

07 March 2022

FULL ANALYSIS OF HUMAN REMAINS FROM FIELD 174 OF THE A1 WIDENING SCHEME

Katie Keefe & Malin Holst

Introduction

During excavations in January 2014 a possible cremation burial was identified during excavations in Field 174, North Yorkshire. This document presents the objectives, methods and results of the analysis of these remains. Radiocarbon dates are still outstanding for the skeletal remains.

Aims and objectives

The skeletal assessment aimed to determine age and sex, as well as any manifestations of disease from which the individuals may have suffered. Additionally, information was sought regarding the cremation techniques.

Methodology

The cremated bone was sieved through a stack of sieves, with 10mm, 5mm and 2mm mesh sizes. The bone recovered from each sieve was weighed and sorted into identifiable and non-identifiable bone. The identifiable bone was divided into five categories: skull, axial (excluding the skull), upper limb, lower limb and long bone (unidentifiable as to the limb). All identifiable groups of bone were weighed and described in detail.

Osteological Analysis

Osteological analysis is concerned with the determination of the demographic profile of the assemblage based on the assessment of sex, age and non-metric traits. This information is essential in order to determine the prevalence of disease types and age-related changes. It is also crucial for identifying gender dimorphism in occupation, lifestyle and diet, as well as the role of different age groups in society.

Skeletal preservation depends upon a number of factors, including the age and sex of the individual as well as the size, shape and robusticity of the bone. Burial environment, post-depositional disturbance and treatment following excavation can also have a considerable impact on bone condition. Preservation of human remains is assessed subjectively, depending on the severity of bone surface erosion and post-mortem breaks, but disregarding completeness.

Preservation was assessed using a grading system of five categories: very poor, poor, moderate, good and excellent. Excellent preservation implied no bone erosion and very few or no post-depositional breaks, whereas very poor preservation indicated complete or almost complete loss of the bone surface due to erosion and severe fragmentation. The bone from Context (1392) was moderately preserved, with a powdery texture, some erosion of surface detail and a smooth appearance to the edges of the fragments.

No signs of warping, but some mild bone cracking, which occurs commonly during the cremation process, was evident amongst the bone from Context (1392). The fragment size of cremated bone is frequently attributed to post-cremation processes. This is because skeletal elements retrieved from modern crematoria tend to be comparatively large before being ground down for scattering or deposition in the urn. Bone is also prone to fragmentation if it is moved while still hot (McKinley 1994, 340). The majority of bone from Context (1392), derived from the 2mm sieve, and would suggest that the bone was subject to disturbance while still hot, or post depositional factors also had an impact on bone fragment size.

The cremated bone from Context (1392) weighed 4.2g, which is considerably less than the average bone weight produced by modern crematoria, which tends to range from 1,000.5g to 2,422.5g with a mean of 1,625.9g (McKinley 1993). Wahl (1982, 25) found that archaeologically recovered remains of cremated adults tend to weigh less (between 250g and 2500g) as a result of the commonly practised custom of selecting only some of the cremated bone from the pyre for inclusion in the burial, thereby representing a symbolic, or token, interment. It is possible that the bone from Context (1392), represents a symbolic, or token, interment; however, considering that the feature was heavily truncated by a modern land drain, and over-machined during the stripping of the site, it seems likely that later truncations were responsible for the loss of bone rather than selective retrieval or selective burial of the cremated remains.

The bone from Context (1392) was completely calcined. According to McKinley (1989), the body requires a minimum temperature of 500° Celsius over seven to eight hours to achieve complete calcination of the bone. The complete mineralisation of the bone from Context (1392) suggests that the bone had reached sufficient temperatures and had been allowed to burn for an adequate length of time.

It was possible to identify the majority of bone from Context (1392), albeit most of which consisted of long bone fragments (64.3%), however, fragments of cranial vault (2.4%), and axial skeleton (4.8%) and identifiable fragments of upper limb (23.8%) were also present.

