Laino and Julie Rugg discuss the York Cemetery, gathering resources for the Heritage Jam. Photo by Colleen Morgan.

our [website](#), [blog](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#) pages. The goal was for individuals or groups to create some kind of visual output (whose process of creation was also documented in a [paradata paper](#)) for upload to our [online gallery](#) and for physical display at the University of York. The entries were judged on a series of [assessment criteria](#), and had to attend, in some fashion, to the theme of burial (literal or metaphorical, of humans or non-humans). For in-person contributors, we decided to focus the Jam Day efforts on a

particular case study site—the [York Cemetery](#)—which was necessary to provide some focus to what was an otherwise vague directive (i.e., “work with an assigned group to make new interpretative materials”). And our team created a series of [multi-media resources](#) to support understanding of that site, including videos, photos and compilations of archival records.

By my reckoning, the day was a success, attested to not only by the phenomenal outputs submitted by an incredible range of contributors, but also by the feedback we’ve received from those involved and those who’ve viewed the gallery, some of whom have documented the constructiveness of the approach & its outputs on their personal blogs & Day of Archaeology posts (see links below). Coupled with a specific [social media use/evaluation plan](#) that we’ve designed for the Jam, we’re analysing experiences and engagement with the project for a larger report that we’ll file at the end of the month. But the superficial numbers (which will soon be blended with qualitative data to provide a rich, contextualised understanding of participation) indicate that we had 92 registrants from most continents of the world, 17 official entries submitted by 37 contributors, 249 [Twitter](#) followers & 161 tweets, and 474 [Facebook](#) followers from more than 40 countries, speaking more than 30 languages, with a total reach of posts to over 6600 people. Given that we only launched the project in May, we’re pleased with the visibility it’s received—but more so with the quality of the entries, which are truly fantastic.

You only need to browse the entries in the [gallery](#) to see the remarkable talent that infuses the tiny proportion of the heritage sector that registered for the Jam. This is important, because there is [ample evidence that creative experts working in the heritage sector are undervalued, underpaid, underestimated and often undermined](#). Part of the intent of the Jam was to expose the depth and breadth of expertise amongst the professional community, and the possibilities that come with actually investing in such expertise. My colleague Anthony has worked to [summarise each contribution](#), and others have gone further, with the brilliant [Archaeogaming](#) blog (a forum for exploring video games as they intersect with archaeology) reviewing in detail the winner of the Remote Team Heritage Jam category – [Tara Coplestone](#) and [Luke Botham](#)’s [Buried: An Ergodic Literature Game](#). *Buried* (created with the open-source, nonlinear, interactive storytelling tool [Twine](#)) is ingenious, thoughtful, fun and sensitive, and I encourage everyone to give it a try. [As Archaeogaming’s Andrew Reinhard writes](#), “*Buried* is both a game and not a game. It is a playable book, and one with exceptional replay value. Archaeologists and archaeology are both portrayed realistically, and at the same time are neither boring nor sterile, proof that archaeology can stand on its own without resorting to gimmicks or stereotypes...*Buried* is playful, but also provides plenty to discuss regarding what is a game, and how our personal experiences are brought to bear on choices made within this kind of media, and on this story specifically.”

Equally astounding is the winning entry for the In-Person Group Heritage Jam category: [Stuart Eve](#), [Kerrie Hoffman](#), [Colleen Morgan](#), Alexis Pantos and Sam Kinchin-Smith’s [Voices Recognition](#). As Morgan summarises it [on her and Eve’s joint Day of Archaeology post about the work](#), it was an effort “to create a cacophony in a cemetery — geolocated stories emanating from graves that would increase in intensity with the density of burials in different areas.” In true collaborative fashion, it drew upon previous experimental efforts by the great [Shawn Graham](#) in partnership with Eve, [which Graham describes in evocative fashion](#): “I want to develop an app that makes it difficult to move through...historically ‘thick’

places...with a lot of noise when you are in a place that is historically dense with information. I want to ‘visualize’ history, but not bother with the usual ‘augmented reality’ malarky where we hold up a screen in front of our face. I want to hear the thickness, the discords, of history. I want to be arrested by the noise, and to stop still in my tracks, be forced to take my headphones off, and to really **pay attention** to my surroundings.”

This is exactly what Voices Recognition achieves [in its prototype form](#), put together after a 30 minute trip to the York Cemetery and about 10 hours of intensive group work in a classroom at the university.

I have to admit that when the group presented their output at the end of the day, I was truly awestruck, so much so that it rendered me quite emotional. For it was a glimpse into what great things can be accomplished when you’re able to nurture the right context: an incredible idea (tested out previously with Graham) comes together with an incredible team of people (some of whom were strangers to one another), all converging here into something with a real and profound power to resonate. As I said to Morgan after the event, it was inspirational – and it really was; it made you want to learn how to do such work; it made you want to use the app; it made you want to join their team, and create with them, and be energised and motivated by their ideas, and to experience the cemetery through this lens that they invented right there, in the moment, on the Day of Archaeology.

These entries are just two of 17 that deserve your attention and perusal, so please browse through the [gallery](#), and add your comments to the site or to our [Twitter](#) or [Facebook](#) feeds. There are contributions from around the world, articulated via a plethora of media, submitted by both new and established practitioners.

I won’t pretend that all the comments we got about the Jam were positive (although the vast majority were), nor that there’s no room for improvement. It was a massive amount of work; it depended on a team of 11 organisers; it required openness to creating things quickly, which means making mistakes and wrestling with practicalities and exposing one’s process, and hence one’s potential vulnerabilities and weaknesses; it demanded *doing* just as



Touring the York Cemetery for the Heritage Jam. Photo by Colleen Morgan

must as intellectualising, which can be problematic given how theoretical much extant ‘archaeological representation’ discourse is; and the in-person event hinged upon teamwork, which as any educator will tell you, can go horribly wrong—but, in the best cases, can equally blow you away in admiration.

In our effort to provide some definition to the exercise of heritage jamming, we did consciously choose to focus on a particular site – the York Cemetery – and this caused some concern around why we were privileging that environment. But archaeology has these tangible dimensions to it, and as much as we wanted to leave the Jam brief entirely open, we also wanted to create a project that knitted the material and the immaterial, the online and the offline, the tangible and the intangible, the process of abstract thinking with the real-world, concrete act of making.

To have eliminated the cemetery would have returned the event to the intellectual exercise that the subject of ‘visualisation in archaeology’ has long been. Moreover, to have deprived Jammers of the opportunity to visit the cemetery would have meant depriving them of a series of experiences—from the reflectivity that’s encouraged through walking, to the camaraderie and knowledge that are built through shared embodied engagements with a physical landscape. In [her blog post about the Jam, Holly Wright of the Archaeology Data Service](#), speaks about of the nature of the cemetery, a breathtaking and deeply reverberating space which is barely known in the York community (despite the fact that it houses the history of much of that community). There is value cultivated for heritage spaces through *both* our analogue and digital—and our physical and intellectual—engagements with them. The cemetery provided us with a site to experiment with the weaving together of it all.

I’m proud of what we achieved through the Heritage Jam and I would encourage others to consider this format for their own work, particularly if you’re directing the outputs into larger, targeted, useable resources. The fleeting composition of the Jam belies a venture with a longevity to it that extends beyond the Day of Archaeology, so I hope you’ll keep your eyes on the project to see how it develops from here.



Heritage Jamming at the University of York. Photo by Colleen Morgan, www.heritagejam.org