A count of the 'minimum number of individuals' (MNI) recovered from a cemetery is carried out as standard procedure during osteological assessments of inhumations in order to establish how many individuals were represented by the articulated and disarticulated human bones (without taking the archaeologically defined graves into account). It is not possible to calculate

the MNI for cremation burials, because only a token selection of bone from the pyre tends to be buried. Double burials can be identified only if skeletal elements are duplicated, or if skeletons of different ages are represented in one burial. No duplicated elements were identified in the cremated assemblage from (1392).

Age was determined using standard ageing techniques, as specified in Scheuer and Black (2000a; 2000b) and Cox (2000). Age estimation relies on the presence of the pelvis and uses different stages of bone development and degeneration in order to calculate the age of an individual. Age is split into a number of categories, from foetus (up to 40 weeks in *utero*), neonate (around the time of birth), infant (newborn to one year), juvenile (1-12 years), adolescent (13-17 years), young adult (ya; 18-25 years), young middle adult (yma; 26-35 years), old middle adult (oma; 36-45 years), mature adult (ma; 46+) to adult (an individual whose age could not be determined more accurately as over the age of seventeen). The size and robusticity of a fragment of ulna from (1392) would suggest that the individual was at least sixteen years of age when they died, but may have been considerably older.

Sex determination is usually carried out using standard osteological techniques, such as those described by Mays and Cox (2000). Assessment of sex in both males and females relies on the preservation of the skull and the pelvis and can only be carried out once sexual characteristics have developed, during late puberty and early adulthood. Due to the shrinkage and warping of skeletal elements during the cremation process, assessing the sex of any remains must be considered as tentative at best, however; Context (1392) did not contain any diagnostic skeletal elements.

Pathological Analysis

Pathological conditions (disease) can manifest themselves on the skeleton, especially when these are chronic conditions or the result of trauma to the bone. The bone elements to which muscles attach can also provide information on muscle trauma and excessive use of muscles. All bones were examined macroscopically for evidence of pathological changes. No pathological alterations were observed in the bone from Context (1392).

References

- Mays, S. and Cox, M. 2000. 'Sex determination in skeletal remains', in M. Cox and S. Mays (eds), *Human Osteology in Archaeology and Forensic Science* (London): 117-130
- McKinley, J.I. 1994. 'Bone fragment size in British cremation burials and its implications for pyre technology and ritual', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 21: 339-342
- McKinley, J.I. 1993. 'Bone fragment size and weights of bone from modern British cremations and the implications for the interpretation of archaeological cremations', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 3: 283-287
- McKinley, J.I. 1989. 'Cremations: expectations, methodologies, and realities', in C.A. Roberts, F. Lee and J. Bintliff (eds.), *Burial Archaeology: Current Research, Methods and Developments*, BAR British Series 211 (Oxford): 65-76
- Scheuer, L. and Black, S. 2000a. 'Development and ageing of the juvenile skeleton', in M. Cox and S. Mays (eds), *Human Osteology in Archaeology and Forensic Science* (London): 9-22
- Scheuer, L. and Black, S. 2000b. *Developmental Juvenile Osteology* (San Diego)
- Wahl, J. 1982. 'Leichenbranduntersuchungen. Ein Überblick über die Bearbeitungs- und Aussagemöglichkeiten von Brandgräbern', *Prähistorische Zeitschrift* 57: 2-125

Appendix

Table 1 Summary of cremated bone assemblages

Field No	Fill No	Feature Type	Period	Artefacts and Inclusions	Bone Colour	Preservation	Weight (g)	Percentage of Expected Quantity of Bone
174	1392	Pit	-	-	White	Moderate	4.2	0.26%

Table 2 Summary of cremated bone fragment size

Cremation No.	10mm (g)	10mm (%)	5mm (g)	5mm (%)	2mm (g)	2mm (%)	Residue (g)	Weight (g)
3921	0	-	2.0	47.6	2.2	52.4	0.0	4.2

Table 3 Summary of identifiable elements in the cremation burials

Burial No	Skull (g)	Skull (%)	Axial (g)	Axial (%)	UL (g)	UL (%)	LL (g)	LL (%)	UIL (g)	UIL (%)	Total ID (g)	Total ID (%)	Total UID (g)	Total UID (%)
3921	0.1	2.4	0.2	4.8	1.0	23.8	0	-	2.7	64.3	4.0	95.2	0.2	4.8